B Cell Response to Herpesvirus Infection of the Olfactory Neuroepithelium

Cindy S. E. Tan, Philip G. Stevenson

Sir Albert Salzowski Virus Research Centre, Queensland Children's Medical Research Institute and Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre, University of Queensland and Royal Children's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

ABSTRACT
Viruses commonly infect the respiratory tract. Analyses of host defense have focused on the lungs and the respiratory epithelium. Spontaneously inhaled murid herpesvirus 4 (MuHV-4) and herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) instead infect the olfactory epithelium, where neuronal cilia are exposed to environmental antigens and provide a route across the epithelial mucus. We used MuHV-4 to define how B cells respond to virus replication in this less well-characterized site. Olfactory infection elicited generally weaker acute responses than lung infection, particularly in the spleen, reflecting slower viral replication and spread. Few virus-specific antibody-forming cells (AFCs) were found in the nasal-associated lymphoid tissue (NALT), a prominent response site for respiratory epithelial infection. Instead, they appeared first in the superficial cervical lymph nodes. The focus of the AFC response then moved to the spleen, matching the geography of virus dissemination. Little virus-specific IgA response was detected until later in the bone marrow. Neuroepithelial HSV-1 infection also elicited no significant AFC response in the NALT and a weak IgA response. Thus, olfactory herpesvirus infection differed immunologically from an infection of the adjacent respiratory epithelium. Poor IgA induction may help herpesviruses to transmit via long-term mucosal shedding.

IMPORTANCE
Herpesviruses are widespread, persistent pathogens against which vaccines have had limited success. We need to understand better how they interact with host immunity. MuHV-4 and HSV-1 inhaled by alert mice infect the olfactory neuroepithelium, suggesting that this is a natural entry route. Its immunology is almost completely unknown. The antibody response to neuroepithelial herpesvirus infection started in the cervical lymph nodes, and unlike respiratory influenza virus infection, did not significantly involve the nasal-associated lymphoid tissue. MuHV-4 and HSV-1 infections also elicited little virus-specific IgA. Therefore, vaccine-induced IgA might provide a defense that herpesviruses are ill-equipped to meet.

Environmental sampling inevitably imports pathogens. Most are viruses, and most infect the respiratory tract. Experimental infections typically deliver viruses to the lower respiratory tract (LRT) (1); natural infections more commonly start in and may be confined to the upper respiratory tract (URT). Sialic acid-binding viruses, such as influenza virus, target the respiratory epithelium (2). Murid herpesvirus 4 (MuHV-4), a gammaherpesvirus of the genus Rhadinovirus, and herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1), an alphaherpesvirus, instead target the olfactory epithelium (3, 4), where neuronal cilia are exposed directly to inhaled antigens. Borna disease virus (5), pseudorabies virus (6), and neurotropic murine coronaviruses (7) can spread along olfactory neurons to the brain and cause encephalitis, as can experimentally inoculated poliovirus (8), vesicular stomatitis virus (9), and highly virulent influenza virus (10). In contrast, olfactory HSV-1 infection spreads much more readily to the trigeminal ganglia than to the olfactory bulbs (4) and rarely causes encephalitis in adult mice (11), while MuHV-4 spreads to lymph node B cells (12). Thus, neuroepithelial herpesvirus infection is a route to normal, asymptomatic persistence. MuHV-4 and HSV-1 both bind to heparan. The olfactory epithelium expresses heparan both apically and basolaterally (3); in most other sites, it is just basolateral (13). Therefore, many heparan-binding viruses may target the olfactory epithelium for host entry (14), making its immunology important to understand.

