Role of Phosphatidylserine Receptors in Enveloped Virus Infection
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ABSTRACT

We recently demonstrated that a soluble protein, Gas6, can facilitate viral entry by bridging viral envelope phosphatidylserine to Axl, a receptor tyrosine kinase expressed on target cells. The interaction between phosphatidylserine, Gas6, and Axl was originally shown to be a molecular mechanism through which phagocytes recognize phosphatidylserine exposed on dead cells. Since our initial report, several groups have confirmed that Axl/Gas6, as well as other phosphatidylserine receptors, facilitate entry of dengue, West Nile, and Ebola viruses. Virus binding by viral envelope phosphatidylserine is now a viral entry mechanism generalized to many families of viruses. In addition to Axl/Gas6, various molecules are known to recognize phosphatidylserine; however, the effects of these molecules on virus binding and entry have not been comprehensively evaluated and compared. In this study, we examined most of the known human phosphatidylserine-recognizing molecules, including MFG-E8, TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, BA11, and stabilin-1 and -2, for their abilities to facilitate virus binding and infection. Using pseudotyped lentiviral vectors, we found that a soluble phosphatidylserine-binding protein, MFG-E8, enhances transduction. Cell surface receptors TIM-1 and -4 also enhance virus binding/transduction. The extent of enhancement by these molecules varies, depending on the type of pseudotyping envelope proteins. Mutated MFG-E8, which binds viral envelope phosphatidylserine without bridging virus to cells, but, surprisingly, not annexin V, which has been used to block phagocytosis of dead cells by concealing phosphatidylserine, efficiently blocks these phosphatidylserine-dependent viral entry mechanisms. These results provide insight into understanding the role of viral envelope phosphatidylserine in viral infection.

IMPORTANCE

Envelope phosphatidylserine has previously been shown to be important for replication of various envelope viruses, but details of this mechanism(s) were unclear. We were the first to report that a bifunctional serum protein, Gas6, bridges envelope phosphatidylserine to a cell surface receptor, Axl. Recent studies demonstrated that many envelope viruses, including vaccinia, dengue, West Nile, and Ebola viruses, utilize Axl/Gas6 to facilitate their entry, suggesting that the phosphatidylserine-mediated viral entry mechanism can be shared by various enveloped viruses. In addition to Axl/Gas6, various molecules are known to recognize phosphatidylserine; however, the effects of these molecules on virus binding and entry have not been comprehensively evaluated and compared. In this study, we examined most human phosphatidylserine-recognizing molecules for their abilities to facilitate viral infection. The results provide insights into the role(s) of envelope phosphatidylserine in viral infection, which can be applicable to the development of novel antiviral reagents that block phosphatidylserine-mediated viral entry.

Viral envelope phosphatidylserine (PtdSer) has previously been shown to be important for enveloped virus replication, but details of this mechanism(s) were unclear. Several studies demonstrated that vaccinia virus envelope PtdSer mediates binding to target cells (1-4) and that this binding elicits signaling that facilitates postbinding steps of viral entry. HIV-1 can also use viral envelope PtdSer for its replication (5). In addition, anti-PtdSer antibodies were shown to inhibit Pichinde virus replication (6). Although these studies demonstrated that viral envelope PtdSer plays an important role in enveloped virus replication, most likely during virus attachment and entry, the cellular molecules involved were not known. Because of the presence of envelope protein (Env)-mediated virus binding in virus infection, it was difficult to independently study PtdSer-mediated virus binding and identify its molecular mechanisms.

We reported the first identification of a molecular mechanism of PtdSer-dependent viral binding by using targeting lentiviral vectors that specifically transduce the desired cell types (7). Targeting lentiviral vectors eliminate the original receptor-binding activity of the pseudotyping Envs (8, 9). With the original receptor-binding activity of Envs lacking, we found that lentiviral vectors can bind certain cell types by mechanisms that are independent of the interactions between Envs and their receptors. Instead, this binding is mediated by the soluble protein Gas6, which bridges target cells to vectors. The N-terminal domain of Gas6 binds to PtdSer, a lipid exposed on the viral envelope, and the C-terminal domain binds to Axl, a receptor tyrosine kinase expressed on phagocytic cells. This divergent binding activity enables Gas6 to bridge virus to cells, thereby increasing viral transduction to a level comparable to that for virions bearing wild-type Envs.

By investigating vectors pseudotyped with various Envs, we found that Gas6 increased the infectious titers of lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with Envs from Sindbis virus (Sindbis), Ross River
virus (RRV), and baculovirus (gp64). We also found that Gas6 and Axl mediate PtdSer-dependent entry of vaccinia virus. Subsequently, other research groups reported that dengue, West Nile, and Ebola viruses can use the same viral entry pathway (10–13). These results demonstrate that the PtdSer-mediated viral entry mechanism is a general mechanism shared by various enveloped viruses to facilitate viral entry and infection.

Gas6 is known to mediate phagocytosis of dead cells by binding the PtdSer exposed on dead cells to receptors on phagocytes. Gas6 is normally present in the inner cell membrane layer of living cells (16). When cells undergo apoptosis or necrosis, PtdSer moves to the outer layer of the membrane and is thereby exposed on the cell surface. Phagocytes recognize these dead cells by binding to the exposed PtdSer and then remove the dead cells by phagocytosis (17, 18). Therefore, virus entry using viral envelope PtdSer has been termed “apoptotic mimicry” (1, 2, 4).

Phagocytes recognize PtdSer on dead cells by two broadly categorized molecular mechanisms. One is mediated by soluble molecules that bridge phagocytes to dead cells (Fig. 1A). In addition to Gas6 and Axl, MFG-E8 can also act as a bridge by binding to PtdSer on dead cells and to integrin αVβ3 or αVβ5 on phagocytes (19). The other mechanism mediates binding through receptors expressed on phagocytes that directly bind PtdSer (Fig. 1B). In humans, TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, BAII1, and stabilin-1 and -2 have been known to bind PtdSer directly (Table 1) (20–27). In addition, RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products) was recently shown to bind PtdSer (28, 29).

Among these PtdSer-recognizing molecules, recent studies showed that TIM-1 can mediate infection/transduction of murine leukemia virus (MLV) and feline immunodeficiency virus vectors pseudotyped with various types of Env, as well as replication-competent viruses, including West Nile, yellow fever, dengue, Tc-caribe, Ross River, and Sindbis viruses, baculovirus, and replication-competent vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) harboring the Ebola virus Env (10, 30, 31). TIM-4 also mediates infection/transduction, albeit less effectively. Although these results indicated that various PtdSer-binding molecules can support infection by enveloped viruses, these studies also showed that BAII1 and RAGE cannot support viral infection (10, 30). These results indicate that not all PtdSer-binding molecules can mediate virus binding and entry. Knowing which PtdSer-recognizing molecular mechanisms can support virus binding/entry is essential to further elucidation of the role of viral envelope PtdSer in viral replication in vitro and in vivo.

