Suppression of STAT-1 expression by Human papillomaviruses is necessary for differentiation-dependent genome amplification and plasmid maintenance
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High-risk human papillomaviruses (HPV) infect stratified epithelia to establish persistent infections that maintain low-copy episomes in infected basal cells. Amplification of viral genomes occurs upon keratinocyte differentiation followed by virion synthesis. During persistent HPV infections, viral proteins act to evade surveillance by both innate and adaptive immune responses. One of the primary pathways regulating the innate immune response is the JAK/STAT pathway. Our studies indicate that the expression of STAT-1, but not other members of ISGF-3 complex such as STAT-2 and IRF-9, is selectively suppressed by HPV proteins at the level of transcription. Both E6 and E7 oncoproteins independently suppress the expression of STAT-1 and mutational analyses indicate that the E6 targeting E6AP is responsible for suppression. The levels of STAT-1 proteins increase upon differentiation of both normal and HPV positive cells but are still significantly reduced in the latter cells. Transient restoration of STAT-1 levels in HPV positive cells using recombinant retroviruses significantly impaired viral amplification upon differentiation while long-term increases abrogated maintenance of episomes. Similarly increased levels of STAT-1 induced by interferon γ treatment inhibited HPV genome amplification upon differentiation. Overall, our findings demonstrate that suppression of STAT-1 expression by HPV proteins is necessary for genome amplification and maintenance of episomes suggesting an important role for this activity in viral pathogenesis.
Human papillomaviruses (HPV) are the causative agents of over 98% of cervical cancers that are the second most common cancer in women worldwide (53). Over 120 HPV types have been identified and about 30% of HPVs infect the genital epithelia. These genital HPV types are further classified as either high-risk (e.g. HPV16, 18, 31, 35) or low-risk (e.g. HPV6, 11) according to their association with genital cancers (25, 30). The high-risk HPVs are causative agents of cervical cancers and are associated with cancers of the vulva, vagina, anus, penis as well as oral cavity. Prior to the development of cancers, HPVs establish persistent infections in the genital tract that successfully evade immune clearance (3, 25, 30).

HPVs infect stratified epithelia and establish their double-stranded DNA genomes as episomes that are replicated in a differentiation-dependent manner (28). During their productive lifecycles, these viruses escape host innate immune surveillance as well the adaptive responses through mechanisms that are not fully understood. HPV genomes encode approximately six early genes and two late genes. The E5, E6 and E7 oncoproteins play important roles in regulating the productive life cycle as well as contributing to immune evasion and development of anogenital cancers (28). The high-risk E6 proteins form complexes with the cellular E3 ubiquitin ligase E6-associated protein (E6AP) and p53 resulting in p53 degradation (6, 17, 23, 45). E6 also binds to p300 (36) and blocks p53 acetylation (13), which further inhibits p53 function. E6AP may mediate other cellular events such as activation of expression of the catalytic subunit of telomerase, hTert and other less characterized substrates (16, 24, 50). The E7 proteins bind to members of the Rb tumor suppressor family (11) resulting in constitutive activation of the E2F family of transcription factors (33), which are critical for host cell
cycle progression and differentiation. The binding of E5 to the B cell receptor-associated protein 31 (BAP31) suggests a potential negatively regulatory role of E5 on the IFN-inducible trafficking of MHC class I proteins (38).

