Bluetongue Virus NS4 Protein Is an Interferon Antagonist and a Determinant of Virus Virulence
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ABSTRACT

Bluetongue virus (BTV) is the causative agent of bluetongue, a major infectious disease of ruminants with serious consequences for both animal health and the economy. The clinical outcome of BTV infection is highly variable and dependent on a variety of factors related to both the virus and the host. In this study, we show that the BTV nonstructural protein NS4 favors viral replication in sheep, the animal species most affected by bluetongue. In addition, NS4 confers a replication advantage on the virus in interferon (IFN)-competent primary sheep endothelial cells and immortalized cell lines. We determined that in cells infected with an NS4 deletion mutant (BTV8ΔNS4), there is increased synthesis of type I IFN compared to cells infected with wild-type BTV-8. In addition, using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), we show that NS4 modulates the host IFN response and downregulates mRNA levels of type I IFN and interferon-stimulated genes. Moreover, using reporter assays and protein synthesis assays, we show that NS4 downregulates the activities of a variety of promoters, such as the cytomegalovirus immediate-early promoter, the IFN-β promoter, and a promoter containing interferon-stimulated response elements (ISRE). We also show that the NS4 inhibitory activity on gene expression is related to its nucleolar localization. Furthermore, NS4 does not affect mRNA splicing or cellular translation. The data obtained in this study strongly suggest that BTV NS4 is an IFN antagonist and a key determinant of viral virulence.

IMPORTANCE

Bluetongue is one of the main infectious diseases of ruminants and is caused by bluetongue virus (BTV), an arthropod-borne virus transmitted from infected to susceptible animals by Culicoides biting midges. Bluetongue has a variable clinical outcome that can be related to both virus and host factors. It is therefore critical to understand the interplay between BTV and the host immune responses. In this study, we show that a nonstructural protein of BTV (NS4) is critical to counteract the innate immune response of the host. Infection of cells with a BTV mutant lacking NS4 results in increased synthesis of IFN-β and upregulation of interferon-stimulated genes. In addition, we show that NS4 is a virulence factor for BTV by favoring viral replication in sheep, the animal species most susceptible to bluetongue.
pressed from a conserved small open reading frame (ORF) in segment 10 (29).

NS4 is a small protein (77 to 79 amino acid residues) encoded by an ORF in genome segment 9 overlapping the larger ORF encoding VP6 and with nucleolar localization. Although NS4 has been shown to confer a replication advantage on BTV in cells treated with interferon, it is dispensable for BTV replication in IFN-incompetent cell lines and has no impact on pathogenicity in IFN-α/β receptor−/− (IFNAR−/−) mice (8, 9).

The clinical outcome of BTV infection is highly variable and dependent upon a variety of viral, host, and, likely, environmental factors (30–35). Understanding the interplay between BTV and the host immune response will be central to identifying the virus and host determinants of disease susceptibility.

In order to establish a successful infection, BTV must overcome both physical and innate immune barriers. One of the key innate immune mechanisms for fighting viral infections is the IFN system (36). Mammalian cells possess pattern recognition receptors that detect incoming pathogen signatures and induce the synthesis and secretion of IFN-β. Secreted IFN signals in both autocrine and paracrine fashions, leading to the transcription of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), some of which have a direct or indirect antiviral effect (37). BTV is known to induce an IFN response, both in vitro and in vivo, and consequently, it must possess countermeasures that allow the virus to replicate in the face of this host response (8, 38–43). Evidence to date suggests that BTV NS3 and NS4 are the viral proteins most likely responsible for the disruption of the cellular innate immune response to BTV infection (8, 28).

In this study, we investigated the interaction of BTV and the IFN response of the host. We demonstrate that NS4 is an important virulence factor in sheep, a natural host of BTV infection, and acts as an interferon antagonist.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Cell cultures.

Vero, BHK21, and BSR (a clone of BHK21, kindly provided by Karl K. Conzelmann) (44) cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). CPT-Tert cells are sheep choroid plexus cells immortalized with the simian virus 40 (SV40) T antigen and human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) (45). CPT-Tert cells were grown in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 10% FBS. A549 cells are derived from a human lung adenocarcinoma and were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere.

Primary ovine endothelial cells (oVEC) were obtained as previously described (46). oVEC were seeded in 12-well plates and maintained in a low-oxygen incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂, and 3% O₂). The cells were infected with the appropriate virus, and the supernatants were collected at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection (p.i.). The supernatants were subsequently titrated by endpoint dilution analysis on BSR cells using the method of Reed and Muench and expressed as log₁₀ 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID₅₀)/ml (51). Each experiment was performed independently in triplicate using at least two different stocks of each virus.

#### Ethical statement.

All animal experimental procedures carried out in this study were approved by the ethical committee of the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale dell’Abruzzo e Molise G. Caporale (Teramo, Italy) (protocol no. 11427/2012) and further approved by the Italian Ministry of Health (Ministero della Salute) in accordance with Council Directive 86/609/EEC of the European Union and the Italian D.lgs 116/92.

