Immunization with Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2 (HSV-2) Genes Plus Inactivated HSV-2 Is Highly Protective Against Acute and Recurrent HSV-2 Disease
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ABSTRACT

To date, no vaccine has been licensed that is safe and effective against herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) disease. In this study, we evaluated a DNA prime-formalin-inactivated virus (FI-HSV2) boost vaccine approach in the guinea pig model of acute and recurrent HSV-2 genital disease. Five groups of guinea pigs were immunized and intravaginally challenged with HSV-2. Two groups were primed with plasmid DNAs encoding the secreted form of glycoprotein D2 (gD2t) together with two genes required for viral replication, either helicase (UL5) and DNA polymerase (UL30) or single stranded DNA binding protein (UL29) and primase (UL52). Both DNA primed groups were boosted with FI-HSV2 formulated with monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) and alum adjuvants. Two additional groups were primed with the empty backbone plasmid DNA (pVAX). They were boosted with MPL/alum together with either mock HSV-2 (FI-Mock) or with FI-HSV2. The final group was immunized with gD2t protein in MPL/alum. After challenge, 0/9 animals in the UL5, UL30, gD2t group and 10/10 animals in the mock immunized control group (pVAX - FI-Mock) developed primary lesions. All mock controls developed recurrent lesions through day 100 post-challenge. Only 1 guinea pig immunized with pVAX DNA – FI-HSV2 and 2 immunized with UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 developed recurrent lesions. Strikingly, the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 group showed a 97% reduction in recurrent lesion days compared with the mock controls, had the highest reduction in days with recurrent disease, and contained the lowest mean HSV-2 DNA load in the dorsal root ganglia.
INTRODUCTION

Herpes Simplex Virus type 2 (HSV-2) infection is the primary cause of genital herpes and establishes a lifelong, latent infection that can periodically reactivate. HSV-2 is one of the most common sexually transmitted infections, with the majority of horizontal transmissions occurring during asymptomatic viral shedding (28). In the United States, the seroprevalence for HSV-2 is 16.2%, and surprisingly 81.1% of those seropositive individuals had not been diagnosed by a health care professional, suggesting that most were asymptomatic (47). In addition to the physical pain and emotional stress caused by recurrent genital lesions, significant morbidity and mortality can result from HSV-2 infection in neonates (20) and immune compromised hosts (11). Importantly, HSV-2 infection is significantly related to an increased risk of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) acquisition (14, 45), which is likely due to the influx and persistence of CD4+ T cell targets in the genital skin (24, 48).

Clearly, there is a great need for a HSV-2 vaccine. Although the exact correlates of protective immunity are undefined, it appears that both cellular and humoral immune responses play a role in controlling HSV-2 infection. In humans, neutralizing antibody responses alone have not resulted in an efficacious prophylactic vaccine (5). In addition, the loss of CD4+ T cells in HIV-1 infected people correlates with HSV-2 shedding (38). In rodent models, IFN-γ has been shown to be important for T cell mediated clearance of HSV-2 from the mucosa (29). CD4+ T depletion studies have also shown that these cells may be required for protection against intravaginal (i.vag.) challenge (29, 30, 37). CD8+ cytotoxic
T cells have also been shown to be important for reducing HSV-2 replication and shedding. These T cells are associated with control of acute ganglion infection during primary infection (22). They accumulate at nerve endings in genital skin during reactivation (49), and their presence correlates with HSV-2 clearance (25).

Various strategies have been utilized in the attempt to make an effective HSV-2 vaccine (22). A large focus has been targeting the glycoproteins, including gD and gB (5, 42). To date, the most promising vaccine candidate tested in clinical trials was the GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) vaccine that consisted of a secreted gD protein formulated with MPL and alum. In two clinical trials, however, this vaccine was efficacious only in HSV-1 and -2 seronegative women (40). Replication-defective virus vaccines have also been successful in animal models and their advancement to clinical trials is imminent. One potential advantage of a replication-defective virus is its ability to elicit humoral and cell-mediated immune responses similar to that of a live virus. However, for safety concerns, the introduction of multiple mutations to guarantee that this virus is replication deficient is preferred. Among the proteins required for HSV-2 replication are UL5 (DNA helicase), UL29 (single-stranded DNA binding protein), UL30 (DNA polymerase), and UL52 (DNA primase) (4, 21). A UL5 and UL29 double-deletion HSV-2 mutant virus (dl5-29) has exhibited promising immunogenicity and subsequent protection in the mouse (6, 8) and guinea pig models (17-19). This replication-defective mutant virus provided similar protection as the defective virus with a mutation only in UL29 (8), which also protected both mice and guinea pigs against challenge with wild type HSV-2 (7,
While the use of inactivated virus vaccines are generally regarded as safe and are approved for polio and influenza viruses, inactivated HSV-2 vaccines tested in the past have not been as successful. Numerous studies with various preparations of inactivated HSV-2 have been performed in humans, but most lacked the necessary controls for correct data interpretation (reviewed in (46)). However, the interpretable data gleaned from the few studies with controls emphasized the need for a durable immune response which was not elicited by inactivated virus alone (46). The use of inactivated virus combined with other vaccine platforms in a prime-boost strategy, or in combination with adjuvants such as monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) and alum may provide such desired long-lived immunity.

We have previously shown that a DNA prime, inactivated virus plus adjuvant boost was protective in mice against another herpesvirus challenge, murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) (32, 33). Using this model, we also demonstrated that the CD8+ T cell responses generated against the DNA vaccines encoding the conserved, essential MCMV genes DNA polymerase and helicase were protective (31). Furthermore, the immunity elicited by the systemic prime-boost immunization protected mice against a mucosal challenge (32).

In this regard, we sought to apply a similar prime-boost strategy and evaluate its protective efficacy against i.vag. HSV-2 challenge. We tested this approach in the guinea pig model, which closely resembles human infection with respect to acute and recurrent genital disease. Two of the HSV-2 DNA primed groups of guinea pigs received a plasmid DNA that expresses a truncated
glycoprotein D2 (gD2t). The truncated form of gD2 lacks the transmembrane domain and is secreted (16). A previous study by Strasser et al. showed that compared to the full-length or cytosolic portion, immunization with the secreted form of gD2 produced a more balanced Th1:Th2 antibody response in mice. It also elicited the highest antibody levels in mice and guinea pigs and conferred a slightly higher level of protection against disease in guinea pigs (41). In the study presented here, guinea pigs were primed with 3 plasmid DNAs: the gD2t DNA plus two plasmids that express genes required for DNA replication (UL5 and UL30 or UL29 and UL52). Both of these groups were subsequently boosted with formalin inactivated HSV-2 (FI-HSV2) in MPL/Alum. Two additional vaccine groups were primed with plasmid backbone DNA (pVAX). One of these groups was boosted with FI-HSV-2 (as an inactivated virus only group) and the other with FI-mock preparation (as a mock immunized group). For comparative purposes, an additional group received a purified gD2t protein plus MPL/alum vaccine that is similar to the one administered in the GSK trials. Following i.vag. HSV-2 challenge, the protective efficacies of the vaccines were compared with respect to outcomes of acute disease and virus shedding. We also examined the animals daily over a long-term period for recurrent disease. At the end of the experiment, the levels of latent HSV-2 were measured. Taken together, the results showed that immunization with UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs-FI-HSV-2 was highly protective against acute and recurrent HSV-2 disease in the guinea pig model.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and viruses.** Vero cells (ATCC CLL-81) were purchased from ATCC and propagated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with low glucose (1 g glucose per L) supplemented with 5% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI-FBS), 5% (v/v) heat-inactivated newborn calf serum (HI-NCS), 100 U penicillin and 100 µg streptomycin per ml, and 2 mM additional L-glutamine. 293FT cells (Invitrogen) were propagated in DMEM (4.5 g glucose per L) supplemented with 10% (v/v) HI-FBS and 1× each of MEM nonessential amino acids, penicillin, streptomycin, and additional glutamine as above. Sera and supplements were purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies.

