Kaposi’s Sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) is maintained as a stable episome in latently infected pleural effusion lymphoma (PEL) cells. Episome maintenance is conferred by the binding of the KSHV-encoded LANA protein to the viral terminal repeats (TR). Here, we show that DNA replication in the KSHV TR is coupled with DNA recombination and mediated in part through the cellular replication fork protection factors Timeless (Tim) and Tipin. We show by two-dimensional (2D) agarose gel electrophoresis that replication forks naturally stall and form recombination-like structures at the TR during an unperturbed cell cycle. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays revealed that Tim and Tipin are selectively enriched at the KSHV TR during S phase and in a LANA-dependent manner. Tim depletion inhibited LANA-dependent TR DNA replication and caused the loss of KSHV episomes from latently infected PEL cells. Tim depletion resulted in the aberrant accumulation of recombination structures and arrested MCM helicase at TR. Tim depletion did not induce the KSHV lytic cycle or apoptotic cell death.

We propose that KSHV episome maintenance requires Tim-assisted replication fork protection at the viral terminal repeats and that Tim-dependent recombination-like structures form at TR to promote DNA repeat stability and viral genome maintenance.

Nearly 20% of human cancers can be attributed to persistent viral infection (1). Human DNA and RNA viruses, such as human papillomavirus (HPV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and human T-cell lymphotropic virus, have been associated with a broad spectrum of human cancers. These tumor-associated viruses maintain their genomes through multiple molecular mechanisms, some of which may lead to host genome instability, which is a commonly recognized intrinsic property of all cancers (2–4). Given the importance of genomic stability, it is imperative to understand how these viruses utilize host cell mechanisms to ensure their own survival.

KSHV, also known as human herpesvirus 8 (HHV8), was identified as the causative viral agent of Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS), one of the most common cancers in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients (5). KSHV is also associated with primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and multicentric Castleman’s disease, in addition to endemic forms of KS (6–8). Like all members of the herpesvirus family, KSHV is a double-stranded DNA virus that can persist for the life of the host. The virus can establish a stable latent infection in B lymphocytes similar to that of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). During latency, the viral genome exists as a multicopy circular episome that replicates by recruiting host cellular replication machinery (9). The virus-encoded protein latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA) plays a pivotal role in recruiting host cellular factors required for viral genome replication, partitioning, and maintenance during latent infection (10–12). LANA binds two LANA-binding sites (LBS1/2) within each terminal repeat (TR) sequence and is indispensable for viral genome replication and episome stability during latency (13–16).

The KSHV TR region is a complicated viral genome maintenance element. It consists of approximately 40 to 50 tandem repeats with approximately 800 bp of GC-rich, highly homologous DNA arranged in a head-to-tail orientation. Each repeat contains the same two LBS elements, each of which is capable of binding LANA and associated host factors involved in DNA replication origin formation. Despite the essential role of LANA and multiple TRs in viral genome maintenance during latency and the efficient DNA replication activity of plasmids containing multiple TRs bound to LANA, DNA replication can initiate outside the TRs in single-molecule replication assays (17). A similar observation has been made for EBV replication initiating outside OriP (18–21). Nevertheless, genetic evidence indicates that LANA binding to TR is essential for KSHV episome maintenance and genome stability (16). It is therefore important to understand how this genetic element confers viral genome stability and what host factors and processes it employs to achieve this essential viral function.

