Subversion of Cellular Autophagy Machinery by Hepatitis B Virus for Viral Envelopment
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Abstract

Autophagy is a conserved eukaryotic mechanism that mediates the removal of long-lived cytoplasmic macromolecules and damaged organelles via a lysosomal degradative pathway. Recently, a multitude of studies have reported that viral infections may have complex interconnections with the autophagic process. The findings reported here demonstrate that hepatitis B virus (HBV) can enhance the autophagic process in hepatoma cells without promoting protein degradation by the lysosome. Mutation analysis showed that HBV small surface protein (SHBs) was required for HBV to induce autophagy. Over-expression of SHBs was sufficient to induce autophagy. Furthermore, SHBs could trigger unfolded protein response (UPR), and blockage of UPR signaling pathways abrogated the SHBs-induced lipidation of LC3-I. Meanwhile, the role of the autophagosome in HBV replication was examined. Inhibition of autophagosome formation by the autophagy inhibitor 3-MA or small interfering RNA duplexes targeting the genes critical for autophagosome formation (Beclin1 and ATG5 genes) markedly inhibited HBV production, and induction of autophagy by rapamycin or starvation greatly contributed to HBV production. Furthermore, evidence was provided to suggest that the autophagy machinery was required for HBV envelopment but not for the efficiency of HBV release. Finally, SHBs partially colocalized and interacted with autophagy protein LC3. Taken together, these results suggest that the host’s
autophagy machinery is activated during HBV infection to enhance HBV replication.
Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a noncytopathic, enveloped DNA virus that belongs to the family *Hepadnaviridae* (57, 70). It is one of the most successful human pathogens, with an estimated 2 billion people been infected, of whom 400 million have chronic HBV infection (54). Chronic HBV infection is correlated with a strongly increased risk for the development of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (49, 54).

Effective preventive vaccines against HBV have been available for nearly three decades; however, their effectiveness in preventing blood-borne transmission from an infected mother to her newborn is about 90% (77), and therapeutic vaccines for the treatment of established hepatitis B infection are not available (65, 67). Currently, two types of antiviral therapies are approved: pegylated interferon-α and nucleos(t)ide analogues (60). However, these antivirals cannot completely eradicate the virus, and their efficacy in preventing liver cirrhosis and HCC is still limited (21, 64). Thus, the details of the host-virus relationship during HBV infection urgently need to be further clarified to facilitate the development of efficient therapeutic strategies for the control of HBV infection.

Autophagy, an evolutionarily conserved intracellular process, involves the formation of a double membrane structure, called the autophagosome, which engulfs long-lived cytoplasmic macromolecules and damaged organelles and delivers them to lysosomes for degradation and recycling (33). Dysfunction of autophagy has been implicated in
multiple diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases, muscle diseases, cancer, cardiac
diseases, and infectious diseases (46). Autophagy can contribute to innate and adaptive
immunity against intracellular microbial pathogens (11, 43). However, this intracellular
process has been exploited by some viruses to benefit their replication, such as poliovirus,
coxsackievirus, dengue virus, hepatitis C virus, human immunodeficiency virus, and
influenza A virus (14, 28, 42, 44, 75, 80, 95, 100).

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between HBV and
autophagy. We show that HBV enhanced the autophagic process, which required HBV
small surface protein (SHBs) and depended on the induction of endoplasmic reticulum
(ER) stress. Moreover, we demonstrate that this process greatly enhanced virus
envelopment. In addition, we show that SHBs partially colocalized and
coimmunoprecipitated with autophagy protein LC3.

Materials and methods

Plasmids

The plasmid pHBV1.3, which contains 1.3-fold-overlength genome of HBV, was
described previously (47). The plasmid pHBV3.8, which contains 1.1-fold-overlength
genome of HBV, was described previously (90). pHBV1.3-Pol− was derived from
pHBV1.3 by a frameshift mutation introduced into the P gene after codon 108 and is
defective in viral polymerase expression. pHBV1.3-HBx−, which is defective in the
expression of HBx, was described previously (91). pHBV1.3-ENV−, a derivative of pHBV1.3, has a single point mutation that changes codon 15 of the S gene from UUA to the stop codon UGA. This mutation abrogates the expression of all HBV surface proteins but is silent in the overlapping P gene. pHBV1.3-SHBs− was derived from pHBV1.3 by a single point mutation in the S gene that converts the translation initiation codon AUG into the translation stop codon ACG. This mutation abolishes the synthesis of SHBs, but is silent in the overlapping P gene. pHBV3.8-SHBs− was derived from pHBV3.8 and constructed as described above. To construct pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs and pcDNA3.1-Flag-HBx, DNA sequences coding for SHBs and HBx were amplified from pHBV1.3 and cloned into pcDNA3.1-Flag (Invitrogen), respectively. A secretion-deficient SHBs construct that contains the Cys48Ala mutation was constructed in the context of pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, as reported by Mangold et al. (53). To construct green fluorescent protein (GFP) tagged or Myc-tagged LC3, human LC3 mRNA was amplified by RT-PCR and cloned into pEGFP-C2 (Invitrogen) and pCMV-Myc (Invitrogen), respectively. All the mutations were performed by inverse PCR using a KOD-Plus mutagenesis kit (Koyobo) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All primer sequences are available upon request. All of the constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Chemicals, antibodies and other reagents**

Rapamycin, 3-methyladenine (3-MA), and anti-β-actin antibody were obtained from
Sigma-Aldrich. Anti-LC3 antibody was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology or MBL International. Anti-p62, anti-phospho-eIF2α, and anti-GRP94 antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-phospho-PERK, anti-total PERK, and anti-IRE1α antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-ATF6 and anti-HBx antibodies were obtained from Millipore. Anti-Beclin1 antibody was obtained from MBL International. Anti-ATG5 antibody was obtained from Proteintech Group.

Dithiothreitol (DTT) was obtained from Sangon (Shanghai, China). Anti-HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) antibody was obtained from DAKO or Gene Company Limited (Hong Kong, China). Anti-HBV core antigen (HBcAg) antibody was obtained from DAKO.

Plasma HBsAg were described in our previous study (92) and obtained from Kehua (Shanghai, China).