PtdSer-mediated binding occurs independently of viral Envs, but Envs are required for the subsequent fusion step (7). Therefore, our lentiviral vector pseudotyped with a mutant Env that possesses no or minimal receptor-binding activity while still maintaining fusion activity is an ideal means to dissect the mechanisms of PtdSer-mediated viral infection/transduction. In the studies described herein, we examined the abilities of most of the known PtdSer-binding molecules to mediate virus binding and viral transduction using lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with our targeting Env, followed by analysis with the vectors pseudotyped with various other Envs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids.** The cDNAs of human Gas6 (hGas6), Axl, TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, and BAII1 were purchased from DNASU (Tempe, AZ), the cDNA of human stabilin-1 was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI), and the cDNA of human stabilin-2 was provided by Edward Harris (University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE) (32). The CD8 leader and Flag tag sequences were inserted into the cDNAs of TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, BAII1, and stabilin-1 and -2 at the 5′ end by replacing each leader sequence

![FIG 1](http://jvi.asm.org/) Molecular mechanisms of phagocytosis of dead cells. (A) Molecular mechanisms of phagocytosis of dead cells mediated by soluble molecules that bridge the PtdSer exposed on dead cells to receptors on phagocytes. (B) Molecular mechanisms of phagocytosis of dead cells mediated by receptors that directly recognize PtdSer. TIM-1, -3, and -4 each have one cytoplasmic tyrosine residue and CD300a has four cytoplasmic tyrosine residues; these residues are phosphorylated and mediate signaling after binding to PtdSer (red circles). BAII1 is a seven-transmembrane receptor. Its extracellular N terminus has five thrombospondin type 1 repeat domains that bind PtdSer. The cytoplasmic domains of BAI1 and stabilin-1 and -2 have been known to bind PtdSer directly (Fig. 1A). The other mechanism mediates binding through receptors expressed on phagocytes that directly bind PtdSer (Fig. 1B). In humans, TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, BAII1, and stabilin-1 and -2 have been known to bind PtdSer directly (Table 1) (20–27). In addition, RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products) was recently shown to bind PtdSer (28, 29).

Among these PtdSer-recognizing molecules, recent studies showed that TIM-1 can mediate infection/transduction of murine leukemia virus (MLV) and feline immunodeficiency virus vectors pseudotyped with various types of Env, as well as replication-competent viruses, including West Nile, yellow fever, dengue, Tc-caribe, Ross River, and Sindbis viruses, baculovirus, and replication-competent vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) harboring the Ebola virus Env (10, 30, 31). TIM-4 also mediates infection/transduction, albeit less effectively. Although these results indicated that various PtdSer-binding molecules can support infection by enveloped viruses, these studies also showed that BAII1 and RAGE cannot support viral infection (10, 30). These results indicate that not all PtdSer-binding molecules can mediate virus binding and entry. Knowing which PtdSer-recognizing molecular mechanisms can support virus binding/entry is essential to further elucidation of the role of viral envelope PtdSer in viral replication in vitro and in vivo.

PtdSer-mediated binding occurs independently of viral Envs, but Envs are required for the subsequent fusion step (7). Therefore, our lentiviral vector pseudotyped with a mutant Env that possesses no or minimal receptor-binding activity while still maintaining fusion activity is an ideal means to dissect the mechanisms of PtdSer-mediated viral infection/transduction. In the studies described herein, we examined the abilities of most of the known PtdSer-binding molecules to mediate virus binding and viral transduction using lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with our targeting Env, followed by analysis with the vectors pseudotyped with various other Envs.

**TABLE 1** Human PtdSer-binding molecules investigated in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Molecular mass (kDa)</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFG-E8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Mammary epithelial cells, macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM-1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Th2 cells, mast cells, regulatory B cells, kidney epithelial cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM-3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Subset of activated CD4 and CD8 cells, Th1 cells, monococytes, microglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM-4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Tingle-body macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD300a</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NK cells, T cells, B cells, neutrophils, plasmacytoid dendritic cells, mast cells, eosinophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAII1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Neuron, glia, macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilin-1</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Noncontinuous endothelial cells, macrophages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilin-2</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Sinusoidal endothelial cells, macrophages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MFG-E8 and CD300a can bind PtdEttr, in addition to PtdSer.
as described previously (21, 33–36). The cDNA of human AxI and cDNAs of human TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, or BAII1 with or without the Flag tag sequence were inserted into the lentiviral vector plasmid pLVU/myc (Addgene, Cambridge, MA) (37). The cDNA of stabilin-1 or -2 with or without the Flag tag sequence was inserted into pCDNA6.2 DEST (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The 3′ end of the cDNA of hGas6 was conjugated with the Flag tag sequence and cloned into a mammalian expression vector, pC1 (Promega). The expression vectors of mouse MFG-E8 (mMFG-E8) and a mutant mouse MFG-E8 (D89E) were provided by Shigekazu Nagata (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan) (19).

Lentiviral vectors. All lentiviral vectors were produced in 293T cells by a previously described calcium phosphate transfection method (38). Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-expressing lentiviral vectors were cotransfected with various Env proteins produced by transfecting 293T cells with an Env expression vector (Sindbis, 2.2, 2.2 I.LL, VSV envelope G protein [VSV-G], gp64, or RRV), the packaging plasmid PA2X (Addgene), and a lentiviral vector (cpp2c) containing EGFP as its transgene (39). The 2.2 I.LL, VSV-G, and gp64 pseudotypes were transfected into 293T cells with the VSV-G, PA2X, and pLVU vectors containing cDNA of the corresponding receptors. After transfection, the cells were cultured in AIM-V medium (Invitrogen). The supernatant was harvested and filtered at 48 h posttransfection. The lentiviral vectors were purified by ultracentrifugation, using a sucrose cushion (phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] containing 25% sucrose and 1 mM EGTA), and the pellets were resuspended in PBS. The concentrations of lentiviral vectors were quantitated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) by measuring the amounts of viral capsid protein p24. The concentrated virus was frozen at −70°C.

Proteins. Human MFG-E8 (hMFG-E8) was purchased from R&D Biosystems (Minneapolis, MN). Annexin V (ANX V) was purchased from three different companies (eBioscience, San Diego, CA; ARCOsystems, Beijing, China; and Adipogen, Liestal, Switzerland) to confirm its effect on lentiviral transduction. The results presented in Fig. 4 and 8 were obtained using ANX V purchased from eBioscience.