The first line of host defense against viral infections is the innate immune response, which includes the interferon and JAK/STAT pathways (1). The Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway transmits information from extracellular interferon (IFN) (5) through kinases to activate expression of over a hundred genes mediated through the translocation of STAT proteins to the nucleus (39, 48). The activation of this pathway involves the phosphorylation and homo-dimerization of STAT-1 or the hetero-dimerization of STAT-1 and STAT-2 (44). In cells that stably maintain HPV genomes, the expression of many IFN-inducible genes, such as myxovirus resistant protein A (MXA, also known as MX1) and 2′-5′-oligo-adenylate synthetase 2 (OAS2), was previously shown by microarray analysis to be suppressed (7, 31). STAT-1 was also found to be suppressed by HPV gene products and it was hypothesized that this may contribute to the repression of downstream interferon-inducible genes (31). The addition of interferon to HPV positive cells induces expression of a number of interferon inducible genes (31). Long-term treatment of cells that maintain HPV episomes with interferon β results in cell death and appearance of resistant populations that contain integrated HPV genomes (13, 14). The suppression of interferon inducible pathway by HPV proteins could be mediated through multiple cellular targets. For instance, E6 has been reported to associate with Tyk2 kinase to interfere with activation of the JAK/STAT pathway (22) as well as bind to the IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) inhibiting its ability to activate interferon expression (41). Furthermore E7 is able to bind to IRF1 and to inhibit IFN signaling (35). Finally, direct suppression of STAT-1 transcription could result in
repression of a number of interferon-inducible genes. Clearly HPV proteins target the expression and activities of many components of the interferon inducible pathway but usually this pathway is targeted at the initial phases of infection. It is less clear why HPV suppresses the interferon-inducible pathway even after a persistent infection has been established.

In this study we have investigated how HPV proteins suppress STAT-1 levels and whether this downregulation plays an important role in the viral life cycle. We observe that STAT-1 suppression is mediated primarily at the level of transcription and that both HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7 regulate this activity. E6 mediates this suppression through the binding of E6AP, which may be linked its effects on p53. Significantly, restoration of STAT-1 in HPV-positive cells impaired genome amplification upon differentiation and interfered with stable maintenance of episomes. Furthermore, treatment of differentiating HPV positive keratinocytes with interferon γ also inhibited the viral genome amplification. Our study provides important insights into suppression of STAT-1 in HPV positive cells and could provide new targets for treatments to eradicate HPV infections.
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture: Human foreskin keratinocytes (HFKs) were isolated from neonatal foreskins using standard methods. HPV genome-expressing or E6, E7-expressing cell lines were selected by G418 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) using HFKs after transfection with HPV genome, or infection with retroviruses, as previously described (13). All HFKs and HPV-positive cells were cultured in E-medium supplemented with mouse epidermal growth factor (5 ng/ml; Collaborative Biomedical Products, Bedford, MA) along with mitomycin C-treated NIH 3T3 J2 fibroblast feeders as previously described (13). To induce differentiation in high calcium, cells were cultured in keratinocyte basal medium (KBM) with growth supplements for at least 24 h and then switched to KBM (without supplements) containing 1.5 mM CaCl$_2$ for 96 hours. Alternatively, keratinocytes were grown in organotypic raft cultures to induce differentiation as previously described (49).

Materials and plasmids: The antibodies used in this study are listed as follows: anti-STAT-1, anti-STAT-2, anti-Involucrin, anti-GAPDH, and anti-PARP are from Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA; anti-p53 (Calbiochem, Gibbstown, NJ); anti-pRb (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA); and anti-keratin-10 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA). The STAT-1 promoter reporter was a generous gift from J.L. Merchant at University of Michigan (2). The STAT-1 plasmid using for retrovirus infection was generously provided by N. Khodarev and R. Weichselbaum at The University of Chicago (18). The STAT-1 expression plasmid was purchased through Addgene.

Luciferase assay: HFKs or HPV-positive cells were seeded into 6-well plates. The cells were transfected with PEI and incubated at 37 °C for 36 hours. Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI).
with Renilla luciferase as an internal control according to manufacturer's instructions. Significance was determined using Student's T test.

Western blot: Intact HFKs or HPV-positive cells were rinsed with PBS and incubated in PBS containing 0.5 mM EDTA for 2 minutes to remove J2 feeders at room temperature. The cell lysates were then prepared and transferred to a membrane as previously described (15). The membranes were developed using ECL plus or ECL reagents (Amersham, Pittsburgh, PA). Chemiluminescence signals were recorded using Eastman Kodak x-ray film.