Cell culture and transfection

The hepatoma cell line Huh7 and the HBV-expressing stable cell line HepG2.2.15 were maintained as described previously (47). Transient transfection was performed with the indicated plasmids using Fugene 6 or Fugene HD (Roche), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (47). Briefly, after transfection or treatment, cells were washed with PBS and lysed with 2× SDS protein...
loading buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 10% β-mercaptoethanol, 4% SDS, and 0.2% bromophenol blue). For phosphorylation detection, cells were lysed in the above lysis buffer supplemented with 0.1 mM sodium vanadate (Na3VO4), 60 mM β-glycerophosphate, 50 mM NaF, and 1× protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science). After boiling for 10 min, the cell lysates were centrifuged, and equivalent amounts of protein were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) electrophoresis and then electrotransferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Roche Applied Science). The membranes were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C after blocking with 5% nonfat milk in 1× PBS/Tween. The membranes were washed with 1× PBS/Tween and incubated with the corresponding secondary antibodies (Sigma). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by an enhanced chemiluminescence system (ECL, PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

Confocal microscopy

For the detection of autophagosomes, cells were transfected with GFP-LC3 and after 12 h were treated or transfected as indicated for 48 h. The cells were fixed, and the nuclei were stained with 4′-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue stain; Invitrogen). The fluorescence of GFP-LC3 was observed under a confocal fluorescence microscope (Leica TCS SP2). Cells containing 3 or more GFP-LC3 dots were defined as autophagy-positive cells. The percentage of cells with characteristic punctate GFP-LC3 fluorescence relative to all GFP-positive cells was calculated as described previously (88). A minimum of 200
GFP-positive cells per sample were counted, and 3 independent experiments were performed.

For immunofluorescent staining, cells were grown on coverslips and transfected with pHBV1.3. After transfection for 48 h, cells were fixed with 3.5% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. The fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 2 h. Cells then were incubated with anti-HBsAg antibody (Gene Company Limited, 1: 100) or anti-HBx antibody (1: 200) together with anti-LC3 antibody (MBL International, 1: 100) at 4 °C overnight followed by staining for 2 h with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (1: 200; Invitrogen) and Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1: 200; Jackson). The nuclei were stained with DAPI, and the colocalization of SHBs or HBx (green stain) with LC3 (red stain) was observed under the confocal fluorescence microscope.

Transmission electron microscopy

Electron microscopy was performed as described previously (73). Briefly, after the indicated transfection or treatment, cells were washed three times with 1× PBS, trypsinized, and collected by centrifugation at 1,000× g for 5 min. The cell pellets were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Na-phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) at 4 °C overnight. The cells were washed in the same buffer three times for 15 minutes each and postfixed in 1% OsO₄ in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 2-3 h. After washing in 0.1 M
Na-phosphate buffer, the cells were dehydrated with a graded series of ethanol and gradually infiltrated with epoxy resin. The samples were sequentially polymerized at 37 °C overnight, 45 °C for 12 h, and 60 °C for 24 h. Ultrathin sections (about 60 nm) were cut on an LKB microtome and mounted on copper slot grids. Sections were doubly stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed under a Philips CM-120 transmission electron microscope. About 10 cells per sample were counted, and for each cell the number of double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes) was examined as described previously (1).

**RT-PCR analysis**

Activation of IRE1α was assessed by quantitatively measuring the splicing of its substrate, the mRNA encoding the XBP1 transcription factor, using a modified version of the method described by Shang et al. (72). In brief, total RNA was isolated by use of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with the Superscript first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's directions. To amplify XBP1 mRNA and GAPDH mRNA, a PCR reaction with the cDNA was conducted for 30 cycles (94 °C for 50 s; 58 °C for 30 s; and 72 °C for 30 s or 10 min incubation in final cycle) using 5'-CTGGAAAGCACAAGTGGTAGA-3' and 5'-CTGGGGCTTTCTGGTACTC-3' for XBP1, and 5'-GGTATCGTGGAAGGACTCATGAC-3', and 5'-ATGCCAGTGAGCTTCCCGTTCAGC-3' for GAPDH with ExTaq DNA polymerase.
The amplified products of the spliced (XBP1S, 398 bp) and unspliced (XBP1U, 424 bp) XBP1 were resolved on 2% agarose gels with ethidium bromide and visualized using the Gene Genius Bio-imaging system (Syngene).

RNA interference

Huh7 cells were grown to 30-40% confluence and then transiently transfected with indicated small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes (Invitrogen or Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at a final concentration of 40 nM using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, as described previously (47). At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were transfected with 20 nM of the same siRNA duplexes and the indicated plasmids. After the second transfection for 48 h, the cells were collected, and the silencing efficiency of the siRNA duplexes was detected by Western blot analysis.

Northern blot and Southern blot analyses

Intracellular viral RNA and DNA analyses were performed as described previously (47). For viral RNA analysis, total cellular RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagents. Fifteen micrograms of total RNA was resolved in 1% agarose gel containing 2.2 M formaldehyde and transferred onto a positively charged nylon membrane in 20× SSC buffer. For viral DNA analysis, intracellular viral nucleocapsid-associated DNA was extracted as described previously (50), resolved by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel, and transferred onto a positively charged nylon membrane. All the membranes were
detected with a $^{32}$P-radiolabeled HBV DNA probe prepared using the Random Priming labeling kit (Roche) in Ultrahyb ultrasensitive hybridization buffer (Ambion). For Northern blot analysis, the blots were then stripped and rehybridized with a $^{32}$P-radiolabeled glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) DNA probe for loading normalization. Hybridization signals were visualized by phosphorimaging (Fujifilm).

Coimmunoprecipitation analysis

Coimmunoprecipitation analysis was performed as described previously with a minor modification (63). Cells were plated in 6 cm or 10 cm dishes and transfected with the indicated plasmids. After transfection for 48 h, cells were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, 1 mM $\beta$-glycerophosphate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The cell lysates were rotated at 4 °C for 1 h, and insoluble material was pelleted at 12,000× g at 4 °C for 30 min. The supernatants were precleared with 30 µl of protein A/G plus-agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4 °C for 1 h and immunoprecipitated with 2 µg of normal control IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or specific primary antibodies. The mixtures were rotated overnight at 4 °C followed by incubation with 40 µl of protein A/G plus-agarose beads for 1-2 h at 4 °C. After four washes in immunoprecipitation buffer, the immunoprecipitates were subjected to Western blot analysis as described above.
Endogenous polymerase assay

