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The synthesis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) mRNAs is a complex process by which more than 30 different mRNA species are produced by alternative splicing of a single primary RNA transcript. HIV-1 splice sites are used with significantly different efficiencies, resulting in different levels of mRNA species in infected cells. Splicing of Tat mRNA, which is present at relatively low levels in infected cells, is repressed by the presence of exonic splicing silencers (ESS) within the two tat coding exons (ESS2 and ESS3). These ESS elements contain the consensus sequence PyUAG. Here we show that the efficiency of splicing at 3’ splice site A2, which is used to generate Vpr mRNA, is also regulated by the presence of an ESS (ESSV), which has sequence homology to ESS2 and ESS3. Mutagenesis of the three PyUAG motifs within ESSV increases splicing at splice site A2, resulting in increased Vpr mRNA levels and reduced skipping of the noncoding exon flanked by A2 and D3. The increase in Vpr mRNA levels and the reduced skipping also occur when splice site D3 is mutated toward the consensus sequence. By in vitro splicing assays, we show that ESSV represses splicing when placed downstream of a heterologous splice site. A1, A1B, A2, and B1 hnRNPs preferentially bind to ESSV RNA compared to ESSV mutant RNA. Each of these proteins, when added back to HeLa cell nuclear extracts depleted of ESSV-binding factors, is able to restore splicing repression. The results suggest that coordinate repression of HIV-1 RNA splicing is mediated by members of the hnRNP A/B protein family.

Both simple and complex retroviruses require splicing of a single primary RNA transcript in order to generate mRNA for the viral envelope protein (Env). Complex retroviruses, such as human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), require the production of additional mRNAs for regulatory and accessory proteins. For HIV-1 these include mRNAs for Tat, Rev, Vif, Vpr, and Nef (6, 19, 35, 37, 39). The Rev protein binds to RNAs containing the Rev-responsive element in the env gene sequence. This interaction facilitates nuclear export of unspliced and partially spliced RNAs required for translation and for packaging into progeny virions (16, 17, 20, 21, 30; for a recent review, see reference 12). Early in infection of cells with HIV-1 and prior to the accumulation of Rev, multiply spliced mRNAs predominate in the cytoplasm. Later in infection, the production of Rev allows the cytoplasmic accumulation of unspliced and partially spliced RNAs (24, 25).

In order to generate mRNAs required for the synthesis of viral proteins, HIV-1 primary RNA transcripts undergo a complex splicing process (Fig. 1). The viral RNA contains both constitutive and alternative 5’ and 3’ splice sites. All spliced mRNAs contain 5’-terminal noncoding exon 1, which is flanked by consensus 5’ splice site D1. Selection of the alternative 3’ splice sites near the middle of the genome determines which proteins are encoded by the mRNAs. Two size classes of spliced RNAs are produced, depending on the removal of the intron spanning D4 to A7 (~1.8 kb for the small size class and ~4 kb for the intermediate size class). For instance, splicing at A3 coupled with splicing at D4 to A7 generates ~1.8-kb Tat mRNA. Similarly, splicing at A4a, A4b, or A4c coupled with splicing at D4 to A7 generates ~1.8-kb Rev mRNA; splicing at A5 coupled with splicing at D4 to A7 generates ~1.8-kb Nef mRNA. Splicing at A3 generates ~4-kb mRNA encoding a single-exon form of Tat. Splicing of mRNAs at A4a, A4b, A4c, and A5 generates ~4-kb mRNAs encoding Env. Splicing at A1 and A2 generates ~4-kb mRNAs encoding Vif and Vpr, respectively. As a further complexity, some mRNAs of both size classes include one or both of two alternative noncoding exons (Fig. 1B): exon 2, which is flanked by A1 and D2, and exon 3, which is flanked by A2 and D3 (18, 35, 39). Finally, some virus strains contain within the env gene cryptic splice sites (D5 and A6) whose usage results in the synthesis of an mRNA encoding a hybrid protein, Tev (8, 38).