One unknown is where immune responses to olfactory infection start. The URT is connected to both lymph nodes and distinct, local accumulations of submucosal lymphoid tissue. A prominent local organ in mice is the nasal-associated lymphoid tissue (NALT), which lies on the upper lateral surface of the palate. It is considered analogous to human tonsils and provides an immune induction site for respiratory epithelial infections (15, 16). However, neuroepithelial infection may be different: MuHV-4 exploits immune communications to spread (17), implying that it follows the normal pathways of antigen-specific immune induction, and after intranasal (i.n.) inoculation, it reaches B cells first in the superficial cervical lymph nodes (SCLN). It reaches the NALT only via systemic dissemination (18).

One hallmark of mucosal immunity is IgA production. LRT MuHV-4 infection elicits few IgA+ antibody-forming cells (AFCs) (19). However, the NALT was not studied; also, the nonciliated alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages that MuHV-4 infects in the LRT may differ immunologically from the mucus-covered URT. Specifically, the lung alveoli are normally sterile, whereas the URT has a resident flora, so the former could be considered a more...
invasive and dangerous infection site, more suited to complement fixation and leukocyte engagement by IgG than to IgA. Certainly, MuHV-4 is more virulent in the LRT than in the URT (20). We found olfactory MuHV-4 infection to elicit a B cell response primarily in the SCLN rather than the NALT. Despite a lack of virus spread to the LRT, the response was dominated by virus-specific IgG rather than IgA. These data provide a first insight into herpes-virus-specific immunity at a newly identified site of host entry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Female C57BL/6J and BALB/c mice were obtained from ARC Western Australia, maintained at University of Queensland Clinical Research Centre (UQCCCR) and Herston Medical Research Centre (HMRC), and used when 6 to 12 weeks old. All animal procedures were approved by The UQ Animal Ethics Committee in accordance with Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) guidelines. For URT infection, alert mice were inoculated intranasally with infectious virus at 105 PFU MuHV-4, 3 × 108 PFU HSV-1 (strain SC16), or 3 × 108 PFU influenza virus A/X31. For LRT infection, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and then given 105 PFU MuHV-4 i.n. in 30 µl. A higher dose was used for URT than for LRT infection, as the efficiency of delivery is approximately 10-fold less (21). Imaging of luciferase+ MuHV-4 (20) was by intraperitoneal injection of 2 mg of luciferin (Pure Science) and then charge-coupled device (CCD) camera scanning (IVIS Spectrum; Xenogen) under isoflurane anesthesia.

Viruses. MuHV-4 was derived from a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-cloned viral genome (22). HSV-1 was derived from SC16, a relatively virulent clinical isolate (23) that has not been passaged extensively in vitro. Both were propagated and titrated on baby hamster kidney (BHK-21) cells (ATCC CCL-10) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin (DMEM) with 10% fetal calf serum. Virions were harvested from infected cell supernatants by ultracentrifugation (35,000 × g; 90 min), and cell debris was removed by low-speed centrifugation (500 × g; 10 min). Infectious virus was measured by plaque assay (24). Virus stocks or organ homogenates were incubated with BHK-21 cells (2 h; 37°C) and then overlaid with 0.3% carboxymethylcellulose. Four days later, the cells were fixed (4% formaldehyde) and stained (0.1% toluidine blue) for plaque counting. Latent virus plus infectious virus in spleens was measured by infectious-center assay (24). Spleens were disrupted into suspensions of isolated, viable cells and then overlaid onto subconfluent BHK-21 cell monolayers. Virus growth was then scored as for plaque assays. Influenza virus A/X31 was propagated in embryonated hen’s eggs and titrated by 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) assay on MDCK cells in DMEM with L-(tosylamido-2-phenyl)-ethyl-chloromethyl-ketone-treated trypsin (1 µg/ml) (25).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assay. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and then bled from the inferior vena cava. Nasal washes were obtained by pipetting 400 µl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) through the nasopharyngeal duct and collecting it from the nares. Single-cell suspensions of SCLN, mediastinal lymph nodes (MLN), and spleens were prepared by mashing each organ through a wire sieve. Red blood cells were removed from spleen suspensions by centrifugation on Ficoll (Sigma-Aldrich). Bone marrow (BM) was harvested from dissected tibias and femurs by flushing them through with RPMI 1640 medium, 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 2 mM glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 10% fetal calf serum (complete RPMI). NALT was recovered by dissecting out the palate and mashing through a wire sieve. All cells were washed 1 time in complete RPMI before assay. Multiscreen polycyline difluoride (PVDF) plates (Millipore) were washed with 50% methanol in water for 2 min, washed 2 times with PBS, and then coated (18 h; 4°C) with MuHV-4 virions resuspended in 0.05% Triton X-100–PBS. The plates were then washed 3 times with PBS and blocked with complete RPMI. Three-fold cell dilutions in complete RPMI were added to duplicate wells and incubated for 4 h at 37°C in 5% CO2. The plates were then washed 3 times in 0.1% Tween 20–PBS, incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated isotype-specific secondary antibodies (Southern Biotechnology; 18 h; 4°C), washed 4 times in PBS-0.1% Tween 20, and developed with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate–nitroblue tetrazolium substrate (Sigma-Aldrich). Spots were counted with a dissecting microscope. Statistical comparisons were done by Student’s unpaired 2-tailed t test.

