The Baculovirus Antiapoptotic p35 Protein Functions as an Inhibitor of the Host RNA Interference Antiviral Response
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ABSTRACT

RNA interference (RNAi) is considered an ancient antiviral defense in diverse organisms, including insects. Virus infections generate double-strand RNAs (dsRNAs) that trigger the RNAi machinery to process dsRNAs into virus-derived short interfering RNAs (siRNAs), which target virus genomes, mRNAs, or replication intermediates. Viruses, in turn, have evolved viral suppressors of RNAi (VSRs) to counter host antiviral RNAi. Following recent discoveries that insects mount an RNAi response against DNA viruses, in this study, we found that Autographa californica multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (AcMNPV) infection similarly induces an RNAi response in Spodoptera frugiperda cells by generating a large number of vsiRNAs postinfection. Interestingly, we found that AcMNPV expresses a potent VSR to counter RNAi. The viral p35 gene, which is well known as an inhibitor of apoptosis, was found to be responsible for the suppression of RNAi in diverse insect and mammalian cells. The VSR activity of p35 was further confirmed by a p35-null AcMNPV that did not suppress the response. In addition, our results showed that the VSR activity is not due to inhibition of dsRNA cleavage by Dicer-2 but acts downstream in the RNAi pathway. Furthermore, we found that the VSR activity is not linked to the antiapoptotic activity of the protein. Overall, our results provide evidence for the existence of VSR activity in a double-stranded DNA virus and identify the responsible gene, which is involved in the inhibition of RNAi as well as apoptosis.

IMPORTANT

Our findings demonstrate the occurrence of an insect RNAi response against a baculovirus (AcMNPV) that is highly utilized in microbial control, biological and biomedical research, and protein expression. Moreover, our investigations led to the identification of a viral suppressor of RNAi activity and the gene responsible for the activity. Notably, this gene is also a potent inhibitor of apoptosis. The outcomes signify the dual role of a virus-encoded protein in nullifying two key antiviral responses, apoptosis and RNAi.

Received 25 March 2015 Accepted 18 May 2015


Editor: R. M. Sandri-Goldin
Address correspondence to Sassan Asgari, s.asgari@uq.edu.au.
M.M. and M.H. contributed equally to this work.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00802-15.


8182 jvi.asm.org Journal of Virology August 2015 Volume 89 Number 16
nents of the host RISC machinery (Ago protein) by direct protein-protein interactions; and interfering with the spread of RNA silencing (reviewed in reference 1). In insects, VSRs have been reported mainly for RNA viruses. However, an instance of VSR activity from a DNA virus was reported for Heliothis virescens ascovirus (HvAv-3e), with its encoded RNAi N3 degrading siRNAs (14). More recently, a potential VSR was identified in invertebrate iridescent virus 6 (IIV6), which has an RNA-binding domain, inhibits Dicer-2-mediated cleavage of dsRNA, and also binds to siRNAs, blocking their loading into the RISC (15). In the shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei, dsRNA-mediated silencing was suppressed by white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) (a dsDNA virus) (16), although the mechanism of suppression or the gene involved is not known.

The family Baculoviridae is a family of large rod-shaped insect viruses with double-stranded DNA circular genomes ranging from 80 to 180 kb, which encode 100 to 200 predicted proteins (17). The most studied baculovirus species is Autographa californica multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus (AcMNPV), the type species of the Alphabaculovirus genus of the Baculoviridae family, with a 134-kbp genome containing 156 open reading frames (ORFs). Baculovirus genomes contain some species-specific genes, or genes specific to certain baculovirus lineages, as well as groups of conserved genes in the family. Moreover, in the course of evolution, baculovirus genomes have been subjected to high levels of gene loss and gene acquisition from their hosts (18). Of these adapted genes, the p35 and iap (inhibitor of apoptosis) genes play important roles in baculovirus-host interactions by inhibition of host cell apoptosis (reviewed in reference 19). Despite host antiviral responses, such as the global shutdown of protein synthesis and apoptosis, expression of baculovirus genes occurs and is even temporally regulated throughout the infection process. Based on the timing of expression, these genes are categorized as immediate, early, late, and very late genes (17). These findings reveal the ability of baculoviruses to manipulate the antiviral responses of their host.