RT-PCR: The cells were isolated as described above and total RNA was extracted using Complete Miniprep (Zymo), purified by RNA concentrator (Zymo, Irvine, CA). 5µg of RNA was then transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript First Round Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The RT products were mixed with LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and PCR was performed using a LightCycler 480 instrument. Primer pairs used in the study were designed as following: STAT-1 (forward 5’-ATTACTCCAGGCAAGGAACAC-3’; reverse 5’-AGCAAGGCTGGCTTGAGGTTG-3’); GAPDH (forward 5’-GAGGACAGAGACCCAGCTGCC-3’; reverse 5’-GAGGACAGAGACCCAGCTGCC-3’). Results shown are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments. Normalization to GAPDH is used as a reference. Significance was determined using Student’s T test.

Southern blot: The J2 feeders were removed before the processing of HPV-positive cells as described above and the HPV DNA was isolated as previously described (27). Briefly, following cell lysis, DNA isolated and samples digested with Dpn I and Xho I. The DNA samples were electrophoresed in a 0.8% agarose gel at 40 V overnight, and then...
transferred to Gene Screen nylon membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using vacuum transfer according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The membrane was hybridized with radioactive probes, washed, and visualized by autoradiography.
Results:

HPVs suppress STAT-1 transcription.

To investigate how and why HPV proteins target STAT-1 proteins during the viral life cycle, we first examined the levels in HPV 16 and HPV 31 positive cells that stably maintain episomes. The levels of STAT-1 proteins were screened by Western blot analysis of HPV 16 and 31 positive human cells grown in monolayer culture and compared them to those seen in normal human keratinocytes (HFKs). Consistent with previous reports (7), we found reduced levels of STAT-1 in HPV positive cells (Figure 1A). In contrast, no differences were seen with either STAT-2 (Figure 1A) or STAT-3 proteins (not shown) indicating that suppression is specific to STAT-1. Similarly no differences were seen in the levels of IRF-9 that is present along with STAT-1 and STAT-2 in the ISGF-3 transcription activation complex (not shown). To determine if the effects were mediated at the level of transcription or post-transcriptionally, we performed RT-PCR studies on STAT-1 transcripts in HPV positive and negative keratinocytes and found comparable reductions to those seen in proteins levels (Figure 1B). The above analyses do not distinguish between changes at the level of initiation of transcription or post-transcriptional destabilization of messages. To investigate if down-regulation of STAT-1 was mediated at the transcriptional level, we transfected STAT-1 reporters in which the promoter of STAT-1 is fused to the luciferase gene (2), into HPV positive and negative keratinocytes and assayed for expression levels. As shown in Figure 1C, luciferase reporter expression was specifically repressed in HPV positive cells indicating that the primary mode of suppression is at the level of transcription. In addition, we observed that repression could be significantly reversed by the treatment of HPV positive cells with DNA modification inhibitors, such as the histone deacetylase inhibitor sodium butyrate (NaB) and the DNA methylation Inhibitor 5-Aza-2’-Deoxycytidine (Aza)
(Figures 1D-1E). In contrast, treatment of cells with MG132, an inhibitor of proteasome-mediated degradation had no effects on STAT-1 protein levels (Figure 1F). These studies indicate that HPV down regulates STAT-1 expression primarily at the level of transcription.

HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7 individually inhibit the expression of STAT-1