Recombinant hGas6, mMFG-E8, and D89E were produced by transfecting 293T cells with plasmids expressing each protein. To facilitate γ-carboxylation of hGas6 during production, the cells were also transfected with a plasmid expressing human γ-glutamylcarboxylase (Origene, Rockville, MD), and cells were cultured in medium containing 10 μg/ml vitamin K1 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) before, during, and after transfection. Following transfection, the cells were washed twice with serum-free medium and cultured in Opti-SFM medium (Invitrogen). At 4 days posttransfection, the supernatants of the transduced cells were harvested and filtered. Supernatants were subjected to ammonium sulfate precipitation at 20% saturation to remove contaminating molecules and 50% saturation to precipitate the target proteins. The ammonium sulfate precipitates were subjected to gel filtration in order to exchange the buffer to Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS), followed by purification using a FLAG M purification kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The fractions containing target proteins were consolidated and dialyzed into HBSS (Invitrogen). The purity and amounts of the proteins were confirmed by SDS-PAGE, SYPRO Ruby staining, and imaging and analysis by an FX imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The purity of all purified proteins was >95%.

Cells. Human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVECs) were cultured in an EGM-2 bullet kit (Lonza, Walkersville, MD). 293T cells stably expressing Flag-tagged AxI, TIM-1, -3, -4, CD300a, or BAII1, or stabilin-1 or -2 were designated AxI 293T, TIM-1 293T, TIM-3 293T, TIM-4 293T, Flag + CD300a 293T, Flag + BAII1 293T, Flag + stabilin-1 293T, and Flag + stabilin-2 293T, respectively. 293T cells stably expressing TIM-1, -3, -4, CD300a, or BAII1 were expressed by transducing 293T cells with lentiviral vectors expressing the corresponding cDNAs at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1. Stabilin-1 and -2 were expressed by transfecting 293T cells with linearized plasmids expressing stabilin-1 or -2. The transduced/transfected cells were cultured for 1 to 2 weeks in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM; Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Sigma-Aldrich) and bacterialidin (Invitrogen). When blasticidin could not completely eliminate nontransduced cells, the cells expressing transduced receptors were purified using a FACSArria cell sorter (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). Three clones of stabilin-2 293T cells, STAB 2-15, 2-17, and 2-20 293T cells, were generated by limiting dilutions of stabilin-2 293T cells. STAB 2-5 and 2-8 293T cells were generated by limiting dilutions of Flag-stabilin-2 293T cells. 293T cells were cultured in IMDM containing 10% FCS and antibiotics; the cells expressing transduced cDNA were cultured in the same medium supplemented with blasticidin.

Flow cytometry analysis of expression of transduced cDNA. Expression of AxI and integrins αvβ3 and αvβ5 was analyzed by staining with anti-Axl (R&D Biosystems) and anti-integrin αvβ3 (clone 27.1) and αvβ5 (clone P1F6) antibodies (Chemicon, Temecula, CA), respectively. The primary staining was followed by secondary staining with Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Murine IgG1 isotype control antibody (eBioscience, San Diego, CA) was used as a control. Expression of AxI, TIM-1, and CD300a was analyzed by phycocerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-Axl (R&D Biosystems), anti-TIM-1 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA), and anti-CD300a (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies, respectively. Appropriate isotype control antibodies conjugated with PE were purchased from eBioscience. Expression of TIM-3 was analyzed by staining the cells with anti-TIM-3 (R&D Biosystems) or rat IgG2a isotype control (eBioscience) antibodies, followed by staining with Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-rat antibody (Invitrogen). Expression of TIM-4 was analyzed by staining 293T and TIM-4 293T cells with goat anti-TIM-4 antibody (R&D Biosystems) or mouse IgG1 isotype control antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by staining with PE-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, England). Expression of BAII1 on 293T and BAII1 293T cells was analyzed by staining the cells with anti-BAII1 (R&D Biosystems) or mouse IgG1 isotype control (eBioscience) antibodies, followed by Alexa 647-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Invitrogen). Expression of stabilin-2 on 293T and atabillin-2, STAB 2-15, 2-17, and 2-20 293T cells was analyzed by staining the cells with anti-stabilin-2 antibodies (provided by Edward Harris) (41) or mouse IgG2b isotype control antibodies (eBioscience), followed by staining with Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Invitrogen). To analyze the expression of stabilin-1, 293T cells were first fixed and permeabilized using a FIX & PERM kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were then stained with sheep anti-stabilin-1 antibody (R&D Biosystems) or control sheep antibody (R&D Biosystems), followed by Alexa 488-conjugated goat antiship antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Inc., West Grove, PA).

Expression of Flag-tagged receptors was analyzed by staining cells with allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated anti-Flag tag antibody (Columbia Bioscience, Frederick, MD) and APC-conjugated mouse IgG1 isotype control antibody (eBioscience).

All flow cytometry analyses in this study were performed using an LSRFortessa flow cytometer (BD Bioscience).

Analysis of binding of hGas6, mMFG-E8, and D89E to cells. HMVECs (5 × 10⁴) were incubated with hGas6, mMFG-E8, or D89E at 3 μg/ml for 1 h at 37°C in the presence of 0.1% sodium azide. 293T and AxI 293T cells (2 × 10⁴) were incubated with hGas6 at 3 μg/ml for 1 h at 37°C in the presence of 0.1% sodium azide. The cells were then fixed using the FIX & PERM kit, followed by staining with APC-conjugated anti-Flag tag antibody.
Transduction of cells. HMVECs (1 × 10^5 cells/well) were seeded into 48-well plates 2 days before transduction for all transduction experiments. The volumes of reagents and viruses used for all transduction experiments of this study were 150 μl unless otherwise indicated. The cells were incubated for 4 h with PBS (PBS with 1 mM CaCl_2, 0.5 mM MgCl_2, and 0.3% bovine serum albumin [Sigma-Aldrich]) or PBS containing various concentrations of hGas6, hMFG-E8, mMFG-E8, or D89E. These reagents were replaced with lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with various types of Envs resuspended in PBS. The cells and virus were then incubated for 2 h, followed by replacement of virus with medium. EGFP expression was analyzed by flow cytometry at 3 days posttransduction.  

