Maribavir Inhibits Epstein-Barr Virus Transcription through the EBV Protein Kinase
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Maribavir (MBV) inhibits Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) replication and the enzymatic activity of the viral protein kinase BGLF4. MBV also inhibits expression of multiple EBV transcripts during EBV lytic infection. Here we demonstrate, with the use of a BGLF4 knockout virus, that effects of MBV on transcription take place primarily through inhibition of BGLF4. MBV inhibits viral genome copy numbers and infectivity to levels similar to and exceeding levels produced by BGLF4 knockout virus.

Although a number of antiviral drugs are effective inhibitors of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) replication and are used empirically, none is of proven effectiveness for treatment of EBV infection (1, 2). Maribavir (MBV), which is in late-stage clinical trials for use against human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infection in allogeneic stem cell and bone-marrow transplant recipients (3, 4), is of special interest because it is also a potent inhibitor of EBV replication (5–7). In stem-cell and organ transplant recipients, EBV infection poses the hazard of generating B-cell lymphomas that are ultimately fatal. While no drugs are currently approved for treatment of EBV disease, several that inhibit EBV are available, and these can be divided into two main classes: those that target the viral DNA polymerase and those that function independently of it (8–12). Acyclic nucleoside and phosphonated nucleotide analogs, as well as pyrophosphate analogs, all target the viral polymerase.

A new class of HCMV inhibitors, benzimidazole compounds, with more specific antiviral properties and fewer adverse side effects, blocked HCMV DNA maturation and encapsidation processes and led to the design of 1-H-β-l-ribofuranoside-2-isopropylamino-5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole (maribavir [MBV]) (13–20). Unlike its parent compound, which inhibits HCMV replication but not HCMV transcription, MBV inhibits both (7, 21). Inhibitory effects of MBV are produced mainly through inhibition of the HCMV and EBV protein kinases (PK) (21–24). Previous phase 3 studies with a dosage of 100 mg twice a day (BID) did not have sufficient activity to prevent HCMV disease, but the safety profile and data from case studies suggested that higher doses would be clinically active (3). MBV is now in new phase 2 trials at doses of 400, 800, and 1,200 mg BID (3).

Maribavir selectively inhibits the HCMV protein kinase, UL97, determined by direct inhibition of kinase activity in vitro and by genetic mapping of the MBV-resistant phenotype (21). MBV also inhibits the EBV protein kinase (BGLF4), resulting in inhibition of phosphorylation of the EBV DNA processivity factor BMRF1, but does not seem to act directly on the EBV kinase in vitro (7, 24).

We have recently found that MBV also inhibits expression of multiple EBV transcripts, in contrast to acyclovir (ACV), which has little effect on EBV RNAs. Thus, MBV has a unique dual effect on viral DNA transcription as well as replication (25). In this study, we find that the inhibitory profile of MBV transcripts is similar to that produced by mutant EBV in which PK expression and activity have been knocked out (26). Thus, the results suggest that MBV largely affects EBV transcript levels through inhibition of BGLF4.

To determine if the profile of viral transcripts produced by MBV is mediated by the viral kinase, we utilized BGLF4 knockout (KO) (dBLGF4/NeoST) and revertant (dBLGF4/NeoSt/R) viruses constructed and characterized by Murata et al. (26). 293 cells maintaining wild-type (WT), BGLF4 knockout, and revertant EBV genomes (27) were induced into the lytic cycle by transfecting the EBV immediate early transactivator BZLF1, and lysates were probed by Western blotting after 48 h. Figure 1A demonstrates that expression of BGLF4 is abolished in the PK knockout but not the revertant cell line. Expression of the early EBV ribonucleotide reductase large subunit (RR1), used as a control, was unaffected in both cell lines. In contrast, phosphorylation of BMRF1, used as an indicator of BGLF4 activity, was detected only upon expression of BGLF4 (upper band, BMRF1 panel). Immunofluorescence staining of induced cell lines shows efficient viral induction as indicated by the detection of BZLF1 (Fig. 1C). These findings confirm nonexpression of BGLF4 in the knockdout virus, inhibition of phosphorylation of its natural substrate BMRF1, and efficient induction of the lytic cycle.