FIG 1 B cell response to URT MuHV-4 infection. (a) BALB/c mice were infected i.n. with MuHV-4 (105 PFU in 5 µl) and then assayed for virus-specific antibodies by ELISA. Titers are expressed relative to a standard reference of pooled immune sera assayed in parallel. Each point shows the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of 6 mice. The baseline corresponds to the lower limit of assay sensitivity. (b) Mice were infected, and nasal washes were assayed for virus-specific serum antibody by ELISA as for panel a. Each point shows the mean ± SEM of 6 mice. The baseline corresponds to the lower limit of assay sensitivity. (c) Mice were infected as for panel a, and different lymphoid populations were assayed for virus-specific AFCs by ELISpot. Each point shows the mean ± SEM of 6 mice. The horizontal dashed line shows the limit of assay sensitivity (50 AFCs/organ). BM AFC numbers are for only femurs and tibiae. Although a larger fraction of the NALT could be assayed due to its small size, it showed more background spots in naive controls. Thus, the limits of assay sensitivity were similar. At day 30 postinfection, SCLN (P < 0.001), spleen (P < 0.005), and BM (P < 0.001) contained significantly fewer IgA+ AFCs than IgM+, IgG1+, or IgG2a+ AFCs.
FIG 2 Comparison of URT and LRT-plus-URT MuHV-4 infections. (a) BALB/c mice were inoculated i.n. with MuHV-4 under anesthesia (10⁴ PFU in 30 μl). After 2 days, lung sections were stained for viral antigens (brown) and counterstained with Mayer's hemalum (blue) to reveal infection of the lung alveoli (arrows). (b) BALB/c mice were inoculated i.n. with MuHV-4 without anesthesia (10⁵ PFU in 5 μl). After 2 days, nose sections were stained for viral antigens as for panel a to reveal infection of the olfactory neuroepithelium (open arrows), but not the adjacent respiratory epithelium (filled arrows). (c) Mice were inoculated with MuHV-4 as for panel a (LRT plus URT) and then analyzed for URT infection as for panel b. Again, the olfactory neuroepithelium was infected. (d) Mice were inoculated with MuHV-4 as for panel b (URT) and then analyzed for LRT infection as for panel a. No viral antigens were detected. (e) BALB/c mice were inoculated i.n. with luciferase mutation MuHV-4 either in the LRT plus URT as for panel a or in the URT as for panel b. Infection was then imaged by serial luciferin injection and CCD camera scanning. A representative image is shown for each time point. LRT-plus-URT inoculation led to lung and nose infections. At day 15, infection is seen in the SCLN and spleen. URT inoculation produced detectable infection only in the nose and SCLN. (f) Quantitation of luciferase signals, as illustrated in panel e, showing the mean ± SEM of 3 to 6 mice per time point. The dashed lines show the lower limit of assay sensitivity. Day 5 nose and SCLN signals were significantly higher with URT than with LRT-plus-URT inoculation (P < 0.005). (g) Mice were inoculated with MuHV-4 in either the URT or LRT plus URT. Splenic infection was then tracked by infectious-center assay. Splenic virus titers were significantly higher after LRT-plus-URT inoculation at days 7 to 17 (P < 0.005), but not at days 21 to 30 (P > 0.3). The lower limit of assay sensitivity was 10² infectious centers/spleen.
Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). MuHV-4 virions were resuspended in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 8.5) with 0.05% Triton X-100, and enzyme immunoassay (EIA)-radioimmunoassay (RIA) plates (Corning) were coated (18 h; 4°C) with the suspension. The plates were washed 3 times in PBS-0.1% Tween 20, blocked with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS-0.1% Tween 20, and incubated with 3-fold dilutions of serum from MuHV-4-exposed mice (1 h; 37°C). The plates were then washed 3 times in PBS-0.1% Tween 20, incubated (1 h;
37°C) with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated isotype-specific secondary antibodies (Southern Biotechnology), washed 4 times in PBS-0.1% Tween 20, and developed with nitrophenylphosphate substrate (Sigma-Aldrich). The absorbance was read at 405 nm with a Gen5 microplate reader (BioTek). Antibody titers were calculated relative to a standard immune serum included on each plate.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Organs were fixed in PBS-4% formaldehyde (24 h; 4°C), dehydrated in 70% ethanol, and embedded in paraffin; 7-μm sections were dewaxed in xylene and hydrated in graded ethanol solutions. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched in PBS-3% H2O2 (10 min; 23°C). Sections were blocked with an avidin-biotin blocking kit (Vector Laboratories) and PBS-2% BSA-2% rabbit serum (1 h; 23°C). Viral antigens were then detected with a polyclonal rabbit serum, kindly provided by L. Gillet (University of Liège), plus biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG polyclonal antibody (PAb) (Vector Laboratories) and Vectastain Elite ABC Peroxidase complexes. All antibody incubations were for 1 h at room temperature, and the sections were washed 3 times in PBS after each incubation. Detection was with ImmPact diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate (5 min; 23°C; Vector). Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hemalum (Sigma Aldrich), dehydrated in ethanol, and mounted in DPX (BDH).