Detection of intracellular nucleocapsids and intracellular or extracellular virions by the endogenous polymerase assay (EPA) was performed as described previously with some modifications (86, 93). For the detection of intracellular nucleocapsids, cells were lysed in immunoprecipitation buffer; for the detection of intracellular virions, cells were lysed by repetitive cycles of freezing and thawing (using liquid nitrogen to freeze and a 37 °C bath to thaw) as described previously (19). Immunoprecipitation of nucleocapsids by anti-HBcAg antibody or virions by anti-HBsAg antibody was performed as described above (see “Coimmunoprecipitation analysis”). The immunoprecipitates were incubated with dATP, dGTP, dTTP (0.1 mM each), and 10 μCi of [α-32P] dCTP (Beijing Free, China) in an EPA reaction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 40 mM NH₄Cl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 1% NP-40, and 0.3% β-mercaptoethanol) overnight at 37 °C. Non-labeled dCTP was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM for additional incubation (1 h). Viral nucleic acids, labeled by incorporation of [α-32P] dCTP, were deproteinized with proteinase K treatment and phenol extraction. The labeled DNA was separated on a 1% agarose gel, which was then dried by a Gel dryer (Bio-Rad) and imaged by phosphorimaging.

Statistical analysis

Results were presented as mean ± standard deviations. The significance of the differences was determined by Student’s t tests. A p-value <0.05 was considered to
Results

**HBV increases autophagosome formation**

LC3 is the mammalian homologue of an essential yeast autophagy protein, autophagy-related protein 8 (Atg8) (29, 35). Following translation, the unprocessed form of LC3 (proLC3) is cleaved by Atg4 protease, yielding the cytosolic LC3-I form (89). Upon induction of autophagy, LC3-I is converted to LC3-II through lipidation by an ubiquitin-like system that allows for LC3 to become associated with autophagic vesicles (89). The conversion of LC3-I to the lower migrating form LC3-II and relocalization of LC3 from a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution to distinct puncta have been used as indicators of autophagy (3, 36, 55-56).

To investigate whether HBV could regulate autophagy, we transfected pHBV1.3, or its backbone vector pUC19, into Huh7 cells, and the conversion of endogenous LC3-I to LC3-II was examined by Western blot analysis. The results showed that HBV induced a clear increase in LC3-II levels (Fig. 1A, lane 3 versus lane 2) and that the ratio of LC3-II/β-actin was significantly increased in pHBV1.3-transfected cells (Fig. 1B). Accordingly, the increases in LC3-II levels and the LC3-II/β-actin ratio induced by HBV could be reversed by the treatment of the autophagy inhibitor 3-MA (Fig. 1A, lane 4 versus lane 3, and Fig. 1B), suggesting that authentic autophagy might be induced. As a
positive control, the autophagy inducer rapamycin dramatically induced LC3-II expression (Fig. 1A, lane 1, and Fig. 1B). To test whether LC3 modification correlated with its relocalization to autophagic vesicles during HBV infection, we monitored the subcellular localization of GFP-tagged LC3 (GFP-LC3) protein by confocal microscopy. As shown in Figure 1C, GFP-LC3 redistribution into discrete dots was markedly increased by HBV. The percentage of GFP-LC3 positive cells containing green punctate dots in the pHBV1.3-transfected cells was increased approximately 3-fold compared to the pUC19-transfected cells (Fig. 1D). Notably, this enhanced autophagy by HBV also was inhibited by 3-MA treatment (Fig. 1C and D), thereby confirming that the GFP-LC3 punctate dots in the pHBV1.3-transfected cells represent autophagy signals.

To provide further evidence of the HBV-induced autophagosome formation, we detected HBV-induced autophagy at the ultrastructural level by transmission electron microscopy. As compared with the pUC19-transfected cells, whose autophagic vacuoles were rarely observed, we noticed an accrual of membrane vacuoles in the pHBV1.3-transfected cells and that cytosolic components or organelles were sequestered in some of these vacuoles (Fig. 1E and F).

Some pathogens induce early stages of autophagy but block later stages (i.e., autophagolysosomal fusion) (28, 75, 81, 88, 95), so we investigated whether HBV could induce a complete autophagic process (i.e., autophagic flux) by measuring the level of the autophagic substrate p62, an adaptor protein that interacts with LC3 and is specifically degraded by the autophagy pathway (4, 59). The results showed that HBV could not
change the expression of p62, in contrast to rapamycin (Fig. 1G and H). Collectively, the above results indicate that an incomplete autophagic process is induced by HBV.

**SHBs is required for HBV to induce autophagy**

The HBV genome encodes four overlapping open reading frames (ORFs) that are translated to make the viral core protein, the envelope proteins, viral polymerase, and HBx (57, 70). There are three envelope proteins: large (LHBs), middle (MHBs), and small (SHBs) surface protein, all encoded by the S ORF (57, 70). To determine which viral protein is responsible for the induction of autophagy by HBV, the expression of polymerase, envelope proteins, and HBx were separately abolished by mutation in the context of pHBV1.3. The wild-type or resultant mutants were transfected into Huh7 cells, and both the lipidation of endogenous LC3-I to yield LC3-II and the relocalization of GFP-LC3 from a diffuse to a punctuated pattern were detected. The results showed that the deletion of the HBV envelope proteins, but not the deletion of viral polymerase and HBx, abrogated the HBV-induced lipidation of LC3-I (Fig. 2A, lanes 2 to 4 versus lane 1, and 2B) and relocalization of GFP-LC3 (Fig. 2C and D), suggesting that the HBV envelope proteins might mediate the HBV-induced autophagy. Notably, the above results were not due to variations in transfection efficiency of the wild-type or its mutants, as similar EGFP expression was observed (Fig. 2A).

Among the HBV envelope proteins, SHBs is the most abundant, while LHBs and MHBs are expressed at levels of about 5-15% and 1-2%, respectively, as compared with...
SHBs (94). To investigate whether SHBs is required for HBV to induce autophagy, a SHBs-expression-deficient mutant from pHBV1.3 was transfected into Huh7 cells. As compared with the wild type, the mutant lost the ability to induce the lipidation of LC3-I (Fig. 2A, lane 5 versus lane 1, and 2B) and the relocalization of GFP-LC3 (Fig. 2C and D). Moreover, over-expression of SHBs alone was sufficient to induce autophagy (Fig. 2A, lane 7 versus lane 6, and 2B; Fig. 2C and D). In addition, the ability of SHBs to mediate the HBV-induced autophagy is not limited to pHBV1.3 isolate; a similar result was observed for another HBV isolate, pHBV3.8 (data not shown).