HSV-2 strain G (12) was a gift from David M. Knipe and was propagated by infection of confluent Vero monolayers at an MOI of 0.1, incubation at 33º C for 72 h, and then harvest of the cell-associated virus as previously described (10). This HSV-2 stock had a titer on Vero cells of $2.08 \times 10^8$ PFU per ml and an approximate LD$_{50}$ in methyl-hydroxyprogesterone-treated BALB/c mice following intravaginal (i.vag.) infection of $5 \times 10^3$ PFU.

FI-HSV2 was prepared from extracellular virus obtained following the infection of confluent Vero cell monolayers at an MOI of 0.05 to 0.1 and incubation at 33º C for 4 d. Media was harvested and sequentially clarified by centrifugation at $500 \times g$ for 5 min followed by $4000 \times g$ for 10 min. The virus in the supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a cushion of 25% (w/v) sucrose in Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) in an SW-27 rotor at 25,000 RPM for 1 h. Virus pellets were resuspended in DPBS on ice.
overnight, combined, and then sonicated in ice water in a Misonix cuphorn sonicator. The resultant virus was titered on Vero cells and the protein content was measured by Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad) using a BSA standard (Pierce). A 37% (w/v) formaldehyde stock solution was diluted 2000-fold in DPBS and an equal volume was added to the resuspended, sonicated virus (final formaldehyde dilution of 1:4000 or 0.009%). After 72 h incubation at 37º C with end-over-end mixing, equimolar sodium bisulfite was added to quench any residual formaldehyde, and 0.1 ml of the formalin-treated virus was used to infect Vero cells to confirm the absence of detectable infectivity. Vero cells were incubated for 5 days, and no CPE was observed in any preparations. Inactivated virus was stored at –80º C in aliquots. The inactivated virus had a final titer of 3 to 6 × 10^8 PFU equivalents per ml, and prior to inactivation, contained ca. 1 to 2 × 10^6 PFU per µg of protein. A formalin-treated mock virion preparation (FI-Mock) was prepared in parallel from the media of mock infected Vero cells. Uninfected media was clarified and subjected to ultracentrifugation through a sucrose cushion, and the resulting pellets were sonicated and treated with formalin as above. It should be noted that while the technique for the preparation of FI-Mock was identical to that for the FI-HSV2, the FI-Mock would not likely contain cellular factors present in the FI-HSV2 that are induced by the virus infection, i.e. cytokines or non-virion secretome components.

For preparation of HSV-2 virion for ELISA, HSV-2 was propagated in Vero cells as described above. On day 4 p.i., cells were released into the media from T-175 flasks by shaking, pelleted at 500 × g, and washed once with DPBS. Cells
were resuspended in 5 ml of sterile DPBS + 150 µg/ml dextran sulfate (average molecular mass 9,000 – 20,000, Sigma) per T-175 flask. Cells were resuspended gently to prevent cell lysis and then mixed by inverting tubes 20 times. Cells were pelleted at 500 × g, and the supernatant was clarified by centrifugation at 2000 × g for 10 min. Sterile NaCl was slowly added to the supernatant while mixing to increase the NaCl concentration from 150 to 650 mM, and the virus was pelleted through a sucrose cushion as above. Virus pellets were resuspended in DPBS on ice overnight, sonicated, sampled for infectious virus by plaque assay on Vero cells, and assayed for protein as above. Sterile glycerol in DPBS was added to a 15% (v/v) final concentration before aliquots were frozen at –80º C. Infectivity of the frozen virus was found to be maintained after an additional freeze-thaw cycle.

For use in virus neutralization assays, HSV-2 was propagated as above except that serum-free media was used. Extracellular media was collected and clarified twice. The virus in the supernatant was pelleted, resuspended in DPBS, sonicated, and stored in 15% (v/v) glycerol at –80º C as above.

**Plasmid construction and expression.** HSV-2 ORFs from the virion DNA of strain G were amplified by PCR and cloned into a modified pVAX1 vector (Invitrogen). Expression from the pVAX1 plasmid vector is driven by the strong, constitutive HCMV major IE promoter/enhancer. This vector was modified by the QuikChange mutagenesis to modify the multicloning site to contain a unique HindIII site followed by a FLAG tag coding sequence and 3 in-frame stop codons. The final vector, designated pVAX1.2 and abbreviated below as pVAX, was the
basis for the cloning and expression of carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagged HSV-2 ORFs UL5 (helicase), UL29 (single-stranded DNA binding protein), UL30 (DNA polymerase), UL52 (helicase subunit), and gD2t (the extracellular portion of gD2 consisting of aa 1 – 327).

Plasmid DNA used for injection was purified by Qiagen Endo-Free Mega or Giga anion exchange columns. DNAs were resuspended at a final concentration of 2 - 3 mg per ml in endotoxin-free Tris-HCl (pH 8), and stored at −20ºC in aliquots. For each preparation, the expression of full-length ORF-FLAG fusion proteins was confirmed by Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen)-mediated transient transfection into 293FT cells followed by Western blot with anti-FLAG mouse monoclonal antibody (M2, Sigma). Immediately prior to injection, DNAs were thawed and diluted in endotoxin-free Tris buffered saline (TBS), pH 8.

Preparation of gD2 subunit. Truncated gD2 subunit vaccine was purified from the media of transiently transfected 293FT cells. The media from pc3Δneo-gD2t transfected 293FT cells was collected 4 days posttransfection and clarified. The carboxyterminally FLAG tagged gD2 protein in the supernatant was purified by immunoaffinity purification using anti-FLAG M2 resin (Sigma) and elution with 3×FLAG peptide (Sigma). Fractions containing gD2 protein were mixed and stored in aliquots at −80º C. Purity and gD2 protein concentration were determined by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (GelCode Blue, Pierce), with bovine serum albumin standards run on the same gel for quantification purposes. Using Image-J software (NIH), a standard curve of BSA concentrations was generated by optical density and the gD2 protein...
concentrations were interpolated. Yields were approximately 5 µg gD2 protein per ml of media.

Immunization of guinea pigs. This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All use of vertebrate animals was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University of California, San Diego. Specific pathogen free female Hartley guinea pigs were purchased from Charles River Laboratories at 5 weeks of age and housed in microisolator cages. Guinea pigs were 12 weeks of age at the time of first immunization and 10 guinea pigs comprised each prime-boost immunization group.

For DNA priming of guinea pigs, hindquarters were shaved to ca. 3 - 4 cm from the caudal end. A total of 100 µl of DNA in endotoxin-free saline was injected intradermally (i.d.) at 3 separate locations. Groups received either 1) 200 µg of pVAX, 2) a cocktail of 66.7 µg each UL5, UL30, and gD2t DNAs, or 3) a cocktail of 66.7 µg each UL29, UL52, and gD2t DNAs. Three immunizations were given over 5 weeks.

For FI-HSV2 and gD2 vaccination, MPL from *Salmonella minnesota* Re595 was purchased from Sigma, resuspended at a concentration of 1 mg per ml of 0.5% (v/v) triethanolamine, emulsified by sonication as previously described (2), and stored at 4º C until use. FI-HSV2 or purified gD2 subunit stocks were thawed and diluted in DPBS, and then MPL was added. Alum adjuvant
(Alhydrogel, Accurate Chemical & Scientific, Westbury, NY) was added dropwise while mixing and then additionally mixed 1 h at room temperature before injection.

Six weeks after the last DNA injection, guinea pigs received the first of two boosts. Each of the DNA primed groups was subcutaneously (s.c.) boosted approximately mid-back with $2 \times 10^7$ PFU equivalents of FI-HSV2 ($12.2 \mu g$ protein), $12.5 \mu g$ MPL, and Alhydrogel corresponding to $305 \mu g$ Al(OH)$_3$ and 108 $\mu g$ Al (according to the manufacturer titration). We found that using these masses of FI-HSV2, MPL, and Alhydrogel and adsorption conditions, all detectable gD2 protein from the virion ($< 5\%$ of input) remained bound to the Alhydrogel (data not shown). As an FI-HSV2 alone immunized group, half of the pVAX-primed guinea pigs were boosted with FI-HSV2 as above. As a vehicle only negative control group, the other half of the pVAX-primed guinea pigs received a volume of FI-Mock equal to the FI-HSV2 above together with MPL and Alhydrogel as above. Finally, a gD2 subunit group (that was previously naïve) received $5 \mu g$ of purified gD2 protein together with $12.5 \mu g$ MPL and Alhydrogel corresponding to $125 \mu g$ Al(OH)$_3$ and 44.4 $\mu g$ Al. Guinea pigs were given an identical boost 4 weeks later, similar to the schedule carried out in the GSK trials.