Virus binding assay. HMVECs (1 × 10^5) were incubated for 1 h with hGas6, hMFG-E8, or D89E at 1 μg/ml in 200 μl of PBS. The cells were then incubated for 2 h with 200 μl of the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype labeled with EGFP (1,000 ng p24/ml), followed by fixation using the FIX & PERM kit. Cells (2 × 10^5), including 293T cells and Axl 293T cells incubated with 1 μg/ml hGas6 in 200 μl of PBS for 1 h and TIM-1, -3, and -4 293T, CD300a, BA11, stablin-1 and -2, and STAB 2-15, 2-17, and 2-20 293T cells, were incubated for 2 h with 200 μl of the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype labeled with EGFP (500 ng p24/ml). Cells were then fixed with the FIX & PERM kit. Virus binding was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Blocking of lentiviral transduction using ANX V and D89E. The 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (500 ng p24/ml) incubated with various concentrations of ANX V or D89E in PBS for 1 h at 37°C was used to transduce HMVECs that had been preincubated with hMFG-E8 (3 μg/ml) in PBS for 4 h at 37°C. HMVECs were then incubated with the virus for 2 h at 37°C, and EGFP expression was analyzed at 3 days posttransduction. The 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (50 ng p24/ml) or gp64 pseudotype (25 ng p24/ml) that had been incubated with various concentrations of ANX V or D89E in PBS for 1 h at 37°C was used to transduce 293T and TIM-1 and -4 293T cells. Cells were then incubated with the virus for 2 h at 37°C, and EGFP expression was analyzed at 3 days posttransduction.

Blocking of lentiviral transduction using liposomes. Phosphatidylcholine (PtdChl), PtdSer, and phosphatidylethanolamine (PtdEtn) in chloroform were purchased from Avanti Polar (Alabaster, AL). PtdChl liposomes consisted only of PtdChl, whereas PtdSer and PtdEtn liposomes consisted of 75% PtdChl and 25% PtdSer or PtdEtn, respectively. The lipids in chloroform were mixed, dried, resuspended in PBS, sonicated, and filtered. Liposomes were aliquoted and stored at −80°C until use.

HMVECs were preincubated with hMFG-E8 (3 μg/ml) in PBS for 4 h at 37°C, followed by incubation with various concentrations of liposomes for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were then transduced with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (500 ng p24/ml), and transduction efficiencies were analyzed as previously described.

TIM-1 and -4 293T cells preincubated with various concentrations of liposomes for 1 h at 37°C were transduced with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (50 ng p24/ml) and gp64 pseudotype (25 ng p24/ml), respectively. Transduction and analyses of transduction efficiencies were performed as previously described.

RESULTS

Targeting lentiviral vector system used for initial investigation of viral envelope PtdSer-mediated viral transduction. We used lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with modified Sindbis virus Envs to initially investigate viral envelope PtdSer-mediated virus binding and transduction (Fig. 2A). Sindbis virus has two types of Envs, E1 and E2. E2 mediates binding to its receptors, including heparan sulfate, the laminin receptor, and other unknown receptors (42–45). E1 mediates fusion between the target cell membrane and the viral envelope (46).

The 2.2 IL1L pseudotype is a mutated Env derived from the wild-type Sindbis virus Env containing mutations at its receptor-binding regions (38, 46–50). 2.2 IL1L cannot mediate efficient binding to target cells due to the mutations; however, it still maintains the intact fusion activity of E1. While the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype cannot bind and transduce target cells, it can transduce target cells efficiently when the virus binding is mediated by viral envelope PtdSer. The 2.2 IL1L pseudotype was previously used to investigate Axl/Gas6-mediated infection through binding to PtdSer. Therefore, the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype can be used to study host PtdSer-recognizing molecules for their ability to mediate virus binding and transduction with no/minimum interference from Env-mediated binding.

MFG-E8 enhances transduction by lentiviral vectors. We first determined whether MFG-E8 can increase lentivirus binding and transduction by bridging virus and cells. Using HMVECs that express Axl (Fig. 2B), we identified Gas6 as a protein that bridges virus to cells. HMVECs also express integrins αVβ3 and αVβ5, which bind MFG-E8 (Fig. 2B); thus, this cell type was used to investigate whether MFG-E8 can mediate lentiviral transduction.

We used recombinant human and mouse MFG-E8 (hMFG-E8 and mMFG-E8, respectively) and a mutant mouse MFG-E8 (D89E) that contained a mutation within its integrin-binding RGD motif (Fig. 2C) (19). hGas6, mMFG-E8, and D89E were fused with a C-terminal Flag tag. We analyzed the binding of these Flag-tagged molecules to HMVECs by staining cells with an APC-conjugated anti-Flag tag antibody after incubation with each molecule (Fig. 2D). Flow cytometry analysis revealed that MFG-E8 binds to HMVECs more efficiently than hGas6. The mutation at the integrin-binding region decreased the binding of mMFG-E8, confirming that binding of mMFG-E8 to HMVECs is primarily mediated by the interaction between the integrins and the RGD motif.

We used the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype labeled with EGFP to measure virus binding by flow cytometry. The binding of virus was quantified as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the FL1 (EGFP) signal. In the absence of any bridging molecule, the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype cannot bind and transduce target cells due to the mutations; however, the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype carrying EGFP as its transgene. Because enhancement of viral transduction by hGas6 is very strong, we initially used low MOIs (100 ng p24/ml) to avoid saturation of viral transduction enhancement. Both human and murine MFG-E8 enhanced viral transduction only at high concentrations (1 to 10 μg/ml), while hGas6 increased transduction even at the lowest concentrations used (10 ng/ml) (Fig. 3A). MFG-E8-mediated enhancement of transduction was observed at multiple MOIs (Fig. 3B). Consistent with the results of virus binding assays (Fig. 2E), the enhancement by MFG-E8 was lower (5- to 10-fold) than that by hGas6 (200-fold).

We next investigated whether MFG-E8 can enhance the trans-
duction of other pseudotypes. We found that it enhanced the transduction of lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with Sindbis, RRV, and gp64 (Fig. 3C). D89E does not enhance viral transduction (Fig. 3A and C), which demonstrated that the interaction between the integrins and the RGD motif is important for MFG-E8-mediated viral transduction. Our previous study showed that transduction by the VSV-G pseudotype was not as strongly enhanced by hGas6 as that by other pseudotypes (7). MFG-D8 also did not enhance transduction by the VSV-G pseudotype (Fig. 3C).