#### In vivo pathogenicity studies.

Experiments were carried out using 15 sheep (Italian mixed breed) in an insect-proof isolation unit. Before inoculation, all the animals were confirmed to have no antibodies against BTV using a blocking enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as previously described (32). The absence of BTV genome in blood samples from each animal was also confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) as described previously (30). The animals (n = 5 per group) were infected with 5 ml of either BTV8 or BTV8&NS4 (2 × 10⁶ PFU in total) by multiple intradermal inoculations in the inner leg and prescapular areas. Negative controls were inoculated with 5 ml of mock-infected cell supernatants. Body temperature was recorded daily, beginning a week before inoculation, until day 14 p.i. and subsequently at days 17, 21, and 28. Fever was defined as a rectal temperature above 40°C. EDTA blood samples were collected daily from all the animals for 14 days p.i. and thereafter at days 17, 21, and 28, when the experiment was terminated. The blood samples were analyzed for the presence of viremia by qRT-PCR as previously described (30). Sera were collected from each animal on the day of the inoculation (day 0) and then at days 7, 14, 21, and 28 p.i. The presence in infected sheep of neutralizing antibodies against BTV8 was assessed by virus neutralization assay as previously described (53).

#### Plasmids and antisera.

The open reading frame encoding NS4 was either amplified by PCR [BTV8 NET2006/04 (GenBank accession number JX680455), BTV1 RSArrrr/01 (JX680465), and BTV-2IT(L) (JN255870)] or synthesized commercially (Genscript) [[(BTV-2RSA(WT) (JN255930), BTV-9IT(L) (JN255910), BTV1SASEG9 (D10905), BTV25 (EU839845), and BTV26 (IN235161)] and cloned into the pCI mammalian expression vector (Promega). BTV10 segment 8 (NC_006007) was synthesized commercially (Genscript) and cloned into pCI. All plasmids used in this study were sequenced before use. Plasmid pCMV-luc was obtained by inserting the firefly luciferase (Fluc) open reading frame into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) as previously described (58), pRL-CMV (Promega) is similar to pCMV-luc but contains an intron before the Renilla luciferase (RLuc) gene. p125Luc expresses Fluc under the control of the IFN-β promoter (55). p5SRE-Luc (Promega) contains five copies of the interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE)-binding sequence (56) located upstream of the TATA-like promoter region from the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) promoter.

Antisera used in this study included polyclonal rabbit antisera raised against the BTV NS4 and NS2 proteins, as previously described (8). Antibodies against B23 (Sigma), α-tubulin (Sigma), IRF-3 (clone FL-425; Santa Cruz), and NF-κB p65 (clone D14E12; Cell Signaling) were obtained commercially.

#### In vitro RNA transcription.

Capped and polyadenylated RNA for use in luciferase assays was generated using the mMessage mMACHINE T7 Ultra kit as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions (Ambion), using linearized pCMV-luc or pRL-CMV as a template.

An RNA control (named EU-Luc-PA) for use in transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) and qRT-PCR experiments was obtained as follows. Linearized pCMV-luc was transcribed using the Megascript T7 kit (Ambion, Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with the exception that the transcription reaction mixture was supplemented with an analogue of uridine (5-ethyluridine [EU]; Invitrogen, Life Technologies). The RNA was then polyadenylated following the
poly(A) tailing procedure of the mMessage mMachne T7 Ultra kit. All in vitro-transcribed RNA was recovered using the RNeasy minikit (Qiagen).

**Labeling and extraction of nascent RNA for transcriptomic analyses.** A549 cells were seeded in 6-well plates, incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and mock infected or infected with BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4 at an MOI of 4. At 8, 12, and 16 h p.i., nascent RNA was labeled with 0.4 mM EU for 90 min. The cells were lysed with 1 ml of TRIzol spiked with 1 ng of EU-Luc-pA. Total RNA was then extracted using the TRIzol method and further purified using RNeasy mini spin columns (Qiagen), including an on-column DNase I digestion step (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**RNA-seq.** Total RNA (4.5 μg) was enriched by selectively depleting rRNA using the RiboMinus Eukaryote kit v2 (Ambion, Life Technologies). The EU-labeled RNA was specifically linked to azide-modified biotin by a chemical reaction and then captured on streptavidin magnetic beads using the Click-iT Nascent RNA Capture kit (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies). Biotin-labeled RNA (attached to the magnetic beads) was fragmented and subsequently used to construct libraries using the Ion Total RNA-seq kit v2 (Life Technologies) as described by the manufacturer. The amplified libraries were size selected using the E-Gel size select system (Life Technologies) and assessed using a Tapestation (Agilent). The libraries were quantified using the Qubit HS dsDNA assay (Life Technologies) and sequenced using the Ion Proton sequencer (Life Technologies). The sequence reads were processed according to the Tuxedo pipeline (57). The read quality was first assessed using FastQC (58). Tophat2 and Bowtie2 were used to map short reads against the Homo sapiens genome (genome browser UCSC hg19), and a list of differentially expressed genes was generated using CuffDiff2 (genes with Benjamini Hochberg P values of ≤0.05 were considered significant) (59, 60). Canonical pathway analysis was performed using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (Qiagen) by submitting the differentially expressed (DE) gene data sets (see Tables S1 to S3 in the supplemental material) and using the default parameters.