SHBs is initially synthesized as a transmembrane protein spanning the membrane of the ER and can be secreted as a subviral particle (57, 70). To determine the contributions of intracellular and extracellular SHBs in the induction of autophagy, a secretion-deficient SHBs-expressing plasmid was transfected into Huh7 cells. As shown in Figure 2E (lane 3 versus lane 2) and 2F, the deficiency of SHBs in secretion had no effect on its ability to induce autophagy, which was demonstrated by the lipidation of LC3-I. To exclude the possibility that the induction of autophagy by this mutant might be due to a trace amount of SHBs in the culture supernatant, Huh7 cells were cultured with HBsAg (200 ng/ml), comparable to the concentration of HBsAg in the culture supernatant of transfected cell, and the lipidation of LC3-I was detected. The results showed that no apparent increase in the lipidation of LC3-I was observed (Fig. 2E, lane 5 versus lane 4, and 2F), suggesting that autophagy was not induced by extracellular SHBs. In addition, similar to HBV, SHBs did not change the expression of p62 (Fig. 2E, lane 2 versus lane 1, and 2F), indicating
that an incomplete autophagic process was induced by intracellular SHBs. In summary, the above results show that intracellular SHBs but not extracellular SHBs is required for HBV to induce autophagy.

**SHBs induces autophagy via ER stress**

To determine how SHBs induces autophagy, we investigated whether SHBs could trigger ER stress, which was reported to trigger autophagy (25, 39, 45, 48, 58, 66, 69, 97-98). A number of cellular stress conditions can perturb protein folding in the ER, leading to a condition known as ER stress (23, 68). ER stress triggers unfolded protein response (UPR), which involves at least three distinct signaling pathways (68). One involves activating PERK, which, when induced, phosphorylates eIF2α to attenuate mRNA translation. In the ATF6 pathway, the ATF6 precursor (90 KDa) is cleaved in response to ER stress, and the cleaved ATF6 N-terminal fragment (50 KDa) translocates to the nucleus to activate transcription of genes encoding chaperones that refold unfolded or misfolded proteins. In the IRE1 pathway, XBP1 mRNA is spliced by activated IRE1 to generate mature XBP1 mRNA, the translation product of which leads to the transcription of genes encoding protein degradation enzymes.

To determine whether SHBs could induce ER stress, pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, or its control vector and pHBV1.3, or its SHBs deletion mutant were transfected into Huh7 cells. As a control, one set of Huh7 cells was treated with DTT, an ER stress inducer. As shown in Figure 3A (lane 2 versus lane 1) and 3B, over-expression of SHBs induced the
phosphorylation of PERK and its downstream effector eIF2α. Importantly, the expression of SHBs from pHBV1.3 also increased the phosphorylation of PERK and eIF2α (Fig. 3A, lane 4 versus lane 3, and 3B). As expected, the phosphorylation of PERK and eIF2α was induced following treatment with DTT (Fig. 3A, lane 5, and 3F). In parallel, we examined ATF6 cleavage by Western blot analysis and found that both exogenous SHBs from pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs and endogenous SHBs from pHBV1.3 induced a slight reduction in the expression levels of ATF6 precursor (Fig. 3C, lane 2 versus lane 1, lane 4 versus lane 3, and 3D). Unfortunately, we could not detect the 50 KDa cleavage products in the transfected cells or even in the DTT-treated cells (Fig. 3C). To determine whether ATF6 target genes would be activated in pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs or pHBV1.3-transfected cells, we assessed the expression of GRP94, an ER homologue of HSP90 protein (15), by Western blot analysis. As shown in Figure 3C and D, exogenous or endogenous SHBs and DTT induced a comparable increase in GRP94 levels, suggesting that the ATF6 pathway was activated following the expression of exogenous or endogenous SHBs. We then examined the splicing of XBP1 by RT-PCR. In pcDNA3.1-Flag or pHBV1.3-SHBs-transfected control cells, only the unspliced form of XBP1 was detected (Fig. 3E, lanes 1 and 3). However, in addition to the unspliced form of XBP1, the spliced form of XBP1 was also detectable in pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs or pHBV1.3-transfected cells (Fig. 3E, lanes 2 and 4, and 3F) and at a higher level in DTT-treated cells (Fig. 3E, lane 5, and 3F). The above results showed that SHBs could induce ER stress; therefore, we next...
asked whether SHBs induced an autophagic response via ER stress. We knocked down
the expression of PERK, IRE1\(\alpha\), or ATF6 by siRNA duplexes in pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs
or pHBV1.3-transfected cells and evaluated the lipidation of LC3-I. As shown in Figure
4A (lane 3 versus lane 2, lane 6 versus lane 5) and 4D, the PERK siRNA duplex, but not
the control siRNA duplex, greatly reduced the LC3-II expression levels in
pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs or pHBV1.3-transfected cells. The effectiveness of the siRNA
duplex targeted against PERK was confirmed by Western blot analysis of the total
expression of PERK and the phosphorylation of eIF2\(\alpha\) (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the silencing
of ATF6 or IRE1\(\alpha\) inhibited the SHBs-induced lipidation of LC3-I (Fig. 4B and C, lane 3
versus lane 2, lane 6 versus lane 5, and 4D). The effectiveness of siRNA duplex targeted
against ATF6 was confirmed by Western blot analysis of the expression of ATF6 and
GRP94 (Fig. 4B). The effectiveness of siRNA duplex targeted against IRE1\(\alpha\) was
confirmed by Western blot analysis of the expression of IRE1\(\alpha\) and RT-PCR analysis of
XBP1 splicing (Fig. 4C). In addition, we also assessed the effects of PERK, ATF6, or
IRE1\(\alpha\) siRNA duplexes on the lipidation of LC3-I in naïve Huh7 cells. None of these
siRNA duplexes had any effect on the lipidation of LC3-I (data not shown). Collectively,
these results clearly show that SHBs induces autophagy via ER stress.