It was next important to determine which HPV proteins was responsible for mediating suppression of STAT-1 expression. For this analysis, we generated retrovirally transduced cells lines expressing either E6 alone, E7 alone and screened for the levels of STAT-1. We observed that the levels of STAT-1 proteins as well as mRNAs were reduced in these oncoprotein expressing cells as compared to those seen in HFKs. Similar effects were seen in cells expressing both E6 and E7 (Figures 2A, 2B) and comparable effects were seen in cells expressing either HPV 16 or 31 oncoproteins. When STAT-1 luciferase reporters were transfected into cells expressing E6, E7 or E6 and E7, decreased levels of STAT-1 expression were seen indicating both factors act at the level of transcription (Fig 2C). Similar to the effects seen in cells containing HPV episomes, treatment of E6 or E7 expressing cells with NaB or Aza induced the expression of STAT-1 (Supplemental Fig 1). It has been reported that p53 can contribute to STAT-1 activation (51) and since E6 targets p53 for degradation as well as blocking its acetylation by p300 (36, 52), we investigated if modulation of p53 by E6 is responsible for STAT-1 suppression. For these studies, several previously characterized E6 mutants (13) were examined for the ability to suppress STAT-1 levels. We infected normal keratinocytes with recombinant retroviruses expressing wild type or mutant E6 proteins and generated stable cells lines. The G134V mutant fails to bind CBP/p300 resulting in p53 acetylation while maintaining its ability to bind and degrade p53 (13, 47). The 118-122 deletion (Δ118-122) and I128T mutations inhibit E6 binding to E6AP,
therefore preventing p53 degradation (45). We observed that two of the three E6 mutants were able to restore STAT-1 protein levels to those seen in HFKs (Figure 3). The Δ118-122 and I128T mutants were more effective in restoring STAT-1 protein levels in contrast to G134V which had only a minimal effect. This suggested that p53 might play a role in regulating STAT-1 levels. However, when we examined the levels of p53 in cells expressing either high-risk E6 or E7, we found significant variability in the levels of p53 yet all were able to reduce STAT-1 to comparable levels (Figure 3C). This indicates that absolute levels of p53 levels may not be the primary property responsible for STAT-1 repression and suggests that some other activity of p53 or another target of E6AP maybe be important.

STAT-1 levels increase upon differentiation and this is inhibited in HPV positive cells

In order to investigate how STAT-1 levels changed during the differentiation-dependent life cycle of human papillomaviruses, we examined the levels of STAT-1 in HPV positive and negative keratinocytes grown in organotypic raft cultures or high calcium media. As shown in Figure 4A, the levels of STAT-1 increased upon differentiation of normal foreskin keratinocytes in rafts. In undifferentiated HPV 31 positive cells, the levels of STAT-1 are reduced from those seen in HFKs. The levels of STAT-1 also increased upon differentiation of HPV positive cells, but to a lesser extent than seen in HFKs (Fig 4A). The expression of keratin 10 (K-10), a member of intermediate filaments, was used as a marker of differentiation. We observed similar effects using either HPV16 or HPV31 positive cells as well as CIN612 cells, which are HPV 31 positive and were derived from a patient biopsy, using another system for differentiation involving growth in high calcium media (Fig 4B). We conclude that while STAT-1 levels increase upon differentiation of HPV positive cells, they still are significantly reduced from those seen in normal cells.
Restoration of STAT-1 levels blocks HPV genome amplification upon keratinocyte differentiation

Our studies examining STAT-1 levels in cell lines that stably maintain HPV episomes indicated significant reductions from those seen in HFKs. Activation of the STAT-1 pathway normally occurs during the initial phases of infection so as to inhibit viral spread. After HPV genomes are established and stably maintained as low-copy nuclear episomes, it is less clear if STAT-1 levels need to be continuously suppressed. To address this question, we transiently transfected HPV 31 positive CIN612 cells with expression vectors for STAT-1 and after 36 hours induced these cells to differentiate in high calcium media. Amplification of HPV 31 genomes begins at approximately 48 hours in high calcium media and plateaus by 96 hours. The total levels of STAT-1 proteins in the transfected cells were increased as compared to control CIN612 cells (Figure 5A) and comparable to amounts seen in HFKs. After 48 and 96 hours following addition of high calcium media, total DNA was isolated and examined for viral genome amplification by Southern blot analysis. As shown in Figure 5B, restoring the levels of STAT-1 in HPV positive cells resulted in significantly reduced amplification of viral genomes upon differentiation.