**RESULTS**

**Antibody response of BALB/c mice to neuroepithelial MuHV-4 infection.** After URT MuHV-4 infection of BALB/c mice, ELISA of MuHV-4–specific serum antibody (Fig. 1a) showed predominantly virus-specific IgM at day 10 and then rising titers of IgG2a and IgG1. No virus-specific serum IgA was detected. Virus-specific antibody titers in nasal washes were low for all isotypes (Fig. 1b); only IgG2a was detected, and only at day 30 postinfection.

We mapped the anatomy of the B cell response by ELISPOT assay of SCLN, NALT, spleen, and BM (Fig. 1c). Virus-specific AFCs were abundant early (day 10) in the SCLN and later (day 14 onward) in the spleen and BM. NALT responses were kinetically similar to those in SCLN, but except for IgA, for which all responses were low, they were 100-fold smaller. Serum IgM production correlated kinetically with the appearance of SCLN AFCs; serum IgG correlated kinetically with BM and spleen AFCs. At day 10, the SCLN contained substantial numbers of IgG+ AFCs, while splenic AFCs were almost entirely IgM+ and only later became IgG+. Thus, the focus of the antibody response followed that of infection, as it spread from SCLN to the spleen (17), with evidence of a new IgM response to splenic antigen in addition to the ongoing, IgG-dominated SCLN response.