Our recent study revealed that host RNAi also plays a part in baculovirus-host interactions, where siRNAs are produced from viral transcripts as part of the host antiviral response (20). Silencing of Dicer-2 in host cells led to increased replication of Helicoverpa armigera single nucleopolyhedrovirus (HaSNPV) (20), suggesting that the host RNAi response limits replication of the virus. In addition, a similar RNAi response against another DNA virus (IIV6) was shown in Drosophila (21, 22). AcMNPV infection also affects host RNAi by overall suppression of cellular miRNAs and alteration of the abundance of the majority of Spodoptera frugiperda miRNAs following infection (23). In the present study, we explored the RNAi response of insect cells to AcMNPV and showed that a viral gene, p35, suppresses this response in insects as well as mammalian cells. p35 is well known for its antiapoptotic activity, suggesting a dual role for this protein in two major antiviral responses against the virus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and virus.** The Spodoptera frugiperda cell line (S9) was maintained in SF900-II serum-free medium (Invitrogen) as a monolayer at 27°C. AcMNPV was amplified in S9 cells, and budded viruses that accumulated in the medium were used for inoculations. For AcMNPV infection, 2 × 10⁸ cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5, as described previously (24). After 1 h of incubation at 27°C, fresh medium was added to cells, and the cells were incubated further at 27°C. Vero and NIH 3T3 cells were maintained as monolayers at 37°C in RPMI 1640 medium with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

**Cloning of p35.** The p35 gene was amplified from AcMNPV-infected S9 cells by using specific forward and reverse primers bearing SacI and SalI restriction sites, respectively. The amplified p35 gene was then cloned into the pIZ/V5-His expression vector, resulting in pIZ/p35. To create p35 mutant genes, different truncations were made at both termini of the gene. The first mutant construct was made by truncation at the 3′ end (positions 654 to 900), using the Spel restriction enzyme on pIZ/p35 followed by self-ligation, producing the pIZ/p35Δ1 mutant construct. The other two p35 mutants were made by deletion of 30 nucleotides (nt) (pIZ/p35Δ2) and 90 nt (pIZ/p35Δ3) at the 5′ end, using specific forward primers bearing the SacI restriction site and the ATG start codon. The p35 ORF was also cloned into the mammalian expression vector pEGFP-N1, using BglII and Xmal restriction sites. Expression of p35 from the constructs was confirmed by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using a pair of primers for the middle of p35 (p35-mid F and p35-mid R) (Table 1).

To generate the p35-V71P mutant, we exactly followed a protocol described previously (25). This approach involved amplification of the p35 gene by using overlap extension PCR, utilizing complementary primers (Table 1) in which the nucleotides coding for valine were changed to those for proline. The amplified fragment was cloned into the pIZ/V5-His vector, and mutation was confirmed by sequencing in both directions.

**Small RNA deep sequencing and mapping of siRNAs.** Previously reported small RNA deep sequencing data utilized for the identification of miRNAs from S9 cells mock infected or infected with AcMNPV at 24 and 72 h postinfection (hpi) (26) were used in this study. Small RNA reads were filtered as previously described (23), mapped to the AcMNPV genome by using Bowtie mapping software, and visualized by using the Argo genome browser (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/argo/). Bed files containing small RNAs that were mapped to the virus genome at 24 and 72 hpi are provided as Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material for fine visualization of mapping in a genome browser such as Argo.

**Electrophoresis and Western blotting.** To visualize the levels of specific proteins (prohibitin, green fluorescent protein [GFP], and gp64), protein samples from whole cells (same number of cells) were run on a denaturing 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and Western blotting was carried out as previously described (26). The blot was blocked in TBS (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) containing 5% nonfat dry milk for 1 h, washed three times in TBS, and incubated in TBS–1% nonfat dry milk containing a primary antibody (prohibitin-2 polyclonal antibody [Abmart], GFP polyclonal antibody [Abcam], or gp64 monoclonal antibody [kind gift from Gary Blissard at Boyce Thompson Institute] at 1:10,000 dilution, followed by incubation with a secondary antibody (anti-rabbit or anti-mouse [IgG antibody [Sigma] for polyclonal or monoclonal primary antibodies, respectively) conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (1:10,000) overnight at room temperature. The blot was washed and developed by using nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate (BCIP) reagents.

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** To determine the levels of viral DNA accumulation, total genomic DNA was extracted from cells by using a genomic DNA extraction kit (Invitrogen) and then subjected to quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primers specific to the ie-1 gene from the AcMNPV genome. DNA concentrations were measured by using a NanoDrop instrument, and 10 ng total genomic DNA was used for each qPCR using SYBR green (Invitrogen) with a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument. Primers used for qPCR are shown in Table 1. PCR conditions were 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 20 s and a final extension step at 72°C for 20 s.

Transcript levels of GFP, enhanced GFP (EGFP), and prohibitin were analyzed by RT-qPCR using gene-specific primers (Table 1), utilizing the actin and ribosomal protein S17 (RPS17) genes for insect cells and the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) gene for Vero and NIH 3T3 cells as references. For each experiment, three biological repli-
cates with three technical replicates were analyzed by using a Rotor-Gene thermal cycler (Qiagen) under the following conditions: 95°C for 30 s and 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 45 s, followed by a melting curve (68°C to 95°C). Relative RNA levels in each sample were compared by using the Qgene template program.

Transcript levels of four AcMNPV ORFs (ORFs 9, 18, 25, and 148) were compared in wild-type AcMNPV-infected and p35-null AcMNPV (H9004/p35) infected Sf9 cells (1.12 × 10⁶ PFU/ml) at 16 and 24 hpi by RT-PCR using primers specific for the genes (Table 1).