We next wanted to investigate the consequences of long-term restoration of STAT-1 levels on the stable maintenance of HPV episomes as well as differentiation-dependent genome amplification. Monolayer cultures of CIN 612 cells, which do not express drug resistance markers, were infected with recombinant retroviruses expressing STAT-1 along with resistant markers and positive colonies selected. We examined these selected cells for the levels of STAT-1 by Western analysis and found similar levels to those seen in HFKs (Figure 5C). These STAT-1 expressing cells maintain the ability to
proliferate at a similar growth rate to the parental CIN612 cells (data not shown). We next examined the state of viral DNA in these cells and found the genomes to be integrated (Figure 5D). Consistent with a requirement for episomal genomes for amplification, we detected no change in genome copy number upon differentiation in high calcium (Figure 5D). We also observed minimal changes in the expression of the differentiation marker, involucrin (Figure 5E). Similar results were seen in three separate experiments. We conclude that suppression of STAT-1 expression by viral proteins is required for differentiation-dependent genome amplification as well as long-term maintenance of episomal genomes.

**IFNγ treatment blocks HPV amplification upon keratinocyte differentiation**

We previously observed that in HPV positive cells incubated with interferon β, STAT-1 expression was increased to levels comparable to those seen in treated HFKs (7). We first confirmed that the levels of total STAT-1 proteins increased within 24 hours of IFNβ treatment (Figure 6A). Next it was important to investigate how the phosphorylated forms of STAT-1, which represent the active forms of the protein, changed with interferon treatment. STAT-1 is phosphorylated at either Y705 or S727 and we found that both forms were reduced in untreated HPV positive cells as compared to HFKs (Figure 6B). While the total levels of phosphorylated STAT-1 proteins were reduced in HPV positive cells, the relative phosphorylation ratios in these cells might be high. The significance of this is unclear. Upon exposure to interferon β, the levels of STAT-1 phosphorylation at both sites increased to those seen in treated HFKs similar to increases in total STAT-1 protein levels. Surprisingly, the levels of the major positive activator of STAT-1 transcription, ZBP89 (2) were found at higher levels in untreated HPV positive cells as compared to HFKs. Upon interferon treatment the levels of ZBP89 increased in HFKs.
but minimally in HPV positive cells. It is not clear why ZBP89 does not increase in HPV positive cells but suggests there is some block to activation. (Figure 6B). This suggests that HPV proteins do not act through ZBP89 to repress STAT-1 expression but most likely through modulation of another transcription factor.

Treatment of cells with interferon $\gamma$ results in phosphorylation of STAT-1, homodimerization and translocation to the nucleus to activate an overlapping but distinct set of responsive genes as compared to those activated in response to interferon $\alpha/\beta$ (44). We next examined the consequences of treatment of HPV positive cells with interferon $\gamma$ and found increases in total STAT-1 levels similar to those seen following treatment with interferon $\beta$ (Figure 7A). It was important to determine if STAT-1 proteins were present in the cytoplasm of untreated cells and if they translocated to the nucleus upon addition of interferons. For this analysis, we fractionated cells and used poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) as a nuclear marker (10). As expected in the absence of added interferons, low levels of STAT-1 were found in the nucleus of HFKs, whereas in HPV positive cells minimal levels of STAT-1 proteins were observed in either the cytosol or nucleus. Upon interferon $\gamma$ treatment, levels of nuclear STAT-1 increased and similar increases were seen with the cytosolic compartment (Figure 7B). Comparable effects were seen with interferon $\beta$ treatment (Figure 7B). A slight increase in nuclear STAT-2 was observed upon interferon $\gamma$ treatment but we do not believe that it is significant (Figure 7B). A previous study reported that HPV 16 E6 and E7 proteins reduced levels of nuclear STAT-1 but not those in the cytosol suggesting there might be a defect in the process of nuclear translocation (31). Our studies demonstrated equivalent reductions in both nuclear and cytosolic STAT-1, which is consistent with decreases in the levels of gene transcription. The addition of interferon $\gamma$ during differentiation resulted in increased
levels of STAT-1 in HPV positive cells to levels comparable to those seen in HFKs (Figure 7C). Interestingly, the levels of SOCS-1, a direct inhibitor of the JAK2 kinase (19), were found to be elevated in untreated HPV positive cells as compared to HFKs (Figure 7C). The levels of SOCS-1 increased upon differentiation as well as with interferon γ treatment to levels significantly greater than those seen in HFKs. This suggests that HPV may not only act to suppress the STAT-1 expression but also downregulate signaling through upstream interferon receptors. The reduced levels at 96 hours of interferon treatment are an aberration not seen in other experiments.