Blood samples were collected from the saphenous vein for IgG and neutralizing antibody determination on the weeks shown. Sera were prepared and stored at $-20\degree$ C until assay.

**Intravaginal virus challenge, disease scoring, and quantification of viral shedding.** Three weeks after the final boost, ketamine/xylazine
anesthetized guinea pigs were challenged intravaginally by micropipette-based
instillation of 25 µl of sterile DPBS containing 1% (v/v) HI-NCS, 0.1% (w/v)
glucose (DNG), and $6.0 \times 10^5$ PFU of HSV-2. Prior to anesthesia, the individual
guinea pig cages were each assigned a new identifying cage card labeled only
with a random number (http://www.random.org/sequences/). Thus the personnel
(C.S.M. and M.S.L.) were able to perform the disease scoring and vaginal swab
collection in a blinded manner.

Guinea pigs were scored daily for 100 days for the severity of vaginal
disease using a previously described (39) severity scale of 0 (no lesions), 1
(erythema only), 2 (single or few vesicles), 3 (large or fused vesicles), or 4
(ulcerated lesions). In addition, a 0.5 score was given for slight erythema,
swelling, or non-lesion papules or patches. In addition, a score of 5 was given
for death due to HSV-2 disease. To confirm vaginal viral replication and measure
shedding, vaginal swab samples were collected on days 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 12
postchallenge using 15 cm polyester tipped swabs (Puritan 25-806-1PD, Puritan
Medical Products, Guilford, Maine) that were pre-moistened with DNG. Swabs
were stored in 1 ml of DNG at −80°C in until titration by plaque assay on Vero
cell monolayers.

For plaque assays, swabs were quickly thawed in a 37°C water bath and
vortex mixed at full-speed for 30 s prior to serial dilution in DNG. Confluent
monolayers of Vero cells in 24-well plates were infected for 1 h at 37°C on a 50-
60 RPM orbit shaker with an infection volume of 0.25 ml DNG per well. After
adsorption, the inocula were removed, and the monolayers were overlaid with 0.5
1 ml of DMEM with 2% (v/v) HI-NCS and 0.35% (w/v) sterile agarose. After a 2
day incubation at 37º C and 7% CO₂, formalin in DPBS was added to a final
formalin concentration of 4%. The monolayers were fixed at 25º C for at least 3 h
prior to aspiration of the agarose overlay/formalin and staining with crystal violet.
The assay limit of sensitivity was 10 PFU per swab. Assays yielding no plaques
were assigned a titer of 5 PFU per swab for graphing and statistical analysis.

**Antibody quantification.** HSV-2 virion-specific IgG ELISA. Each well of a
96-well Maxisorp plate (Nunc) was coated with 0.5 µg of either dextran sulfate-
released HSV-2 virion or sonicate from mock-infected Vero cells. Of note, the
HSV-2 virion that was released from the surface of infected Vero cells by dextran
sulfate was found to result in both lower background and higher signal in the
ELISA compared with ELISA using purified extracellular HSV-2 (data not shown).

Plates were incubated overnight at 4 ºC and then treated with 200 µJoules of UV
light in a Stratalinker 1800 (Stratagene) to inactivate residual virus infectivity.
Plates were washed with TBS four times and blocked for at least one hour at
room temperature with 2.5% (w/v) casein (Hammarsten grade, USB) in TBS. All
room temperature incubations were performed on a platform orbit shaker at 200
RPM. Sera from individual immunized guinea pigs were initially diluted 1:40 and
then serially diluted 4-fold in a 1:1 mixture of 2.5% casein in TBS and HI-NCS.
Plates were washed four times with TBS and serum dilutions were added to the
plates. After a one hour incubation at room temperature, plates were washed six
times in TBS and then goat anti-guinea pig IgG (whole molecule)– alkaline
phosphatase conjugate (Sigma) diluted 1:2500 in 2.5% Casein in TBS was
added to each well. Plates were incubated at room temperature for one hour and then washed six times with TBS. SigmaFast p-nitrophenyl phosphate (disodium salt) substrate (Sigma) was added to each well and incubated for another hour prior to detecting absorbance at 405 nm on a Spectramax plate reader (Molecular Devices). The endpoint titer was defined as the highest reciprocal dilution of serum at which the A405 in the virion-coated well was greater than or equal to twice the A405 of the same serum dilution in the mock-infected Vero cell lysate-coated well.

**gD2-specific IgG ELISA:** ELISA was performed as above, except plates were coated with 50 ng of gD2 protein purified from the media of transfected 293FT media as above. Individual guinea pig sera were initially diluted 1:20 and then serially diluted 4-fold in 2.5% casein in TBS. For the gD2 protein ELISA, endpoint titer was defined as the highest reciprocal dilution of sera at which the A405 was greater than or equal to twice the average of naïve guinea pig sera against gD2 protein at the same dilution. To address the possibility that a significant percentage of the antibodies elicited by the FLAG-tagged gD2 protein were specific for the FLAG tag rather than the gD2 subunit, the sera from the gD2 protein vaccinated group that were collected at the peak level of gD2-specific IgG (Week 18) were pooled and tested in a FLAG-specific ELISA. Plates were coated with 1 µg of 3×FLAG peptide (Sigma) or 50 ng of the FLAG-tagged gD2 protein per well. A mouse anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (Clone M2, Sigma) confirmed that the 3×FLAG peptide efficiently bound to the plate and that the FLAG tag on the plate-bound gD2 protein was accessible to antibody binding.
The pooled sera from the animals immunized with the gD2 subunit showed only a background level of binding in the FLAG peptide coated wells (titer of 320) and high-level binding to the FLAG-tagged gD2 coated wells (titer 81,920 - 327,680) (data not shown).

Neutralizing antibody assay. Individual guinea pig sera were thawed, mixed, and 2-fold serial dilutions were made in DNG. To 50 µl of each dilution was added 50 µl DNG containing ca. 50 PFU of HSV-2 that was purified from serum-free media from infected Vero cells. With the addition of virus, the final reciprocal serum dilutions were two-fold from 20 to 2560. The serum/virus mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 1 h before addition to 24-well plates of confluent Vero cells. Cells were infected, incubated, fixed, and stained, and the plaques were counted as for the plaque assay. For the determination of input virus for each assay day, DNG was used instead of serum in the assay, and the geometric mean of 16 replicate wells of input virus was calculated. The neutralization titer of each serum was determined by Fit spline/LOWESS interpolation for the reciprocal serum dilution that neutralized 50% of the input virus for the corresponding assay (Prism 5.0b for Macintosh, GraphPad Software, Inc.). To normalize the neutralization activities among each assay day, each assay contained the same internal controls consisting of 3 sera collected from a guinea pig 6, 9, and 13 weeks following i.vag. infection with $10^{5.25}$ PFU of HSV-2 as well as a purified, pooled human IgG preparation (without sodium azide, Innovative Research, Novi, Michigan). Assay to assay variability of each
serum was approximately 2-fold. Neutralization titers below the limit of sensitivity were assigned a value of 10 for graphing purposes.