Northern blot hybridization. For monitoring the levels of dsRNA for GFP (dsGFP) in cells transfected with dsGFP and subsequently infected with AcMNPV, total RNA was extracted from cells at 4, 8, 16, and 24 hpi by using Tri reagent (Molecular Research Center Inc.). Northern blot detection was carried out by loading 10 μg of total RNA per sample onto a 1% agarose gel with 2.2 M formaldehyde. RNA was transferred onto a nylon membrane by using 10× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate). Full-length PCR products of GFP were labeled with [32P]dCTP and used as the probe. Hybridization and membrane washes were carried out under high-stringency conditions at 65°C. Radioactive signaling was detected by phosphorimaging.

To detect dsGFP-derived siRNA (21 nt), 100 μg of total RNA was separated on a 15% polyacrylamide–7 M urea gel in 0.5 M Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer, stained with ethidium bromide to visualize tRNA, and transferred onto a Hybond N membrane (Amersham) by electroblotting for 1 h. The membrane was cross-linked at 65°C for 2 h by using a chemical method (27). For the probe, a GFP PCR fragment was digested with NcoI, NdeI, and DdeI (internal restriction sites) and labeled with [32P]dATP by using a Random Primers DNA labeling kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Hybridization and washes (twice for 15 min each with 2× SSC–0.1% SDS) were carried out at 50°C. After washing, radioactive signaling was detected by phosphorimaging.

**Gene silencing.** To silence the genes of interest (GFP, EGFP, and prohibitin genes), we used RNAi by generating dsRNA synthesized in vitro. DNA fragments of ~500 bp were amplified from the genes of interest by PCR. Forward and reverse primers contained a T7 promoter sequence (Table 1) at their 5' end for in vitro RNA synthesis. dsRNA was then produced and purified from each fragment by using the MEGAscript kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion). Synthesis was confirmed by running dsRNA on an agarose gel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP-For</td>
<td>CCAAGCCTTGCCACCATAGGTCAGGCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-Rev</td>
<td>CCGGGTAGACCTTTGCAAGCGTCTGATGGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-F SacI</td>
<td>GGAGGCTATGTTGTAATTTTCTCGGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-F SacI (−30)</td>
<td>GGAGGCTATGTTGTAATTTTCTCGGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-F SacI (−90)</td>
<td>GGAGGCTATGTTGTAATTTTCTCGGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-R SacI</td>
<td>GCGCGGTGTTATGTGTATTAATATTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-mid F</td>
<td>CAAACACCGTTCTCAGATGATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35-mid R</td>
<td>GTGAGCAAAACGGCCACAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitin-For</td>
<td>ATCCCCGGCTATCATTGAAAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitin-Rev</td>
<td>GGACATATGCTGAAACCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin-For</td>
<td>ATGGGAAAGATCTTGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin-Rev</td>
<td>GACGCCCTCGGAGGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-RNAi-For</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-RNAi-Rev</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitin RNAi-For</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitin RNAi-Rev</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-RNAi-For</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-RNAi-Rev</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-PCR-F</td>
<td>TGAGCAACAGGGCGAGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP-PCR-R</td>
<td>TAATGCATCTCGCTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse-prohib-RNAi-For</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse-prohib-RNAi-R</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mus-prohib-PCR-F</td>
<td>GCTAGACGACGACGCAATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mus-prohib-PCR-R</td>
<td>GACCCACCGTTGCTGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS17-For</td>
<td>TACGCCACCGTTGCTGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRT1-For</td>
<td>TGACCACTGCCAAAACAACAGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATEAA-RNAi-F</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATEAA-RNAi-R</td>
<td>TAATAATAGTACTATTAGGGGTTGATCGAACATAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf9-F</td>
<td>ATGGCAGATGCTGATATGATAGTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf9-R</td>
<td>TTAGGAGCTTAGATCTGGCGCTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orfl8- F</td>
<td>GGAGGCGGACCGGTGACATGCACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orfl8-R</td>
<td>CGAGCAGACACCACACAGATATATTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf25-F</td>
<td>ATGGGCaACAAACACCCGAAAGCTGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf25-R</td>
<td>GTCTTGGCGTTGGCGCGCCCGCCCGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf148-F</td>
<td>ATGGACCTTTTCTCGGATGCTGCGGTGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orf148-R</td>
<td>TTATGCGGACGGCGCTGGCGCGCGTGGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaSNPV-IAP3-F</td>
<td>GGAGGCTATGATGCTATGATGAAAGGGACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaSNPV-IAP3-R</td>
<td>GGCCGCCGACTGATAAAACACATTGTGCTCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gel, and the concentration of RNA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm.