Different spliced HIV-1 mRNAs are generated with very different efficiencies. For example, ~1.8-kb mRNAs encoding Tat are present at low levels compared to mRNAs encoding Rev or Nef. Similarly, ~4-kb mRNAs encoding single-exon Tat are present at low levels compared to mRNAs encoding Env (35). It was previously shown that splice site A3 is repressed by ESS2, an exonic splicing silencer (ESS) within the first tat coding exon (exon 4 in Fig. 1). Mutations within the ESS2 element result in a selective increase in splicing at A3 (3, 4). A second ESS (ESS3) was identified within the second tat/rev coding exon downstream of A7 (exon 7 in Fig. 1). In this case,
an adjacent upstream exonic splicing enhancer is juxtaposed to the ESS (4, 43). Both ESS2 and ESS3 appear to bind to a common cellular factor or factors that act to repress splicing (42). It has been reported that ESS2 selectively binds to members of the A/B hnRNP family (hnRNPs A1, A1B, A2, and B1) (11, 14). The addition of hnRNP A1 and other members of the hnRNP A/B protein family restores specific splicing repression in HeLa cell nuclear extracts depleted of ESS2-binding proteins (11). A third potential ESS is present in the env gene, where it may prevent the activation of cryptic exon 6D, which is bordered by splice sites A6 and D5 (46).

The levels of Vpr mRNA singly spliced at 3′ splice site A2 also have been shown to be low in cells infected with HIV-1, indicating that splicing at A2 is inefficient. Furthermore, non-coding exon 3 (Fig. 1) is skipped in the majority of the mRNAs (35). This exon skipping also suggests that splice site A2 or D3 or both of these splice sites are used inefficiently. It has been shown that the branch point used for splicing at splice site A2 is a G rather than the consensus A that is used for most 3′ splice sites. However, replacing the nonconsensus wild-type branch-point sequence with a consensus sequence did not significantly affect splicing efficiency in an in vitro splicing system (13). In this report, we describe additional elements downstream of 3′ splice site A2 that act to repress splicing at this splice site.

