Final envelopment of the cytoplasmic herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) nucleocapsid is thought to occur by budding into trans-Golgi network (TGN)-derived membranes. The highly membrane-associated proteins UL20p and glycoprotein K (gK) are required for cytoplasmic envelopment at the TGN and virion transport from the TGN to extracellular spaces. Furthermore, the UL20 protein is required for intracellular transport and cell surface expression of gK. Independently expressed gK or UL20p via transient expression in Vero cells failed to be transported from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Similarly, infection of Vero cells with either gK-null or UL20-null viruses resulted in ER entrapment of UL20p or gK, respectively. In HSV-1 wild-type virus infections and to a lesser extent in transient gK and UL20p coexpression experiments, both gK and UL20p localized to the Golgi apparatus. In wild-type, but not UL20-null, viral infections, gK was readily detected on cell surfaces. In contrast, transiently coexpressed gK and UL20p predominantly localized to the TGN and were not readily detected on cell surfaces. However, TGN-localized gK and UL20p originated from endocytosed gK and UL20p expressed at cell surfaces. Retention of UL20p to the ER through the addition of an ER retention motif forced total ER retention of gK, indicating that transport of gK is absolutely dependent on UL20p transport. In all experiments, gK and UL20p colocalized at intracellular sites, including the ER, Golgi, and TGN. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that gK and UL20p directly interact and that this interaction facilitates their TGN localization, an important prerequisite for cytoplasmic virion envelopment and egress.

It is widely accepted that herpesvirus egress entails a two-stage envelopment process. Initially, capsids assemble within the nuclei and virions acquire an initial envelope by budding into the perinuclear spaces. Subsequently, these enveloped virions lose their envelope by fusion with the outer nuclear lamellae. Within the cytoplasm, tegument proteins associate with the viral nucleocapsid and final envelopment occurs by budding of cytoplasmic capsids into specific trans-Golgi network (TGN)-associated membranes. Mature virions subsequently traffic to cell surfaces, presumably following the cellular secretory pathway (31, 54, 65). Although several lines of evidence support this model of TGN assembly and egress (8, 30, 54, 76), the specific viral and cellular mechanisms responsible for the targeted trafficking of viral envelope glycoproteins to intracellular sites of virion envelopment are only recently being elucidated.

Herpes simplex viruses (HSV) specify at least 11 virally encoded glycoproteins, as well as several nonglycosylated membrane-associated proteins, that are pivotal in membrane fusion processes during a productive viral infection. Mutations that cause extensive virus-induced cell-to-cell fusion have been mapped to at least four regions of the viral genome: the UL20 gene (1, 50, 53), the UL24 gene (38, 64), the UL27 gene encoding glycoprotein B (gB) (9, 57), and the UL53 gene coding for gK (3, 16, 34, 58, 59, 63). Of these four membrane-associated proteins, UL20p and gK are essential for the intracellular transport of virions to extracellular spaces (1, 25, 29, 35, 39, 55).

The UL20 and UL53 (gK) genes encode multipass transmembrane proteins of 222 and 338 amino acids, respectively, that are conserved in all alphaherpesviruses (16, 50, 60). Although both proteins have multiple sites where posttranslational modification can occur, only gK is posttranslationally modified by N-linked carbohydrate addition (16, 34, 60). Viruses that specify deletions in either of these proteins are unable to translocate from the cytoplasm to extracellular spaces and accumulate enveloped virions within TGN-like cytoplasmic vesicles (1, 24, 25, 29, 35, 39, 53). Moreover, both transport of gK to cell surfaces and gK-mediated cell-to-cell fusion were abolished in UL20-null virus-infected cells (25). Taken together, these results suggest a functional interdependence between gK and UL20 for intracellular protein trafficking, virus egress, and virus-mediated cell-to-cell fusion (19, 23, 25, 29, 53).