To induce RNA silencing in vitro, cells were resuspended and equally added to individual wells of a 12-well plate. Once monolayers had formed (1 h), the medium was removed, and transfection medium was added. This medium consisted of 0.5 ml SF900-II, 8 μl Cellfectin (Invitrogen), and 2 μg dsRNA for either the prohibitin gene or GFP. Twenty-four hours later, each well was infected with 200 μl of the AcMNPV inoculum (MOI of 5). The plate was then incubated at 27°C for 48 h for analyses. For mammalian cells, the procedure was similar, except that Lipofectamine was used as a transfection reagent.

Small RNA sequencing data accession number. The raw small RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data have been submitted to the NCBI under GEO accession number GSE60064.

RESULTS

Deep sequencing reveals a large number of siRNAs that map to the AcMNPV genome. We used deep sequencing to identify small RNAs from S. frugiperda Sf9 cells and their quantitative expression levels following AcMNPV infection. Three small RNA libraries were previously constructed from mock- and AcMNPV-infected Sf9 cells at 24 and 72 h postinfection (hpi). Analysis of the data showed the impact of virus infection on cellular miRNAs (23). By utilizing the deep sequencing data, a total of 2,366,928 reads were perfectly mapped to the AcMNPV genome. There was an insignificant number of reads (0.1%) that mapped to the AcMNPV genome in mock-infected cells, but the number of mapped reads vastly increased as infection progressed. When unique reads of <10 copies were excluded from the libraries, the total number of reads decreased to 1,378,285. This included 421,102 reads at 24 hpi and 957,183 reads at 72 hpi, which ranged in size from 16 to 26 nt, with the majority being 20 nt, which correspond to siRNAs as products of the RNAi response. To exclude miRNAs from the libraries, we used the miRDeep algorithm (28) to query the AcMNPV genome for any potential stem-loop structures (pre-miRNA secondary structures) at the positions that the small RNAs mapped to, in combination with other basic criteria, such as the ratio of miRNA/miRNA* (miRNA* is the complementary/pas-senger strand in the duplex that is usually degraded), which excluded any potential pre-miRNA. Based on previous studies of HaSNPV (20) and IIV6 (21, 22), the small RNAs were considered virus-derived small interfering RNAs (vsiRNAs).

Uneven mapping of vsiRNAs and hot spots in the AcMNPV genome. The vsiRNAs detected by deep sequencing were found on both strands of the virus genome and were unevenly distributed along the AcMNPV genome, having areas with large numbers of mapped vsiRNAs (hot spots, such as polyhedrin, viral ubiquitin, the viral capsid-associated protein odv-e25, p6.9, gp64, and IE-1) and modest to low coverage at other regions (cold spots, such as orf18, orf25, lef-2, sod, DNA polymerase [Pol], lef-4, and ORFs 113 to 118) (Fig. 1A and B). The patterns of vsiRNA coverage across the viral genome were highly similar in the two AcMNPV-infected Sf9 cell libraries (i.e., 24- and 72-hpi libraries). However, the number of reads that mapped to the hot spots greatly increased between the two time points of viral infection.

AcMNPV infection suppresses the RNAi response in Sf9 cells. Considering the antiviral RNAi response of the host against...
AcMNPV, we questioned whether the virus has evolved a strategy to modulate this antiviral response. To test the hypothesis that AcMNPV suppresses host RNAi, a pIZ/GFP plasmid construct expressing the green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene was cotransfected together with dsRNA for GFP (dsGFP) into Sf9 cells, which were subsequently infected with AcMNPV. While GFP was highly expressed in cells transfected with pIZ/GFP only, in cells cotransfected with dsGFP, no GFP expression was detected by Western blotting, confirming the efficient RNAi response in mock-infected Sf9 cells (Fig. 2). Twenty-four hours after cotransfection (pIZ/GFP plus dsGFP), cells were inoculated with AcMNPV and collected at different times postinfection to determine if virus infection impairs RNAi in the cells. Interestingly, the GFP protein was detected in similar quantities in infected cells transfected with pIZ/GFP only and in infected cells cotransfected with dsGFP (Fig. 2). These results suggested that AcMNPV infection of Sf9 cells hinders the host RNAi response.

**Baculovirus p35 is a broad suppressor of RNAi.** The AcMNPV-mediated suppression of RNAi in Sf9 cells implied that the virus might encode a viral suppressor of RNAi (VSR). To find out the potential gene coding for the VSR, we first queried the virus genome for those genes important in virus-host interactions, particularly genes responsible for virus defense against the host antiviral response. Of those genes, we focused on p35 (ORF135) due to its prominent antiapoptotic activity (29). Another reason for this selection was based on a previous study in which an inhibitor of apoptosis (transcriptional activator protein [TrAP]) from tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus was shown to have RNAi-suppressive activity (30). To investigate the potential VSR activity of p35, we inserted the gene coding for the protein into the pIZ/V5 vector (pIZ/p35) and transfected it into Sf9 cells, followed by transfection of the cells with pIZ/GFP and dsGFP after 48 h of initial transfection. Expression of p35 was confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown). We found that in the presence of pIZ/p35, expression of GFP was restored. In contrast, in control cells transfected with the pIZ empty vector, GFP expression was much reduced or nearly undetectable (Fig. 3A). To ensure that this inhibition is due to the p35 protein and not the RNA transcript, a stop codon was introduced immediately after the p35 ATG start codon (Δp35), and the fragment was cloned into the pIZ vector. The construct was transfected into Sf9 cells with pIZ/GFP and dsGFP. Expression of the transcript was confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown). While silencing of GFP was again suppressed by p35, this effect was not observed with pIZ/Δp35 (Fig. 3B). These findings suggested that p35 is able to suppress RNAi.