**FIG. 1.** (A) Structure of the HIV-1 NL4-3 genome. Boxes indicate open reading frames. Hash marks represent endpoints of gag-pol deletion in pΔPSP. ESS sequences are shown by shaded boxes. Oligonucleotide primers used are indicated by arrows designating position and orientation. LTR, long terminal repeat. (B) Structures of the small (~1.8-kb) and intermediate (~4.0-kb) size classes of HIV-1 transcripts. Exons are indicated as black bars. The exons within the different RNA species are designated by numbers (and sometimes letters) within the boxes according to the nomenclature of Purcell and Martin (35). The exon designations with the letter I indicate exons present only in the intermediate-size HIV-1 mRNA species. The alternative noncoding exons 2 and 3 are indicated with asterisks. Locations of 5′ (D) and 3′ (A) splice sites are shown.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids.** Infectious HIV-1 plasmid pNL4-3 (GenBank accession no. M19921) was constructed by Adachi et al. (1) and was obtained from the National Institutes of Health AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. Plasmid pΔPSP is a derivative of pNL4-3 with a deletion between the SpeI site at nucleotide (nt) 1511 and the BalI site at nt 4551 (23). Mutant plasmid pSPRS, with base changes within noncoding exon 3, was constructed by PCR mutagenesis using a Quickchange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). The mutagenic primers were NL43PSMTF (5′-GAAATACCATATTCTGACGTATAGTTCTTCCTCTGTGTGAATATCAAGC-3′) and NL43PSMTR (5′-GCTTGATATTCCACACAGAGGAAGAACTATACGTCAGAATATGGTATTGG-3′); the changed nucleotides are underlined. Mutant plasmid pSPD3up, with an A-to-T change at position +16 of the D3 splice site, was generated by PCR mutagenesis using the Quickchange mutagenesis kit. The mutagenic primers were D3ATF (5′-GGACATAACAAGGTAGGTTCTCTACAGTACTTGG3′) and D3ATR (5′-CCAAGTACTGTAGAGAACCTACCTTGTTATGTCC3′). Plasmid pHS1-X, used as a template to synthesize substrates for the splicing assays, has been described previously (3). Plasmid pH3-ESSV, used as a template to synthesize substrates for the splicing assays, has been described previously (3). Plasmid pH3-ESSV was constructed by replacing the EcoRI-SalI fragment of pH3-X with nt 5322 to nt 5479 of pNL4-3. Mutant plasmid pH3-ESSV was created by replacing the region between the EcoRI and XhoI sites of pH3-ESSV with mutated PCR products. The mutated PCR products were synthesized by using a modified megaprimer technique (2). The mutagenic primers were PSALLF (5′-CCATATTCTGACGTATAGTTCTTCCTCTGTGTGA-3′) and PSALLR (5′-TTCACACAGAGGAAGAAACTATACGTCAAGAATA TGG-3′). Plasmid pH3-EFSV and pH3-EFSV were derivatives of pH3-X which have wild-type and mutant noncoding exon 3 silencers inserted in place of the tat exon 2 ESS2, respectively. pH3-EFSV and pH3-EFSV were generated by PCR mutagenesis using the primers PSWTS (5′-TGAGGATGTATGTAGACCT AGGGGAACGATCCAGGAAAGTC-3′) and PSWTA (5′-CGTGGACTAC TATACGTCCTAAACTGGCTCCATTTCTTGC-3′) for pH3-EFSV and the
primers PSMTS (5' TTCTGACATTAGTCTTCTCTGGAGACCATCCA GGAAGTC) and PSMTA (5' CAGAGGAAAGACTATCAGCAGAAGACTG GCTCATTCTTGCAGG for pHS1-ESSVx. The resulting PCR products were used as primers for the synthesis of a larger product by a modified megaprimer technique (12). This PCR product was ligated into pHS1-X cleaved with EcoRI and KpnI. The competitor RNAs used for depleting nuclear extracts were transcribed from linearized plasmids pESS2, pESS2x, pESSV, and pESSVx. pESS2 and pESS2x were created by insertion of 109-bp Accl-Ral fragments from pHS1 and pEASS10 (4) into plbluescript SK(+) (Stratagene) cleaved with Accl and EcoRI, respectively. pESSV and pESSVx were created using similar Accl-Ral fragments from pHS1-ESSV and pHS1-ESSVx, respectively.

RNA substrate synthesis. To prepare transcription templates, all DNA constructs were linearized with XhoI. In vitro transcription of runoff RNA transcripts labeled with \(^{32P}\)UTP (NEN, Boston, Mass.) was carried out as previously described (3). In brief, approximately 8 fmol of \(^{32P}\)-labeled RNA was incubated with T7 RNA polymerase (Ambion, Austin, Tex.) and biotin-14 CTP (Life Technologies/Gibco/BRL) at a concentration of 1:1,000. Immunoblots were developed using an antihuman antibody 2B2 against hnRNP B1, which also detects hnRNP A1B, was provided by G. Dreyfuss (University of Pennsylvania) and used at a concentration of 1:3,000. Monoclonal antibody 2B2 against hnRNP A1 was provided by S. Riva (Istituto di Genetica Biochimica and Evoluzionistica, Pavia, Italy). It was used at a concentration of 1:1,000. Immunoblots were developed using an alkaline phosphatase staining kit (Vector Labs, Burlingame, Calif.).

Preparation of A/AB hnRNPs and adding back to depleted extracts. Recombinant hnRNPs A1, A1β, A2, and B1 were expressed in Escherichia coli and purified as described previously (32, 33). Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-UP1 and GST plasmids (obtained from H. Kamma, University of Arkansas) were expressed in E. coli and lysed by sonication, and the proteins were purified by binding to and elution with glutathione-Sepharose beads (Pharmacia). Proteins were added to depleted nuclear extracts, and splicing was carried out for 2 h at 30°C.