Since treatment of HPV positive cells with interferon restores STAT-1 levels to those seen in HFKs (Figure 7A and F), we next investigated the effect of treating differentiated cells with interferon γ on viral genome amplification. Cells that stably maintain HPV 31 genomes were treated with interferon γ and induced to differentiate in high calcium media. After 48 and 96 hours, total DNA was isolated and examined by southern blot analysis. As shown in Figure 7E, short term treatment with interferon γ blocked genome amplification, consistent with the studies described above in which STAT-1 levels were restored through the use of recombinant retroviruses. We conclude that restoration of STAT-1 levels either by interferon treatment or through forced expression from heterologous vectors is deleterious to differentiation-dependent HPV genome amplification.
Discussion

Activation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway constitutes an important regulatory mechanism by which host cells inhibit viral infections. The central transcriptional activators of this pathway are the STAT proteins. The STAT-1, STAT2 and STAT-3 proteins are important regulators of the innate immune responses to infections by a variety of RNA and DNA viruses (9, 12, 32, 37). In our study, we observed that HPV suppressed the constitutive expression of STAT-1, but not STAT-2, IRF-9 or STAT-3. STAT-2 acts in a complex with STAT-1, and both are activated in response to IFNα or IFNβ (20, 44). This suggests that suppression of STAT-1 expression by HPV proteins is not the consequence of a general repression of interferon signaling but rather specific modulation of STAT-1 promoter activity. A model is shown in Supplemental Figure 2. A number of viruses such as adenoviruses, paramyxovirus and parainfluenza viruses reduce the levels of STAT-1 and STAT-2 during infection by increasing protein turnover (8, 21, 26, 34, 40), but no effects on protein stability were observed in our studies and the majority activity was directed at suppressing transcription.

Both HPV proteins E6 and E7 were found to independently inhibit STAT-1 expression with the combination being most effective. The mechanism by which E6 suppresses STAT-1 promoter activity was found to be dependent upon the binding of E6AP. One of the consequences of this interaction is the enhanced degradation of p53. p53 has been previously implicated as a regulator of IFN inducible gene expression (43) but in our studies we could not establish a strict correlation between p53 levels and suppression of STAT-1 suggesting some other activities may be responsible. E6AP has additional activities beyond the enhancement of p53 degradation and it is possible that these may play a role in suppressing STAT-1 expression. One potential target of E6 action could be
the transcription factor ZBP89 that regulates STAT-1 expression, however, we observed
that in HPV positive cells the levels of this factor were increased relative to HFKs rather
than decreased as might be expected if it were responsible. This suggests that ZBP89 is
not responsible for STAT1 suppression induced by HPV proteins and indicates that other
as yet undefined transcription factors are targeted by viral proteins for this activity. It is
still unclear how E7 contributes to suppression of STAT-1 but we believe it likely to be
dependent either on binding of histone deacylases as recently shown to be important for
HIF-1 activation (4) or through association with Rb family.