The autophagy machinery is required for HBV production

An increasing body of research has shown that autophagy functions in antiviral or
proviral capacities in the life cycles of a broad range of viruses (11, 40). To determine
whether the autophagy machinery performs an antiviral or proviral function during HBV infection, we tested the effect of the autophagy inhibitor 3-MA on HBV replication in Huh7 cells. The amounts of nucleocapsid-associated DNA and viral RNA were determined by Southern blot and Northern blot analyses, respectively. As shown in Figure 5A (lane 2 versus lane 1) and 5B, 3-MA treatment did not change the level of viral RNA but resulted in a slight decrease in the level of nucleocapsid-associated DNA. To define the effect of 3-MA on the production of extracellular virions, enveloped HBV virions in culture supernatant were precipitated with antibody against HBsAg and assayed by EPA. Consistent with the results of the Southern blot analysis, EPA analysis also showed that 3-MA treatment only had a slight inhibitory effect on the level of nucleocapsid-associated DNA (Fig. 5C, lane 2 versus lane 1, top, and 5D). 3-MA treatment markedly inhibited the production of extracellular virions (Fig. 5C, lane 2 versus lane 1, middle, and 5D), suggesting that autophagy might contribute to HBV envelopment and/or release. The inhibitory effect of 3-MA on HBV production is not specific to Huh7 cells, as similar results were obtained in HepG2.2.15 cells (data not shown). To further determine the role of autophagy in the life cycle of HBV, we investigated the effect of the autophagy inducers rapamycin or starvation on the production of intracellular nucleocapsids and extracellular virions by EPA. As shown in Figure 5E (lane 2 versus lane 1, lane 4 versus lane 3) and 5F, induction of autophagy by rapamycin or starvation significantly increased the level of extracellular virions but only slightly upregulated the level of nucleocapsid-associated DNA, further indicating the great role of autophagy in HBV replication.
envelopment and/or release. Interestingly, we found that both autophagy inhibitor (3-MA) and inducers (rapamycin and starvation) had no effect on the production of SHBs in the culture supernatant (Fig. 5C and E, bottom), suggesting a specific effect of autophagy on HBV production.

Because pharmacological agents such as 3-MA and rapamycin may not affect only the autophagic pathway (34), we monitored the effect of siRNA duplex-mediated knockdown of key autophagy proteins on viral replication in Huh7 cells. As shown in Figure 5G (lane 2 versus lane 1, top and middle) and 5H, the knockdown of Beclin1 expression greatly diminished the level of extracellular virions, as compared with a slight inhibitory effect on the level of nucleocapsid-associated DNA. A knockdown of the expression of another essential autophagy factor, ATG5, resulted in a similar effect on HBV replication (Fig. 5G, lane 3 versus lane 1, top and middle, and 5H). The effectiveness of siRNA duplexes targeted against Beclin1 or ATG5 was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 5G, bottom). In addition, we confirmed that Beclin1 and ATG5 knockdowns inhibited autophagy in Huh7 cells, as determined by LC3-II levels (Fig. 5G, bottom).

Because HBV induced the accumulation of autophagosomes via the induction of ER stress (Fig. 4), we reasoned that blockage of three pathways of the UPR should inhibit the production of HBV. To test this hypothesis, we knocked down the expression of PERK, IRE1α, and ATF6 by siRNA duplexes and detected intracellular nucleocapsids and extracellular virions by EPA. The results showed that the suppression of any of these
three pathways inhibited the levels of extracellular virions to a greater extent than those of nucleocapsid-associated DNA (Fig. 5I and J).

Collectively, these results demonstrate that the autophagy machinery is required for the envelopment and/or release of HBV and thus represent a proviral factor for HBV replication.

The autophagy machinery is required for HBV envelopment

To determine whether the autophagy machinery is required only for HBV envelopment or if it is also required for viral release, cell lysates and culture supernatants from pHBV1.3-transfected Huh7 cells with or without 3-MA treatment were precipitated with antibody against HBsAg. After precipitation by antibody against HBsAg, cell lysates were recaptured with antibody against HBcAg. The abundance of intracellular or extracellular enveloped HBV virions and intracellular nucleocapsids was analyzed by EPA. Consistent with the results presented in Figure 5C, 3-MA treatment markedly inhibited the level of extracellular enveloped HBV virions (Fig. 6A, top, and 6B), whereas it only slightly suppressed the level of intracellular nucleocapsids (Fig. 6A, bottom, and 6B). Furthermore, the abundance of intracellular enveloped HBV virions was significantly reduced by 3-MA treatment in a commensurate manner with the level of extracellular enveloped virions (Fig. 6A, middle, and 6B), suggesting that the autophagy machinery is required for HBV envelopment, but not for the efficiency of virus release.

To further confirm the above results, we analyzed the effect of the knockdown of
Beclin1 or ATG5 on HBV envelopment in pHBV1.3-transfected Huh7 cells. As shown in Figure 6C and D, while the accumulation of intracellular nucleocapsids was only slightly inhibited in Beclin1 or ATG5 knockdown cells, the abundance of intracellular and extracellular enveloped HBV virions was greatly inhibited and reduced to the same extent (about 80%), confirming that the autophagy machinery is required for HBV envelopment.

It is usually assumed that virion secretion is fast and there are little intracellular virions. To confirm that the signals in Figure 6A and C (middle) was derived from intracellular virions and not from intracellular nucleocapsids, cell lysates from pHBV1.3-ENV−-transfected Huh7 cells were precipitated with antibodies against HBsAg or HBcAg, respectively, and then subjected to EPA. As shown in Figure 6E, the immunoprecipitate from antibody against HBsAg showed no signal, as compared with the one from antibody against HBcAg.

SHBs is associated with autophagosome marker LC3 during HBV replication

To test whether autophagy is directly involved in HBV envelopment, the relative distribution of SHBs as a key component of virion maturation and autophagosome marker LC3 was investigated by fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Figure 7A, HBsAg showing a cytoplasmic punctate pattern of immunofluorescence displayed moderate colocalization with LC3, while HBx did not colocalized with LC3.

We next determined whether SHBs is physically associated with LC3 by coimmunoprecipitation analysis. In Huh7 cells, exogenous Flag-tagged SHBs was
cotransfected with Myc-tagged LC3. Both LC3-I and LC3-II could be precipitated by anti-Flag antibody (Fig. 7B, left). Interestingly, we found that relative to the input protein levels, a significantly larger proportion of LC3-II was captured with SHBs compared to LC3-I (Fig. 7B, left), suggesting a higher affinity of LC3-II to SHBs than LC3-I in Huh7 cells. A converse experiment using anti-Myc antibody also revealed the interaction of SHBs with LC3 (data not shown). However, LC3 could not coprecipitated with HBx (Fig. 7B, right). Next, we tested whether the interaction of SHBs with endogenous LC3 could be detected during HBV replication. As shown in Figure 7C, antibody against HBsAg precipitated both LC3-I and LC3-II, and, again, a higher proportion of LC3-II than LC3-I in the cell lysate from pHBV1.3-transfected Huh7 cells was immunoprecipitated. In all immunoprecipitation experiments, an IgG control showed negative results for the specific proteins analyzed (Fig. 7B and C). Our results collectively demonstrate that SHBs is associated with autophagosome marker LC3 during HBV replication.