RESULTS

Mutagenesis of 5' splice site D3 toward the consensus 5' splice site sequence increases splicing at 3' splice site A2. We first investigated elements affecting the efficiency of splicing at HIV-1 splice site A2 in HeLa cell cultures transfected with an HIV-1 genomic deletion construct (pAPSP; Fig. 1). It has been shown that the splicing of pAPSP RNA transcripts does not differ significantly from that of wild-type HIV-1 (23). Also, it has been shown that HIV-1 RNAs are spliced identically in transfected HeLa cells and infected peripheral blood mononuclear cells (35). Splice site D3 (AG/GUAGGA) differs at positions +4 and +6 from the mammalian consensus 5' splice site sequence.

We first tested whether improving this 5' splice site would increase the efficiency of splicing at splice site A2. Thus, position +6 of splice site D3 was changed from A to U to improve the match to the consensus 5' splice site sequence. HeLa cells were transfected with wild-type (pAPSP) and mutant (pSPD3up) constructs, and total RNA was isolated from the cells at 48 h after transfection. Using appropriate oligonucleotide primers, RNA was analyzed by RT-PCR for the relative levels of individual mRNAs of both intermediate (~4.0 kb) and small (~1.8 kb) sizes (Fig. 2). The nomenclature for the mRNAs denotes which exons are present in the particular mRNA species (Fig. 1B). The results for the ~4.0-kb RNA size class indicated that there was a significant increase in the level of singly spliced Vpr mRNA (1.3I) when 5' splice site D3 was improved (compare lanes 2 and 3 of Fig. 2A). In addition, there were dramatic increases in the levels of ~4.0-kb Env mRNA species that include noncoding exon 3 (1.3.5I, 1.3.4a/ 1.3.4bI, and 1.2.3.5I) and a relative decrease in the major singly spliced species, 1.5I, as well as species 1.2.5I, which includes only noncoding exon 2.

This shift to mRNA species that include exon 3 was also observed with the mutant in the ~1.8-kb mRNA size class (compare lanes 2 and 3 of Fig. 2B). Increases in the levels of 1.3.5.7, 1.3.4b.7, 1.3.4a.7, 1.2.3.5.7, 1.2.3.4b.7, 1.2.3.4a.7, and 1.3.4.7 mRNAs, all of which include exon 3, were observed. These increases were concomitant with relative decreases in the levels of 1.5.7, 1.4b.7, and 1.4a.7, which exclude both non-coding exons, and 1.2.5.7, which includes only exon 2. These results indicate that in wild-type HIV-1 RNA, the nonconsensus 5' splice site D3 bordering the 3' end of noncoding exon 3 affects the splicing efficiency of splice site A2 bordering the 5' end of this exon. The presence of nonconsensus 5' splice site D3 also results in the skipping of noncoding exon 3 in the majority of the HIV-1 mRNAs.