Recent observations that both UL20-null and gK-null virions accumulated within TGN-like cytoplasmic vesicles (24, 25, 39) prompted the detailed examination of the cellular localization and interdependent transport of gK and UL20p. In this report, we show that UL20p and gK are mutually dependent for post-endoplasmic reticulum (ER) transport and specifically colocalize to the TGN after endocytosis from cell surfaces. These findings suggest that TGN localization of gK and UL20p...
may be required for cytoplasmic virion envelopment and subsequent virus trafficking from the TGN to extracellular spaces.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and viruses.** African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, Md.). The UL51-gK V5 epitope-containing virus, gKD1V5, was propagated in Vero cells as described previously (23, 25, 26). The corresponding gK-domain I V5-tagged UL20-null virus, ΔUL20/gKD1V5, was described previously (25) and was propagated on UL20-null-complementing G5 cells (18). The gK-null virus, ΔgK, was described previously (39) and was propagated on the gK-null-complementing cell line VK302 (35). For isolation and replications of the ΔUL20/ΔgK virus, the UL20-null/gK-null-complementing cell line, CV-1/120kG), was constructed in a CV-1 cell background through FLP recombinase target recombination essentially as described previously (53).

**Plasmid construction.** The untagged UL20 plasmid, pUL20, and the pgKD1V5 plasmid, which specifies a V5 epitope tag within domain I of gK, were described previously (23). The pUL20amFLAG expression plasmid was generated by PCR amplification of the UL20 gene with the 5'-primer coding sequence specifying an in-frame insertion of the FLAG epitope (MDYKD DiDDDK) immediately prior to the start codon of the HSV-1 type 1 UL20 gene (HSV-1 UL20). The resultant PCR product was inserted into the eukaryotic expression TOPO cloning vector pEF6 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.), tested for orientation, and sequenced to confirm that the amino-terminal FLAG epitope fusion was present without intervening mutations in UL20. From this initial amino-terminal FLAG-tagged construct, the gK-null (ΔIKSLSL and ER retention control) and UL20 null (ΔUL20 AM3xFLAG) plasmids were generated by modifying the 3' primers to contain the additional motifs inserted at the carboxyl terminus of UL20p. The pUL20DIVFLAG expression plasmid, which specifies UL20p with the FLAG epitope (DYKD DiDDDK) inserted within domain IV of UL20p, was generated by splicing overhang PCR utilizing primers that contained the coding sequence for the FLAG epitope and were specific for the insertion region, in a manner that was essentially as described previously (53). The UL53-gK DIV5 plasmid, which specifies a V5 antigenic epitope tag within the amino-terminal extracellular viral domain of gK (23, 24, 25), was described previously (53).

**UL20/gK double-null and ΔgK/UL20amFLAG recombinant virus construction.** The UL20/UL53(gK) double-null recombinant virus, ΔgK/ΔUL20, was generated essentially as described previously for the isolation of the UL20-null virus ΔUL20/gKD1V5 (25), with the exception that the UL20 gene was deleted from a gK-null virus background. Briefly, gK-null/UL20-null-complementing CV-1 (c20kG) cells were transfected with plasmid pUL20/EGFP (25) and subsequently infected with the gK-null virus, ΔgK (Fig. 1E) (39). Thirty-six hours postinfection (hpi), virus stocks were isolated and replated onto CV-1 (c20kG) cells for virus plaque isolation. Potential recombinant viruses were initially selected by the presence of green fluorescence in isolated viral plaques. Viral plaque isolates were picked, plaque purified at least seven times, and tested by diagnostic PCR and DNA sequencing for containing background virus, deletion of the UL20 gene, and the absence of the UL53(gK) gene. The ΔgK/UL20amFLAG virus, which specifies a 3xFLAG epitope tag at the carboxyl terminus of UL20p in a gK-null viral background, was generated by a similar methodology. Plasmid pFP20-AM3xFLAG contains the coding sequence for a 3xFLAG epitope inserted at the amino-terminal domain of the UL20 gene, as well as upstream and downstream HSV genomic flanking regions, to facilitate homologous recombination with viral genomes (Fig. 1). gK-null-complementing VK302 cells were transfected with pFP20-AM3xFLAG and subsequently infected with the ΔgK/ΔUL20p double-null virus. Recombinant virus isolates that had rescued the lack of the UL20 gene with the UL20am3xFLAG gene (Fig. 1E) were detected by their growth on VK302 cells, as well as the absence of green fluorescence. Viral plaque isolates were picked, plaque purified at least seven times, and tested by diagnostic PCR, DNA sequencing, and immunofluorescence using anti-FLAG for the presence of the UL20am3xFLAG fusion, as well as the absence of the UL53(gK) gene.