Role of viral envelope PtdSer in MFG-E8-mediated lentiviral transduction. We investigated whether transduction by MFG-E8 is mediated by its interaction with viral envelope PtdSer. Previous studies have shown that binding of MFG-E8 to apoptotic cells is inhibited by incubating the apoptotic cells with ANX V, which specifically binds and conceals exposed PtdSer (19). We preincubated the 2.2.1L1L pseudotype with ANX V to block MFG-E8-mediated viral transduction. Our previous biochemical studies demonstrated that ANX V binds to the 2.2.1L1L pseudotype in a typical calcium-dependent manner (7). Surprisingly, ANX V could not block MFG-E8-mediated viral transduction (Fig. 4A). We confirmed this result, using recombinant ANX V from three companies and a very high concentration of ANX V (100 μg/ml).
FIG 3 Lentiviral transduction mediated by MFG-E8. (A) Enhancement of HMVEC transduction with the 2.2.1L1L pseudotype (100 ng p24/ml) after incubation with hGas6, hMFG-E8, mMFG-E8, or D89E at the concentrations shown on the x axis. The fold enhancement was calculated by the following formula: percentage of EGFP-expressing cells preincubated with each ligand divided by percentage of EGFP-expressing cells preincubated with buffer only. This experiment was repeated twice in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. The proportion of EGFP-expressing cells preincubated with buffer only was 0.12%/H110060.05% (average/standard deviation). Significance was calculated by comparing the value for the no-protein samples to the value for the samples with 10/H9262g/ml proteins, using a two-sample Student t test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).

(B) Transduction of HMVECs with the 2.2.1L1L pseudotype with or without preincubation with hMFG-E8 at high (2,500 ng p24/ml), medium (500 ng p24/ml), and low (100 ng p24/ml) MOIs. This experiment was repeated twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. (C) Transduction of HMVECs with lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with Sindbis (100 ng p24/ml), RRV (400 ng p24/ml), gp64 (400 ng p24/ml), or VSV-G (8 ng p24/ml) after preincubation with hMFG-E8, mMFG-E8, or D89E at 3/H9262μg/ml. This experiment was repeated once in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. Significance was calculated by comparing the value of transduction obtained with the no-protein samples to the value of transduction obtained with the proteins indicated in the figure using a two-sample Student t test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).
There are two possible explanations for this phenomenon: (i) MFG-E8 binds to molecules other than PtdSer present on the viral envelope, or (ii) ANX V cannot completely occlude all PtdSer on the viral envelope.

In addition to binding to PtdSer, MFG-E8 is known to bind PtdEtr, although its affinity for PtdEtr is not as strong as that for PtdChl (19). Similar to PtdSer, PtdEtr is specifically present in the inner layer of the cell membrane and becomes exposed on the cell surface upon cell death (52). Although exposure of PtdEtr on the viral envelope has not been reported, we investigated the possibility that PtdEtr plays a role in MFG-E8-mediated transduction.

Currently, there is no reagent that specifically conceals PtdEtr without toxicity (53); thus, we used liposomes consisting of PtdEtr to block MFG-E8-mediated transduction (Fig. 4B). The liposome consisting of PtdChl did not block MFG-E8-mediated lentiviral transduction, but the liposome consisting of PtdEtr did so efficiently. The liposome consisting of PtdEtr cannot significantly inhibit MFG-E8-mediated transduction. These results are consistent with the known affinities of MFG-E8 for PtdChl, PtdSer, and PtdEtr (19). Although the very weak inhibition mediated by PtdEtr indicated a role for viral envelope PtdEtr in MFG-E8-mediated transduction, the strong inhibition by the PtdSer liposome suggests that binding of virus to MFG-E8 is mainly mediated by viral envelope PtdSer. The results of this experiment did not eliminate the possibility that ANX V cannot conceal all of the viral envelope PtdSer.

Ectopic expression of PtdSer receptors on 293T cells. We examined seven types of human receptors that can directly bind PtdSer, including TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, BAI1, and stabilin-1 and -2 (Fig. 1B) (20–27). For the TIM receptor family, it is known that the affinity of TIM-3 for PtdSer is very weak (54). Although these PtdSer receptors are known to mediate binding of phagocytes and dead cells, CD300a-mediated binding is known to inhibit, rather than facilitate, phagocytosis (23, 24, 55, 56).

Because 293T cells do not express any of these PtdSer receptors, we analyzed the functions of these PtdSer receptors by stably expressing them on 293T cells, using lentiviral or plasmid vectors. We also expressed Ax1 on 293T cells and compared the degree of enhancement of transduction mediated by these PtdSer receptors to that mediated by Ax1 and hGas6.

Ax1, TIM-1,-3, and -4, CD300a, and BAI1 were expressed on 293T cells using lentiviral vectors (Fig. 5A). The lentiviral vector contained the ubiquitin C promoter to express inserted cDNAs and the simian virus 40 (SV40) promoter to express the blasticidin resistance gene. Because the cDNAs of stabilin-1 and -2 are very large (8 kb) and exceed the capacity of lentiviral vectors (57), we employed plasmid vectors for their expression (Fig. 5A). The plasmid vector contains the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter to express the inserted cDNA and the SV40 promoter to express the blasticidin-resistant gene. Previous studies (21, 33–36) from other research groups used Flag tag-conjugated TIM-1, -3, and -4, CD300a, and BAI1 to examine their functions. We also conjugated the Flag tag with all of the PtdSer receptors except Ax1 in the same manner as the previous studies.

The cells expressing these receptors were selected by culturing in medium containing blasticidin. When necessary, the cells expressing the transgenes were sorted by flow cytometry.

Staining of stably transduced or transfected 293T cells with antibodies specific to each PtdSer receptor confirmed that 293T cells do not naturally express these PtdSer receptors but do so after
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**FIG 4 Role of viral envelope PtdSer in MFG-E8-mediated lentiviral transduction.** (A) Blocking of MFG-E8-mediated transduction of HMVECs with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype by ANX V or D89E. HMVECs were incubated with hMFG-E8 (3 μg/ml) for 4 h prior to transduction. The 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (500 ng p24/ml) was preincubated with ANX V or D89E for 1 h at the concentrations shown in the figure prior to transduction. HMVECs were incubated with 2.2 1L1L pseudotype in the presence of ANX V or D89E. This experiment was repeated twice in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. (B) Blocking of MFG-E8-mediated transduction of HMVECs with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (100 ng p24/ml) by liposomes. HMVECs were incubated with hMFG-E8 (3 μg/ml) for 4 h, followed by incubation for 1 h with liposomes consisting of either PtdChl only (PtdChl), 75% PtdChl and 25% PtdSer (PtdSer), or 75% PtdChl and 25% PtdEtr (PtdEtr). The cells were then transduced with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype for 2 h in the presence of the same liposomes. This experiment was repeated twice in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. For panels A and B, significance was calculated using a two-sample Student t test by comparing the MFG-E8-mediated transduction without blocking reagents to that with the blocking reagents indicated in the figure (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).
stable transduction of the cDNA of each PtdSer receptor (Fig. 5B). The expression of stabilin-2 decreased over passages of cells, even after sorting for the stabilin-2-positive population and culturing of the cells in the presence of blasticidin. This decrease in expression seemed to be caused by the slower growth of cells that express stabilin-2 at high levels. Therefore, we generated three clones of stabilin-2 293T cells, designated STAB 2-15, 2-17, and 2-20 293T cells (Fig. 5B).