Mutations within a putative ESS element in exon 3 increase splicing at 3' splice site A2. Since it appeared from the above data that the optimization of 5' splice site D3 resulted in only partial relief of exon 3 skipping, we investigated whether there were other cis elements repressing splicing at A2. Inspection of noncoding exon 3 revealed that there were three motifs with...
FIG. 2. Mutagenesis of 5′ splice site D3 toward the consensus 5′ splice site sequence (panels A and B) and putative silencers (panels C and D) increases splicing at 3′ splice site A2 and inclusion of noncoding exon 2. RT-PCR analyses of ~4.0-kb (A and C) and ~1.8-kb (B and D) mRNAs from cells transfected with wild-type and mutant plasmids (pΔPSP and pSP3up in panels A and B; pΔPSP and pSPRS in panels C and D) were performed. Mock-transfected cell mRNA was analyzed in parallel. Denaturing PAGE of 32P-labeled PCR products was performed as described in Materials and Methods. The mutated sequences in each case are shown below the wild-type NL4-3 sequence, and the changes are underlined. The PyUAG sequences are shown in italic type. RNA species are designated with exon numbers (and letters) as in Fig. 1. Note in panels A and C that RNA species 1.3.4aI and 1.3.4bI were not separated. The locations on the gel of DNA ladder bands are shown on the left.
the general consensus sequence PyUAG. These motifs have sequence homology with previously described ESS elements in the two tat coding exons (42). Previous data indicated that mutations of the AG within this consensus sequence inhibited ESS activity in the tat coding exons (41, 42). To test for a similar effect in noncoding exon 3, we mutated all three of the AGs within the PyUAG motifs to CU. The wild-type and mutated plasmids were transfected into HeLa cells, and the singly and multiply spliced mRNA species were analyzed by RT-PCR as described above (Fig. 2C and D). For the 4-kb mRNA class, there was an increase in the level of Vpr mRNA (1.3) in the mutant-transfected cells, and almost all of the 4.0-kb env mRNA species contained noncoding exon 3, indicating that exon inclusion was almost complete (Fig. 2C, lane 3). As shown in Fig. 2D, lane 3, similar results were obtained with the ~1.8-kb spliced mRNA species; almost all of these mRNAs in mutant-transfected cells contained noncoding exon 3. These results strongly suggested that, in addition to the flanking nonconsensus 5′ splice site D3, exon 3 contains an ESS element whose repressive effect on splice site A2 is relieved by mutagenesis.

HIV-1 noncoding exon 3 contains an ESS element. To further establish that the element in noncoding exon 3 was indeed an ESS, we performed additional experiments using in vitro splicing assays with HeLa cell nuclear extracts. We first created wild-type and mutant minigene constructs containing exon 3 (pHS3-ESSV and pHS3-ESSVx, respectively) (Fig 3A). These minigene templates were transcribed with phage T3 polymerase.
Evidence that ESSV and ESS2 bind to common cellular factors. If ESSV is an authentic splicing silencer, then it would be expected to bind to cellular factors, as do other ESS elements. We have previously shown that preincubation with excess competitor RNA containing ESS2 increases splicing at the tat 3’ splice site (A3) of RNA splicing substrates containing this element (4). In data not shown, we found that preincubation with RNA containing wild-type ESSV caused relief of splicing inhibition of substrate HS1-ESSV, whereas preincubation with the same amount of mutant ESSV-containing RNA did not significantly affect splicing. Interestingly, preincubation with competitor RNA containing ESS2 also resulted in increased splicing of HS1-ESSV RNA. These results supported the hypothesis that ESSV binds a cellular factor(s) and that ESS2 and ESSV share this factor(s), necessary for this inhibition.

To further test this hypothesis, we performed experiments in which we depleted nuclear extracts of the putative factors by binding to RNAs containing splicing silencers. Competitor ESS RNAs were biotinylated and coupled to streptavidin-coated paramagnetic beads. HeLa cell nuclear extracts were incubated with the RNA-beads, the beads containing bound factors were removed, and the treated extracts were used for splicing reactions. We first compared the splicing of RNA substrates containing ESSV (HS1-ESSV) in nuclear extracts which had been preincubated with wild-type and mutant ESSV RNAs coupled to beads. As shown in Fig. 4B, there was little splicing of HS1-ESSV in untreated extracts (Fig. 4A, lane 1). In extracts preincubated with wild-type ESSV RNA coupled to beads, there was a striking increase in the amount of splicing of HS1-ESSV, indicating that a factor or factors inhibiting splicing at 3’ splice site A3 had been removed (Fig. 5A, lane 2). In contrast, there was only a small increase in the amount of splicing when extracts were preincubated with mutant RNA coupled to beads (Fig. 5A, lane 3). As expected, the mutagenesis of ESSV (substrate HS1-ESSVx) relieved the inhibition of splicing in untreated extracts (Fig. 5A, lane 4), and splicing of this substrate was similar in extracts preincubated with either wild-type or mutant ESSV RNA coupled to beads (Fig. 5A, lanes 5 and 6).