Since the GFP gene was an exogenous marker gene in Sf9 cells, we decided to test whether p35 also has suppressor activity in the case of RNAi against an endogenous gene. To examine this, we selected prohibitin, which is a multifunctional endogenous gene, and silenced it in *Aedes aegypti* Aag2 cells using dsRNA for prohibitin (dsProhibitin). In this case, we also observed that the expression of prohibitin, which was suppressed in the presence of dsProhibitin, was rescued in the presence of pIZ/p35 when examined by Western blot detection using polyclonal antibodies to the protein (Fig. 3C). This result was also confirmed at the RNA level by using RT-qPCR (Fig. 3D). These results had interesting implications: first, p35 was able to suppress the cellular RNAi machinery against both an exogenous gene (GFP) and an endogenous gene (*prohibitin*), and second, it suppressed RNAi not only in Sf9 cells derived from a lepidopteran, which is a natural and permissive host of AcMNPV, but also in Aag2 cells from a different insect order (Diptera) that is not considered a host for this virus. To expand this finding further, we analyzed GFP gene transcript levels in Sf9 cells, *Drosophila* S2 cells, and *Helicoverpa* zea fat body (HzFB) cells transfected with pIZ/GFP in the presence and absence of pIZ/p35 and dsGFP using RT-qPCR. We consistently observed that p35 displayed RNAi suppressor activity in different cell lines and restored the expression of the exogenous gene up to the levels of expression in the control cells (Fig. 3E). Together, these results showed broad RNAi suppressor activity of p35 in different insect cells.

In order to test whether p35 exhibits its RNAi suppressor activity in mammalian cells, we cloned the p35 gene into the pEGFP-N1 vector and used it for transfection of NIH 3T3 mouse cells as well as Vero monkey cells. The EGFP gene in the vector was used as a marker. EGFP gene expression was efficiently silenced in Vero monkey cells by using dsEGFP (Fig. 4A). However, transcript levels of the EGFP gene after transfection with dsEGFP and pEGFP-N1/p35 were recovered almost to the level of the control cells (Fig. 4A). GATA4 dsRNA was used for control transfection, which did not affect the expression of the EGFP gene (Fig. 4A). To further examine the p35 suppressor activity in mammalian cells, transcript levels of prohibitin were monitored in NIH 3T3 mouse cells transfected with dsProhibitin and the pEGFP-N1/p35 vector. Likewise, prohibitin expression in NIH 3T3 cells in the presence of dsProhibitin was rescued by p35 to the expression levels of mock-transfected cells (Fig. 4B), while prohibitin transcript levels in cells without p35 expression were significantly reduced (Fig. 4B).

Deletion of the p35 gene from the AcMNPV genome abolishes its VSR activity. To strengthen our hypothesis that p35 is a...
VSR of AcMNPV, we used a mutant AcMNPV lacking the p35 gene (Δp35AcMNPV), which has previously been extensively characterized (31). For this, the pIZ/GFP construct and dsGFP were transfected into Sf9 cells, and the cells were subsequently infected with the wild-type virus and Δp35AcMNPV. The lack of p35 expression from this mutant virus was further confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown). Sf9 cells were then collected at different time intervals postinfection to examine the mutant virus RNAi suppressor activity compared to that of the wild type. The results showed that Δp35AcMNPV did not suppress host RNAi, as very little to no GFP was detected in the mutant virus-infected cells up to 24 hpi, while infection with wild-type AcMNPV impaired host RNAi (Fig. 5A). In the control, the GFP protein was detected in cells transfected with pIZ/GFP only and subsequently infected with the mutant virus. Mutant virus infection and replication were also confirmed by detection of the virus surface protein gp64 (Fig. 5A). The results from this experiment confirmed the RNAi suppressor activity of p35.