Staining of these cells with antibodies specific to each PtdSer receptor confirmed expression of each PtdSer receptor on transduced or transfected 293T cells. However, antibodies specific to each PtdSer receptor cannot indicate the relative expression levels of different PtdSer receptors because of the different affinity of each antibody to each PtdSer. To compare these, we stained the cells with an anti-Flag tag antibody (Fig. 5C). Staining of the Flag tag demonstrated that all PtdSer receptors are expressed abundantly, except in stabilin-2 293T cells. In the case of Axl 293T cells, hGas6 bound to Axl serves as a PtdSer-binding molecule; therefore, Axl 293T cells preincubated with hGas6, which contains the Flag tag in its C terminus, were stained by the anti-Flag tag antibody. The results showed that hGas6 specifically binds to Axl 293T cells in an Axl-dependent manner, but the signal of Flag tag stain-
ing was not as strong as that of staining of other PtdSer receptors. This indicated that Axl 293T cells preincubated with hGas6 might not have as many PtdSer-binding sites as cells expressing PtdSer receptors. TIM-1 and -4 and CD300a increase binding to lentiviral vectors. We determined whether expression of each PtdSer receptor can increase the binding of the EGFP-labeled 2.2 1L1L pseudotype. The virus binds to 293T cells at a low level when PtdSer is not ectopically expressed. Expression of Axl, along with incubation of hGas6, increased the signal caused by virus binding (Fig. 6A), demonstrating that the increased FL1 (EGFP) signals can be used to determine the ability of each PtdSer receptor to mediate virus binding. Using this assay, we examined virus binding to all types of cells expressing various PtdSer receptors. The binding of virus was quantitated as the MFI of the EGFP signals, which increase by binding of EGFP-labeled virus. This experiment was repeated once in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. Significance was calculated by comparing the value for 293T cells to the values for the cells expressing each type of PtdSer receptor using a two-sample Student t test (**, P < 0.01).

TIM-1 and -4 and CD300a increase binding to lentiviral vectors. We next investigated whether transduction by various pseudotypes is enhanced by the expression of PtdSer receptors. Axl/Gas6 and TIM-1 increased the transduction of lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with all Envs tested, including 2.2 1L1L (Fig. 7A), Sindbis (Fig. 7B), RRV (Fig. 7C), gp64 (Fig. 7D), and VSV-G (Fig. 7E). TIM-4 enhanced transduction of the RRV, gp64, and VSV-G pseudotypes but not that of the 2.2 1L1L and Sindbis pseudotypes. Although VSV-G pseudotype transduction was enhanced by Axl/Gas6 and TIM-1 and -4, the extent of enhancement was much less than for the other pseudotypes.

Of note, we observed that the efficiencies of infection of stabilin-1 and STAB 2-17 293T cells with the VSV-G pseudotype and the efficiencies of infection of STAB 2-15 and 2-17 293T cells with the Sindbis pseudotype were decreased compared to the efficiencies of infection of 293T cells with the same pseudotypes. We are uncertain whether these decreases were caused by inhibition at the viral entry step due to interaction of viral envelope PtdSer and stabilin-1 or -2 because (i) the cells which highly express stabilin-1 or -2 grow slower than conventional 293T cells and (ii) we could not observe virus binding mediated by stabilin-1 or -2. Therefore, we did not further pursue the mechanisms of this inhibition of viral infection.

The data presented in Fig. 7A to E were generated using the cells expressing the Flag-tagged PtdSer receptors (21, 33–36);
FIG 7 Lentiviral transduction mediated by PtdSer receptors. Percentage of EGFP-expressing 293T cells; Axl 293T cells preincubated with hGas6, and TIM-1, -3, or -4, CD300a, BAI1, stablin-1 or -2, STAB 2-15, STAB 2-17, or STAB 2-20 293T cells after transduction with lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with 2.2 1L1L (50 ng p24/ml) (A), Sindbis (20 ng p24/ml) (B), RRV (40 ng p24/ml) (C), gp64 (25 ng p24/ml) (D), or VSV-G (10 ng p24/ml) (E). EGFP expression was analyzed at 3 days posttransduction. The results are the averages of triplicate experiments and are shown with standard deviations. (F) Percentage of EGFP-expressing 293T
however, we also generated 293T cells expressing untagged PtdSer receptors and cell clones expressing untagged stabilin-2 (STAB 2-5 and STAB 2-8 293T cells) and confirmed that the data for 2.2 IL1L pseudotype transduction obtained using Flag-tagged PtdSer receptors were the same as those obtained using untagged receptors (Fig. 7F).

The extent of enhancement by Axl/Gas6 and TIM-1 varies depending on the type of pseudotyping Envs. The titers of lentiviral vectors are usually determined using 293T cells as target cells (58, 59). With this titration method, the VSV-G pseudotype usually has the highest titers among various pseudotypes. Since the effects of Axl/Gas6 and TIM-1 on lentiviral transduction differ among various pseudotypes, the pseudotype with the highest titer changes when transduction is supported by Axl/Gas6 and/or TIM-1. We calculated the titers of each pseudotype when 293T cells, Axl 293T cells preincubated with hGas6, and TIM-1 293T cells were used for titration (Fig. 7G). When 293T cells were used as target cells, the VSV-G pseudotype showed the highest titers. However, since transduction of the gp64 pseudotype was drastically enhanced by Axl/Gas6 and TIM-1, the titers of the gp64 pseudotype were the highest among those of all pseudotypes tested in Axl 293T cells preincubated with hGas6 and TIM-1 293T cells.

Blocking of TIM-1-, TIM-4-, and MFG-E8-mediated lentiviral transduction by D89E. We next investigated whether TIM-1 and -4 mediate lentiviral transduction by binding to viral envelope PtdSer. We attempted to block TIM-1 and TIM-4-mediated transduction by concealing viral envelope PtdSer, using ANX V (Fig. 8A and B). ANX V could not inhibit transduction mediated by TIM-1 or -4. Unlike MFG-E8, TIM-1 and -4 are known to specifically bind PtdSer (20, 21, 54). Consistent with the known specificities of TIM-1 and -4, transduction mediated by TIM-1 and -4 was inhibited only by the liposomes consisting of PtdSer (Fig. 8C and D). These results demonstrate that ANX V cannot prevent the binding of TIM-1 or -4 to viral envelope PtdSer.

We attempted to use a different protein that conceals viral envelope PtdSer and potentially inhibits binding to TIM-1 and -4. D89E has been used as a dominant negative mutant to block PtdSer-dependent phagocytosis of dead cells (21, 60–62) because it can bind PtdSer, whereas its binding to integrins is impaired. We attempted to block TIM-1 and TIM-4-mediated lentiviral transduction by incubating the virus with D89E prior to transduction.