Discussion

A growing number of viruses have been shown to affect autophagy (11, 31, 40). In this study, we show that HBV induced an incomplete autophagic process in hepatoma cells without promoting protein degradation by lysosomes (Fig. 1), which is in agreement with a recent report (76). It was reported that human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) induces the formation of autophagosomes in macrophages, while HIV Nef protein blocks
maturation of early autophagic organelles into autolysosomes through interaction with the autophagy regulatory protein Beclin1, thus avoiding autophagic protein degradation and protecting HIV from degradation (42). Thereby, it is possible that HBV might also have developed such a strategy to perturb the maturation of autophagic vacuoles. Autophagy has generally been considered a “nonselective” process. However, recent studies indicate that, in addition to targeting proteins for degradation by the 26S proteasome, ubiquitin may also represent a selective degradation signal and direct protein aggregates, membrane-bound organelles, and microbes to autophagosomes (30, 32, 37-38, 87). Therefore, it is also likely that HBV can not induce the labeling of “cargo” and hence does not enhance autophagic protein degradation.

A recent report showed that HBx is required for HBV-induced autophagy (76). However, our observation that the deletion of SHBs abrogated the HBV-induced autophagy, while the deletion of HBx did not, suggests that the enhancement of the autophagic response by HBV was dependent on SHBs but not on HBx in our system (Fig. 2). We indeed confirmed that over-expression of SHBs alone was sufficient to induce autophagy (Fig. 2). The reason for this discrepancy is unknown. One possibility might be due to the use of a different virus isolate. Both point mutations and deletion mutants of the X gene have been observed in HCC and in cirrhotic livers with chronic HBV infection (8, 101). HBx variants may display different distribution patterns, expression levels, and functions (41, 51). Consistent with this point, an initial report on the effect of HBV on autophagy suggested that HBx itself is not sufficient to induce autophagy but
can only enhance starvation-induced autophagy (79). In fact, we observed that over-expression of HBx induced the lipidation of LC3-II in our system (data not shown).

Notably, the ability of SHBs to mediate HBV-induced autophagy is not limited to one virus isolate; a similar result was observed for another HBV isolate, pHBV3.8 (data not shown). In addition, HIV also utilizes envelope proteins to induce autophagy (10, 16-17).

Similarly, poliovirus induces autophagosome-like vesicles via its ER membrane-associated viral proteins (78). In addition, it is also possible that in different situation virus might utilize different strategies to induce autophagy. For example, when HBx is not enough to induce autophagy for its low expression or other reasons, HBV might trigger autophagy via SHBs. Nevertheless, our results show that SHBs is required for HBV to induce autophagy at least in our system. The relationship between SHBs and HBx in the induction of autophagy deserves further study.

The process of autophagosome formation is tightly regulated. A multitude of nutritional and stress inputs transduced through protein and lipid kinase signaling cascades that regulate autophagy converge upon two key signaling nodes, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and Beclin1/hVps34 complex (11, 24, 61). We found that over-expression of SHBs did not change the phosphorylation levels of the mTOR substrates S6K1 and 4EBP1 (data not shown), suggesting that mTOR signaling may not be involved in HBV-induced autophagy. While HBx was reported to enhance starvation-induced autophagy by the upregulation of Beclin1 expression (79), over-expression of SHBs did not increase Beclin1 expression (data not shown).
Recently, an increasing body of research has shown that ER stress can induce autophagy (25, 39, 45, 48, 58, 66, 69, 97-98). As a processing plant for folding and post-translational modification of proteins, the ER is an essential organelle for viral replication and maturation. In the course of virus productive infection, a large amount of viral proteins is synthesized in infected cells, where unfolded or misfolded proteins result in ER stress (23). Accumulation of viral envelope proteins in the ER has been implicated as the trigger of the UPR in several virus infections (6-7, 12, 52, 84). For HBV, there are three envelopment proteins. Among them, SHBs is the most abundantly produced and may have a greater potential to induce ER stress. A previous study showed that SHBs can greatly increase the expression of BiP, a central regulator for ER stress (68), and thereby was suggested to induce ER stress (26). In this study, we extended this finding and found that these three signaling pathways of PERK, ATF6, and IRE1, downstream of BiP, were activated by SHBs, although to a different extent (Fig. 3). The blockage of any of these three UPR signaling pathways almost abrogated the SHBs-induced lipidation of LC3-I (Fig. 4). Similarly, a recent report showed that hepatitis C virus (HCV) triggers autophagosome formation by inducing ER stress and that all of these three UPR signaling pathways are required for the induction of autophagy (75). These observations suggested that the target genes induced by these three different UPR signaling pathways must work in concert with each other to induce autophagy. In addition, LHBs with pre-S mutations was reported to inhibit syntheses of SHBs and MHBs with accumulation of LHBs in ER and induce ER stress (85), so it is possible that in this situation LHBs might also induce
Although the autophagy pathway is emerging as a component of host defense, certain viruses have developed strategies to counteract these antiviral mechanisms, and others appear to have co-opted the autophagy machinery as proviral host factors favoring viral replication (13). A recent study showed that inhibition of the autophagy pathway by 3-MA or by hVps34 siRNA duplex or ATG7 siRNA duplex suppressed HBV DNA replication (76). In this study, we also showed that inhibition of autophagy by treatment with 3-MA or the knockdown of Beclin1 or ATG5 decreased HBV DNA replication, but to a lesser extent (Fig. 5). This discrepancy might arise as consequence of the different method used to block autphagic process or the different cell line of use. Another possibility might be that the autophagy-independent functions of 3-MA and autophagy-related genes, which have been showing (9, 18, 34, 99), could be involved in HBV life cycle. Importantly, we demonstrated that inhibition of autophagy had a significant inhibitory effect on viral envelopment (Fig. 6), which resulted in a marked reduction of enveloped virion levels in the culture supernatant (Fig. 5 and 6). Recently, autophagy was shown to contribute to the effective production of HCV particles with little effect on the intracellular production of HCV mRNA and HCV-related proteins (80), but whether autophagy is involved in viral assembly, release, or both is still unclear. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, our report is the first demonstration that the autophagy process is involved in viral envelopment.

