Crystal Structure of Marburg Virus VP24
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The VP24 protein plays an essential, albeit poorly understood role in the filovirus life cycle. VP24 is only 30% identical between Marburg virus and the ebolaviruses. Furthermore, VP24 from the ebolaviruses is immunosuppressive, while that of Marburg virus is not. The crystal structure of Marburg virus VP24, presented here, reveals that although the core is similar between the viral genera, Marburg virus VP24 is distinguished by a projecting β-sheet and an alternate conformation of the N-terminal polypeptide.

Marburg virus (MARV) and the ebolaviruses are filamentous, enveloped, negative-sense, single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) viruses that belong to the family Filoviridae and can cause severe hemorrhagic fever in both humans and nonhuman primates. The Marburg virus genus contains one species, which is eponymously named Marburg virus (1). Marburg virus was the first filovirus to be identified when, in 1967, Marburg virus-infected primates sickened laboratory workers in Germany and Yugoslavia (2). Although early outbreaks were associated with 20 to 40% lethality, more recent outbreaks have been associated with greater pathogenicity and nearly 90% lethality in humans (3, 4). In the Ebolavirus genus five viruses, termed Ebola virus, Sudan virus (SUDV), Reston virus, Tai Forest virus, and Bundibugyo virus. Among the five ebolaviruses, Reston virus appears to be nonpathogenic to humans, although exposure data are limited (5, 6).

Filoviruses encode just seven genes, encoding NP (nucleoprotein), VP35 (nucleocapsid), VP40 (matrix), GP (glycoprotein), VP24 (nucleocapsid), VP30 (transcription factor), and L (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase). In the ebolaviruses, the nucleocapsid-associated proteins VP24 and VP35 are known to be immunosuppressive (7, 8, 10, 11, 14). In Marburg virus, VP35 and VP40 are immunosuppressive (12), while curiously, Marburg virus VP24 is not. Ebola virus VP24 blocks phosphorylation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (13) and inhibits signaling downstream from both alpha/beta interferon (IFN-α/β) and IFN-γ by sequestering NPI-1 family karyopherin α proteins (α1, α5, and α6) (11, 14). Binding to these proteins prevents them from shuttling activated, phosphorylated STAT1 to the nucleus (11, 14, 15). Although Marburg virus VP24 is not immunosuppressive, it nonetheless is essential for the virus life cycle. VP24 is bound to the nucleocapsid, VP35, and VP40 (17) in order to provide a 3-dimensional (3-D) template for exploration of the differences between Marburg and ebolaviruses and the function(s) of VP24 in the Marburg virus life cycle.

A construct of Marburg virus (strain Musoke) VP24 spanning residues 1 to 241 (MARV VP241-241) in the pET46 Ek/LIC vector was expressed and purified as previously described for ebolavirus VP24 (21). A 12-residue C-terminal truncation improved protein stability, homogeneity, and crystallizability. MARV VP24 was crystallized in 0.1 M N-(2-acetamido)iminodiacetic acid (ADA) (Hampton Research), 0.1 M lithium acetate, 20% glycerol, 2% (vol/vol) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400, and 8% (vol/vol) PEG 8000 by the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method at 22°C. An amount of 0.2 μl of seed stock was added to the 1.8-μl drop during the initial crystallization set up. Crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and cryoprotected with unmodified reservoir solution. Diffraction data to 2.65Å were collected at 100 K on Beamline 8.2.2 (Advanced Light Source, Berkeley, CA) and were processed with HKL-2000 (Table 1) (22).

Table 1: Data collection and refinement statistics for MARV VP241-241 crystals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td>a, b, c (Å) 42.2, 48.1, 64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50–2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent content (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>40–2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections</td>
<td>13,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork/Rfree</td>
<td>18.0/25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of atoms of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>3,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle (°)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most favored</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additionally allowed</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generously allowed</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Rwork = Σ|I – <I>|/Σ|I|, b Value in parentheses refers to the last shell, c RMSD, root mean square deviations, d MolProbity was used to define the indicated regions of the Ramachandran plot.
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The structure of MARV VP24 was determined by molecular replacement in Phaser (23) and CCP4 (24), using residues 1 to 233 of Sudan virus VP24 (SUDV VP241-233) as a search model (25). Refinement was performed with Phenix.refine (26, 27), and rebuilding performed in COOT (28). The initial rounds of refinement included TLS parameters (29). The quality of the structure was validated with MolProbity (30) and Procheck (31), with 97% of residues in the most favored region of the Ramachandran plot and no residues in the disallowed regions. The final $R$ and $R_{free}$ were 20 and 27%, respectively, with 5% of reflections reserved for $R_{free}$ calculations. There are two copies of Marburg virus VP24 in the crystallographic asymmetric unit, termed copy A and copy B.

Marburg virus VP24, like our previously determined ebolavirus VP24 structures (from Sudan virus and Reston virus) (25), adopts a single-domain $\alpha/\beta$ structure with the overall shape resembling a pyramid (Fig. 1). Also like VP24 of the ebolaviruses, two neighboring concave pockets are located at the bottom of the Marburg virus VP24 pyramid. The residues contained inside these pockets are highly conserved across the filovirus family.