Quantification of HSV-2 DNA in the DRG. At the conclusion of the disease scoring period (see Fig. 1), guinea pigs were sacrificed, and the lumbosacral DRG (L3 through S1 or S2) from individual guinea pigs were harvested bilaterally. They were snap-frozen on dry ice in a DNA-free tube and stored at –80º C until DNA extraction. The DRG from each animal were collected into a single tube, and care was taken to prevent animal-to-animal cross-contamination of DNA by either autoclaving/baking of instruments or treatment with 10% (v/v) bleach in ddH$_2$O followed by thorough rinsing with ddH$_2$O. The DRG DNA from each guinea pig was extracted using the DN easy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations of overnight proteinase K digestion and RNase addition, except that double volumes of all reagents, except AE elution buffer, were used. DNA concentrations were determined by A260 measurement (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific), and both DNA quality and the absence of residual RNA were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. As a control for the remote, yet unknown possibility, that HSV-2 DNA derived from the FI-HSV2 immunization could locate to and be maintained in the DRG of immunized guinea pigs, 3 naïve guinea pigs were subcutaneously immunized with FI-HSV2 as above, and 6 weeks after the last immunization, the DRG were harvested and DNA extracted as above.
TaqMan real-time quantitative PCR (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) primers and probe were designed based on the sequence of the glycoprotein G (gG) ORF of HSV-2 strain HG52 (GenBank Z86099.2) as follows: primer gGsen (CAA GCT CCC GCT AAG GAC AT, primer gGant (GGT GGT GCT G AT GAT AAA GAG G), and probe gG (6-FAM-TGG TTC CTA ACG GCC TCC CCT GC-Black Hole Quencher-1.) Primers and probe were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies, San Diego, California. PCR reactions consisted of 50 µl of 1× TaqMan Universal Master Mix, 0.3 µM each primer, 0.25 µM probe, and 250 ng DRG DNA in 10 µl of AE elution buffer (Qiagen) per reaction. Replicate reactions for the standard curve amplified 10 µl of AE buffer containing 250 ng DRG DNA from naïve guinea pigs together with 5 to 10^4 copies of HSV-2 strain G DNA that was extracted from dextran sulfate released HSV-2 by the DNeasy kit as above. Control HSV-2 DNA was initially quantified by A260 and validated by agarose gel electrophoresis as above. The amount of residual Vero DNA in the HSV-2 DNA preparation was quantified by real-time PCR using Vero-specific primers (based on the GAPDH sequence of Macaca mulatta) and SYBR Green-based reactions (data not shown). Vero sequences were found to comprise approximately 7% of the control HSV-2 DNA preparation, and the copy number calculation for the control DNA was adjusted accordingly. To ensure that the mass and integrity of each DRG DNA was uniform and that each preparation could be amplified, the cellular DNA was also quantified using TaqMan primers and probe specific for guinea pig β-actin (Genbank AF508792.1). The β-actin primers and probes were as follows: sense primer (ACG GAG CGT GGC TAC AGT TT), antisense primer
(TCC TTG ATG TCA CGC ACA ATT T), and probe (6-FAM-ACC ACC ACG GCC GAG CGG-Black Hole Quencher-1).

To determine the amount of HSV-2 DNA in the DRG, 3 independent reactions containing all of the DRG DNAs, standard curve replicates, and no template control reactions were performed on different days. Loading orders on the 96-well plates for each reaction were randomized to avoid bias or well-to-well influence. The mean DNA load for each guinea pig was calculated from the 3 reactions and expressed as HSV-2 DNA copy number per 250 ng DRG DNA.

**Statistical analyses.** Rates of the development of acute and recurrent lesions were compared by Fisher’s exact test. Numbers of recurrent lesion episodes, recurrent lesion days, recurrent disease days, cumulative acute disease scores, levels of HSV-2 shedding, and the amounts of HSV-2 DNA in the DRG were analyzed first by Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric one-way analysis of variance. If statistical significance was achieved, Dunn’s multiple comparison tests were subsequently performed on all pairs of vaccine groups (FI-Mock versus each vaccine and each vaccine versus the others). All P values were two-tailed and P < 0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using Prism 5.0b for Macintosh.

**RESULTS**

**Immunization of guinea pigs.** We previously found that DNA immunization with plasmids expressing either the helicase (M105) or DNA polymerase (M54) genes of MCMV elicited CD8+ T cell responses and provided suppression of virus
replication in the spleen after sublethal systemic MCMV challenge (31). Later studies showed protection following immunization with the single stranded DNA binding protein gene (M57) (data not shown). To test whether DNA immunization using plasmids encoding conserved, essential genes of HSV-2 could augment the responses elicited by FI-HSV2, we cloned the HSV-2 homologs of the above genes, UL5, UL30, and UL29, respectively, as well as the UL52 helicase-primase subunit gene. Because vaccination with gD2/MPL/Alum has shown some clinical success and gD2t DNA immunization has shown efficacy in guinea pigs, we included gD2t DNA with the conserved, essential genes to test their combined efficacy against acute and recurrent HSV-2 disease. Table 1 shows the combination of DNA plasmids used to prime each group of guinea pigs in the vaccine study - one group received UL5, UL30, and gD2t DNAs (Group 3) and another group UL29, UL52, and gD2t DNAs (Group 4). To assess any protective contribution of the DNAs in the prime-boost strategy, a guinea pig group was primed with empty vector (pVAX) and boosted with FI-HSV2 (Group 2). While our DNA prime – inactivated virus boost vaccine strategy against MCMV included only alum adjuvant with the inactivated MCMV, we included MPL/Alum with the inactivated HSV-2 because the GSK HSV-2 gD/MPL/Alum subunit vaccine had shown some clinical efficacy (40). The mock immunized control group was primed with pVAX and boosted with FI-Mock. FI-Mock is a formalin-inactivated preparation from the clarified media of Vero cells that were mock infected at the time of FI-HSV2 preparation (Group 1). Finally, as a positive control group for protection and for comparative purposes, a group of guinea pigs was immunized
with purified gD2 subunit together with MPL and alum (Group 5). This is analogous to the vaccine that has shown partial protection in HSV-1 and –2 seronegative women.

As described in detail in Materials and Methods and using the timeline in Fig. 1, ten guinea pigs per prime-boost group were intradermally (i.d.) immunized with DNA three times over five weeks and then subcutaneously (s.c.) boosted with FI-HSV2 or FI-Mock six and ten weeks after the last DNA immunization. The gD2 subunit immunized group was left naïve until it received gD2 protein immunizations at the same time as the other groups were given FI-HSV2 or FI-Mock boosts.

**Antibody responses in the immunized guinea pigs.** On the weeks of the experiment shown in Fig. 1, blood samples were collected from each guinea pig for virus-specific antibody determinations. HSV-2-specific IgG levels were measured in the sera from individual guinea pigs by ELISA using plates coated with whole, purified HSV-2. Both groups that received a DNA prime containing gD2t DNA had high antibody titers (mean Log$_{10}$ titers of 3.3 or 3.6) against HSV-2 virion (Fig. 2A, left panel). The first boost with FI-HSV2 increased HSV-2 specific titers approximately 2-fold, and the second boost further increased titers to a level that was 13- to 24-fold higher than their respective pre-boost levels. Similar titers after the boost were obtained in the group that was primed with the pVAX (empty vector) and given the FI-HSV2 boost. In contrast, HSV-2 virion-specific titers in the gD2 subunit group were 50-fold and 14-fold lower than the
FI-HSV2 immunized groups following the first and second immunizations, respectively. Prior to challenge, the background in the pVAX – FI-Mock immunized guinea pigs increased slightly. This was likely due to the increasing antibody responses to the Vero and/or serum proteins that were present in both the FI-Mock immunogen and HSV-2 virion coating the ELISA plates. Three weeks after i.vag. HSV-2 challenge, all 7 of the mock immunized guinea pigs (pVAX – FI-Mock) that survived the challenge seroconverted to HSV-2 with an average Log10 reciprocal titer of 4.2. Postchallenge titers in the FI-HSV2 immunized groups showed only modest increases over their respective prechallenge levels.

Taken together, we found that both groups primed with HSV-2 DNAs gave high levels of HSV-2 virion-specific IgG that were further increased by 2 boosts with FI-HSV2. Guinea pigs that were immunized only with FI-HSV2 had titers equal to those that received the HSV-2 plasmid DNA priming. However, the titer in the group given gD2 subunit immunization was consistently lower than the titers in the groups immunized with FI-HSV2.