**Confocal microscopy.** Cell monolayers grown on coverslips in six-well plates were infected with the indicated virus at a multiplicity of infection of 10. Twelve hours postinfection, infected cell monolayers were prepared for confocal microscopy essentially as described previously (23, 25, 26). Alternatively, cell monolayers were transfected with the indicated UL20 and/or gK plasmid combinations by using Superfect reagent (QiAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and prepared for confocal microscopy approximately 30 h posttransfection.

For cell surface biotinylation, prior to fixation cells were washed with Tris-buffered saline with Ca and Mg (TBS-Ca/Mg) and incubated for 15 min at room temperature in EZ-Link sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin cell-impermeable biotinylating reagent (Pierce Chemical), which reacts with primary amines on cell surface proteins (25, 26). Cells were washed with TBS and fixed with electron micros-
FIG. 1. Schematic of gK-null and UL20-null recombinant viruses expressing epitope-tagged UL20p and gK, respectively. (A) The top line represents the prototypic arrangement of the HSV-1 genome with the unique long (UL) and unique short (US) regions flanked by the terminal repeat (TR) and internal repeat (IR) regions. (B) An expanded genomic region between map units 0.25 and 0.3 containing the UL19, UL20, UL20.5, UL21, and UL22 genes (panel 1) or the region between map units 0.7 and 0.8 containing the UL52, UL53, and UL54 open reading frames (panel 2). (C) The recombination plasmid, pΔ20-EGFP, which contains UL20 flanking sequences for recombination with the viral genome, was used through homologous recombination with the gK viral genome to generate a ΔgK/ΔUL20 double-null virus. (D) The recombination plasmid pF20-am3xFLAG, which encodes a 3xFLAG epitope-tagged UL20 gene and flanking sequences for recombination, was used to rescue the UL20 deletion and transfer the 3xFLAG epitope into the virus. (E) Schematic depicting the experimentally determined membrane topology of gK and the predicted membrane topology of UL20p, as well as the relative sites of insertion of each of the epitope tags.
To facilitate the isolation of recombinant viruses carrying modifications or mutations in either gK- or UL20p-null genetic backgrounds, the UL53(gK)/UL20 double-null virus, ΔgK/ΔUL20, was isolated by insertional replacement of the UL20 gene with a CMV immediate-early promoter-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) gene cassette in the gK-null KOS genetic background (39) (Fig. 1B and C). Subsequently, a gK-null recombinant virus that specified the amino-terminal 3xFLAG epitope (MDYKDHDGDYKDHDIDYKDDDDK)-tagged UL20p, designated here as gK/UL20amFLAG, was isolated by rescuing the UL20 gene within the UL53(gK)/UL20 double-null virus (Fig. 1C and D). The generated plasmids and recombinant viruses were utilized to localize gK and UL20p relative to cellular markers demarcating ER, Golgi, TGN, and plasma membranes (cell surface) (Table 1).

In the absence of UL20p, gK fails to be transported past the ER. Transient expression of gK in Vero cells resulted in accumulation of gK in the ER (Fig. 2A), while no gK was detected in either Golgi or plasma membranes (Fig. 2B and C). Similarly, in the context of viral infections, gK was exclusively detected within the ER of UL20-null-infected Vero cells (Fig. 3A) and was absent from Golgi and plasma membranes (Fig. 3B and C). In contrast, gK colocalized with both Golgi and cell surface markers (Fig. 3D) in Vero cells infected with wild-type virus (UL20'). Therefore, gK requires at least UL20p for transportation past the ER to Golgi, TGN, and cell surfaces.