p35 does not block cleavage of dsRNA. To find out if the VSR activity of p35 is due to an inhibition of dsRNA degradation by Dicer-2, we first transfected dsGFP into Sf9 cells and then infected the cells with AcMNPV. Using a specific probe, the presence of dsGFP and its levels in the cells were monitored by Northern blot analysis. We observed that AcMNPV infection did not block dsRNA cleavage, and in fact, lower dsGFP levels were found in AcMNPV-infected cells than in mock-infected Sf9 cells (Fig. 6A). In another experiment, the fate of dsGFP was monitored in Sf9 cells transfected with pIZ/p35 to find out if we could detect the same effect observed in AcMNPV-infected cells. The results revealed that p35 does not block cleavage of dsRNA (Fig. 6B). This was consistent with a small RNA Northern blot analysis of RNA extracted from Sf9 cells transfected with pIZ/p35 to find out if we could detect the same effect observed in AcMNPV-infected cells. The results revealed that p35 does not block cleavage of dsRNA (Fig. 6B). This was consistent with a small RNA Northern blot analysis of RNA extracted from Sf9 cells transfected with dsGFP together with pIZ and pIZ/p35. The results showed the presence of more 21-nt GFP siRNAs (siGFPs) in the presence of pIZ/p35 than in the presence of the empty vector (Fig. 6C). Our results suggest that p35 does
not display its VSR activity by blocking dsRNA cleavage into siRNAs or inhibiting the accumulation of siRNAs.

Next, we examined whether p35 can still suppress RNAi in the presence of siRNAs. For this, siGFPs (21 nt) were transfected into Sf9 cells for 48 h, followed by cotransfection of cells with pIZ/GFP and the empty pIZ vector or pIZ/p35. Western blot analysis showed that siGFPs effectively silenced the GFP gene; however, in the presence of pIZ/p35, the expression of GFP was rescued (Fig. 6D). This finding further indicated that p35 blocks RNAi downstream in the RNAi pathway, perhaps by sequestering siRNAs, interfering with the loading of siRNAs into Ago-2, or blocking the activity of Ago-2 by protein-protein interactions. Interestingly, we found a GW/WG motif (240-YKLEFTTESSWGKSEKYNWKI-264) in the p35 protein that has been suggested to be important in the interactions of some VSRs with Ago proteins and to interfere with RNA silencing (32, 33). However, when we changed the WG residues to AC residues in p35, there was no change in siRNA production or VSR activity of the mutant (data not shown).

The VSR activity of p35 is not linked to its antiapoptotic activity. To determine the functional region of the p35 gene in terms of its VSR activity, we produced three p35 mutants by making different size deletions in the gene. The first p35 mutant gene was made by a deletion at the 3′ end of the ORF (nucleotides 654 to 900) using the SspI restriction enzyme and then cloning the fragment into the pIZ vector (pIZ/p35H90041). The other two p35 mutants were made by deletions of 30 nt (pIZ/p35H90042) and 90 nt (pIZ/p35H90043) from the 5′ end (Fig. 7A). These three p35 mutant constructs along with the complete p35 gene, as a control, were used for assessing the RNAi suppressor activity against GFP silencing in Sf9 cells using dsGFP. Expression of p35 from the constructs was confirmed by RT-PCR using primers specific to the middle of the p35 gene (data not shown; see Table 1 for primers), producing a 434-bp fragment. Based on Western blot analyses, GFP was efficiently silenced in cells by dsGFP, but silencing was inhibited by pIZ/p35, whereas in cells transfected with either of the deletion mutants, no GFP band was detected (Fig. 7B). These results suggested that both the N terminus as well as the C terminus are required for p35’s VSR activity.

To find out if the VSR activity of p35 is linked to its antiapoptotic activity or not, we mutated valine 71 to proline (p35-V71P). This single mutation was previously shown to disrupt the spatial configuration of the reactive loop structure in the protein and to completely abolish the antiapoptotic activity of p35 by failing to inhibit the caspase activity (25, 34). The amplified p35-V71P fragment was cloned into the pIZ vector, and the mutation was confirmed by sequencing. Sf9 cells were cotransfected with pIZ-GFP
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**FIG 4** The p35 gene suppresses RNAi in mammal cells. (A) RT-qPCR analysis of RNA from Vero cells transfected with pEGFP-N1 and dsEGFP or dsGATA4 (as control) in the absence or presence of pEGFP-N1/p35 (p35), using primers specific to EGFP. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of RNA from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with pEGFP-N1/p35 with or without dsProhibitin, using primers specific to prohibitin. There are statistically significant differences between groups with different letters, at P values of <0.0001 (A) and <0.05 (B). Error bars represent standard errors of averages from three biological replicates. Each biological replicate consisted of three technical replicates.
and dsGFP in the presence of pIZ/p35 or pIZ/p35-V71P. Cells were then analyzed by Western blotting using the anti-GFP antibody. This analysis showed that both p35 and p35-V71P equally suppressed the silencing of GFP (Fig. 7C). As an additional experiment to ensure that the observed RNAi suppression was not due to suppression of apoptosis, the full-length inhibitor of apoptosis 3 gene (IAP3) of HaSNPV was cloned into the pIZ vector by using the primers shown in Table 1. The identity and expression of the gene were confirmed by sequencing in both directions and RT-PCR, respectively (data not shown). HaSNPV IAP3 has been shown to inhibit apoptosis in Sf21 cells (35). The constructs were transfected into Sf9 cells with pIZ/GFP, with or without dsGFP. While silencing of GFP was suppressed by p35, this effect was not observed with pIZ/IAP3 (Fig. 7D). Overall, the suppression of RNAi by p35-V71P, which lacks antiapoptotic activity, and the finding that HaSNPV IAP3 did not suppress