Because gD2 is a major target of virus neutralizing antibodies, the gD2-specific IgG level in each serum was analyzed by ELISA. Plates were coated with truncated gD2 protein purified from the media of transfected 293FT cells. Priming with either of the gD2t DNA containing cocktails elicited high gD2-specific IgG Log10 titers of 3.9 – 4 (Fig. 2A, right panel). After 2 boosts with FI-HSV2, these titers were increased approximately 5-fold. In the pVAX primed group, a gD2-specific IgG response was observed only after two boosts with FI-
HSV2. Notably, this level was 2.5 Logs lower than in the DNA prime – FI-HSV2 boost groups. In contrast, gD2 subunit immunization elicited the strongest gD2-specific IgG responses. After 2 immunizations, the ELISA titers (mean of 5.1) were 15-fold higher than those following 3 doses of either gD2t DNA containing cocktail (Week 18 titers of gD2 subunit groups vs. Week 9 titers of the gD2t DNA primed groups). The titers in the gD2 subunit group were still 2-fold higher than those in the DNA primed groups after they were boosted with FI-HSV2. Following challenge, all of the surviving pVAX – FI-Mock immunized guinea pigs developed gD2-specific IgG responses that were similar to those in the pVAX – FI-HSV2 group after challenge.

Taken together, immunization with gD2t DNA in either DNA cocktail resulted in higher gD2-specific IgG levels than 2 immunizations with FI-HSV2. Subsequent boosts of the gD2t DNA primed guinea pigs with FI-HSV2 resulted in only a slight increase in gD2-specific antibody levels. Two immunizations with gD2 subunit provided the best prechallenge gD2-specific IgG levels, with average levels over 2.5 Logs higher than those in the pVAX – FI-HSV2 immunized animals. Of note, because we used the FLAG-tagged gD2 subunit as the immunogen in the gD2 subunit group and as the ELISA antigen, we were concerned that the ELISA titers measured in this group represented both the contributions of gD2-specific as well as FLAG-specific IgG. As described in Materials and Methods, we determined that the vast majority of IgG detected in the gD2 subunit immunized guinea pigs was specific for gD2 epitopes and not the FLAG tag.
The levels of virus neutralizing antibody were measured by plaque reduction assay (Fig. 2B). Overall, neutralizing antibody levels were found to mirror the ELISA IgG levels against the HSV-2 virion, with some exceptions. Immunization with either of the HSV-2 DNA cocktails resulted in geometric mean titers (GMTs) of approximately 100 – 120 in the neutralization assay (measured at week 9). Following an FI-HSV2 boost, these GMTs increased to 200 - 210, (week 14). However, the FI-HSV2 boost only group (pVAX - FI-HSV2) had a GMT of 120 (week 14), which was approximately 2-fold lower than the titers in the primed groups. While one immunization with gD2 subunit elicited neutralization titers (GMT of 43) that were 3- to 4-fold lower than in the FI-HSV2 groups, the second immunization with gD2 subunit resulted in the highest observed levels of neutralizing antibodies both pre- and postchallenge (GMT of 317). Prechallenge (week 18) levels in the gD2 subunit group were 2- to 5-fold higher than the FI-HSV2 groups. Neutralizing antibody titers in the FI-HSV2 groups were similar after the first and second boosts when compared with the approximate 2-fold assay-to-assay variability that was measured using internal control sera.

Protection against acute vaginal HSV-2 disease. Three weeks after the last immunization, guinea pigs were anesthetized and intravaginally challenged with HSV-2. The anogenital region of each guinea pig was scored daily by blinded observers for 100 days postchallenge. Days 1 – 14 were defined as the acute disease phase and days 15 – 100 were defined as the recurrent phase. The
outcomes of disease and lesion development as well as deaths are summarized
in Table 2. We found that the highly virulent challenge dose of virus ($6 \times 10^5$
PFU) resulted in overwhelming disease followed by death of 3 of the 10 pVAX –
FI-Mock immunized guinea pigs between days 11 and 14 postchallenge. An
additional guinea pig in this group developed a severe, macerating disease by
day 9 postchallenge that resulted in enough sequelae to preclude the
unequivocal determination of recurrent lesion development. Therefore, recurrent
phase disease scores from this animal were omitted from the study. Finally,
another guinea pig in this group died on day 34 postchallenge. This guinea pig
accumulated 7 acute phase and 5 recurrent phase lesion days through the first
20 days of the recurrent phase, and disease scores from this animal were
included in both acute and recurrent disease analyses. In summary, 9 of the 10
pVAX – FI-Mock immunized guinea pigs developed acute lesions, with the
remaining guinea pig developing swelling, fecal retention and impaction, and
anogenital hair loss.

In contrast to the severe disease and deaths in this control group, none of
the 9 UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 immunized guinea pigs developed
lesions during the acute phase, with only 1 guinea pig showing slight erythema
(score of 0.5) on day 3 only. This group had 9 guinea pigs to observe due to the
failure of 1 to regain consciousness after receiving the pre-challenge anesthetic
cocktail that contained a since-recalled lot of ketamine. One of 10 guinea pigs in
each of the other immunization groups developed lesions lasting 4 or 5 days. In
addition, 1 – 3 animals in each of these groups showed erythema only on 1 – 3
days. Each of the vaccines significantly reduced the rates of acute lesion
development (P = 0.0001 for the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 group and P =
0.001 for the other groups relative to mock immunized controls, Fisher’s Exact
Test). No significant differences were observed between the protective vaccines.
The timecourse of the mean disease score of each group is shown in Fig. 3A.

To better assess the acute disease burden of each guinea pig in the
vaccine groups, the cumulative acute disease scores of each guinea pig are
shown in Fig. 3B. Compared with the pVAX – FI-Mock group, all of the other
groups had statistically significant reductions in cumulative acute disease scores
(P < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis plus Dunn’s multiple comparison test), but there were
no significant differences among the protected groups. Of note, the prime-boost
immunization with UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 resulted in a greater than
99.5% reduction in the mean cumulative acute disease score relative to the
vehicle only control (P < 0.001).

Protection against acute virus shedding. Vaginal HSV-2 shedding was
measured by plaque assay of intravaginal swabs performed on the days
postchallenge shown in Fig. 4. The peak of virus shedding in the pVAX – FI-
Mock group was $10^5$ PFU on day 1 postchallenge (Fig. 4A), with 10 of 10 guinea
pigs shedding detectable virus through day 7 postchallenge. In this group, 6 of 10
and 1 of 10 were still shedding detectable virus on days 9 and 12, respectively
(Fig. 4B). On day 1 postchallenge, the UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2
immunized group had a 2 Log reduction in HSV-2 levels compared with the
pVAX – Fl-Mock group, with the remaining groups showing a ca. 1.2 Log reduction (Fig. 4A). Both the UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – Fl-HSV2 and gD2 subunit immunized guinea pigs showed similar mean titer reductions of 2.4 and 1.8 Logs on days 3 and 5, respectively, compared to the mock immunized group. Mean titer reductions in the pVAX – Fl-HSV2 and UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – Fl-HSV2 groups tended to be lower through day 5 postchallenge than those found in the other vaccine groups (Fig. 4A). No statistical differences between the HSV-2 titers in the mock and vaccine groups were found by Kruskal-Wallis analysis of the virus titers on each of the days postchallenge. With the exception of the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – Fl-HSV2 group on day 1 postchallenge, all of the vaccines resulted in reductions in the percentage of guinea pigs in each group shedding virus relative to the mock control group (Fig. 4B). Of note, 1 guinea pig in each of the UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – Fl-HSV2 and gD2 subunit groups had no detectable virus on any of the swab days through day 12 postchallenge (data not shown).

Protection against recurrent HSV-2 disease. The recurrent lesion rates and mean number of lesion episodes in each immunization group are summarized in Table 2. In the control group, 6 of 6 observable guinea pigs developed at least one recurrent lesion through day 100 postchallenge. However, only 1 of 10 guinea pigs immunized with pVAX – Fl-HSV2 (the animal that had acute phase lesions and virus shedding persisting to day 9 postchallenge) developed recurrent lesions. Two of 9 animals immunized with UL5, UL30, gD2t...
DNAs – FI-HSV2 developed recurrent lesions, and 3 or 4 (out of 10 animals each) of the gD2 subunit or UL29, UL52, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 groups, respectively, developed recurrent lesions. Compared with the pVAX – FI-Mock group, all of the other vaccine groups had statistically significantly lower recurrence rates (P<0.05, Fisher’s Exact Test), but there were no significant differences between the protective vaccine groups. The mean number of recurrent lesion episodes in the pVAX – FI-Mock group was 3.67. Although the pVAX – FI-HSV2 group had only 1 animal that developed lesions, it had 7 episodes of recurrent lesions resulting in a mean number of 0.70 episodes per guinea pig (Table 2, P < 0.01 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock). Most strikingly, the mean number of episodes in the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 was 0.22, representing 1 lesion day observed for each of 2 guinea pigs (P < 0.01 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock). There were no statistical differences between the FI-HSV2 immunized groups.