The mechanisms responsible for the DNA replication and stability of the terminal repeats remain unclear. In both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, replication forks often arrest or slip at repetitive GC-rich elements. It has been previously proposed that replication fork slippage or prolonged stalling induces rearrangements in repeated DNA sequences in all organisms (22–24). In eukaryotes, a stalled replication fork is protected by a specialized complex referred to as the “fork protection complex,” which in humans consists of the proteins Timeless-1 (Tim), Tipin, and Claspin (25–28). The Tim-Tipin complex is thought to function in response to DNA replication fork arrests, including those caused by complex DNA structures or protein-DNA complexes (29, 30).
Whether DNA replication forks stall at LANA-binding sites or fork slippage occurs at the KSHV TR is largely unknown, yet it is likely to be critical for understanding how KSHV genome integrity is maintained and, potentially, whether this is linked to the mechanism of episome maintenance (31–33). Here, we investigate the potential role of the fork protection protein Tim at the KSHV TR and in LANA-dependent DNA replication and episome maintenance. Our findings suggest that LANA-dependent DNA replication fork pausing directs the formation of recombination-like structures at the TR and that this process is integrally linked to KSHV genome stability and episome maintenance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cells, plasmids, shRNA, and antibodies. KSHV-positive PEL cells (BCBL1, JSC-1, BC-1, and BC-3) were grown in RPMI medium (Gibco BRL) containing 15% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics penicillin and streptomycin (50 U/ml). BJAB cells stably expressing N-terminal FLAG epitope-tagged LANA (FLAG-LANA) and containing the p8xTR plasmid were maintained in RPMI medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, streptomycin (50 U/ml). BJAB cells stably expressing N-terminal FLAG (BCBL1, JSC-1, BC-1, and BC-3) were grown in RPMI medium (Gibco BRL). Cells, plasmids, shRNA, and antibodies.

Whether DNA replication forks stall at LANA-binding sites or fork slippage occurs at the KSHV TR is largely unknown, yet it is likely to be critical for understanding how KSHV genome integrity is maintained and, potentially, whether this is linked to the mechanism of episome maintenance (31–33). Here, we investigate the potential role of the fork protection protein Tim at the KSHV TR and in LANA-dependent DNA replication and episome maintenance. Our findings suggest that LANA-dependent DNA replication fork pausing directs the formation of recombination-like structures at the TR and that this process is integrally linked to KSHV genome stability and episome maintenance.

**KSHV episome maintenance by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).** BCBL1, BC-1 and BC-3, and JSC-1 cells were infected with lentivirus expressing shTim or shCtrl shRNA. Seventy-two hours postinfection, cells were resuspended in agarose plugs and incubated for 48 h at 50°C in lysis buffer (0.2 M EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% sodium sarcosyl, 1 mg/ml proteinase K). The agarose plugs were washed twice in TE buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5] and 1 mM EDTA). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis was performed as described previously for 23 h at 14°C with a linear ramping pulse of 60 to 120 s through 120°C (Bio-Rad CHEF Mapper) (40). DNA was transferred to nylon membranes by established methods for Southern blotting (41). The DNA was then detected by hybridization with a 12P-labeled probe specific for the KSHV TR region or cellular α-satellite repeat DNA control and visualized with a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager.

Establishing p8xTR stable cell lines. FLAG-LANA-expressing BJAB cells were transfected with p8xTR plasmid. After 48 h, 0.6 mg/ml G418 was added to the medium to select episomal p8xTR cells. Four to 6 weeks after selection, we confirmed the episomal maintenance of p8xTR by Southern blotting.

Centrifugal elution. Cell cycle fractionation using centrifugal elution was performed with a modified Beckman JE 5.0 using counterflow rates for KSHV-positive BCBL1 and p8xTR BJAB cells as described previously (38, 42).

BrdU IP and FACS. Asynchronously growing cells were pulse-labeled with 50 μM BrdU for 30 min and collected by centrifugation, and BrdU immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed essentially as described previously (43). For FACS analysis, asynchronously growing cells were pulse-labeled with 50 μM BrdU for 30 min and collected by centrifugation. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in vitro for 30 min at 4°C. Following fixation, the cells were washed in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (three times for 5 min each), and the BrdU FACS was performed as described previously (43).

Cell cycle profile analysis. To determine the cell cycle profiles of cells, cultures were either treated or left untreated and fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol for at least 30 min. After fixation, the cells were stained with staining solution (0.5 mg/ml propidium iodide, 100 mg/ml RNase A) for 30 min in the dark. Samples were analyzed using an EPICS XL (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Miami, FL), and 50,000 events were recorded. For all flow cytometry experiments, the WINMDI software program (Scripps Institute) was used to analyze the data.

TUNEL assay. The terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end-labeling (TUNEL) assay to detect apoptotic cells was performed with the Apo-BrdU TUNEL assay kit (A23210; Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The positive (camptothecin-treated) and negative (untreated) control cells were the fixed human lymphoma (HL60) cells provided in the kit.