To measure the effects of MBV and the PK knockout virus on EBV transcripts, we profiled EBV mRNA using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) as described before (25). Cell viability assays were performed with MBV concentrations up to 80 μM; no evidence of toxicity for the cell lines used was detected below 80 μM MBV (Fig. 1B). Twenty micromolar MBV is used in these studies as before and was not toxic at the concentration used.

As expected, most EBV mRNAs were maximally induced 48 h after lytic cycle induction with BZLF1, compared with findings at 24 h (Fig. 2A). WT and revertant (REV) virus showed the same pattern of gene expression. MBV reduced overall EBV mRNA levels at 48 h compared with findings for WT and revertant viruses.
We observed two classes composed of late transcripts, i.e., those genes not detected at 24 h but strongly upregulated at 48 h. Class I RNAs, such as BALF1, were equally inhibited by either MBV or PK KO (Fig. 2E). Class II RNAs, although inhibited by MBV compared with results with WT or REV, were even more strongly inhibited with the PK KO virus alone (Fig. 2B and C). All data were normalized to the mean for three housekeeping genes, which correlated well across all experiments (Fig. 2F). The relative expression levels (delta cycle threshold \[d_{\text{CT}}\]) were normally distributed (Fig. 2G), which allowed use of a t test for individual comparisons.

We observed two classes composed of late transcripts, i.e., those genes not detected at 24 h but strongly upregulated at 48 h. Class I RNAs, such as BALF1, were equally inhibited by either MBV or PK KO (Fig. 2E). Class II RNAs, although inhibited by MBV compared with results with WT or REV, were even more strongly inhibited with the PK KO virus alone (Fig. 2B and C). All data were normalized to the mean for three housekeeping genes, which correlated well across all experiments (Fig. 2F). The relative expression levels (delta cycle threshold \[d_{\text{CT}}\]) were normally distributed (Fig. 2G), which allowed use of a t test for individual comparisons.
We adjusted for multiple comparisons, since we used multiple genes, using q-value method (28) (Fig. 2H to J). We interpret these data to mean that MBV inhibits the kinase activity of PK and thus the kinase-dependent functions of PK, but there may also be kinase-independent functions of PK, which would not be affected. In terms of temporal viral mRNA transcription, the PK KO virus is more inhibited than wild-type virus by treatment with MBV.

Since knockout of BGLF4 had been shown to decrease viral infectivity 10-fold (26), we next determined whether MBV produced levels of viral genome copies and infectivity similar to those produced by knocking out BGLF4. 293EBV + WT and PK knockout cell lines were induced with BZLF1, and supernatant fluids were collected at 72 h. Intracellular genome copies were determined as described before (29). Figure 3A demonstrates that MBV treatment of WT virus results in a 74% (±6.9%) reduction in genome copy numbers. Compared with results for the WT, induction of the PK knockout cell line yielded 64% (±4.7%) of viral genome copies. Treatment of PK KO cells with MBV reduced genome copies by an additional 25% (±4.4%). These results demonstrate that the PK KO virus is deficient in making viral genome copies and suggests that MBV also partially inhibits viral replication through a mechanism distinct from the viral PK activity.

Supernatant fluids were collected from 293EBV + and PK KO cell lines, and titers of infectious virus were determined by infecting Raji cells (29). MBV resulted in an 82% decrease in viral infectivity—similar to the level observed in untreated PK knockout virus-infected cells (Fig. 3B). MBV treatment of the PK knockout line further decreased viral infectivity. This observation suggests that about half of the PK knockout genome copies are not released from the cell or are released but noninfectious. This is consistent with our earlier findings indicating that the viral PK is necessary for efficient viral egress (29).

These findings demonstrate that MBV can efficiently inhibit viral transcription (25), genome replication, and infectivity, producing pleiotropic effects which are similar to those observed with viral PK knockout virus. These data are congruent with the function of MBV working largely but not entirely through inhibition of BGLF4. MBV likely also inhibits residual infectivity that is still observed in the absence of PK-mediated effects (Fig. 3B). Conversely, transcript levels of the PK KO virus are severely attenuated compared with those of the wild-type virus. Since BGLF4 has as many as 20 viral targets (30), MBV may also affect downstream targets indirectly.
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