The kinetics of recurrent lesion development in each group are shown in Fig. 5A as the cumulative number of lesion days averaged over the number of guinea pigs in the group. All of the guinea pigs in the pVAX – FI-Mock group and 1 of the guinea pigs in the pVAX – FI-HSV2 group developed lesions towards the beginning of the recurrent phase (days 17 and 18, respectively), which continued to appear through day 97 and 93, respectively. In contrast, the 2 guinea pigs in the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 group that developed lesions each had a single lesion on 1 day only during the recurrent phase (20 or 39 postchallenge).
HSV2 groups were very similar. As summarized in Table 2, all immunization groups showed statistically significant reductions in the number of days with recurrent lesions compared with mock immunized controls. No significant differences were found between any of the protected vaccine groups. Remarkably, the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 group had a 97% reduction in the number of days with recurrent lesions (P < 0.01 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock, Kruskal-Wallis plus Dunn’s multiple comparison tests).

In addition to lesion formation, many animals in the study showed less severe forms of recurrent disease such as erythema, swelling, and/or papules that were worth noting. Some guinea pigs showed recurrent disease (score ≥ 0.5) on half or more days in the recurrent phase. The cumulative number of days with vaginal disease (including lesions) was calculated for each guinea pig and plotted in Fig. 5B. Of particular note, immunization with UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 was the most protective against any recurrent disease through day 100 postchallenge. This group had a statistically significant 71% reduction in disease days compared to the gD2 subunit group and a 74% reduction in disease days compared with mock immunized controls (both P < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis plus Dunn’s multiple comparison tests). There were no statistically significant differences between any of the other vaccine groups compared with FI-Mock or each other. Taken together, prime-boost immunization with UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 was the most strongly protective against recurrent HSV-2 lesion development and days with recurrent disease. The pVAX – FI-HSV2
immunization resulted in the fewest number of guinea pigs that developed recurrent lesions.

Protection against latent HSV-2 load in the DRG. To determine whether the protection against recurrent lesion or vaginal HSV-2 disease was associated with differences in number of latent viral genomes in the DRG or in post-reactivation immunity, the lumbosacral DRG from each surviving guinea pig were harvested. The levels of HSV-2 DNA were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR as described in Materials and Methods. As shown in Fig. 6, HSV-2 DNA was detected in 6 of 6 pVAX – FI-Mock immunized guinea pigs, with a mean of 365 copies of HSV-2 DNA per 250 ng of DRG DNA (range 35 – 1316 copies). Importantly, all immunization groups, except for the gD2 subunit group, had significantly reduced loads of latent HSV-2 DNA compared to the mock group (pVAX – FI-Mock). No significant differences were observed when the FI-HSV2 or gD2 subunit groups were compared with each other. Only 1 of the 10 guinea pigs immunized with pVAX – FI-HSV2 had detectable HSV-2 DNA (84 copies). This was the same animal that had detectable virus shedding through day 9 postchallenge followed by 7 recurrent lesion episodes. In the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 group, 2 of 9 guinea pigs had just detectable levels (13 – 16 copies) of HSV-2 DNA. Similarly, 3 of 10 guinea pigs in the UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 group had detectable HSV-2 DNA, and 2 of these animals had developed recurrent lesions. Finally, 4 of the 10 gD2 subunit immunized guinea pigs had detectable HSV-2 DNA, and 1 of these guinea pigs had developed
recurrent lesions. No HSV-2 DNA was detectable in any of the PCR reactions using the DRG from the guinea pigs that were immunized with FI-HSV2 but not challenged, indicating that the HSV-2 DNA detected in the DRG of the FI-HSV2 immunized groups was that of the challenge virus and not the inactivated virus vaccine. In addition, three replicates of quantitative PCR using β-actin-specific primers and probe showed that similar levels of the cellular gene could be amplified from every DRG preparation, including those that had undetectable HSV-2 DNA (data not shown). Finally, while no lesions were observed in any of the animals at the time of the DRG harvest, we cannot exclude that the DRG DNA level measured was affected by a coincidental recurrence episode.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we employed a DNA prime – whole, inactivated virus in MPL/Alum boost strategy to protect guinea pigs against acute and recurrent HSV-2 disease. This strategy was developed using a mouse model of MCMV protection in which DNA vaccines were used to elicit T cell responses against non-structural antigens as well as to prime B cell responses against viral targets of neutralizing antibodies (32, 33). Immunization with these DNA vaccines protected mice against MCMV challenge, but the highest levels of protection were in DNA primed mice boosted with formalin-inactivated virus in adjuvant. Furthermore, only DNA prime – inactivated virus boost vaccination could provide suppression of virus replication in target organs to undetectable levels in all mice tested (33). We found that inactivated virus boosting resulted in both neutralizing
antibody levels at least as high as those elicited by MCMV infection, as well as recall CD8+ T cell responses to the virion associated antigens (33). In a recent study using this prime-boost vaccination strategy for protection against HSV-2 in mice, we found that nearly complete protection against lethal, intravaginal challenge of mice could be conferred by a prime-boost vaccine consisting of the UL5, UL30, and gD2t DNAs followed by FI-HSV2 together with MPL/Alum (data not shown).

The gD2t DNA containing cocktails and the FI-HSV2 were found to elicit similar, strong HSV-2 virion-specific IgG and neutralizing antibody responses. In both groups containing the gD2t DNA prime, the boosting effect of the FI-HSV2 on HSV-2 antibody levels was most evident after two injections. At this time, the levels of HSV-2 specific IgG antibodies were 14-fold greater in these two groups compared to the gD2 subunit group. In contrast, the gD2 subunit and gD2t plasmid were found to be much better stimulators of gD2-specific antibodies compared with the FI-HSV2. These data, together with a proteomic analysis that estimated that HSV-1 gD1 comprises 1 – 4% of the HSV-1 virion-associated protein (27), suggest that the gD2 protein content of the FI-HSV2 is relatively low. We observed that FI-HSV2 elicited low-level gD2-specific antibodies as a primary immunogen, and only slightly increased the gD2-specific responses as a boost in the gD2t DNA groups. Since the FI-HSV2 boosted the levels of virion-specific antibodies to a greater extent than gD2-specific antibodies, it is likely that FI-HSV2 provided mainly the elicitation of non-gD2 specificities. Conversely, the gD2 subunit immunization elicited high levels of gD2-specific, but lower levels of
HSV-2 virion-specific antibodies. Interestingly, 2 doses of gD2 subunit with adjuvant were required to produce virion-specific antibody titers as high as those elicited by the DNA immunization. The multivalent nature of FI-HSV2 likely accounts for its ability to generate higher virion-specific responses compared to the gD2 subunit.

The analysis of neutralizing antibody levels showed that sera of the gD2 subunit immunized guinea pigs had the highest mean titer by plaque reduction assay. Peak neutralizing antibody titers were detected either following DNA immunization or following a single dose of FI-HSV2, and these were slightly lower than the titers following 2 doses of gD2 subunit vaccine. Together, these data suggest that similar levels of neutralizing antibodies can be achieved either by gD2 specificities or the mainly non-gD2 specificities provided by the FI-HSV2.