**qRT-PCR.** For qRT-PCR analysis, mRNA was purified from the total RNA fraction using the Dynabeads mRNA Direct kit (Ambion, Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions before capturing EU-labeled RNA, as described above. RNA captured on the streptavidin beads was used directly as a template for cDNA synthesis using the SuperScript Vilo cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). qPCR was performed using Brilliant III Ultra Fast QPCR master mix (Agilent) to detect a selection of ISGs and housekeeping genes and the spiked EU-Luc-pA. The sequences of primers and probes are available upon request. Samples were run on an Mx3005P (Stratagene) PCR machine and analyzed using MxPro software (Stratagene). Mock-infected cells were used as a calibrator against which the infected cells were compared. The EU-Luc-pA RNA was used as an exogenous control to normalize the results.

**FIG 1** Experimental infection of sheep with BTV8wt and BTV8ΔNS4. Three groups of sheep (n = 5 per group) were mock infected or infected with either BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4. (Top) Body temperatures (average per group) of experimentally infected sheep. The rectal temperature of sheep is normally between 38.3 and 39.9°C (horizontal lines). (Middle) BTV RNA in blood samples of experimentally infected sheep. Viral RNA was detected by qRT-PCR targeting Seg-5, and the values are expressed as log_{10} copy number per microgram of total RNA. (Bottom) Box-and-whisker plot representing neutralizing antibodies in experimentally infected sheep at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days postinfection as described in Materials and Methods. PD50, 50% protective dose. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 (two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA]; Bonferroni posttests) (gray stars, mock infected versus BTV8wt infected; black stars, BTV8wt infected versus BTV8ΔNS4 infected). The error bars represent standard deviations.
Interferon protection assays. Interferon protection assays were performed as previously described (46). Briefly, ovEC were first seeded in 12-well plates and incubated for 2 days. The cells were then mock infected or infected with the indicated virus at an MOI of 4, and the medium was collected 16 h postinfection. Cell culture supernatants were treated with UV light to inactivate any virus. CPT-Tert cells were incubated with 2-fold dilutions of the medium for 24 h before infecting them with EMCV for 72 h. The level of IFN (expressed as international units [IU]) was calculated by monitoring wells that were protected from cell death induced by EMCV and comparing them to known amounts of universal type I IFN alpha (UIFN; PBL Assay Science).

Western blotting. Protein expression was assessed from total cell lysates by sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western blotting using the various antisera indicated above as previously described (61). For quantitative Western blotting, primary antibodies were detected using fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (DyLight; Thermo Scientific) in a LI-COR Odyssey scanner. Bands were quantified with the Odyssey software (LI-COR Biosciences).

Metabolic radiolabeling. ovEC were mock infected or infected with BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4 at an MOI of 4. At the time points indicated, cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 min in medium lacking methionine. Nascent proteins were labeled for 2 h with [35S]methionine/cysteine (0.8 MBq/ml; PerkinElmer), after which they were resuspended in sample buffer (60 mM Tris–HCl [pH 6.8], 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% bromophenol blue), and the proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE as described above. Visualization of labeled proteins was achieved by exposure to a Storm 840 PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) or to X-ray film. Band intensities were determined using ImageQuant software (Amersham).

Luciferase assays. CPT-Tert cells grown in 24-well plates were cotransfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with 100 ng of pCMV-luc or pRL-CMV, along with 100 to 400 ng of the indicated pCI plasmids expressing N4A. A similar procedure was performed to cotransfect 200 ng of in vitro-transcribed RNA expressing firefly luciferase (see above) and the appropriate expression plasmids, as indicated (100 to 400 ng). When using p125Luc (50 ng) and pISRE-luc (400 ng), 293T cells were either infected with DI-rich preparations of ScV or treated with 200 U/ml UIFN (PBL InterferonSource) 4 h posttransfection before incubating for a further 18 h.

The total amount of plasmid DNA transfected was 500 ng for all experiments, using the empty pCI plasmid to balance each transfection. Luciferase activity was detected 22 h posttransfection, using a luciferase or dual-luciferase assay system (Promega) as described by the manufacturer. The percentage of luciferase was determined by setting the luciferase activity or luminescence (expressed as relative light units [RLU]) detected in control cells (i.e., transfected with pCMV-luc and an empty pCI plasmid only) as 100%. Constitutive promoters could not be used as transfection controls, as N4A affects gene expression. However, each experiment was repeated independently at least three times, with each sample assessed in triplicate. For each experiment, at least two independent plasmid preparations were used. Relative lights units for each sample were always at least 100-fold above background. In addition, in some experiments, 5 ng of in vitro-transcribed RNA encoding Renilla luciferase was used as an additional internal control.

Confocal microscopy. Experiments were performed using CPT-Tert or A549 cells cultured in two-well glass chamber slides (Lab-Tek; Nalge Nunc International). The cells were either transfected with the appropriate plasmids or infected with the indicated viruses (MOI = 4) for 16 to 22 h. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 5% formaldehyde for 15 min. The fixed cells were then processed as described previously (62) and incubated with the appropriate antisera. Secondary antibodies were conjugated with either Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes). Slides were mounted using Vectashield mounting medium with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (Vector Laboratories). The slides were analyzed and images were collected using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. RNA-seq data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and can be accessed through the study accession number PRJEB13366.