Genome maintenance assays. BCBL1 cells were collected at the indicated time points with or without shRNA treatment, and the genomic DNA for the two-di-
ber of repeats and the sizes of the DNA fragments. We therefore examined a plasmid containing $8 \times$ TRs that is maintained stably in BJAB cells that constitutively express LANA (Fig. 1A). The migration properties of prominent DNA structures have been well established, including the Y arcs formed by replication fork progression, replication pause sites that appear as bulges in the Y arc, origin bubble arcs, and various recombination-like structures that form at sites of collapsed replication forks or replication termination (Fig. 1B) (46). To stabilize recombination-like structures, DNA was isolated with the cationic detergent CTAB, which inhibits branch migration during DNA isolation and manipulation (34). To enrich for any transient structures that occur for short periods of the cell cycle, we isolated cells from different stages of the cell cycle using centrifugal elutriation (Fig. 1C). 2D gel analysis of $8 \times$ TRs revealed various cell cycle-dependent DNA structures (Fig. 1D). As cells progress through S phase (fractions 22 to 28), Y structures accumulate, along with the formation of a replication fork pause site (Fig. 1D, purple arrow, fraction 24). A weak bubble arc indicative of replication initiation appeared in mid-S phase (red arrow, fraction 28). Remarkably, a prominent double Y

FIG 1 Analysis of replication and recombination intermediates of TRs. (A) Schematic of the 8.3-kb DNA fragment containing the 8 TR sequences used for 2D gel analysis. (B) Cartoon interpretation of the major TR DNA replication and recombination structures observed in the 2D gels shown in panel D. (C) BJAB cells containing a p8×TR plasmid were fractionated by centrifugal elutriation and then assayed by FACS analysis of PI-stained cells. The x axis is the PI intensity (DNA content), and the y axis is the cell number (events). (D) Two-dimensional neutral agarose gel analysis of 8×TRs isolated using the CTAB method from asynchronous (Asyn) BJAB cells after cell cycle fractionation. Replication fork pausing (purple arrows), recombination structures (yellow arrows), and replication bubbles (red arrows) are indicated.
We did observe that CTAB-Bfa1 fragment of comparable size isolated from KSHV genome in elutriation fraction 26 (Fig. 2A, lower panel), the mid-S-phase were isolated and digested with Bfa1, which has one restriction site with CTAB (Fig. 2). These structures did not form on a control at other stages of the cell cycle and were dependent on isolation gels (Fig. 1D). The slower multimeric TR molecules did not form fraction that is also enriched with recombination structures in 2D/H11003 TR mobility were enriched DNA molecules with greater than 1/H11002 products are indicated by arrowheads. Recombination-generated joint molecules (JMs) of >1-TR size are indicated. (C) DNA was extracted from BCBL1 cells collected in elutriation fraction 26 (mid-S phase) with CTAB, digested with Bfa1, and then mock treated (lanes 1 and 2 from left; –) or treated with MBN (lanes 3 and 4) or with T7endo (lanes 5 and 6). DNA fragments were visualized by Southern blotting with a probe for the TR. Arrowheads indicate a 1× 801-bp TR fragment.

Evidence for recombination junctions formed at TRs. (A and B) BCBL1 cells were cell cycle fractionated by centrifugal elutriation as in Fig. 1C. DNA was extracted from fractions 22 (G1), 26 (early S), 28 (mid-S), and 36 (G2/M) with (+) or without (−) CTAB to stabilize recombination-like structures. DNA was isolated and cleaved by Bfa1 and then analyzed by Southern blotting with a one-dimensional agarose gel with probes for the TR (A) or control probe from the KSHV 95000 region (B). The positions of the expected 1×TR products are indicated by arrowheads. Recombination-generated joint molecules (JMs) of >1-TR size are indicated. (C) DNA was extracted from BCBL1 cells collecting in elutriation fraction 26 (mid-S phase) with CTAB, digested with Bfa1, and then mock treated (lanes 1 and 2 from left; –) or treated with MBN (lanes 3 and 4) or with T7endo (lanes 5 and 6). DNA fragments were visualized by Southern blotting with a probe for the TR. Arrowheads indicate a 1× 801-bp TR fragment.

and recombination-like structure formed in mid-S phase (yellow arrow, fraction 26).