The gD2 subunit/MPL/Alum vaccine used in this study provided comparable protection against acute and recurrent disease and acute shedding as did the FI-HSV2 alone and UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 vaccines. The gD2 subunit vaccination also showed a significant 82% reduction in recurrent lesion days relative to the mock immunized controls. However, it did not significantly reduce the mean number of days with all recurrent disease such as erythema, swelling, papules, or lesion formation (40 recurrent disease days for vehicle control versus 35.4 for gD2 subunit) (Fig. 5B). These results were unexpected, as the published reports of gD2 subunit efficacy in guinea pigs only show measures of cumulative lesion days and the number of guinea pigs with recurrent lesions. In the study presented here, the protective immunity provided...
by the gD2 subunit may not have been sufficient to suppress the early stages of reactivation, leading to anogenital inflammation and possibly low-level pathology of the mucosa, but was sufficient to prevent the majority of recurrent lesion episodes, as evidenced by the high reduction in lesion days compared to controls. Ideally, an effective HSV-2 vaccine would be protective against all of the genital symptoms that are associated with HSV-2 disease in human subjects. We found that only the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2 group had a significant reduction in all levels of recurrent HSV-2 disease. While the gD2 subunit group had reduced levels of latent DNA HSV-2 in the DRG relative to the vehicle control (16.5-fold mean reduction), and 6 of the 10 guinea pigs had undetectable HSV-2 DNA, this vaccine group demonstrated the least protection against all recurrent disease and the reduction in latent viral genomes was not statistically significant. It should be noted that the gD2 subunit immunization strategy that was used as a comparative control was designed with similar dosing and formulation to previously published studies. Thus, our results cannot exclude that 5 immunizations with gD2 subunit in this group (instead of 2) would result in enhanced protection comparable to that seen in the DNA primed – FI-HSV2 boosted animals. In addition, boosting of guinea pigs that were primed with UL5 and UL30 DNAs (with or without gD2t DNA) with gD2 subunit vaccine may also provide protection comparable to boosting with FI-HSV2. Other studies have shown that protein boost vaccination can elicit enhanced protection against HSV-2 disease and shedding in the guinea pig when compared with either vaccine component alone (13).
Previous studies of naïve or immunized guinea pigs that were infected with HSV-1 or -2 suggest that the level of latent HSV-2 DNA in the DRG is positively correlated with the rate of recurrent disease (17, 26). A successful HSV vaccine could be effective by either decreasing the level of latent HSV-2 load established in the DRG, presumably through suppressing the level of the acute infection (17), or by providing control after the establishment of the latent load and during the steps associated with reactivation. In our study, we found that the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 group had the lowest levels of acute and recurrent disease, recurrent lesion days, and latent HSV-2 load in the DRG. There was also a trend showing that the other immunization groups that had the lowest recurrent lesion or disease days had the lowest levels of latent HSV-2 DNA. On a per guinea pig basis, however, these correlations did not occur in every instance. Although in general, our data suggest that protection against recurrent disease was due to the decreased loads of latent HSV-2 in the DRG, only in the gD2 subunit group could a significant inverse correlation be demonstrated between the pre-challenge HSV-2 virion-specific IgG levels and the resultant HSV-2 DNA loads (P = 0.037). In this group there were also trends toward positive correlations of the HSV-2 DNA load in each guinea pig with the number of recurrent episodes (P = 0.057), the number of recurrent lesion days (P = 0.058), and the number of recurrent disease days (P = 0.064) (data not shown).

A recent study by Bernstein et al. compared the efficacy of gD2 subunit used either alone, with MPL/Alum, or with a novel cationic liposome-DNA
complex (CLDC) adjuvant. This study showed that while gD2/MPL/Alum or gD2/CLDC immunization resulted in similar numbers of guinea pigs with detectable HSV-2 DNA in the DRG, the gD2/CLDC immunized animals had a lower percentage of animals with recurrent disease, a lower number of cumulative lesion days, and a lower mean number of recurrent shedding days (3). Although the levels of HSV-2 were not quantified in this study, these results suggest that vaccine-induced immunity from different vaccines (or in this case adjuvants) may exert differential levels of protection that can act at times subsequent to the establishment of the latent load. Since the protective mechanisms or antigen specificities that control the disease or shedding during acute infection may not necessarily be the same as those that control reactivation and/or recurrent infection, a successful vaccine strategy may need to incorporate components that address each of these phases of infection. Additionally, varying the challenge dose given to the immunized animals, rather than using a single dose, may reveal more obvious qualitative or quantitative differences in the resultant protection outcomes than those seen in this study. Specifically, greater differences in the vaccine efficacies may be observed by either 1) reducing the challenge dose to one that is more physiological and less likely to overwhelm pre-existing immunity in all of the vaccine groups or 2) increasing the challenge dose to a level that overcomes the immunity elicited by the less protective, but not by the more protective, vaccines.

Establishing the immune correlates that protect against acute and recurrent HSV-2 disease and shedding in humans has been difficult, and the use
of animal models has thus far shown only limited success for the rational design
of an effective HSV-2 vaccine (23). Besides the differences in HSV-2 antigenic
peptides differentially binding to HLA or MHC Class I complexes in humans or
animals, respectively, HSV-2 mechanisms of MHC Class I downregulation that
function in the human host may be poorly active or inactive in the tissues of mice
and guinea pigs. This possibility was evidenced both by the low activity of ICP47
for mouse TAP 1/2 complex inhibition (1, 44) and the increased frequency of
reactivation in mouse eyes and trigeminal ganglia by ectopic expression of the
m152 immunoevasin of MCMV by a chimeric HSV-1 (36). Thus, the predictive
abilities of the animal models of HSV-2 vaccination, especially for T cell
immunity, have known limitations. In our studies, the choice of using the
essential HSV-2 genes that are conserved among herpesviruses as T cell targets
was based upon the rationale that these targets must be expressed for virus
replication and that the high conservation may limit immune escape and provide
cross-strain protection. In addition, genome-wide screening studies of the T cell
specificities against the antigens of HCMV and MCMV have shown that as a
class, these antigens generally elicit either undetectable or very low T cell levels
in the virus infected host (35, 43). Thus, high T cell responses against these
targets resulting from DNA immunization may provide better immunity than does
natural infection, in which immunity still allows for the life-long establishment of
latency and viral shedding. Ultimately, the protective efficacy of any HSV-2
vaccine will need to be specifically addressed in clinical trials.
In general, inactivated HSV-2 vaccines have fallen out of favor due to many years of clinical studies with poor methodologies such as the lack of a placebo arm as well as disappointing protective efficacies in placebo controlled studies, especially regarding long-term protection against recurrent disease, (reviewed in (46)). More recently, subunit vaccines based on gD2 or gB2 were tested for clinical efficacy trials, and although the study designs of these trials were more rigorous than for the inactivated virus vaccines, significant protective efficacy was not readily achieved (23). It was not until the GSK gD2 vaccine using a novel adjuvant (AS04) containing aluminum hydroxide and 3-O-deacylated MPL was tested in two clinical trials was there consistent and significant rates of 73% and 74% protection against genital disease, and this was only observed in women that were HSV-1 and –2 seronegative (40). However, a recent statement by the National Institutes of Health regarding a subsequent phase 3 trial of this vaccine in HSV-1/2 seronegative women showed an estimated protection rate of 20%, an efficacy that did not reach statistical significance. The adjuvant activity of MPL is thought to be derived from its ability to drive Th1-type cytokine secretion of IL-2 and IFN-γ, its TLR4 agonist activity, its induction of NF-κB, and its subsequent activation of antigen-loaded dendritic cells and monocytes in the draining lymph nodes (9). Combining the MPL with alum—an adjuvant that had been separately tested in subunit and inactivated HSV-2 vaccines—was later demonstrated to prolong the cytokine secretion due to MPL (9).
There is a rapidly increasing body of evidence that demonstrates that the stimulation of innate immunity, such as that mediated by specific TLR agonists, has subsequent effects on the types, strength, and durability of adaptive immunity (reviewed in (15)). These new insights, coupled with some positive efficacy of the GSK MPL/Alum adjuvanted gD2 vaccine and the historical safety record of inactivated HSV-2 vaccines, provides a renewed stimulus to revisit the use of inactivated HSV-2 vaccines when used together with the newest generation of adjuvants that stimulate one or more TLRs. In our study, when the FI-HSV2/MPL/Alum vaccine was given to the pVAX primed guinea pigs, with the exception of one “non-responder” animal in the group, we found complete protection against both acute and recurrent lesion development, undetectable levels of HSV-2 DNA in the DRG, lower cumulative acute disease scores, and lower numbers of recurrent disease days that were comparable to those in the UL5, UL30, gD2t DNAs – FI-HSV2 group. Follow-up studies in the guinea pig using higher numbers of animals and short- and long-term challenge groups may further delineate the impact of the UL5, UL30, and gD2t DNA prime on the strength and durability of the observed protective responses to FI-HSV2/MPL/Alum and may help to provide the basis for human trials.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig. 1. Immunization scheme and timeline.** The vaccine components for each immunization group are shown in Table 1. Groups receiving a DNA prime – FI-HSV2 (or FI-Mock) boost (Groups 1 – 4) were i.d. immunized between weeks 0 – 5 and then s.c. boosted on weeks 11 and 15. The gD2 subunit control group (gD2 su) received s.c. injections concurrently with groups receiving FI-HSV2 boosts. Each vaccine group consisted of 10 guinea pigs. The HSV-2 challenge (6 \times 10^5 PFU) was given intravaginally at week 18. The acute disease phase was defined as up to 14 days postchallenge (weeks 18-20) and the recurrent phase was defined as days 15 – 100 postchallenge (to week 33). Guinea pigs were sacrificed and the DRG were harvested (DRG) over the last 2 weeks of the experiment. Arrows show the weeks of test bleeds for antibody determination.