Interdependence of gK and UL20p for post-ER–to–TGN intracellular transport and localization. The inability of gK to be transported post-ER in the absence of UL20p suggests that UL20p may exhibit a similar defect in the absence of gK. Therefore, the cellular localization of transiently expressed UL20p and UL20p in a gK-null virus background was examined. As described previously, in a wild-type HSV-1 infection, UL20p was found throughout the cytoplasm and within the Golgi (Fig. 4F) (72). However, UL20p localized exclusively within the ER (Fig. 4D) and was absent from Golgi membranes (Fig. 4E) in gK-null virus infections. Similarly, transiently expressed UL20p was found within the ER (Fig. 4A) but was not detected in Golgi (Fig. 4B) and plasma membranes (Fig. 4C). Therefore, it appears that both UL20p and gK are mutually dependent for cellular transport and localization.

The interdependence of gK and UL20p for intracellular transport and localization was further assessed by transient coexpression of gK and UL20p and simultaneous detection of Golgi and TGN compartments. As shown earlier, in the absence of UL20p, gK was localized exclusively to reticular-like compartments and was absent from the Golgi and TGN (Fig.

**TABLE 1. Antibody markers for delineation of cellular organelles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cellular organelle</th>
<th>Antibody or marker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Concanavalin A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golgi</td>
<td>Lectin GSII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGN</td>
<td>αTGN46 (sheep)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma membranes</td>
<td>Live cell surface biotinylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early endosomes</td>
<td>αEEA-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2. Intracellular localization of transiently expressed gK.** Cells transfected with pgKDIV5 were fixed at 25 h posttransfection and stained with anti-V5 antibodies for gK (red) (A, B, and C) or specific organelle markers (green) that recognized the ER (A), Golgi (B), or plasma membranes (C). Magnification, ×63; zoom, ×4.
FIG. 3. Digital images of confocal micrographs showing ΔUL20/gKDIV5 (A, B, and C) or gKDIV5/UL20amFLAG (D) virus-infected Vero cells. Infected cells were fixed at 12 hpi and stained with anti-V5 antibodies for gK (red) or specific organelle markers (green and blue) that specifically recognized ER (A), Golgi (green) (B and D), or plasma membranes (green in panel C, blue in panel D). Magnification, ×63; zoom, ×2.
FIG. 4. Intracellular localization of UL20p in transfected (A to C), ΔgK/UL20amFLAG (D and E), or gKDIV5/UL20amFLAG (F) virus-infected cells. Cells were fixed at either 24 h posttransfection (A to C) or 12 hpi (D to F) and stained with anti-FLAG antibodies for UL20p (red) or specific organelle markers (green) that specifically recognized ER (A and D), Golgi (B, E, and F), or plasma membranes (C). Magnification, ×63; zoom, ×4 (A to C) or ×2 (D to F).
FIG. 5. Digital images of confocal micrographs depicting gK localization in either gK-transfected (A and C) or UL20p- and gK-cotransfected (B and D) Vero cells. Transfected cells were fixed at 24 h posttransfection and stained with anti-V5 antibodies for gK (red) or specific organelle markers (green) that specifically recognized either Golgi (A and B) or TGN (C and D). Magnification, ×63; zoom, ×4.
5A and C). Similarly, in the absence of gK, UL20p produced a localization pattern which resembled gK localization and was not detected in either Golgi (Fig. 6A) or TGN (data not shown). In contrast, coexpression of gK and UL20p significantly altered the distribution pattern of both gK and UL20p. Both proteins were detected in multilayered curvilinear compartments reminiscent of Golgi/TGN intracellular sites (Fig. 5B and D and 6B to E). Although some gK and UL20p localized to Golgi (Fig. 5B and inset; Fig. 6C and D), the majority of UL20p and gK were localized within compartments immediately adjacent to the Golgi apparatus as evidenced by the distinct and nonoverlapping fluorescent staining of gK and UL20p relative to that of the Golgi-specific marker (Fig. 5B and inset; Fig. 6C and D). Colocalization analysis indicated that approximately 5% of either gK or UL20p colocalized with Golgi-specific markers, whereas approximately 95% was local-
ized in compartments immediately adjacent to the Golgi. Therefore, we examined the distribution of gK and UL20p relative to that of the TGN marker, TGN46. In transient-coexpression experiments, both gK and UL20p specifically localized within the TGN (Fig. 5D and 6E), the organelle where final virion envelopment is thought to occur.