To determine if replication intermediates could also be observed in latent infected PEL cells, we examined the structure of TR DNA replication intermediates by 1D agarose gel electrophoresis and Southern blotting. Latently infected BCBL1 cells were subjected to cell cycle fractionation, and DNA from elutriation fractions 22 (G1), 26 (early S), 28 (mid-S), and 36 (G2/M) were isolated and digested with Bfa1, which has one restriction site in the TR and generates an ~800-bp fragment. We observed that DNA molecules with greater than 1×TR mobility were enriched in elutriation fraction 26 (Fig. 2A, lower panel), the mid-S-phase fraction that is also enriched with recombination structures in 2D gels (Fig. 1D). The slower multimeric TR molecules did not form at other stages of the cell cycle and were dependent on isolation with CTAB (Fig. 2). These structures did not form on a control Bfa1 fragment of comparable size isolated from KSHV genome positions 95000 to 95600 (Fig. 2B). We did observe that CTAB-isolated TR DNA formed doublets at all stages of the cell cycle examined (Fig. 2A, bottom). We further analyzed the DNA structures by enzymatic digestion with T7endo, specific for Holliday junctions, or with MBN, specific for single-strand gaps in double-stranded replication intermediates, such as replication bubble or hemicatenane structures (Fig. 2C). We found that MBN, and to a lesser extent T7endo, showed only partial digestion of TR DNA isolated from fraction 26, suggesting that these structures are complex mixtures of DNA replication and recombination intermediates (Fig. 2C).

Replication fork pausing factor Tim is enriched at the TR. To determine if known replication fork protection factors or DNA polymerase subunits accumulate in the TR region of the KSHV genome, we used ChIP assays with antibodies to human Tim, Tipin, Polβ, KSHV LANA, or control IgG. As expected, LANA was highly enriched in the KSHV TR region (Fig. 3A). We found that Tim was also highly enriched and that Tim and Polβ were enriched relative to the IgG control and relative to a control region of the KSHV genome derived from the 50000 locus (Fig. 3B). We also tested whether Tim association with TR was dependent on LANA binding (Fig. 3C). A TR-containing plasmid (p2xTR) was transfected into HEK293 cells with or without a FLAG-LANA expression vector and then assayed by ChIP for binding of Tim or FLAG-LANA. We found that Tim bound to TR only in cells expressing FLAG-LANA (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that the replisome pausing and protection factor Tim associates with TRs in a LANA-dependent manner.

We next tested whether the interaction of LANA, Tim, or Polβ with KSHV TRs is cell cycle dependent (Fig. 3D to F). BCBL1 cells were fractionated by centrifugal elutriation for separation of cell cycle stages, which were confirmed by PI staining followed by FACS analysis (Fig. 3D). Cell cycle-fractionated cells were then subjected to ChIP with antibodies to LANA and control IgG (Fig. 3E) or Tim, Polβ, and IgG (Fig. 3F). We found that LANA was equally enriched in the TR relative to the 50000 region at all stages of the cell cycle (Fig. 3E). In contrast, both Tim and Polβ were enriched in the TR in a cell cycle-dependent manner, with the predominant peaks of enrichment occurring at early to mid-S phase (fractions 22 and 24) for Tim (Fig. 3F). Neither Tim nor Polβ was enriched in the KSHV 50000 region, indicating that there is selective enrichment of these factors at the TR.