To confirm that ESS2 and ESSV bind to common factors, we treated HeLa cell nuclear extracts with beads coupled to ESS2 and mutant ESS2 RNAs. As expected, there was relief of splicing inhibition of substrates containing ESS2 (Fig. 5B, compare lanes 1 and 2). The results indicated that there was also relief of splicing inhibition of substrates containing ESSV (compare lanes 4 and 5 of Fig. 5B). In extracts that had been preincubated with beads coupled to mutated ESS2 RNA, there was only a small increase in the splicing of substrates containing ESS2 or ESSV (Fig. 5B, lanes 3 or 6, respectively). In contrast, the splicing of substrates containing mutated ESSV (HS1-ESSVx) was similar in both treated and untreated extracts (Fig. 5B, lanes 7 to 9). These results reinforced the hypothesis that the splicing silencers in tat exon 2 and noncoding exon 3 bind to common factors.

Specific depletion of cellular hnRNP A/B proteins by ESSV RNA-beads. Previous studies have indicated that members of the hnRNP A/B protein family mediate splicing inhibition of HIV-1 substrates containing ESS2 (11). We examined proteins...
in untreated extracts and in extracts treated with wild-type or mutated ESSV RNA-beads to test for selective depletion of proteins in the size range of the hnRNP A/B proteins. As shown in Fig. 6A, several differences in the 30- to 40-kDa molecular mass range between the ESSV and ESSVx lanes were seen in the Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE patterns. Extracts treated with either wild-type or mutant RNA-beads were depleted of these proteins, but the effect was selectively greater when the wild-type RNA was used.

To identify these proteins, we performed Western blotting using three antibodies directed against hnRNPs A1 and A1B, hnRNP A2, and hnRNP B1 (Fig. 6B). In extracts treated with either wild-type or mutant RNA-beads, there were reductions in the amounts of hnRNP A/B proteins relative to those in untreated extracts. However, in each case, there were further reductions in the amounts of hnRNPs detected in extracts treated with wild-type ESSV RNA-beads compared with the mutant ESSV RNA-beads. These results imply that both wild-type and mutant RNAs bind the hnRNPs but that the affinity for the wild-type ESSV is greater than that for the mutant ESSV. The binding data correlate with the data shown in Fig. 5A, which showed small increases in the splicing of ESSV-containing substrates in extracts treated with mutant ESSV RNA-beads but significantly greater increases in extracts treated with wild-type ESSV RNA-beads.

In order to estimate the concentrations of hnRNP A1 remaining in the extracts treated with wild-type and mutant ESSV RNA-beads, aliquots from nondepleted extracts and proteins from extracts depleted with either ESSV or ESSVx RNA-beads were separated by SDS-PAGE. The amounts of hnRNP A1 were then estimated based on a comparison of the intensities of Western blot staining using anti-A1 antibody and the intensities using known amounts of purified hnRNP A1 (Fig. 6C). The results indicated the following approximate hnRNP A1 concentrations in the nuclear extracts: nondepleted, 7 M; ESSV RNA-bead depleted, 1 M; and ESSVx RNA-bead depleted, 2 M. These results reinforced the conclusion that hnRNP A/B proteins bind to both wild-type and mutant ESSV RNAs. However, there appeared to be an approximate twofold increase in binding to the wild-type sequence, resulting in a preferential depletion of A/B hnRNPs from the nuclear extracts treated with the wild-type RNA-beads.