One difference between Marburg virus VP24 and VP24 of the ebolaviruses is found in the bottom platform of the VP24 pyramid, above the conserved pockets. Here, residues 201 to 217, which lie at the interface between face 2 and face 3, appear as long $\beta$-strands ($\beta17$ and $\beta18$) that form part of a greater $\beta$-sheet. The two strands jut out from the pyramid to form a shelf (Fig. 1). In Sudan virus VP24, residues 201 to 217 form much shorter $\beta$-strands, with the central residues instead adopting a flexible loop structure that contains a small helix (Fig. 2). In Reston virus VP24, these residues are disordered and are not observed in the crystal structure (25).

In both ebolavirus VP24s, amino acid residues 142 to 146 form a short $\alpha$-helix at the top of the pyramid (25) and are proposed to interact with karyopherin $\alpha1$ (11). These residues also appear as an $\alpha$-helix in copy B of Marburg VP24 but form a mostly nonhelical loop structure in copy A (Fig. 3A and B). Differences in the structure appear to be dictated by differences in crystal packing, as the central Tyr144 is rotated 180° between the two monomers (Fig. 3B). Both conformers are likely available to VP24 in solution.

The N termini of both Ebola (Zaire) virus and Marburg virus VP24 are thought to be important for nucleocapsid formation and oligomerization (17, 32, 33). In each copy of VP24 crystallized for an ebolavirus (Sudan virus and Reston virus), the N terminus forms a rigid $\alpha$-helix that extends from the apex of the pyramid to bind into the conserved hydrophobic pocket in face 3. In contrast, in both copies of Marburg virus VP24, the N terminus (residues 1 to 23) does not form a rigid helix but instead forms an extended

![FIG 1 Overall architecture of Marburg virus VP24. (A to C) Faces 1 to 3 of the pyramidal VP24 structure are illustrated in rainbow coloring from the N terminus (navy blue) to the C terminus (red). The extended beta shelf formed by strands $\beta17$ and $\beta18$ is visible on the right of face 2 and the left of face 3. The descending N terminus of Marburg virus VP24 connects to the protein body via residues 13 to 20, which are disordered. (D) Topology diagram of Marburg virus VP24, with secondary structure elements sequentially numbered and colored from N to C as described for panels A to C. $\alpha$-Helices are indicated by cylinders, and $\beta$-strands by arrows. Panels A to C were produced using Pymol (Delano Scientific) (34), and the topology diagram using Pro-origami (35).]
Flexible strand that reaches across to a neighboring copy of Marburg virus VP24, with residues 1 to 10 binding into a groove along the base of the pyramid (Fig. 3C). Approximately 770 Å² of molecular surface is buried by the 10 residues participating in this interaction. Contact here is mediated by the main chain atoms of the N-terminal peptide, as well as side chain atoms of Leu 4, Arg 7, Tyr 8, Asn 9, and Leu 10. Each of these residues, except Leu 4, is completely conserved across the filovirus family (Fig. 2C). Leu 4 is replaced by Ala 4 in the ebolaviruses. These residues were deleted from constructs used to determine the Reston virus (4D9O) and the 2.1-Å Sudan virus (3VNF) VP24 structures to limit aggregation. They were included in material used to generate the subsequent 2.0-Å Sudan virus structure (3VNE), but residues 1 to 8 are disordered in the resulting electron density maps (25).

In summary, the overall structural conservation observed between VP24 of Marburg virus and the ebolaviruses supports their common essential functions in viral assembly and function. The reasons why Marburg virus VP24 is not immunosuppressive remain elusive, however. Marburg virus and Ebola virus VP24 are 70% different in sequence, and the precise residues responsible for the difference in immunosuppression are unknown, as are the precise role(s) of Ebola virus VP24 in immunosuppression. The crystal structure of Marburg virus VP24 presented here now provides the 3-D template for directed functional exploration of the multiple roles of VP24 in the Marburg virus life cycle and key differences between Marburg virus and the ebolaviruses in immunosuppression.

**Protein structure accession number.** The atomic coordinates...
FIG 3 (A) The top of the VP24 pyramids for Marburg virus copy A and copy B and the ebolaviruses (Sudan virus [SUDV] and Reston virus [RESTV]). Residues 142 to 146, which are thought to interact with karyopherin α1 in Ebola virus VP24, differ in sequence from the same residues in the nonimmunosuppressive Marburg virus VP24. Note, for example, the conserved placement of Arg 139/140, Asp 143, and Gln 144 in the two ebolaviruses. These positions are occupied by Asn 139, Ile 143, and Tyr 144 in Marburg virus. This site appears somewhat flexible in Marburg virus, as copies A and B of Marburg virus VP24 adopt different conformations. Copy B forms a helix α13 with Tyr 144 rotated downward and Ile 143 upward, but copy A adopts a more extended structure with Tyr 144 rotated upward and Ile 143 downward. (B) Differences in conformation in residues 139 to 143 (α13) appear to be caused by crystal packing interactions. Illustrated here are the crystal packing interactions of copies A and B in the asymmetric unit. Note the alternate rotation of Tyr 144 between the two structures. (C) The N terminus of the two copies of Marburg virus VP24 reach across to bind into a shallow groove on the surface of the neighboring VP24.

and structure factors identified in this study have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession number 4OR8.
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