RESULTS

BTV8ΔNS4 is attenuated in experimentally infected sheep. In a previous study, we showed that a BTV8 NS4 deletion mutant
(BTV8ΔNS4) is as virulent as BTV8wt in experimental mouse models of infection (8). Here, we wanted to determine whether NS4 influenced virulence in sheep, the natural host of BTV infection. Therefore, we experimentally infected sheep with BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4. Sheep infected with BTV8wt showed an elevation of body temperature from day 6 to day 8 p.i. (Fig. 1, top). BTV RNA was detectable at day 4 p.i. and reached a plateau from days 6 to 10 p.i., followed by a slow decrease. Viremia remained detectable until the end of the experiment. In contrast, animals infected by BTV8ΔNS4 did not develop pyrexia and displayed a delayed onset and lower levels of viremia. BTV RNA was below the detection level in 4 of the 5 inoculated sheep at 4 weeks p.i., when the experiment was stopped (Fig. 1, middle). Between days 4 and 28 p.i., the average levels of BTV RNA were between 10²- and 10⁵-fold higher in sheep infected with BTV8wt than in those infected with BTV8ΔNS4. As expected, all BTV-infected animals developed neutralizing antibodies from day 7 p.i. (Fig. 1, bottom). Altogether, these data show that BTV8ΔNS4 is attenuated in sheep and suggest that NS4 is a virulence factor in vivo.

Replication kinetics of BTV8wt and BTV8ΔNS4 in primary ovine endothelial cells and human A549 cells. We showed previously that BTV8ΔNS4 replicates as efficiently as BTV8wt in immortalized cell lines, such as hamster BSR and sheep CPT-Tert cells (8), but these cell lines do not possess an intact IFN response to viral infections (44, 45). Hence, we compared the replication kinetics of BTV8wt and BTV8ΔNS4 in primary ovEC. BTV8wt reached titers approximately 20-fold higher than those of BTV8ΔNS4 at 72 h.p.i. (Fig. 2A). Similarly, BTV8wt reached titers nearly 60-fold higher than those of BTV8ΔNS4 in the IFN-competent human cell line A549 (Fig. 2A). These data demonstrate that the presence of NS4 confers a replication advantage on BTV8 in cells that are capable of mounting an antiviral response.

NS4 inhibits IFN release, but not virus sensing, in infected cells. Given the data obtained as described above and the previously published observation that NS4 confers a replication advantage on BTV in cells pretreated with IFN (8), we next sought to determine whether NS4 impacted the level of IFN released into the supernatant of infected cells. Primary ovEC were infected at an MOI of 4 with either BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4. At 16 h.p.i., the level of IFN in the cell culture medium was measured using an IFN protection assay. BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells had, on average, 13 times more IFN (P < 0.001) in their supernatants than BTV8wt-infected cells (Fig. 2B).

These results could potentially be explained by NS4 affecting either the sensing of viral infection or transcription and/or the synthesis/secretion of IFN into the supernatant. However, the data presented above show that NS4 inhibits IFN release but not virus sensing in infected cells. Therefore, we next sought to determine whether NS4 impacted the level of IFN released into the supernatant of infected cells. Primary ovEC were infected at an MOI of 4 with either BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4. At 16 h.p.i., the level of IFN in the cell culture medium was measured using an IFN protection assay. BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells had, on average, 13 times more IFN (P < 0.001) in their supernatants than BTV8wt-infected cells (Fig. 2B).

These results could potentially be explained by NS4 affecting either the sensing of viral infection or transcription and/or the synthesis/secretion of IFN into the supernatant. The first step in IFN-β expression is the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by various host pattern recognition receptors, which results in the translocation of the transcription factors IRF-3 and NF-κB into the nucleus (37). In order to determine whether NS4 impacted supernatant levels of IFN by interfering with this process, we infected A549 cells at an MOI of 4 for 16 h and assessed the nuclear translocation of IRF-3 and NF-κB by confocal microscopy. The cellular localization of IRF-3 and NF-κB in mock-infected cells was exclusively cytoplasmic (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive control resulted in nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 3), while stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alfa (TNF-α) used as a positive disc
confirmed similar levels of infection in cells infected with BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4 (Fig. 3).

**NS4 downregulates IFN-β and ISG mRNA levels.** Next, we carried out RNA-seq analysis of IFN-competent A549 cells infected with either BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4 in order to further characterize the activity of NS4. Mock-infected A549 cells were used as negative controls. Libraries were prepared from nascent RNA metabolically labeled with a uracil analogue at 90 min. Total RNA was extracted and enriched by selectively depleting rRNA transcripts. The EU-labeled RNA was chemically linked to azide-modified biotin and then captured on streptavidin magnetic beads. Biotin-labeled RNA attached to the magnetic beads was used directly to construct a library and subsequently sequenced using the Ion Proton sequencer. Sequence reads were processed as described in Materials and Methods. (B) Plots representing DE genes according to their fold change values. Log$_2$-fold changes of >1 were regarded as upregulated ($q$ value < 0.05), whereas changes of <1 were regarded as statistically downregulated ($q$ value < 0.05). (C) Validation of RNA-seq by qRT-PCR. mRNA levels of ACTB, ANXA1, TBP, B2M, IFN-β (IFNB1), and IFIT1 were measured by qRT-PCR at 8 and 16 h.p.i. as described in Materials and Methods. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$ (one-way ANOVA; Tukey’s multiple-comparison test). The error bars represent standard deviations.