HBV envelopment is believed to occur at post-ER/pre-Golgi membranes where
cytosolic nucleocapsids are packaged inside a lipid envelope integrated with viral envelope proteins (5, 27, 62, 71). The mechanism by which nucleocapsids receive their envelope is still not resolved. Recently, a model that suggests a great role for ER, Golgi, and their adjacent specialized compartments in the formation of the autophagosomal membranes has been proposed (2, 20, 22, 74, 82, 102). In addition, it was suggested that the autophagic processes induced by ER stress are aimed at disposing of unfolded or misfolded proteins aggregated in the ER lumen for ER quality control (83, 96), although it seems that HBV has developed some strategies to block this degradation (Fig. 1G). Interestingly, we found that SHBs, a key component of virion maturation, is partially associated with the autophagosome marker LC3 during HBV replication (Fig. 7). Therefore, the autophagosomes or the possible intermediates between autophagosomes and ER or Golgi might provide a physical scaffold for HBV envelopment. Moreover, it is also possible that autophagosome membranes could be used as a source of membranes for viral envelopment, although the envelope of HBV is poorly characterized. Finally, it is tempting to speculate that the autophagy pathway enhances HBV envelopment by sequestering the restriction factor(s) of HBV envelopment.

In summary, our study shows that HBV induced an incomplete autophagic process in hepatoma cells. Furthermore, the induction of autophagy requires SHBs and depends on the induction of ER stress. Moreover, we demonstrate that autophagy machinery is required for HBV envelopment. Finally, our results show that SHBs is associated with autophagosome maker LC3. The elucidation of how HBV manipulates autophagy to
enhance replication might ultimately lead to the development of new therapeutics for acute and chronic HBV infection.
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Fig. 1. HBV induces autophagosome formation in hepatoma cells without promoting protein degradation by the lysosome. (A). Huh7 cells were treated with rapamycin (50 nM) or transfected with pUC19 or pHBV1.3. After transfection for 46 h, pHBV1.3-transfected cells were treated with or without 3-MA (10 mM) for 2 h. After 48 h of transfection or treatment, the levels of LC3-II expression were determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (B). The ratios of LC3-II/β-actin (% of Rapamycin) were determined by Western blot analysis, and the bands were quantified by densitometric analysis using NIH ImageJ software. Results represent the mean of data from 3 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected with GFP-LC3 and after 12 h were treated or transfected as described in A. The nuclei were stained by DAPI (blue stain), and the distribution of GFP-tagged LC3 protein was visualized under the confocal fluorescence microscope. Representative confocal images are shown. (D). Quantification of the frequency of Huh7 cells displaying a punctate distribution of GFP-tagged LC3 protein was performed as described in the Materials and Methods. Results represent the mean of data from 3 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. (E). Huh7 cells were treated or transfected as described in A. After 48 h of transfection or treatment, cells were subjected to transmission electron microscopy. Arrows indicate representative autophagosomes. (F). Quantification of numbers of autophagosomes was performed as described in the
Materials and Methods. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05. (G). Huh7 cells were transfected with pUC19 or pHBV1.3 or treated with DMSO or rapamycin. After 48 h of transfection or treatment, the levels of p62 protein expression were determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (H). The ratios of p62/β-actin (% of Rapamycin) were determined as described in B. Results represent the mean of data from 3 independent experiments. *, p <0.05.

Fig. 2. SHBs is required for HBV to induce autophagy. (A). Huh7 cells were transfected with pHBV1.3, pHBV1.3-Pol−, pHBV1.3-HBx−, pHBV1.3-ENV−, pHBV1.3-SHBs−, pcDNA3.1-Flag, or pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, together with pEGFP-C2 plasmid. After 48 h of transfection, the levels of LC3-II expression were determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin and EGFP expression levels were examined to control for loading and transfection efficiency (B). The ratios of LC3-II/β-actin (% of pHBV1.3) were determined as described in Figure 1B. Results represent the mean of data from 3 independent experiments. *, p <0.05. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected with GFP-LC3 plasmid and after 12 h were transfected with the plasmids described in A (excluding pEGFP-C2). The nuclei were stained by DAPI, and the distribution of GFP-tagged LC3 protein was visualized under the confocal fluorescence microscope. Representative confocal images are shown. (D). Quantification of the frequency of Huh7 cells displaying a punctate distribution of GFP-tagged LC3 protein was performed as described in the
Materials and Methods. Results represent the mean of data from 3 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. (E). Huh7 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-Flag, pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, or pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs (Cys48Ala) or treated with BSA or HBsAg (200 ng/ml). After 48 h of transfection or treatment, the levels of LC3-II and p62 expression were determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (F). The ratios of LC3-II/β-actin or p62/β-actin (% of pHBV1.3) were determined as described in Figure 1B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05.

Fig. 3. SHBs triggers ER stress. (A). Huh7 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1-Flag, pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, pHBV1.3-SHBs\textsuperscript{-}, or pHBV1.3 for 48 h or treated with DTT (2 mM) for 2 h. The phosphorylation levels of PERK and eIF2α were determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (B). The ratios of p-PERK/β-actin or p-eIF2α/β-actin (% of DTT) were determined as described in Figure 1B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected or treated as described in A. The cleavage of ATF6 was determined by Western blot analysis. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (D). The ratios of ATF6/β-actin or GRP94/β-actin (% of DTT) were determined as described in Figure 1B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05. (E). Huh7 cells were transfected or treated as described in A. Total cellular RNA was analyzed for XBP1 mRNA by RT-PCR.
as described in the Materials and Methods. XBP1 (U) and XBP1 (S) represent DNA fragments derived from unspliced and spliced XBP1 RNA, respectively. The GAPDH mRNA was analyzed to serve as an internal control. (F). The ratios of XBP1 (S)/GAPDH (% of DTT) were determined as described in Figure 1B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05.