**Subcellular colocalization of UL20p and gK.** Simultaneous detection of gK and UL20p indicated that the two proteins colocalized within TGN-like structures (Fig. 7A, panels 1 and 3). Quantitative image assessment of gK and UL20p colocalization was determined by local image correlation confocal microscopy (17). In this method, a two-dimensional pixel fluorogram generated from the confocal image section was used to analyze colocalization of two fluorophores within a specific subcellular domain or organelle. Regions of specific intracellular areas, such as the TGN, exhibiting significant colocalization of gK and UL20p were defined by masking a portion of the fluorogram where significant colocalization occurred (Fig. 7A, panel 2). Corresponding images of the significant colocalization masks were generated to highlight specific subcellular areas of colocalization (Fig. 7A, panel 3). In addition, a subtractive blue-masked image was generated to further demonstrate the specific subcellular domains where colocalization occurred (Fig. 7A, panel 4). The generated masked images indicated that gK and UL20p specifically colocalized to TGN-like compartments (Fig. 7A, panels 3 and 4). In these experiments, gK was also visualized within reticulate-like intracellular sites that did not colocalize with UL20p (Fig. 7A, panels 1 and 4). However, in experiments where UL20p was overexpressed in relation to gK, the inverse pattern was observed, in which UL20p exhibited reticular-like and TGN localization patterns, whereas gK exhibited only TGN-like localization (data not shown). Taken together, these results suggest a stoichiometric relationship between gK and UL20p for intracellular transport and localization to TGN compartments. This conclusion is further supported by examination of pixel and intensity counts in site-specific colocalization masks of images, which indicated a probable 1:1 ratio for levels of the two proteins within the subcellular TGN-like regions.

**Intracellular transport of UL20p is required for post-ER transport of gK to TGN compartments.** To determine whether transport of UL20p was coordinately required for transport of gK, UL20p was retained within the ER through the addition of either an ER retention motif (KKSL) or a control motif (KKSLAL) at the carboxyl terminus of UL20p. Insertion of the KXXX motif acts as a retrieval signal, transporting membrane proteins from a post-ER sorting compartment back to the ER. The addition of two amino acids to the KXXX motif (KKSLAL) overrides the retention signal, enabling proteins to traffic out of the ER to Golgi and cell surfaces (8, 36, 37, 75). As expected, coexpression of gK with the UL20p(KKSL) ER-retained protein caused drastic modifications in the intracellular transport and localization of both gK and UL20p (compare Fig. 7C and B, panels 1, and 8A and B with Fig. 8C). Specifically, both UL20p and gK were colocalized and visualized in a reticular-like staining pattern (Fig. 7C, panels 2 to 4). In addition, UL20p(KKSL) and gK were shown to localize exclusively within the ER, as evidenced by colocalization with an ER-specific marker (Fig. 8A, panels 1 to 4). The specific localization of UL20p(KKSL) and gK within the ER was further confirmed by defining the fluorogram region which lacked significant colocalization (Fig. 8A, panel 4 inset). The corresponding masked image was devoid of ER-, UL20p(KKSL)-, and gK-specific costaining for the cell of interest (Fig. 8A, panel 4), whereas the ER was readily visualized for the adjacent cells that showed no UL20p- or gK-specific expression (Fig. 8A, panel 4). Neither UL20p(KKSL) nor gK exhibited any colocalization with the Golgi (Fig. 8B, panels 1 and 2) or TGN (data not shown). Application of a subcellular image colocalization mask for UL20p(KKSL) and Golgi (Fig. 8B, panel 5 inset) indicated that UL20p(KKSL) was not transported to the Golgi (Fig. 8B, panel 5). In contrast, transient coexpression of gK with the UL20p(KKSLAL) control protein exhibited cellular localization and transport of gK and UL20p indistinguishable to that produced with the wild-type UL20p protein (compare Fig. 7B, panel 1, and 8C, panel 1, with Fig. 7A, panel 1). UL20p(KKSLAL) and gK were found colocalized within TGN-like compartments (Fig. 7B, panels 2 to 4, and 8C, panels 1 and 2) and were also found to specifically colocalize with the TGN marker αTGN46 (Fig. 8C, panels 3 and 4). Fluorohistogram sectional analysis also indicated that small percentages of UL20p(KKSLAL) and gK were also present within subcellular Golgi-like compartments that were immediately adjacent but distinguishable from the TGN, as indicated by the absence of TGN46 specific recognition but the presence of both gK and UL20p(KKSLAL) (Fig. 8C, panel 5). These results indicate that a functional association between gK and UL20p must form at the ER, and its formation is necessary for subsequent cotransport and localization of both gK and UL20p to Golgi and TGN membranes.