**FIG 6** AcMNPV infection or p35 expression does not block degradation of dsRNA. (A) Northern blot analysis of dsGFP levels at times post-AcMNPV infection, using a probe specific to GFP. rRNA is shown as a loading control. (B) Northern blot analysis of dsGFP in Sf9 cells transfected with the pIZ empty vector and pIZ/p35. (C) Northern blot analysis of small RNAs from Sf9 cells cotransfected with dsGFP and the pIZ empty vector or pIZ/p35. The blot was rescanned only in the small RNA area (boxed) to enhance detection of siRNAs by phosphorimager analysis (shown by arrow). tRNAs are shown as a loading control. MW, molecular weight. (D) Western blot analysis of Sf9 cells transfected with pIZ/GFP only or transfected with pIZ/GFP and GFP siRNAs (siGFP) plus mock, the pIZ empty vector, or pIZ/p35. The blot was probed with an anti-GFP antibody and anti-Hsp70 to show equal loading of the samples.

**FIG 7** Both the N and C termini of p35 are important for its VSR activity, and this activity is not linked to the protein’s antiapoptotic activity. (A) Schematic diagram showing p35 deletion mutant constructs produced as described in Materials and Methods. (B) Western blot analysis of Sf9 cells cotransfected with pIZ/p35 or mutant constructs and dsGFP, using the anti-GFP antibody and anti-Hsp70 as a control. (C) Western blot analysis of Sf9 cells transfected with pIZ/GFP only or with pIZ/GFP and dsGFP plus pIZ/p35 or pIZ/p35-V71P. The blot was first probed with the anti-GFP antibody and subsequently probed with the anti-Hsp70 antibody as a control. (D) Same as described above for panel C except that pIZ/IAP3 was used for transfection instead of pIZ/p35-V71P.
RNAi suggest that the VSR activity of p35 is not linked to its antiapoptotic activity.

**DISCUSSION**

Until recently, the antiviral RNAi response has been reported mainly for RNA viruses, due to their genome being RNA and a direct target of the RNAi response. However, host RNAi responses against dsDNA viruses (HaSNPV and IIV6) in insects were recently demonstrated (20–22). In addition, viRNAs of a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) densovirus were detected in wild-caught Culex pipiens molestus mosquitoes, which implies the presence of an RNAi response against ssDNA insect viruses as well (36). In this study, we have shown cellular antiviral RNAi against a DNA virus, AcMNPV, which is a well-known baculovirus widely used for pest control, biological and biomedical research, and biotechnology. The viRNAs obtained from small RNA deep sequencing of virus-infected cells showed a large number of small RNAs that mapped to both strands of the AcMNPV genome, although there were hot and cold spots in terms of viRNA mapping.

With respect to RNAi against dsDNA viruses, an overlap of transcripts of adjacent genes on opposite strands and/or the presence of secondary structures in transcripts is assumed to be the reason for the production of dsRNAs. Recent findings have shed light on what appears to be one of the mechanisms of dsRNA generation in DNA virus infections (20–22). It has been shown that transcription from both the positive and negative strands of the genome produced complementary transcripts, which base paired and generated dsRNA (21). Consistently, we showed that viRNAs originated from both strands of the AcMNPV genome; therefore, it is likely that many transcripts with complementary sequences were produced, which formed virus-derived dsRNAs that could induce the antiviral RNAi response. Besides the antiviral role of viRNAs, it is possible that baculovirus-produced viRNAs autoregulate transcript levels of viral genes, thereby controlling virus replication in host cells to prevent rapid host cell death.

Host antiviral RNAi attenuates viral infections in hosts. In turn, some viruses have evolved VSRs to counter this host antiviral response. Suppression of RNAi by viruses has been reported for several RNA viruses infecting plants and animals. For example, CrPV infection of Drosophila S2 cells suppressed the host RNAi response and silencing of the firefly luciferase gene (37). Insect host infections with other RNA viruses (e.g., DCV and FHV) have also been shown to modulate the host RNAi response (11).

In this study, we functionally assessed RNAi in AcMNPV-infected cells and established that AcMNPV infection suppresses the RNAi response to dsGFP (an exogenous gene) and dsProhibitin (an endogenous gene). Subsequently, we discovered that the virus gene p35, which is a well-known antiapoptosis gene, has VSR activity. The VSR activity was detected as early as 4 hpi. p35 expression was shown to start early in infection, which is required to suppress the host apoptosis response to viral infection (38). Ectopic expression of p35 independent of the virus in different insect cells using dsRNAs for exogenous and endogenous genes hampered the host RNAi response. The intensity of the RNAi suppressor activity in the presence of p35 was almost the same as that detected in AcMNPV-infected cells. In previous studies, dsRNAs have been used for silencing of AcMNPV genes (e.g., see references 39 and 40); however, in those studies, for efficient gene silencing, large amounts of dsRNAs (60 to 160 μg) were transfected into cells, compared to relatively small amount of dsRNAs (2 μg) used in this study, which were applied to about the same number of cells. Utilization of large quantities of dsRNA may overload the system, masking the VSR activity of p35. Similarly, dsRNA has been used for silencing of host genes in the presence of other viruses with known VSR activity (e.g., see references 41 and 42).