Addition of A/B hnRNPs to depleted extracts restores specific splicing inhibition. To confirm the hypothesis that splicing inhibition by ESSV is mediated by preferential binding of
hnRNP A/B proteins, we added back exogenous hnRNP A/B proteins to extracts depleted with wild-type ESSV RNA and tested these extracts for the restoration of splicing inhibition (Fig. 7). The amounts of hnRNPs added back to the wild-type ESSV RNA-depleted nuclear extracts restored the final concentration in the splicing reactions to approximately the level of the protein in extracts treated with mutant ESSV RNA-beads. As expected, substrates containing either wild-type or mutant ESSV silencers were spliced similarly in the depleted extracts (compare lanes 1 and 2 of Fig. 7). When hnRNP A/B proteins (A1, A1B, A2, and B1) were individually added back to the depleted extracts, repression of splicing was restored to the substrates with the wild-type but not the mutant silencer. The addition of control proteins GST-UP1 (hnRNP A1 containing its two RNA recognition motifs but lacking the C-terminal glycine-rich domain) and GST alone did not affect splicing. We also added back untagged UP1 and showed that this protein also has no effect on the splicing of substrates with the wild-type or the mutant silencers (data not shown). These results indicated that hnRNP A/B proteins are necessary and sufficient to restore the specific splicing inhibition by ESSV. These data also indicate that this inhibition occurs at physiological protein concentrations.

DISCUSSION

Two elements act in concert to regulate splicing at HIV-1 3' splice site A2, which defines the 5' border of noncoding exon 3. The first element is 5' splice site D3, which defines the 3' boundary of the exon and is a weak splice site. The second element consists of silencer sequences within the exon. When we mutated splice site D3 to change it to a consensus 5' splice site, there were increases in both inclusion of noncoding exon 3 in multiply spliced mRNAs and usage of 3' splice site A2 in singly spliced mRNAs. These results can be explained by the exon bridging hypothesis, which proposes that U1 snRNP binding to the downstream 5' splice site acts to increase splicing efficiency at the upstream flanking 3' splice site (9, 22, 36).
According to this hypothesis, changing splice site D3 to a consensus 5′ splice site would increase the affinity of U1 snRNP for this splice site and thus increase the efficiency of splicing at 3′ splice site A2. This prediction is consistent with what our data showed. The weak effect of nonconsensus 5′ splice site D3 on splicing at 3′ splice site A2 may contribute to the relatively low singly spliced Vpr mRNA levels and to skipping of exon 3. Alternatively, skipping of exon 3 may result from cis competition, in which consensus 5′ splice site D1 is normally favored over nonconsensus 5′ splice site D3 for the alternative 3′ splice sites A3, A4a, A4b, A4c, and A5 (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the importance of this nonconsensus 5′ splice site, the sequence of splice site D3 is conserved in all sequenced strains of HIV-1 (27). A similar mechanism may contribute to the low efficiency of splicing at 3′ splice site A1, which flanks noncoding exon 2. Consistent with this hypothesis, we have found that improvement of nonconsensus 5′ splice site D2 immediately downstream of noncoding exon 2 to a consensus splice site (AG/GUGAAG to AG/GUGAGU) results in increased inclusion of this exon (P. Bilodeau and C. M. Stoltzfus, unpublished data).

Splicing efficiency at 3′ splice site A2 is also regulated by ESS elements. We showed that mutagenesis of the three PyUAG motifs within noncoding exon 3 abrogated the silencing activity of ESSV. Using in vitro splicing assays, we found that mutagenesis of each motif individually resulted in only small increases in splicing that were not significantly different from the results seen with the wild type (Bilodeau and Stoltzfus, unpublished data). Significant differences were seen only when all three AGs within the 24-nt ESSV element were mutated. In this regard, it is of interest that the three PyUAG sequences in noncoding exon 3 are conserved in most sequenced HIV-1 strains belonging to the major HIV group (group M) (27).

Other HIV-1 ESS elements also contain the consensus sequence UAG or PyUAG. We have previously shown that HIV-1 tat exon 2 contains ESS2, whose core sequence is CUAGACUAGA, and tat exon 3 contains ESS3, which contains the sequence UUGA. In each case, mutagenesis of the AG dinucleotides results in abrogation of the silencing effect (41, 42). HIV-1 cryptic exon 6D inclusion has been shown to be activated by a U-to-C mutation at the underlined base in the sequence CAAUAGAUAG (46). This latter sequence may also be an ESS element.