During the HPV life cycle, late functions including genome amplification are induced
upon epithelial differentiation. Our studies indicate that while STAT-1 levels increase
upon differentiation of HPV positive cells, they remain significantly reduced from those
seen in normal keratinocytes. During initial infection, HPV virions enter basal cells,
disassemble and viral genomes are established in the nucleus as low-copy episomes
that replicate together with cellular chromosomes. It is understandable why STAT-1
would need to be suppressed during the initial phases of the life cycle but less clear if
this downregulation is needed once genomes are stably maintained as nuclear
episomes or whether this suppression is a remnant of the initial establishment phase.
Our studies indicate that continued suppression of STAT-1 is necessary for
differentiation-dependent genome amplification as well as stable maintenance of
episomes. In our studies, we transiently restored STAT-1 levels in HPV positive cells to
those seen in normal keratinocytes and found this blocked genome amplification. When
STAT-1 proteins were stably increased in HPV positive cells, the ability even to maintain
viral episomes was compromised. This was not the result of a general effect on cell
growth or proliferation but was specifically targeted at HPV replication. These studies
were, however, only performed in a single HPV positive cell line, CIN 612 cells, that
stably maintain HPV 31 episomes and it remains a possibility that the effects of restoring STAT-1 levels on stable HPV replication are restricted to this one cell type.

The question arises why restoring STAT-1 levels to those seen in HFKs is so deleterious to viral replication since increased expression in normal cells has minimal effects on cell viability. STAT-1 along with STAT-2 are major transcriptional activators of the interferon pathway that target over 100 downstream genes and it is possible that one or more of these factors acts to inhibit HPV genome amplification and plasmid maintenance. One candidate is the p56 protein that has been previously shown to bind to the E1 replication protein and inhibit its helicase activity, as well as its interactions with the second viral replication factor E2 (42, 46). By suppressing STAT-1, HPV proteins could downregulate p56 and understanding if this mechanism is responsible is of high interest.

Preliminary studies indicate that p56 RNA levels are efficiently suppressed in HPV positive cells as compared to HFKs but are induced to high levels upon interferon treatment (Hong and Laimins, unpublished). It is also possible that other STAT-1 regulated factors are responsible for effects on HPV replication or that several proteins act together with p56 to mediate these effects. STAT-1 has also been shown to regulate activation of the DNA damage response and we have previously shown that this pathway is important for genome amplification upon differentiation (29). However, our initial studies fail to show increased levels of DNA damage response factors in cells with elevated STAT-1 (Hong unpublished). Finally, since STAT-1 is a transcriptional activator, it might have direct or indirect effects on HPV gene transcription that could also impact viral replication.

We also observed that the levels of SOCS-1, a JAK2 kinase inhibitor, were elevated in untreated HPV positive cells as compared to HFKs. The levels of SOCS-1 increased...
upon differentiation as well as with interferon γ treatment to levels significantly greater
than those seen in HFKs. This indicates that HPV proteins interfere with signaling
through the JAK/STAT pathway at multiple points and further underscores the
importance of blocking this activity during persistent infections. Our studies identify
STAT-1 as a negative regulator of HPV replication and demonstrate the importance of
continuous down regulation of the interferon-inducible pathway for persistence of HPV
infections.
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Figure 1. HPV suppresses STAT-1 protein levels and transcription. A) Western blot analysis of STAT-1, STAT-2, and GAPDH levels in HFK, HPV16, and HPV31 cells. B) RT-PCR assay of STAT-1 mRNA levels in HFK, HPV16, and HPV31 cells. P<0.02. C) STAT-1 promoter activities were characterized using dual luciferase assay in transfected HFK, HPV16, and HPV31 cells. P<0.05. D) Western blot analysis for STAT-1 and GAPDH levels in cells treated with 5-dAza-C (Aza; 2.5 μM) for indicated times (hrs). E) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, HDAC-1, HDAC-2, and GAPDH levels in cells treated with sodium butyrate (NaB; 6 mM) for indicated times (hrs). F) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, p53, and GAPDH levels in cells treated with MG132 (20 μM) for 6 hrs. All results are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments.

Figure 2. HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7 individually inhibit the expression of STAT-1. A) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, p53, and GAPDH levels in HFK cells and cells expressing HPV16, 16E6, 16E7 as well as 16E6E7. B) RT-PCR assay of STAT-1 mRNA levels in HFK LXSN, HPV16E6, 16E7 and 16E6E7 cells. P<0.01. C) STAT-1 promoter activities were characterized using dual luciferase assay in transfected HFK LXSN, HPV16E6, 16E7 and 16E6E7 cells. P<0.05. All results are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments.