To further expand our findings, we tested a p35 knockout AcMNPV and found that it could not suppress the host RNAi response. Of note, considerably lower transcript levels of two genes selected from the hot spots were found in p35-null AcMNPV-infected Sf9 cells than in the wild-type-infected cells. These results together suggested that p35 is a VSR encoded by AcMNPV. Moreover, our preliminary study has shown that when p35 is ectopically expressed, replication of RNA viruses is enhanced (data not shown). Since RNAi is a major antiviral response in insect cells, logically, the suppression of this response by p35 leads to improved viral replication.

In mammals, it is not clear whether RNAi plays a role in antiviral defense. Early findings implied the existence of an RNAi response in mammalian cells, as reported for protection against influenza A virus infection (43) and HIV-1 infection (44, 45) in human cells. Very recently, studies on mammalian viruses provided more evidence to suggest that RNAi could be an antiviral response in mammalian cells (2, 46, 47). These reports demonstrated that RNAi acts as a functional and conserved antiviral response in diverse host cells. In order to examine the VSR activity of p35 in noninsect cells, the plasmid expressing p35 was transfected into two different mammalian cell lines (Vero and NIH 3T3), in which it showed RNAi suppressor activity when an exogenous (EGFP) or an endogenous (prohibitin) gene was targeted by the corresponding dsRNA. These results demonstrated that p35 is functional in different host cells as a potent VSR. p35 was the first antiapoptotic gene described for baculoviruses (48) and was subsequently shown to exert antiapoptotic activity in several different systems through caspase inhibition (49–51). Here, we report another important function of this protein, VSR activity, which is also functional in different systems.

To narrow down the functional domain of the p35 protein, we made different mutant constructs and found that both the C terminus and the N terminus are essential for the VSR activity. The N terminus of the protein also plays a significant role in the antiapoptotic activity of the protein, in particular the first 100 residues, which contain the charged regions CHR1 and CHR2 (52). How ever, residues toward the end of the C terminus of the protein have also been shown to be important for its antiapoptotic function (38, 52). To exclude the possibility that the VSR activity of p35 is due to its antiapoptotic activity, we took advantage of a single amino acid mutation, valine 71 to proline, which was previously shown to completely abolish the antiapoptotic function of the protein (25, 34). Our results showed that the p35-V71P mutant was still able to suppress the RNAi activity, suggesting that the protein’s VSR activity is not related to its antiapoptotic function. In addition, HaSNPV IAP3, a potent suppressor of apoptosis (35), did not suppress RNAi.

VSRs from different viruses use a variety of mechanisms to exert their activity, such as blocking the cleavage of dsRNA by Dicer, sequestering siRNA, interfering with RISC formation, or blocking Ago-2 activity. For example, the 1A protein of CrPV inhibits RNAi by binding to Ago-2 and inhibiting the RNAi ma-
chinery (37). Other reported VSRs from insect viruses, including the B2 protein and 1A protein of FHV and DCV, respectively, modulate RNAi through binding dsRNA and sequestering it from Dicer-2 access to produce vssRNAs (11, 53). Our findings suggest that p35 does not inhibit the cleavage of dsRNA by sequestering dsRNA or interfering with Dicer-2 activity but displays its VSR activity downstream of the RNAi pathway, perhaps by sequestering siRNAs or blocking the activity of Ago-2 or the formation of RISC. The detection of a large number of vssRNAs following AcMNPV infection also confirmed that the cleavage of dsRNA was not blocked; however, suppression of RNAi through interfering with its downstream steps may benefit the virus by inhibiting the degradation of a population of mRNAs. p35 was also able to suppress RNAi when cells were transfected with siRNAs (21 nt), further suggesting that the VSR activity is exerted downstream of the RNAi pathway. Nevertheless, the exact mechanism of p35 VSR activity and the host RNAi protein(s) with which it may interact remain to be investigated.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that AcMNPV, a DNA virus, exhibits VSR activity against the host RNAi response. Notably, we further suggesting that the VSR activity is exerted downstream of the degradation of a population of mRNAs. p35 was also able to suppress RNAi when cells were transfected with siRNAs (21 nt), further suggesting that the VSR activity is exerted downstream of the RNAi pathway. Nevertheless, the exact mechanism of p35 VSR activity and the host RNAi protein(s) with which it may interact remain to be investigated.
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