**Comparison of URT and LRT infections.** As previous analyses have focused on LRT infection (19, 26), we compared directly URT and LRT virus inoculations. Some i.n.-delivered MuHV-4 is swallowed (21), but oral virus neither infects nor elicits detectable antibody (20), so i.n. inoculation provides a purely respiratory challenge. However, MuHV-4 given i.n. under anesthesia infects the olfactory epithelium, as well as the lungs. Therefore, more strictly, we compared URT with LRT-plus-URT inoculation. Immunostaining for virion antigens confirmed that LRT infection targets the lung alveoli (Fig. 2a), while URT infection targets the olfactory epithelium (Fig. 2b), LRT-plus-URT inoculation also led to olfactory infection (Fig. 2c), but URT inoculation did not lead to lung infection (Fig. 2d).

We tracked quantitatively the spread of each infection by live-imaging light emission from virus-expressed luciferase (Fig. 2e and f). Lung signals were stronger than nose signals, implying a higher antigen load at this site. URT inoculation gave stronger nose signals than LRT-plus-URT inoculation, consistent with most virus inhaled under anesthesia reaching the lungs (21). SCLN signals were accordingly greater after URT infection. The lungs drain to the MLN rather than the SCLN, and live imaging does not distinguish MLN infection from lung infection. Splenic light emission was detected only after LRT-plus-URT inoculation. Infectious-center assays showed that MuHV-4 colonizes the spleen later from the URT than from the LRT (Fig. 2g). By this time, antiviral immunity would limit luciferase and other lytic gene expression. Thus, LRT-plus-URT inoculation produced higher acute viral antigen loads in the spleen.

We tracked secretory and serum antibody responses to URT and LRT-plus-URT infections by ELISA at days 10 (acute) and 30 (convalescence) postinoculation (Fig. 3a). Sera contained virus-specific IgM and IgG, but not IgA; nasal washes contained only virus-specific IgG. The lack of IgM in nasal washes argued that their IgG was not just spillover from serum. However, there was no sign of either URT or LRT-plus-URT infection eliciting a secretory IgA response.

ELISPOT assays (Fig. 3b) showed prominent acute SCLN responses, particularly after URT inoculation, consistent with its strong nose and SCLN luciferase signals (Fig. 2f). MLN showed a response only to LRT-plus-URT inoculation. This was expected, because the MLN do not receive lymph from the URT and MuHV-4 does not spread lytically from the URT to the LRT. SCLN AFC numbers declined in convalescence, while splenic AFCs increased, consistent with the focus of virus infection moving from lymph nodes (LNs) to the spleen. Greater splenic responses to LRT-plus-URT than to URT infection was consistent
with their being driven mainly by the local viral antigen load, as only LRT-plus-URT inoculation gave detectable splenic luciferase signals (Fig. 2d). Late splenic colonization after URT inoculation was reflected in IgM/\text{H11001} splenic AFCs being more abundant than IgG2a AFCs at day 30, whereas most of the splenic AFCs elicited by LRT-plus-URT inoculation were IgG2a/\text{H11001}. BM responses showed an isotype distribution different from either LN or spleen, with a surprisingly high proportion of IgA/\text{H11001} AFCs. By day 30, apart from IgG2a, BM responses were similar between URT and LRT-plus-URT infections. NALT responses remained undetectable.

Comparison of C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice. MuHV-4 is used most commonly to infect C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice. As they show immunological differences (27), we compared their antibody responses to URT and LRT-plus-URT infections. We first
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**FIG 5** Steady-state MuHV-4-specific antibodies and AFCs in C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice. (a) BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice infected in either the URT (U) or LRT plus URT (L) were assayed 36 to 44 days later for virus-specific antibody in sera and nasal washes. The titers are relative to a standard immune serum. Each bar shows the mean ± SEM of 6 mice. The baseline corresponds to the lower limit of assay sensitivity. BALB/c mice showed significantly higher serum IgM, IgG1, and IgG2a responses than C57BL/6 mice for both URT and LRT-plus-URT infections and significantly lower IgG2b responses for LRT-plus-URT infection ($P < 0.05$). (b) Lymphoid organs of the same mice were analyzed for virus-specific AFCs by ELISpot. Each bar shows the mean ± SEM of 6 mice. The dashed line shows the lower limit of assay sensitivity.
measured virus-specific serum antibody (Fig. 4). BALB/c mice gave stronger ELISA signals than C57BL/6 mice. Both strains showed greater acute responses to LRT-plus-URT than to URT infection. Neither produced detectable serum IgA.