FIG 4 RNA-seq of BTV8wt-, BTV8ΔNS4-, and mock-infected cells. (A) Schematic representation of RNA-sequencing workflow. A549 cells were mock infected or infected with BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4 at an MOI of 4. At 12 h.p.i., nascent RNA was metabolically labeled with an analogue of uridine (EU) at 0.4 mM for 90 min. Total RNA was extracted and enriched by selectively depleting rRNA transcripts. The EU-labeled RNA was chemically linked to azide-modified biotin and then captured on streptavidin magnetic beads. Biotin-labeled RNA attached to the magnetic beads was used directly to construct a library and subsequently sequenced using the Ion Proton sequencer. Sequence reads were processed as described in Materials and Methods. (B) Plots representing DE genes according to their fold change values. Log$_2$-fold changes of >1 were regarded as upregulated ($q$ value [false-discovery rate] < 0.05), whereas changes of <1 were regarded as statistically downregulated ($q$ value < 0.05). (C) Validation of RNA-seq by qRT-PCR. mRNA levels of ACTB, ANXA1, TBP, B2M, IFN-β (IFNB1), and IFIT1 were measured by qRT-PCR at 8 and 16 h.p.i. as described in Materials and Methods. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$ (one-way ANOVA; Tukey’s multiple-comparison test). The error bars represent standard deviations.
infected cells) (Table 1). Interestingly, more genes were strongly upregulated in BTV8 NS4-infected cells (87- to 136-fold more than in mock-infected cells). Of these 117 genes, 102 were either IFN genes or ISGs, according to the Interferome database (63). IFN-α2, IFN-β, IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2, and IFN-λ3 genes were among the top 6 upregulated genes in BTV8 NS4-infected cells compared to mock-infected cells.

TABLE 1 Top 33 upregulated genes in BTV-infected compared to mock-infected A549 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Ranka</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Ranka</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH25H</td>
<td>U1</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>IFNB1</td>
<td>U2</td>
<td>136.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNBI</td>
<td>U3</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>IFNL1</td>
<td>U4</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1</td>
<td>U5</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>IFNL3</td>
<td>U6</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASL</td>
<td>U7</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>IFIT2</td>
<td>U8</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASA2</td>
<td>U9</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>U10</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL11</td>
<td>U11</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>IFIT3</td>
<td>U12</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPK2</td>
<td>U13</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>IFI4</td>
<td>U14</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX2</td>
<td>U15</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>CCR4</td>
<td>U16</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI27</td>
<td>U17</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>DDX58</td>
<td>U18</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAAS2</td>
<td>U19</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>IFIH1</td>
<td>U20</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>U21</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>U22</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSB</td>
<td>U23</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>EGR2</td>
<td>U24</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR2</td>
<td>U25</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>U26</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>U27</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>KLRK2</td>
<td>U28</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>U29</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>SLC1A3</td>
<td>U30</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATF2</td>
<td>U31</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>HERC5</td>
<td>U32</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI6</td>
<td>U33</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ranks of upregulated genes. The number (U1, U2, etc.) refers to the rank of the specified gene. For example, the CH25H gene is the gene found to be the most upregulated in BTV8 NS4-infected cells compared to mock-infected cells.*

TABLE 2 Top 20 downregulated genes in BTV-infected compared to mock-infected A549 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Ranka</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Ranka</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLDN1D2</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>IFNB1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPN1</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>DHR54L1</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMID1</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>LOC100506229</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMOX1</td>
<td>D7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>KRT82</td>
<td>D8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHH</td>
<td>D9</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>NUPR1</td>
<td>D10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRT4</td>
<td>D11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>SFRP4</td>
<td>D12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRTN</td>
<td>D13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>EMILIN1</td>
<td>D14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC112320</td>
<td>D15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>LINC00086</td>
<td>D16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSGIN1</td>
<td>D17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>KLDHC7A</td>
<td>D18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIR201HG</td>
<td>D19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>KRTAP4-1</td>
<td>D20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ranks of downregulated genes. The numbers D1, D2, etc. refer to the rank of the specified gene (the most downregulated gene under a given condition is ranked first).*

Of these 117 genes, 102 were either IFN genes or ISGs, according to the Interferome database (63). IFN-β, IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2, and IFN-λ3 genes were among the top 6 upregulated genes in BTV8 NS4-infected cells (87- to 136-fold more than in mock-infected cells). Of these 117 genes, 102 were either IFN genes or ISGs, according to the Interferome database (63). IFN-α2, IFN-β, IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2, and IFN-λ3 genes were among the top 6 upregulated genes in BTV8 NS4-infected cells compared to mock-infected cells.

The ability of BTV-8 NS4 to reduce the activities of a wide range of promoters.