**Fig. 2. Virus-specific antibody levels in immunized guinea pigs.** A. Endpoint reciprocal IgG titers measured by ELISA against either purified, dextran sulfate-released HSV-2 virion (left) or purified, truncated gD2 protein (right). For reference, the weeks of immunization with FI-HSV2, FI-Mock, or gD2 subunit are shown by black arrows, and the week of i.vag. HSV-2 challenge is shown by double-headed arrow. Symbols show the group means of the Log_{10} endpoint IgG titers as described in Materials and Methods and bars represent the standard deviation (n=10 for all groups.) Dashed lines represent the assay limit of sensitivity, and individual titers below the assay limit were assigned a value of one-half the assay limit for calculation and graphing purposes. B. Virus
neutralizing antibody titers were measured against HSV-2 that was purified from
the serum free media of infected Vero cells. Symbols show the geometric mean
titers (GMT) of each group and error bars represent the standard error (SEM).
The assay limit of sensitivity and treatment of below-limit values are as in A.

**Fig. 3. Protection against acute HSV-2 disease.** Guinea pigs were i.vag.
challenged with HSV-2 and then scored daily by blinded observers over the 14
day acute disease period using the disease scoring scale as described in
Materials and Methods. This scale represents the standard 0 – 4 scale, except
that a score of 0.5 was given for slight erythema, swelling, or papules/patches
and a score of 5 was given to animals that died of HSV-2 disease. A. Mean
disease scores for each vaccine group on each day postchallenge are shown by
the symbols in the legend. B. Disease scores from days 1 – 14 postchallenge
were summed for each guinea pig (symbols) and the mean of each vaccine
group is shown by horizontal line. The dotted line shows the acute disease-free
baseline for clarity, and the number of guinea pigs in each group with a
cumulative score of 0 are (from left to right): 1, 6, 8, 8, and 8. Significance
scores of *(P < 0.05); **(P < 0.01; and ***(P < 0.001) were determined by
Kruskal-Wallis plus Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Tests.

**Fig. 4. Protection against acute phase vaginal HSV-2 shedding.** On the
days shown postchallenge, each guinea pig was swabbed intravaginally, and the
swabs were placed in 1 ml of DNG and frozen until standard plaque assay on
Vero cells as described in Materials and Methods. A. Levels of vaginal virus in the swabs are shown as group mean Log_{10} PFU and error bars represent the standard deviation (n=10 for all groups except UL5, UL30, gD2t – FI-HSV2 where n=9). The dotted line shows the limit of assay sensitivity. B. Kinetics of viral clearance are represented as the percentage of guinea pigs in each group shedding virus on the indicated days postchallenge.

Fig. 5. Protection against recurrent lesions and disease. HSV-2 challenged guinea pigs were scored for disease during the recurrent phase of infection, defined as days 15 – 100 postchallenge. A. A lesion day was scored for a guinea pig that developed at least one lesion (disease score of 2 or rarely 3), and the cumulative number of lesion days for each vaccine group was averaged over the number of guinea pigs in each group. The pVAX – FI-HSV2; UL29, UL52, and gD2t – FI-HSV2, and gD2 subunit groups each had 10 guinea pigs. The UL5, UL30, gD2t – FI-HSV2 group had 9 guinea pigs and, as described in Table 2 and in the text, the pVAX – Fi-Mock group had 6 guinea pigs to day 34 and then 5 guinea pigs through day 100. B. For each guinea pig, the number of days in the recurrent phase that a guinea pig scored a 0.5 or above is shown by symbol, and the vaccine group means are shown by horizontal line. Note that the guinea pig in the pVAX – Fi-Mock group that died on day 34 had resolved its primary disease and then accumulated 16 recurrent disease days before death. This was in contrast to an additional animal in this group that was omitted from...
the recurrent phase study since it never resolved its primary disease. (*)P < 0.05
by Kruskal-Wallis plus Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Tests.

Fig. 6. Protection against HSV-2 latent DNA load in the DRG. On weeks 46 to
48 of the study (28 to 30 weeks postchallenge), the lumbosacral DRG from each
surviving guinea pig were removed, pooled, and frozen. The DRG DNA from
each pool was extracted and quantified by spectrophotometry. HSV-2 DNA was
quantified by TaqMan real-time quantitative PCR using primers and a probe
specific for gG2. Each reaction contained 250 ng of DRG DNA and each
standard curve reaction contained 250 ng DRG DNA from naïve guinea pigs and
between 10 and 10⁴ copies of HSV-2 DNA isolated from purified HSV-2 virions.
Each symbol represents the mean of 3 independent assays for each guinea pig,
and the horizontal lines represent vaccine group means. Two additional control
groups consisted of the DRG from 4 naïve guinea pigs (Naïve) and from 3 guinea
pigs that were immunized with FI-HSV2 but were not challenged with HSV-2 (FI-
HSV2 unchallenged). The dotted line represents the detection limit of the assay
of 10 copies HSV-2 DNA per 250 ng DRG DNA. Asterisks denote statistical
significance levels for each group compared with pVAX – FI-Mock as in Fig. 3.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>DNA Prime</th>
<th>Boost (with MPL/Alum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>pVAX</td>
<td>FI-Mock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>pVAX</td>
<td>FI-HSV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>UL5, UL30, gD2t</td>
<td>FI-HSV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>UL29, UL52, gD2t</td>
<td>FI-HSV2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>gD2 subunit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 DNA was delivered by needle i.d.
2 Protein-based (“Boost”) vaccines were delivered s.c.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Acute Lesion Rate$^1$</th>
<th>Recurrent Lesion Rate$^1$</th>
<th>Mean Number Of Recurrent Lesion Episodes$^2$</th>
<th>Reduction In No. of Recurrent Lesion Days (%)$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pVAX – FI-Mock</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>6/6†</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVAX – FI-HSV2</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>0.70**</td>
<td>79**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL5, UL30, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2</td>
<td>0/9‡</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>0.22**</td>
<td>97**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UL29, UL52, gD2t DNA – FI-HSV2</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>0.90*</td>
<td>81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gD2 Subunit</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>0.80*</td>
<td>82*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Number of guinea pigs that developed at least one lesion/total during the acute (days 0 – 14 postchallenge) or recurrent (days 15 – 100 postchallenge) phase; all vaccine groups P ≤ 0.001 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock (Fisher’s Exact Test)
2 Lesion episode-one or more consecutive days with a disease score 2 or above
3 Reduction in the cumulative number of recurrent lesion days per guinea pig compared with pVAX – FI-Mock group
† Three animals died before the recurrent phase; 1 survivor failed to resolve primary disease and was omitted
‡ One animal did not recover from anesthesia used during challenge
* P < 0.05 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock (Kruskal-Wallis + Dunn’s multiple comparisons)
** P < 0.01 compared with pVAX – FI-Mock (Kruskal-Wallis + Dunn’s tests as above.)