As a control viral vector that uses a PtdSer-independent entry pathway, we used a lentiviral vector pseudotyped with the modified Sindbis virus Env, 2.2, which contains the Fc-binding region of protein A (Fig. 2A) (7). The 2.2 pseudotype can be conjugated with monoclonal antibodies that mediate binding of the virus to target cells. 293T cells were minimally transduced when the 2.2 pseudotype was not conjugated with antibodies (Fig. 8E). When the virus was conjugated with the antibody that recognizes 293T cells (anti-HLA class I antibody), the virus efficiently transduced the cells using HLA class I as a viral receptor. Transduction by the 2.2 pseudotype conjugated with anti-HLA class I antibody was not inhibited by preincubation of the virus with D89E (Fig. 8E), confirming that D89E does not inhibit PtdSer-independent viral entry.

In contrast, D89E completely blocked TIM-1- and TIM-4-mediated lentiviral transduction (Fig. 8A and B). These results suggest that TIM-1 and -4 mediate lentiviral transduction by binding to PtdSer. We also found that transduction of HMVEC with the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype mediated by MFG-E8 can also be inhibited by D89E (Fig. 4A). These results demonstrate that D89E can block multiple PtdSer-dependent viral entry mechanisms.

**DISCUSSION**

The studies described herein examined most of the currently known human PtdSer-recognizing molecules for their abilities to support virus binding and lentiviral transduction. We found that a soluble protein, MFG-E8, that bridges integrins to PtdSer can enhance transduction by pseudotyped virus. We also found that three types of cell surface receptors, TIM-1 and -4 and CD300a, enhance virus binding. TIM-1 increased the transduction of all pseudotypes tested. TIM-4 increased transduction only with the RRV and gp64 pseudotypes. CD300a could not enhance transduction with any pseudotype. ANX V, which has been used to conceal PtdSer and block phagocytosis of dead cells, could not block viral transduction mediated by MFG-E8 and TIM-1 and -4. A mutant of MFG-E8 which can conceal PtdSer on virus blocked the viral transduction mediated by MFG-E8, as well as TIM-1 and -4.

MFG-E8 could enhance transduction with vectors pseudotyped with various Envs, including 2.2 IL1L, Sindbis, RRV, and gp64, by 5- to 10-fold (Fig. 3C). As shown in Fig. 2E and 3A, the 2.2 IL1L pseudotype did not bind or transduce HMVECs without support from soluble PtdSer-binding molecules. This low level of Env-dependent binding, as well as the abundant expression of Axl and integrins αVβ3 and αVβ5, enabled us to identify Gas6 and MFG-E8 as virus-cell bridging molecules and to investigate the details of viral entry mediated solely by viral envelope PtdSer.

The results of 2.2 IL1L transduction demonstrated that enhancement of transduction by MFG-E8 (5- to 10-fold) is not as efficient as that by Gas6 (>200-fold) (Fig. 3A). This could be explained by the results which demonstrated that virus binding mediated by MFG-E8 is much less efficient than that mediated by Gas6 (Fig. 2E). Unlike Axl and TIM-1 and -4, integrin αVβ3 and/or αVβ5 is expressed on a wide variety of phagocytic and nonphagocytic cell types (47, 63–68). Integrins/MFG-E8 play a role in viral infection/transduction of wider varieties of cell types than other PtdSer-recognizing molecules do. Since MFG-E8 is a component of milk (69, 70), investigation of the role of MFG-E8 in mother-to-child transmission of enveloped viruses may provide a new target for prevention of mother-to-child virus spread.

Viral envelope PtdSer-mediated binding helps to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of TIM-1 and Axl-mediated viral infection, which were previously unclear (71, 72). Integrins are also
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FIG 8 Role of viral envelope PtdSer in TIM-1- and TIM-4-mediated lentiviral transduction. (A) Blocking of TIM-1-mediated 2.2 1L1L pseudotype transduction by ANX V or D89E. The 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (50 ng p24/ml) was incubated with ANX V or D89E for 1 h prior to transduction at the concentrations indicated in the figure. The vectors were then used for transduction of 293T and TIM-1 293T cells. (B) Blocking of TIM-4-mediated gp64 pseudotype transduction by ANX V or D89E. The gp64 pseudotype (25 ng p24/ml) was incubated with ANX V or D89E for 1 h prior to transduction at the concentrations indicated in the figure. The vectors were then used for transduction of 293T and TIM-4 293T cells. (C) Blocking of TIM-1-mediated 2.2 1L1L pseudotype transduction by liposomes. TIM-1 293T cells were incubated for 1 h with the liposomes indicated in the figure. The cells were then transduced with the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (50 ng p24/ml) for 2 h in the presence of the same liposomes. (D) Blocking of TIM-4-mediated gp64 pseudotype transduction by liposomes. TIM-4 293T cells were incubated for 1 h with the liposomes indicated in the figure. The cells were then transduced with the gp64 pseudotype (25 ng p24/ml) for 2 h in the presence of the same liposomes. (E) Blocking by D89E of HLA class I-mediated transduction of 293T cells with the 2.2 pseudotype. The 2.2 pseudotype (1000 ng p24/ml) was conjugated with an anti-HLA class I antibody (Ab), followed by 1 h of incubation with D89E at the concentrations indicated in the figure. The vectors were then used for transduction of 293T cells. The experiments whose results are presented in panels A, B, and E were repeated twice in singlicate and twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. The experiments whose results are presented in panels C and D were repeated twice in triplicate, and the results shown are the averages and standard deviations of one representative triplicate experiment. For panels A to D, significance was calculated by comparing the results of TIM-1- or TIM-4-mediated transduction without blocking reagents to those with blocking reagents using a two-sample Student t test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).
known to support infection of various enveloped viruses, including human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and hantavirus (66, 68, 73). For entry of HCMV, Env of HCMV (gB) binds to integrin αVβ3 (66). This interaction mediates the postentry steps of these viruses by inducing the signals required for postbinding steps of viral replication rather than mediating initial binding of the virus. Binding of MFG-E8 to integrin αVβ3 and/or αVβ5 has been shown to induce signaling (74). Therefore, the interaction between viral envelope PtdSer, MFG-E8, and integrin αVβ3 and/or αVβ5 may also support viral infection by facilitating postbinding steps by inducing signals.