We next assessed the ability of BTV-8 NS4 to reduce the activities of basal promoters, such as the cytomegalovirus (CMV) immediate-early promoter and the promoters of genes involved in the host innate immune response (IFN-β and ISRE-containing promoters). 293T cells were cotransfected with a plasmid expressing (B2M), β-actin (ACTB), annexin A1 (ANXA1), and TATA-binding protein (TBP) determined by RT-qPCR reflected the patterns of expression observed in the RNA-seq analyses (Fig. 4C). The Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (Qiagen) was used to compare the representation of canonical pathways between infected and uninfected cells (Fig. 5A and B) and cells infected with either BTV-8 wt or BTV8ΔNS4 (Fig. 5C). Most of the pathways were involved in the cellular immune response, cytokine signaling, the inflammatory response, apoptosis, and pathogen-related signaling. Consistent with the RNA-seq data set, pathways relating to the innate immune response were particularly evident when comparing BTV8ΔNS4 with BTV8 wt (Fig. 5C).

The transcriptional activity of the transcripts infected by BTV8 wt and BTV8ΔNS4 also provided additional information regarding the possible influence of NS4 on mRNA maturation. Comparable proportions of reads containing intron sequences were found in mock-infected cells and in cells infected with BTV8 wt or BTV8ΔNS4 (data not shown). In addition, we also quantified how many reads finished with 8 or more adenines, assuming these reads to be representative of polyadenylated mRNAs. Comparable percentages of poly(A) reads were obtained under the three conditions tested, suggesting that NS4 was not associated with a global defect in mRNA polyadenylation (data not shown).

**NS4** modulates the activities of a wide range of promoters.
either BTV NS4 or NS2 and an FLuc reporter plasmid driven by the CMV promoter, the IFN-β promoter, or a promoter containing ISRE elements (Fig. 5). At 4 h posttransfection, cells transfected with the IFN-β promoter were stimulated with Sendai virus, while cells transfected with ISRE-containing promoter were stimulated with universal IFN. FLuc activity was assessed 22 h posttransfection in a luminometer. Five nanograms of capped and polyadenylated RNA made in vitro were also cotransfected as a control for transfection efficiency. One-way ANOVA, P = 0.0002 (CMV), P = 0.0015 (IFN-β), and P = 0.0006 (ISRE). **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test).

To further investigate the ability of NS4 to block host gene expression, sheep cells were cotransfected with an expression plasmid for FLuc, under the control of the CMV immediate-early promoter, along with a variety of expression plasmids expressing BTV NS4 or an empty plasmid (also containing a CMV promoter) as a control (Fig. 7). NS4 is well conserved among the BTV serotypes/strains identified to date (8). The only exceptions were a strain of BTV-1 (GenBank accession numberD10905, submitted in 1992) and the more divergent BTV-25 and BTV-26 strains (GenBank accession numbersEU839845 and JN255161), which showed only 77.9%, 76.6%, and 75.3% identity to BTV-8 NS4, respectively (Fig. 7A). All of the NS4 proteins tested were able to reduce FLuc expression, with the notable exception of NS4 from BTV-1 (D10905) (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, the NS4 protein of BTV-1 (D10905) displayed a mobility different from those of other NS4 proteins by SDS-PAGE that could be explained by the presence of any other bands.

FIG 5  Cellular pathways of BTV8wt- and BTV8NS4-infected cells. (A to C) Canonical cellular pathways were analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Only canonical pathways with a P value of <6.3 × 10^-6" are shown. The ratios correspond to the number of genes found DE in a given pathway divided by the total number of genes contained in that pathway. A specific pathway is colored in orange or blue depending on whether it has been predicted to be activated (positive Z-scores) or inhibited (negative Z-scores), respectively. The gray bars represent pathways where no prediction can currently be made. PRR, pattern recognition receptors; AII, antiviral innate immunity; DC, dendritic cells; MΦ, macrophages; EC, endothelial cells; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; GC, gastric cells; NKC, natural killer cells; AR, antiviral response; OSR, oxidative-stress response; DM, diabetes mellitus. (A) BTV8NS4- versus mock-infected cells. (B) BTV8wt- versus mock-infected cells. (C) BTV8NS4- versus BTV8wt-infected cells.

FIG 6  Effects of NS4 on expression driven by various promoters. CPT-Tert cells were cotransfected with 100 ng of a DNA plasmid expressing either BTV10 NS2 (GenBank accession number NC006007) or BTV8 NS4 and a DNA plasmid expressing FLuc under the control of either the CMV (50 ng), IFN-β (50 ng), or ISRE (400 ng) promoter. After transfection (4 h), cells transfected with the IFN-β promoter were stimulated with Sendai virus, while cells transfected with ISRE-containing promoter were stimulated with universal IFN. FLuc activity was assessed 22 h posttransfection in a luminometer. Five nanograms of capped and polyadenylated RNA made in vitro were also cotransfected as a control for transfection efficiency. One-way ANOVA, P = 0.0002 (CMV), P = 0.0015 (IFN-β), and P = 0.0006 (ISRE). **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test).
considerable differences in the basic residues in the N terminus. In addition, using BTV-8 NS4, we showed that gene expression inhibition by NS4 was dose dependent (Fig. 7C).

In a previous study, we showed that NS4 localizes in the nucleoli of infected or transfected cells (8). Interestingly, BTV-1 (D10905) NS4 was the only variant that failed to localize to the nucleoli of sheep CPT-Tert cells (Fig. 7D), suggesting that nucleolar localization may be critical for the activity of this nonstructural protein.