**UL20p and gK endocytose from cell surfaces and localize to TGN compartments.** In virus-infected cells, gK was readily detected on infected plasma membranes (Fig. 3D). However, in transient-coexpression experiments, UL20p and gK were predominantly localized in the TGN and were not detected within plasma membranes. Both UL20p and gK contain cytoplasmic motifs that have been implicated in the recycling of membrane proteins from plasma membranes to the TGN (4, 13, 14, 51, 56, 66, 68–71). Specifically, the amino terminus of UL20p, which is predicted to lie intracellularly, contains both an acidic cluster as well as tyrosine-based (YXXΦ) internalization motifs (53). Furthermore, gK

---

**FIG. 7.** Colocalization of gK and UL20p in transient-coexpression experiments with or without UL20p containing an ER retention motif. Vero cells coexpressing gK (green) and either UL20pFLAG (A), the ER retention control motif protein UL20p(KKSLAL) (B), or the ER retention motif protein UL20p(KKSL) (C) were fixed at 24 h posttransfection, and the subcellular distributions of gK (green) and UL20p (red) were determined. Fluorograms, which depict pixel intensity and distribution, are shown for each image subset, as well as the fluorogram regions that showed significant colocalization between gK and UL20p (panel 2 in A, B, and C). Image correlation masks where significant specific colocalization occurred are shown for each image subset (panel 3 in A to C). In addition, a negative image correlation mask is shown with a blue-masked image depicting the specific subcellular region of significant colocalization (panel 4 in A to C). Magnification, ×63; zoom, ×4.
contains a YXXΦ motif within domain II, which has been shown to lie intracellularly (23, 24). To determine whether cell surface-expressed gK recycled to the TGN, Vero cells that coexpressed gK and UL20p were reacted with anti-V5 antibody under live conditions (see Materials and Methods). The fate of the internalized V5-tagged gK was assessed at different times postlabeling. By 4 h postlabeling, gK was internalized to and colocalized with TGN compartments (Fig. 9C, panels 1 and 2), while only minimal amounts of gK were detected within early endosomes (Fig. 9A). As expected, independent expression of gK did not produce any gK-specific labeling (Fig. 9B), since in the absence of UL20p gK fails to be transported past the ER to cell surfaces. In addition, this experiment showed that Vero cells did not internalize anti-V5 antibody nonspecifically.

A similar series of experiments was performed to assess UL20p internalization from plasma membranes. UL20p tagged at its amino terminus with a FLAG tag did not react with anti-FLAG antibody in the internalization assay (Fig. 9D, panel 1). However, this result was anticipated, since the amino terminus of UL20p is predicted to lie intracellularly, rendering this domain inaccessible to the anti-FLAG antibody (Fig. 9D, panel 2). Therefore, the FLAG epitope was also inserted within UL20p domain IV, which was predicted to lie extracellularly (Fig. 9E, panel 2). Coexpression of UL20DIVFLAG with gK resulted in UL20p internalization from plasma membranes to TGN compartments (Fig. 9E, panel 1, and F), in a similar fashion to that of gK.

In the previous experiments, the intracellular localization of gK and UL20p was individually assessed with respect to intracellular organelle markers. To assess whether gK and UL20p were simultaneously cointernalized from plasma membranes, gK and UL20p were reacted with anti-V5 and anti-FLAG antibodies, respectively. Both gK and UL20p exhibited identical cellular distributions following internalization (Fig. 9G, panel 1), as also evidenced by the relevant colocalization fluorogram (Fig. 9G, panel 2). These experiments revealed that both gK and UL20p are transported to cell surfaces and subsequently are cointernalized to specific TGN compartments.