Fig. 4. The blockage of UPR signaling pathways by RNA interference abrogated the SHBs-induced lipidation of LC3-I. (A). Huh7 cells were transfected with 40 nM siRNA duplexes targeting EGFP or PERK. At 24 h posttransfection, the cells were transfected with 20 nM of the same siRNA duplexes together with pcDNA3.1-Flag, pcDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs, pHBV1.3, or pHBV1.3-SHBs− for 48 h as indicated. The levels of LC3-II expression were determined by Western blot analysis. The effectiveness of siRNA duplexes was detected by Western blot analysis using the antibodies against PERK or eIF2α. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (B). Huh7 cells were transfected as described in A, except that siRNA duplexes targeting ATF6 were used in place of the siRNA duplexes targeting PERK. The levels of LC3-II expression were determined by Western blot analysis. The effectiveness of siRNA duplexes was detected by Western blot analysis using the antibodies against ATF6 or GRP94. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected as described in A, except that siRNA duplexes targeting IRE1α were used in place of the siRNA duplexes targeting PERK. The levels of LC3-II expression were determined by
Western blot analysis. The effectiveness of siRNA duplexes was detected by Western blot analysis of the expression of IRE1α or by RT-PCR analysis of XBP1 splicing. β-actin expression was examined as a protein loading control. (D). The ratios of LC3-II/β-actin (% of pcDNA3.1-Flag) in panels A, B, and C were determined as described in Figure 1B, respectively. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05.

Fig. 5. The autophagy machinery is required for HBV production. (A). Huh7 cells were transfected with pHBV1.3 and after 36 h were treated with or without 3-MA for 12 h. The amounts of nucleocapsid-associated DNA and viral RNA were determined by Southern blot and Northern blot analyses, respectively, using a 32P-radiolabeled HBV DNA probe. After detection of HBV RNA, the blots were stripped and rehybridized with a 32P-radiolabeled GAPDH DNA probe to control for gel loading. The positions of HBV relaxed circular (RC), single-stranded (SS) DNAs and the positions of viral pregenomic RNA (3.5 kb), preS1/S RNA (2.4 kb), and preS2/S RNA (2.1 kb) are indicated. (B). The percent of inhibitory effect of 3-MA (% of control) on the abundance of HBV DNA and RNA in panel A was calculated, respectively. The bands were quantified by densitometric analysis using NIH ImageJ software. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected and treated as described in A. Enveloped HBV virions in culture supernatant and nucleocapsids in cell lysates were precipitated with antibody against HBsAg or antibody against HBeAg.
respectively, and assayed by EPA as described in the Materials and Methods. SHBs in the precipitate from culture supernatant was determined by Western blot analysis. (D). The percent of inhibitory effect of 3-MA (% of control) on the abundance of extracellular virions and intracellular nucleocapsids in panel C was calculated as described in B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05. (E). Huh7 cells were transfected with pHBV1.3 and after 12 h of transfection were treated with rapamycin for 36 h or after 46 h of transfection were transferred to a starvation medium (EBSS) for 2 h. Enveloped HBV virions in culture supernatant and nucleocapsids in cell lysates were assayed by EPA as described in the Materials and Methods. SHBs in the precipitate from culture supernatant was determined by Western blot analysis. (F). The percent of enhancive effect of autophagy inducers (% of control) on the abundance of extracellular virions and intracellular nucleocapsids in panel E was calculated as described in B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. *, p <0.05. (G). Huh7 cells were transfected with 40 nM siRNA duplexes targeting EGFP, Beclin1 or ATG5. At 24 h posttransfection, the cells were transfected with 20 nM of the same siRNA duplexes together with pHBV1.3 for 48 h. Enveloped HBV virions in culture supernatant and nucleocapsids in cell lysates were assayed by EPA as described in the Materials and Methods. The effectiveness of siRNA duplexes targeting Beclin1 or ATG5 was detected by Western blot analysis of the expression of Beclin1, ATG5 or LC3-II. (H). The percent of inhibitory effect of siRNA duplexes (% of control) on the abundance of extracellular virions and intracellular nucleocapsids in panel G was
calculated as described in B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent
experiments. *, p <0.05. (I). Huh7 cells were transfected as described in D, except that
the siRNA duplexes targeting PERK, ATF6 or IRE1α were used in place of the siRNA
duplexes targeting Beclin1 or ATG5. Enveloped HBV virions in culture supernatant and
nucleocapsids in cell lysates were assayed by EPA as described in the Materials and
Methods. (J). The percent of inhibitory effect of siRNA duplexes (% of control) on the
abundance of extracellular virions and intracellular nucleocapsids in panel I was
calculated as described in B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent
experiments. *, p <0.05.

Fig. 6. The autophagy machinery is required for HBV envelopment. (A). Huh7 cells
were transfected and treated as described in Figure 5A. The abundance of extracellular or
intracellular enveloped virions and intracellular nucleocapsids was analyzed by EPA as
described in the Materials and Methods. (B). The percent of inhibitory effect of 3-MA (%
of control) on the abundance of extracellular or intracellular enveloped virions and
intracellular nucleocapsids was calculated as described in Figure 5B. Results represent
the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected as
described in Figure 5D. The abundance of extracellular or intracellular enveloped virions
and intracellular nucleocapsids was analyzed by EPA as described in the Materials and
Methods. (D). The percent of inhibitory effect of siRNA duplexes targeting Beclin1 or
ATG5 (% of control) on the abundance of extracellular or intracellular enveloped virions
and intracellular nucleocapsids was calculated as described in Figure 5B. Results represent the mean of data from 2 independent experiments. (E). pHBV1.3-ENV− was transfected into Huh7 cells. The cell lysates were precipitated with antibodies against HBsAg or HBcAg, respectively, and then subjected to EPA as described in the Materials and Methods.

Fig. 7. SHBs partially colocalized and coimmunoprecipitated with autophagy protein LC3. (A). Huh7 cells were transfected with pHBV1.3 for 48 h. Cells were fixed, blocked, and incubated with anti-SHBs or anti-HBx antibodies together with anti-LC3 antibody, followed by staining with Alexa Fluro 488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody and Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody. The nuclei were stained with DAPI, and the colocalization of SHBs or HBx (green stain) with LC3 (red stain) was observed under the confocal fluorescence microscope. Representative confocal images were shown. (B). Huh7 cells were transfected with pCDNA3.1-Flag-SHBs or pCDNA3.1-Flag-HBx together with pCMV-Myc-LC3 for 48 h. Coimmunoprecipitation analysis was performed with anti-Flag antibody as described in the Materials and Methods. The presence of exogenous LC3 in the immunoprecipitate was detected by Western blot analysis with anti-Myc antibody. (C). Huh7 cells were transfected with pHBV1.3 for 48 h. Coimmunoprecipitation analysis was performed with anti-SHBs antibody as described in the Materials and Methods. The presence of endogenous LC3 in the immunoprecipitate was detected by Western blot analysis with anti-LC3 antibody.
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