**FIG 7** NS4 proteins from various BTV strains display inhibitory activity on gene expression. (A) Multiple-sequence alignment of representative NS4 sequences. Identical residues compared to BTV8 (GenBank accession number JX680455) are shown as dots. The accession numbers refer to BTV genome segment 9 containing the NS4 open reading frame. (B) CPT-Tert cells were cotransfected with a plasmid expressing the indicated BTV NS4 protein (named according to the accession number corresponding to BTV genome segment 9) and a plasmid expressing FLuc under the control of a CMV promoter. FLuc activity was assessed 22 h posttransfection and in parallel by Western blotting of the same cell lysates using anti-NS4 or anti-α-tubulin antibodies. One-way ANOVA, *P* < 0.0001. ***, *P* < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test). (C) CPT-Tert cells were cotransfected with increasing amounts of an expression plasmid for BTV8 NS4 and a plasmid expressing FLuc under the control of the CMV immediate-early promoter. FLuc activity was assessed 22 h posttransfection in a luminometer and in parallel by Western blotting of the same cell lysates using antibodies to NS4 or tubulin antibodies. One-way ANOVA, *P* < 0.0001. **, *P* < 0.01;***, *P* < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test). (D) Confocal microscopy of CPT-Tert cells transfected with pCI-NS4 plasmids. At 22 h posttransfection, the cells were fixed and analyzed by immunofluorescence using antibodies against the nucleolar marker B23 (red) and NS4 (green), with the appropriate conjugated secondary antibodies as described in Materials and Methods. Scale bars, 33.2 μm. The error bars represent standard deviations.
NS4 is not the only viral protein involved in host cell protein shut-off. It is well established that BTV induces protein synthesis shut-off in infected cells (64–66). Conceivably, a reduced level of IFN (both mRNA and proteins) observed in cells infected with BTV8wt could reflect the general virus-induced shut-off of protein synthesis. In order to test this hypothesis, we metabolically radio-labeled nascent proteins in ovEC mock infected or infected with either BTV8wt or BTV8ΔNS4. As expected, we observed decreased levels of 35S-labeled methionine/cysteine proteins in BTV8wt-infected cells compared to mock-infected cells (particularly evident at 18 and 26 h p.i.), confirming previously published data (Fig. 8)(65, 66). Protein synthesis shut-off was also evident in BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells, although at somewhat reduced levels compared to BTV8wt-infected cells. In order to quantify the reduction in protein synthesis during viral infection we used phosphorimaging and measured the signal intensity of a prominent band present in all samples (Fig. 8A, black arrow). The signal intensity of actin in BTV8wt-infected cells relative to mock-infected cells (taken as 100%) decreased progressively to 69% at 10 h p.i., 37% at 18 h p.i., and 2% at 24 h p.i., by which point cytopathic effect was apparent. On the other hand, the signal intensity of actin decreased to 82%, 56%, and 12%. Hence, these data suggest that host protein shutoff induced by BTV occurs largely independently of NS4, although the protein may contribute to the phenomenon.

BTV-8 NS4 does not influence mRNA splicing or translation. We also assessed the impact of NS4 on RNA transcript splicing. CPT-Tert cells were transfected with the pRL-CMV vector, which is driven by the CMV immediate-early promoter and contains the RLuc gene downstream of an intron. In this assay, NS4 retained the ability to inhibit the expression of the reporter gene in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 8B), indicating that NS4 does not affect mRNA splicing and confirming the data obtained by RNA-seq as described above. Cells were also cotransfected with in vitro-transcribed RNA encoding FLuc and an expression plasmid for BTV8 NS4, in order to determine whether NS4-induced inhibition of protein expression could also occur at the translational level (Fig. 8C). Increasing levels of NS4 did not interfere with the level of FLuc activity driven by RNA, as opposed to the activity driven by plasmid DNA, used as a control, suggesting that the inhibition mediated by NS4 most likely occurs at the transcriptional and not at the translational level.

FIG 8 NS4 expression does not significantly affect host cellular protein shut-off, mRNA splicing, or translation. (A) Primary ovEC were mock infected or infected with BTV8wt and BTV8ΔNS4, and nascent proteins were metabolically labeled with [35S]methionine/cysteine for 2 h at the time points indicated. Cell extracts were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and the gels were stained with Coomassie blue. The dried gels were analyzed by phosphorimaging. The black arrow indicates cellular actin. The signal intensity was quantified using ImageQuant software. The red arrows indicate BTV proteins. (B) CPT-Tert cells were cotransfected with variable amounts of plasmids expressing BTV8 NS4 protein and either a plasmid expressing FLuc under the control of a CMV promoter or a plasmid expressing RLuc downstream of an intron and the CMV promoter. FLuc or RLuc expression was assessed 22 h posttransfection. Cell lysates were also analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies to NS4. One-way ANOVA, *P < 0.0001, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test). (C) CPT-Tert cells were cotransfected with variable amounts of a DNA plasmid expressing BTV8 NS4 and either a plasmid expressing FLuc under the control of a CMV promoter or capped and polyadenylated RNA made in vitro. FLuc activity was assessed 22 h posttransfection. Cell lysates were also analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies to NS4. One-way ANOVA, *P < 0.0001, **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 (Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test). The error bars represent standard deviations.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed that NS4 is an IFN antagonist and a virulence factor for BTV. BTV NS4 deletion mutants replicate as efficiently as wild-type viruses in cell lines that lack a competent innate immune system and are lethal to IFNAR−/− mice (8). In contrast, here, we demonstrated that NS4 facilitates BTV replication in vitro in IFN-competent cells and in vivo in sheep, the animal species most affected by bluetongue.