**DISCUSSION**

UL20p and gK have been shown to function in cytoplasmic virion envelopment at TGN-like membranes, as well as in virus-induced cell fusion. Collectively, the similarities between gK and UL20p suggest a functional relationship between gK and UL20p. To investigate the interrelationship between gK and UL20p, a series of experiments were performed to track the intracellular transport and localization of gK and UL20p in transient-coexpression and virus infection experiments. The salient features of the obtained results were the following: (i) neither gK nor UL20p is transported from the ER when expressed alone, while coexpression of gK and UL20p results in efficient export from the ER; (ii) both gK and UL20p localize to TGN compartments; (iii) infected cells, but not transfected cells, gK and UL20p can be readily detected by cell surface labeling; (iv) forced retention of UL20p to the ER results in ER retention of gK; (v) gK and UL20p localize to the TGN after internalization from plasma membranes; (vi) gK and UL20p assume a tight colocalization pattern within subcellular organelles, including the TGN; and (vii) the amino terminus (domain I) of UL20p lies intracellularly, while UL20p domain IV lies extracellularly. Therefore, UL20p appears to assume a membrane topology that is a topological mirror image of gK.

Transient-coexpression experiments revealed an absolute interdependence between gK and UL20p for intracellular transport past the ER, which was exemplified by the retention of gK or UL20p in the ER in the absence of their heterologous partner. Likewise, a mutual dependence for transport was observed in the context of gK- or UL20p-null viral infections, in which the corresponding heterologous protein remained localized to the ER and failed to traffic to the Golgi, TGN, or cell surfaces (Fig. 10). Moreover, coexpression of gK and UL20p resulted in specific trafficking and colocalization to the TGN. These findings are in agreement with the secondary envelopment defects observed in gK- and UL20p-null viruses. Acquisition of the final viral envelope (secondary envelopment) is thought to occur by cytoplasmic capsids budding into TGN-derived membranes. In this regard, lack of gK or UL20p within TGN membranes may lead to accumulation of capsids into the cytoplasm and a failure of enveloped virions to be transported from TGN-like compartments.

UL20p and gK have been detected throughout the cytoplasm and Golgi apparatus of infected cells and in purified virions (1, 23, 27, 41, 72). In virus-infected cells, both gK and UL20p were readily detected on infected cell surfaces. In contrast, transiently coexpressed gK and UL20p were not detected on cell surfaces because they were rapidly internalized to TGN-like intracellular organelles (Fig. 10). Both gK and UL20p contain amino acid motifs located within their cytoplasmic domains, which are known to facilitate endocytosis to TGN. These motifs include the YXXΦ motif located within gK.
domain II (24) and within UL20p domain I (amino terminus) (53), as well as a cluster of acidic amino acids located in UL20p domain I (53). Alteration of the critical tyrosine residue in the YXXΦ motifs within either gK or UL20p was shown to inhibit cytoplasmic virion morphogenesis, egress, and cell-to-cell spread (24, 53). Similarly, mutagenesis of certain acidic amino acid clusters at the amino terminus of UL20p reduced infectious virus production and cytoplasmic virion envelopment (53). Therefore, internalization of either gK or UL20p to TGN may be required for cytoplasmic envelopment by budding of capsids into the TGN. There are several possible explanations for the apparent differences in cell surface localization of gK and UL20p between transient coexpression and viral infection. Virus infection may alter endocytic membrane trafficking mechanisms, effectively decreasing the rate of endocytosis of cell surface-expressed viral membrane proteins. Alternatively, other viral proteins may be involved in increasing retention of gK and UL20p on cell surfaces via direct or indirect interactions. The fact that both gK and UL20p contain syncytial mutations (1, 16, 53, 58, 59, 63) suggests that they may be required at cell surfaces to modulate virus-induced cell-to-cell fusion. Thus, HSV-1 may have evolved mechanisms for balancing levels of gK and UL20p within the TGN and plasma membranes required for efficient cytoplasmic envelopment and virus-induced cell-to-cell fusion, respectively.