TIM-4 enhances transduction by the RRV, gp64, and VSV-G pseudotypes but does not enhance transduction by the 2.2 1L1L and Sindbis pseudotypes (Fig. 7A to D). Analysis of virus binding showed that TIM-4 can enhance the binding of the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype (Fig. 6B). These results indicate that binding of the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype via TIM-4 does not result in transduction. A similar phenomenon was observed in a study by another research group which investigated the ability of TIM-4 to mediate phagocytosis of apoptotic cells (62). Both TIM-1 and TIM-4 have been shown to mediate binding of phagocytes to apoptotic cells, but only TIM-1 and not TIM-4 can mediate phagocytosis (20, 62). TIM-1 has a signaling tyrosine motif, but TIM-4 does not (Fig. 1B) (54); thus, the investigators proposed that TIM-4 cannot mediate phagocytosis of dead cells due to the lack of signals. The 2.2 1L1L and Sindbis pseudotypes might also require signals from TIM receptors for efficient endocytosis of virus. The RRV, gp64, and VSV-G pseudotypes may not require such signals because they can induce endocytosis by binding of the Env to their receptors.

CD300a increased virus binding but did not enhance the transduction of any pseudotype (Fig. 6B and 7A to F). CD300a is known to bind to apoptotic cells; however, this binding does not induce phagocytosis of dead cells (23, 24, 56). Rather, the signals elicited by CD300a suppress phagocytosis. Therefore, the resulting signals from the binding of CD300a to virus might also suppress viral endocytosis, which would cause abortive virus infection.

The results obtained with TIM-4 and CD300a suggest that binding of viral envelope PtdSer to its receptors elicits signals that play a role in postbinding steps of virus infection. The role of such signaling was intensively studied for Axl/Gas6-mediated virus infection. One study demonstrated that the signals elicited by the interactions between PtdSer, Gas6, and Axl dampen type I interferon signaling of target cells to promote infection of West Nile virus and lentiviral vectors (11). Another study demonstrated that Axl signaling induces macrophagocytosis to facilitate endocytosis of feline immunodeficiency virus and VSV pseudotyped with the Ebola virus Env (13). These results suggest that viral envelope PtdSer can facilitate multiple steps of viral replication by interaction with PtdSer-recognizing molecules. Since the signaling pathways of each PtdSer-recognizing molecule are different, the effects of the signaling on postbinding steps of viral infection will differ for each PtdSer-recognizing molecule.

Analyzing the infectivity of the 2.2 1L1L and Sindbis pseudotypes enabled us to compare the efficiency of Env-mediated infection and PtdSer-mediated infection (Fig. 7A and B). One nanogram of p24 of the Sindbis pseudotype could transduce $1.4 \times 10^5$ 293T cells. The same amount of the 2.2 1L1L pseudotype transduced the same number of Axl 293T cells ($1.5 \times 10^5$ cells) when hGas6 was present. These results demonstrate that PtdSer can mediate lentiviral transduction as efficiently as Envs. Another group demonstrated that the interaction between PtdSer and TIM-1 can mediate dengue virus infection as efficiently as the interaction between Env and DC-SIGN (10). These results demonstrate that viral envelope PtdSer can mediate virus binding/entry as efficiently as Envs, and this is likely dependent on the host cell type. One study demonstrated that dengue virus infection of cells which endogenously express TIM-1 and Axl was almost completely blocked by using both anti-TIM-1 and anti-Axl antibodies (10). This result indicated that viral envelope PtdSer-mediated virus binding is indispensable for infection of certain combinations of virus and cells, at least in cell culture.

A previous study using MLV vectors pseudotyped with Ebola or Marburg virus Envs demonstrated that TIM-1 cannot mediate infection by these viruses when Niemann-Pick type C1 (NPC1) (75, 76), a specific receptor of Ebola and Marburg virus Envs, is absent on target cells (31). These results suggested that the binding and endocytosis mediated by PtdSer-recognizing molecules would not be sufficient to trigger fusion if the Envs need to bind particular molecules to induce their conformational changes. However, PtdSer-binding molecules can efficiently support entry of the virus containing Sindbis virus Envs that have mutations in their receptor-binding regions. Therefore, the binding and endocytosis mediated by PtdSer-binding molecules would be sufficient for entry of viruses containing Envs that only require exposure to low pH to trigger their fusion activity (i.e., Sindbis and Semliki forest virus Envs) (77–80).

Our results, as well those from other studies, showed that the extent of enhancement varies according to the type of pseudotyping Envs (30, 31). Although the reasons for these differences are not clear, it is possible that the levels of exposed PtdSer on the viral envelope vary according to the type of pseudotyping Envs, which changes the avidity of the virions for PtdSer-binding molecules. Envs that effectively induce the death of 293T cells during viral production are likely to expose more PtdSer on the viral envelope. The levels of PtdSer exposed on the viral envelope will also affect the replication efficiency of replication-competent viruses that use PtdSer-binding molecules for their replication. Importantly, replication-competent viruses harvested from animals (VSV harboring the Ebola virus Env and Ross River, Sindbis, and Tacaribe viruses) were shown to use TIM-1 for cellular entry in in vitro settings (30, 31), suggesting that exposure of viral envelope PtdSer also occurs in vivo.

The significance of the roles of viral envelope PtdSer in replication-competent viral infection and lentiviral transduction has not been established in in vivo settings because it was not clear which PtdSer-recognizing molecules could mediate viral entry. Our results will allow us to focus on viral entry routes via Axl/Gas6, MFG-E8/integrins, and TIM-1 and -4 when studying the role of viral envelope PtdSer in virus infection and transduction in vivo.

Mutation analysis of TIM-1 in previous studies suggested that viral envelope PtdSer is the ligand for TIM-1 during infection by enveloped viruses (30). ANX V has been used to occlude PtdSer on dead cells and block binding to MFG-E8 (19) or phagocytosis of apoptotic cells (81); however, ANX V cannot block viral entry via MFG-E8 and TIM-1 and -4. On the other hand, we found that a mutant of MFG-E8, D89E, can block MFG-E8-, TIM-1-, and TIM-4-mediated lentiviral transduction. The reason why D89E, but not ANX V, can efficiently block PtdSer-dependent viral entry
is not yet clear. Since the ligands of MFG-E8 and TIM receptors are well studied and the common ligand of TIM receptors and MFG-E8 is only PtdSer (54,82, 83), it is unlikely that D89E conceals an unidentified molecule(s) that also plays a role in virus binding via MFG-E8 and TIM-1 and -4. One possible explanation is that ANX V binds upon binding. Another possible explanation is that ANX V binds to the viral envelope, while ANX V cannot due to its different access to PtdSer is that D89E can completely conceal exposed PtdSer on the viral binding via MFG-E8 and TIM-1 and -4. One possible explanation is that ANX V binds to the viral envelope, while ANX V cannot due to its different access to PtdSer is that D89E can completely conceal exposed PtdSer on the viral binding via MFG-E8 and TIM-1 and -4.
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