BTV induces a type 1 IFN response in vivo and in vitro (38–42). Our data strongly suggest that NS4 is required by the virus to help overcome the cellular innate antiviral responses. Reporter assays revealed that NS4 can inhibit transcription from a variety of mammalian and viral promoters while having little if any direct impact on mRNA editing or translation. However, NS4 does appear to influence the extent of the host IFN response, as supernatants of primary sheep endothelial cells infected with BTV8ΔNS4 contained more IFN than supernatants collected from BTV8wt-infected cells, a result that may explain the more efficient growth of BTV8wt in IFN-competent cells. These results were supported by RNA-seq analyses of nascent RNA isolated from infected and mock-infected cells. Genes relating to the innate immune system were among the most upregulated genes in BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells, even if some of them were also found to be upregulated in BTV8wt-infected cells, suggesting that NS4 is not by itself sufficient to entirely inhibit the host IFN response. Most of the genes that were specifically upregulated in BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells compared to BTV8wt-infected cells were ISGs, including those encoding well-characterized antiviral factors.

Overall, the data obtained in this study indicate that NS4 exerts its effect upon the antiviral host response at a point upstream of RNA editing and translation. Interestingly, NS4 possesses features of a transcription factor of the bZip family, with a basic domain followed by a leucine zipper motif (67), and it has been suggested to have the ability to bind DNA, based on DNase protection assays (9). Our reporter assays, showing that NS4 inhibits transcription from a variety of promoters, including IFN-β and an ISRE-containing promoter, suggest that the protein may inhibit cellular transcription. In a previous study, we showed that NS4 displays nucleolar localization in infected cells (8). Thus, a direct interaction of NS4 with transcription factors and/or chromatin resulting in the downregulation of cellular transcription is a possible mechanism of action for the protein. In support of this hypothesis, we found that the NS4 protein of a BTV-1 strain that did not show nucleolar localization was not able to modulate gene expression in reporter assays. It is also possible that NS4 inhibits IFN-β activation before transcription. Nuclear translocation of IRF-3 and NF-κB appeared to occur at similar levels in both BTV8wt- and BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells, suggesting that NS4 does not prevent PAMP recognition by host cells. However, it is possible that IRF-3 or NF-κB could be inhibited by NS4 in the nucleus. It is important to note that, in a previous study, we showed that NS4 confers a replication advantage on BTV in cells pretreated with type I IFN, highlighting its ability to counteract the innate immune response after IFN activation. Hence, it is less likely that NS4 blocks PAMP recognition and subsequent signaling. More studies will be necessary to fully dissect how NS4 counteracts the host innate immune system. Obviously, NS4, like other nonstructural proteins of different viruses, might have different functions and antagonize the IFN response via multiple mechanisms. For example, the NS1 protein of influenza A viruses inhibits IFN production by blocking IRF3 and NF-κB activation and mRNA maturation (68, 69). It has been known for at least 3 decades that BTV induces host protein synthesis shutdown (22, 64, 65). Recent studies suggest that BTV NS1 favors viral RNA translation and therefore competes with cellular protein synthesis (22). A key difference between mammalian and BTV transcripts is the lack of a poly(A) tail. The NSP3 protein of rotaviruses (also members of the Reoviridae) acts in a similar fashion and outcompetes the poly(A) binding protein of cells, thus biasing translation of viral transcripts (70, 71). It remains possible that a similar mechanism may exist for BTV. Our data, obtained in metabolically labeled cells, confirm that BTV induces host protein synthesis shutdown and also establishes that this occurs largely independently of NS4. Hence, other BTV proteins might also play a similar role in the shutdown of host cell protein synthesis or function in different ways as IFN antagonists. For example, NS3 is believed to modulate IFN induction downstream of RIG-I and upstream of IKKε activation. Therefore, NS3 and NS4 may potentially act synergistically to counteract the innate immune system (28). In addition, it has also been shown that BTV inhibits the IFN signaling pathway by downregulating key components of the JAK/STAT pathway: JAK1 and TYK2 (43). The downregulation of JAK1/TYK2 may be the result of a specific interaction with a BTV protein and/or due to the general host protein synthesis shutdown induced by the virus. We did not find either of the two genes to be differentially expressed in BTV8wt- or BTV8ΔNS4-infected cells.

In this study, we showed that BTV8ΔNS4-infected sheep display lower levels of viremia, lasting for shorter periods, than the viremia observed in animals infected with BTV8wt. The levels and duration of viremia in infected animals are essential factors for successful transmission between the mammalian hosts and the intermediate arthropod vectors. As mentioned above, BTV infection can result in a lethal hemorrhagic fever in some animals, while in others, the virus induces a mild febrile illness or even a clinically unapparent infection. The data obtained in this study provide evidence that viral proteins modulating the host innate immune response play a significant role in viral pathogenesis. This study lays the foundations for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms by which BTV antagonizes the IFN system, in turn helping us to define the molecular determinants of BTV virulence.
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