Figure 3. Mutagenesis studies of E6 indicate a link between STAT-1 suppression and the ability of E6 binding to E6Ap. A) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, p53, and GAPDH levels in HFK cells expressing LXSN vector, 16E6 wildtype, G134V, I128T, and 118-122 mutants. B) RT-PCR assay for STAT-1 mRNA levels in HFK cells expressing LXSN vector, 16E6 wildtype, G134V, I128T, and 118-122 mutants. P<0.02. C) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, p53, and GAPDH levels in HFK cells expressing LXSN, E6, E7 and...
E6E7 of HPV16 or HPV31. All results are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments.

**Figure 4.** STAT1 levels increase upon differentiation and this is reduced in HPV positive cells. A) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, K-10, and GAPDH levels in HFK and HPV31 cells grown in organotypic raft culture or in undifferentiated monolayer cultures. B) Western blot analysis for STAT-1, STAT-2, Involucrin and GAPDH levels in HFK, HPV16 and HPV31 cells differentiated in calcium media for indicated times. All results are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments.

**Figure 5.** Restoration of STAT-1 levels blocks HPV genome amplification upon keratinocyte differentiation. A) Western blot analysis of STAT-1 protein levels in CIN612 cells or the cells transiently expressing STAT-1 following differentiation in high calcium media for indicated times. B) Southern blot analysis for HPV31 episomes in CIN612 cells or the cells transiently expressing STAT-1 following differentiation in high calcium media for indicated times (hrs). C) Western blot analysis for STAT-1 and involucrin protein levels in CIN612 cells or stably STAT-1-expressing CIN612 cells differentiated in calcium media for indicated times (hrs). D) Southern blot analysis for HPV31 genome in CIN612 cells or the cells stably expressing STAT-1 following differentiation in high calcium media for indicated times (hrs). E) Western blot assay for STAT-1 expression in CIN612 cells, CIN612 cells stably expressing STAT-1, and HFK cells. All results are representative of observations from 2 or more independent experiments.

**Figure 6.** IFNβ treatment leads to STAT-1 protein induction and activation. A) Western blot analysis of STAT-1, STAT-2 and GAPDH in HFK, HPV16 and HPV31 cells treated with 500ng/ml IFNβ for indicated times (hrs). B) Lysates from HFK and HPV16 cells...
treated with 500ng/ml IFNβ for indicated times (hrs) were immunoprecipitated with anti-STAT-1 and assayed by western blot for STAT-1, phospho-STAT-1 (Y701), phospho-STAT-1 (S727), and ZBP-89. 20μl aliquots from the lysates were assayed for GAPDH using western blot as a loading control. All results are representative of observations from 3 independent experiments.

**Figure 7.** IFN treatment blocks HPV genome amplification. A) Western blot analysis of STAT-1, p53 and GAPDH levels in HFK, HPV16 and HPV31 cells treated with 500ng/ml IFNβ or 50ng/ml IFNγ for 24 hours. B) Lysates from the cells processed the same as (A) were further separated into cytosol and nucleus fractions. The fractions were assayed by western blot for STAT-1, STAT-2 and PARP. C) Western blot analysis of STAT-1 and SOCS-1 in IFNγ-treated or untreated HFK and HPV16 cells differentiated in calcium media for indicated times (hrs). D) Western blot analysis for STAT-1 and K-10 levels in IFNγ-treated or untreated HFK and CIN612 cells differentiated in calcium media for indicated times (hrs). E) Southern blot analysis of HPV31 episomes in CIN612 cells or IFNγ-treated CIN612 cells differentiated in calcium media for indicated times (hrs). F) Western blot analysis of STAT-1 levels in IFNγ-treated or untreated HFK and CIN612 cells. All results are representative of observations from 2 or more independent experiments.
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