After convalescence from acute infection (Fig. 5a) (36 to 44 days postinoculation), LRT-plus-URT serum responses were only marginally greater than those to URT infection. We assayed also nasal washes, virus-specific IgG2b, and the C57BL/6-specific IgG2a variant IgG2c (28). Overlap was evident in IgG2a and IgG2c detection, but C57BL/6 mice showed generally less IgG2a and more IgG2c than BALB/c mice. C57BL/6 mice also showed less IgG1 and more IgG2b. Both strains produced abundant virus-specific serum IgG and IgM without detectable serum IgA. Nasal washes similarly varied in IgG isotype but consistently contained virus-specific IgG and not IgM or IgA (Fig. 5a).

ELIspot assays (Fig. 5b) again showed minor differences between C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice, but both strains made strong IgM and IgG responses, with weak or inconsistent IgA responses, except in the BM, and insignificant virus-specific AFC numbers in the NALT. The response to LRT-plus-URT infection was strongest in the spleen; that to URT infection was distributed more evenly between the SCLN, spleen, and BM.

**Influenza virus infection.** Surprising features of the antibody response to neuroepithelial MuHV-4 were the relative lack of IgA despite this being a mucosal site and the lack of NALT AFCs despite prominent NALT responses to viruses, such as influenza virus, infecting the respiratory epithelium (29). Most studies of the response to influenza virus infection have inoculated it i.n. under anesthesia, presumably producing LRT–plus–URT infection. For comparison with MuHV-4, we assayed specifically the response to URT inoculation (Fig. 6). Influenza virus inhaled by alert mice infects the respiratory epithelium, not the olfactory neuroepithelium (3), and does not spread to the lungs. Thus, for example, alert mice remain well when given 10⁴ PFU of strain A/PR/8/34, 10⁴ times the lethal dose for anesthetized mice (data not shown).

The serum antibody response to URT influenza virus infection was dominated by IgM and IgG, with little IgA. However, virus-specific IgA was detected readily in nasal washes, where it was the dominant isotype (Fig. 6a). The decline in influenza virus-specific serum IgM from day 7 to day 13 also contrasted with the more sustained IgM response to MuHV-4 infection, which did not decline significantly until day 21 (Fig. 4). ELIspot assays (Fig. 6b) showed large numbers of influenza virus-specific AFCs in the NALT, and many of the AFCs in both NALT and SCLN were IgA+.

**HSV-1 infection.** To determine whether these differences were specific to MuHV-4 or were a more general feature of olfactory infection, we analyzed the B cell response to HSV-1 (Fig. 7), which also infects the neuroepithelium but is not B cell tropic or adapted to a murid host. Direct comparison was made with MuHV-4 and influenza virus. HSV-1 serum antibody responses were comparable to those of influenza virus, with abundant virus-specific IgM and IgG, but nasal washes lacked detectable virus-specific IgA (Fig. 7a). ELIspot assays (Fig. 7b) showed a marginal HSV-1-specific IgA response in the SCLN, but much less than to influenza virus. In contrast IgG1, IgG2a, and IgM SCLN responses were comparable. HSV-1 also elicited no significant IgA+ AFC response in the spleen and insignificant numbers of AFCs of any isotype in the NALT. At the time point analyzed (day 10), the
serum antibody response to MuHV-4 was still dominated by IgM, as MuHV-4 replicates more slowly in mice than does HSV-1. Nonetheless, HSV-1 elicited a response more comparable to that of MuHV-4 than to that of influenza virus, arguing that poor IgA induction and a lack of NALT involvement are general features of neuroepithelial herpesvirus infection.