The evidence presented here also indicates that the ESS elements downstream of both the Vpr and the Tat splice sites compete for the same cellular factors. We have shown above that these shared factors are members of the A/B hnRNP family. Thus, our data agree with previous reports implicating A/B hnRNPs as mediators of splicing inhibition by the ESS in tat exon 2 (ESS2) (11, 14). hnRNP A1 has also been shown to bind to UAGG in the K-SAM exon of fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 and to mediate splicing silencing of this alternative exon (14). Recent data have indicated that the binding of A/B hnRNPs to a splicing silencer within alternative exon 16 of protein 4.1R pre-mRNA is necessary for splicing repression of the 3′ splice site bordering exon 16 (J. Conboy, personal communication).

These previous results and the results reported here suggest that members of the hnRNP A/B protein family binding to ESS elements coordinately repress HIV-1 splicing. Thus, HIV-1 exploits these proteins as a means to limit the amount of splicing at both Tat 3′ splice sites and Vpr 3′ splice sites. hnRNP A/B proteins are ubiquitous, and this fact may allow the repression of HIV-1 splicing in a variety of cell types. Our data and those of Caputi et al. (11) indicate that, as determined by in vitro splicing assays, all members of the hnRNP A/B protein family, i.e., hnRNPs A1, A1B, A2, and B1, are able to mediate HIV-1 ESS splicing inhibition. It is of interest that hnRNP A1B, which is an alternatively spliced isoform of hnRNP A1, has equivalent silencer activity in the alternative splicing of HIV-1 pre-mRNAs (11; this study), whereas it has very limited activity for 5′ splice site switching (33). In preliminary experiments, we have found that the splicing of HIV-1 Tat mRNA is repressed in the mouse erythroblast leukemia cell line CB3 despite the lack of detectable hnRNP A1 and A1B expression in these cells (7, 47). Mutagenesis of ESS2 relieved this repression (J. Domsic and C. M. Stoltzfus, unpublished data). These data suggest that other members of the hnRNP A/B protein family (i.e., hnRNPs A2 and B1) are able to substitute for hnRNPs A1 and A1B in vivo as well as in vitro.

Recent data have indicated that hnRNP A1 binds to splicing silencer elements within human CD44 exon 6V and downregu-
lates the splicing of this exon (26, 31). Interestingly, this repression was relieved by the expression of either oncogetic Ras or oncogenic proteins that are in the Ras effector pathway (31). Thus, in this case, oncogenic signaling appears to interfere with hnRNP A1-mediated silencing. Inclusion of variant exons in CD44 mRNAs is also increased upon activation of normal lymphocytic and dendritic cells (5, 29, 45). It is possible that interference with hnRNP A/B protein silencing upon cell activation is a potential mechanism for increasing the efficiency of HIV-1 splicing, resulting in increased Tat and Vpr mRNA levels in some cell types and at different times after infection. However, CD44 exon v5 does not contain consensus PyUAG sequences, and the splicing silencing activity appears to be spread throughout the 118-nt exon rather than localized, as in the HIV-1 genome (26, 31). Thus, the mechanism by which hnRNP A1 inhibits CD44 splicing may differ from that used in HIV-1 splicing.

ESS elements and members of the hnRNP A/B protein family also play important roles in the replication of other RNA viruses. Borna disease virus is a nonsegmented negative-strand RNA virus which replicates in the nucleus and whose RNA undergoes splicing. It has been shown that the utilization of one of the Borna disease virus 3′ splice sites (SA3) is regulated by an ESS element downstream of this splice site. This ESS contains two PyUAG motifs, and deletion of these motifs abrogates the silencer activity (44). Thus, this ESS is also likely to bind to members of the hnRNP A/B protein family. hnRNP A1 has been shown to specifically bind to UUAG sequences within the RNA of the coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus, the replication of which occurs in the cytoplasm. This binding is required for the regulation of viral RNA transcription and replication (28, 40). The various roles played by hnRNP A/B proteins in cells and during the replication of viruses suggest that these proteins are components of several different complexes that act in a regulatory fashion at different stages of viral and cellular RNA processing as well as viral RNA synthesis.
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