Recently, gK was shown to assume a tetramembrane-spanning topology that oriented both amino and carboxyl termini in extracellular spaces, while two other gK domains were located in the cytoplasm (23). UL20p is also predicted to span membranes four times; however, unlike gK, UL20p is predicted to orient its amino and carboxyl termini within the cytoplasm, while two other internal domains are predicted to be located extracellularly (53). In this study, this predicted UL20p topology was partially confirmed by investigating the cell surface expression of UL20p derivatives tagged with FLAG epitopes inserted within either domain I or IV (Fig. 1). In agreement with the predicted UL20p membrane topology, the potential extracellular domain IV was readily accessible to anti-FLAG antibodies, while domain I was not accessible in live cell surface labeling internalization assays. Thus, UL20p seems to assume a membrane orientation that is a topological mirror image of gK. This corresponding topological orientation will be important in future studies that aim to determine possible direct or indirect interactions between domains of gK and UL20p.

HSV-1 codes for a number of membrane proteins that require direct interaction with a partner protein to facilitate their intracellular transport and function. Examples of such protein-protein interactions include the following: (i) the gB complex, which consists of two disulfide bond-linked gB monomers that are posttranslationally proteolytically cleaved into two subunits (10, 11, 32, 45, 73); (ii) the essential gH/gL complex, as coexpression of HSV-1 gL is required for the proper folding, cell surface expression, and virion incorporation of gH (33, 42, 43, 61, 62); (iii) the noncovalently linked gE/gI heterodimeric complex that functions in direct cell-to-cell spread in certain cell types and neuronal systems (2, 20, 74); and (iv) the disulfide-linked gM/UL49.5 (gN) complex, in which PRV gM is required for virion incorporation and transport of gN (40). These heterodimeric protein complexes involve direct interactions between the partner proteins. Therefore, it is possible that gK and UL20p directly interact and that this interaction is necessary for their intracellular transport, localization, and functions. This conclusion is supported by the quantitative subcellular image correlation of fluorescent pixels. This analysis shows that the actual pixel counts within a specific subcellular site of TGN compartments suggest a 1:1 stoichiometric relationship between these two proteins. In this regard, our group’s recent observations that overexpression of gK severely
inhibited virion morphogenesis and intracellular trafficking of gK by collapsing Golgi into the ER (26) may be due to the lack of sufficient amounts of UL20p to transport gK from the ER to cell surfaces and TGN. The accumulation of gK within the ER, due to its inability to be transported to subsequent compartments, could mediate a cellular ER stress response and subsequent Golgi collapse (15, 47). Additional biochemical experiments are needed to more accurately define gK/UL20p stoichiometric relationships and test whether these two proteins directly interact. Alternatively, gK and UL20p may not necessarily interact but may be mutually required for independent transport to TGN compartments. However, this latter possibility is improbable, since ER retention of UL20p by addition of an ER retention motif to its carboxyl terminus resulted in ER retention of gK.

The observed interdependence of gK and UL20p for intracellular transport and localization implies that direct or indirect interactions between gK and UL20p may be required for their role in both cytoplasmic virion envelopment and virus-induced cell-to-cell fusion. With regard to virion morphogenesis, UL20 and gK may function to tether tegument proteins to TGN budding sites, as it has also been suggested for UL11, gM, gE, and VP22 (5, 7, 21, 22, 44, 48, 49). Although both gK and UL20p contain snyctic mutations, it is unclear whether they directly participate in virus-induced membrane fusion or function to regulate other fusogenic viral proteins, such as gB. The inability of syncytial mutations in gB to cause fusion or function to regulate other fusogenic viral proteins, whether they directly participate in virus-induced membrane fusion. With regard to virion morphogenesis, UL20 and gK may function to tether tegument proteins to TGN budding sites, as it has also been suggested for UL11, gM, gE, and VP22 (5, 7, 21, 22, 44, 48, 49). Although both gK and UL20p contain snyctic mutations, it is unclear whether they directly participate in virus-induced membrane fusion or function to regulate other fusogenic viral proteins, such as gB. The inability of syncytial mutations in gB to cause fusion or function to regulate other fusogenic viral proteins, whether they directly participate in virus-induced membrane fusion.
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