DISCUSSION
MuHV-4 and HSV-1 infect alert mice nasally rather than orally (4, 17) and spread from the olfactory neuroepithelium to establish long-term latency in B cells and trigeminal neurons, respectively, without causing significant disease. Therefore, this is a plausible natural entry portal about which we need to know more. In contrast to respiratory influenza virus infection, neuroepithelial MuHV-4 infection induced little B cell response in the NALT. Instead, responses started in the SCLN. MuHV-4-specific IgA was not detected in nasal washes, and IgA⁺ AFCs were found consistently only in the BM. A similarly weak mucosal IgA response to HSV-1 without NALT involvement argued that these are general features of neuroepithelial herpesvirus infection.

Herpesviruses transmit by chronic shedding into the mucosal secretions of immune hosts. Therefore, it is important for them to evade neutralization by virus-specific mucosal antibodies. Immune sera neutralize MuHV-4 in vitro mainly by blocking cell binding (30). This fails in the presence of myeloid cells, as IgG Fc receptor-dependent virion uptake leads to productive infection (31). (Antibody limits viral lytic replication in vivo [32, 33], but by effector recruitment rather than neutralization [34].) Immune sera poorly neutralize host entry (35), perhaps because mucosal Fc receptors recycle IgG (36). The mucosal flux of IgA is strongly outward (37). Therefore, IgA-mediated cell binding blocks might prevent host entry, making IgA evasion an important feature of the herpesvirus life cycle. Chronic oral virus shedding could eventually elicit IgA. However, the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus elicits mainly salivary IgG in carriers (38), and the fact that Epstein-Barr virus-specific IgA is a marker of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (39) suggests that its normal levels are also low.

We interpret the lack of NALT stimulation by olfactory infection as a consequence of host anatomy and ciliary function. The NALT lies behind the respiratory epithelium, and respiratory cilia move mucus back to the nasopharyngeal duct across NALT antigen-sampling cells (40). In contrast, the olfactory epithelium lies above and behind the respiratory epithelium, so the NALT is not immediately between it and the nasopharyngeal duct. Also, the olfactory cilia are nonmotile, so olfactory mucus turnover is slow and may depend on endocytosis by sustentacular cells (41). Thus, probably few olfactory epithelial antigens reach the NALT.

The NALT is not required for a URT IgA response (42), but in influenza virus infection, it contained a higher proportion of IgA⁺ AFCs than the SCLN. Therefore, a lack of NALT involvement may limit IgA production. Another consideration is that while influ-
enza virus remains confined to epithelial cells, MuHV-4 and HSV-1 penetrate the epithelium to infect submucosal tissues (3, 4). This presumably elicits an IgG response to recruit submucosal immune effectors. Therefore, subepithelial viral spread may also limit IgA production. Although IgA+ AFCs were detected in BM, their significance was unclear, as ELISA detected no MuHV-4- or HSV-1-specific IgA in serum or nasal washes. An important implication is that herpesviruses may be ill equipped to meet IgA induced by recombinant vaccines.

Influenza virus remains in the URT and shows uniphasic antigen production. In contrast, MuHV-4 moves from the neuroepithelium to the SCLN, spleen, and other sites, and virus-driven IgM production. In contrast, MuHV-4 moves from the neuroepithelium to the SCLN, spleen, and other sites, and virus-driven IgM production. In contrast, MuHV-4 moves from the neuroepithelium to the SCLN, spleen, and other sites, and virus-driven IgM production. In contrast, MuHV-4 moves from the neuroepithelium to the SCLN, spleen, and other sites, and virus-driven IgM production.
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