Tim depletion inhibits TR DNA replication. To determine if Tim has a functional role in TR replication, we tested the effect of Tim depletion on the transient DNA replication of TR-containing plasmids (Fig. 4). Plasmids containing 8×TRs are competent for transient replication in HEK293 cells that coexpress LANA (47, 48). We therefore assayed the effects of shRNA-mediated Tim depletion on transient replication of 8×TR plasmids in HEK293 cells that were cotransfected with either FLAG-LANA or control FLAG vector (FV). We used two different shRNA-containing vectors that target different regions of the Tim mRNA. Transient shRNA transfection led to partial depletion of Tim but had no significant effect on the expression of FLAG-LANA (Fig. 4A). Transient DNA replication of 8×TRs was measured by comparing the DpnI-resistant DNA (Fig. 4B, arrow) relative to total HinDIII-digested DNA in Southern blot analysis. We found that Tim depletion led to an ~4- to 5-fold reduction in LANA-dependent DNA replication of p8×TR at 72 h posttransfection (Fig. 4B and C). The inhibition of 8×TR replication was not a result of an indirect cell cycle arrest, since FACS profiling showed no major cell cycle changes relative to shCtrl-treated cells (Fig. 4D).
Tim depletion causes loss of episomal KSHV genomes from latently infected cells. To determine if Tim plays a role in KSHV episomal maintenance, we assayed the effect of Tim depletion on KSHV genomes in latently infected PEL cells (Fig. 5). BCBL1 cells were infected with lentivirus expressing Tim shRNAs (shTim-1 or shTim-2) or control shRNA. We found Tim protein was efficiently depleted (>85%) with no detectable loss of β-actin protein expression and only a small decrease in LANA protein expression (Fig. 5A). To monitor KSHV episomes, we assayed KSHV-positive cells by PFGE, followed by Southern blotting (Fig. 5B to D). Ethidium bromide staining of PFGE gels indicated that Tim depletion did not lead to a gross degradation of chromosomal DNA, and equal amounts of total DNA were loaded for each sample (Fig. 5B). Hybridization with a probe for the cellular α-satellite repeat DNA also indicated that equal amounts of cellular DNA were loaded and intact (Fig. 5C, top). Hybridization of PFGE blots with KSHV TR DNA detected both circular (slower-migrating form) and linear (faster-migrating forms) genomes (Fig. 5C, bottom). Quantification of several independent PFGE experiments revealed that shTim depletion with either shTim-1 or shTim-2 led to an ~3- to 4-fold loss of KSHV circular episomes relative to cellular DNA (Fig. 5D). Linear forms of the KSHV genome were also reduced, indicating that Tim depletion does not trigger KSHV lytic replication. These findings suggest that Tim depletion causes loss of episomal KSHV genomes from latently infected cells.
Tim depletion leads to a selective loss of KSHV DNA. The loss of KSHV episomes from PEL cells could be due to a failure of recombination structures at the TR. To further investigate the molecular basis for the loss of KSHV episomal maintenance caused by Tim depletion, we assayed the effect of Tim depletion on replication fork pausing structures at the TR using 2D neutral analysis of BCBL1 cells infected with shTim-lentivirus and then assayed 48 h postinfection (Fig. 8A and B). BCBL1 cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU, followed by BrdU IP assays with PCR analysis at the positions to the left of the TR (TR-L), within the TR (TR), or to the right of the TR (TR-R). Tim depletion produced a small increase in BrdU incorporation at the TR but no consistent or significant change at positions to the left or right of the TR (Fig. 7C). This result is consistent with a role for Tim in regulating replication fork stalling in the TR region. We also tested whether global BrdU incorporation was altered by Tim depletion (Fig. 7D). We found that Tim depletion led to a small decrease in global BrdU incorporation (from 49% to 42% or 44%), consistent with the observed reduction in S-phase cells (Fig. 7A). Finally, we tested whether Tim depletion induced cellular apoptosis (Fig. 7E). BCBL1 cells infected with shCtrl or shTim were analyzed by Apo-BrdU TUNEL assay (Fig. 7E). While positive-control samples for apoptosis scored highly positive for BrdU, essentially no TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells were detected in Tim-depleted or control shRNA-infected cells. Thus, Tim depletion does not cause apoptosis in BCBL1 cells.

Tim depletion causes accumulation of replication pause and recombination structures at the TR. To further investigate the molecular basis for the loss of KSHV episomal maintenance caused by Tim depletion, we assayed the effect of Tim depletion on replication fork pausing structures at the TR using 2D neutral agarose gel analysis (Fig. 8). BJAB cells were infected with shCtrl or shTim lentivirus and then assayed 48 h postinfection (Fig. 8A and B). In asynchronous shCtrl cells, few replication fork pausing structures markedly accumulated in shTim-infected cells (Fig. 8A, left), consistent with previous observations (Fig. 1). In contrast, replication fork pausing structures were observed (Fig. 8A, left), consistent with previous observations (Fig. 1). In contrast, replication fork pausing structures were observed (Fig. 8A, left).
right). shTim cells had ~4-fold-increased replication fork and recombination structures, suggesting that replication was not being completed or that replication fork structures were inducing the formation of pathological recombination structures that destabilized the TR. We further characterized these structures by 1D gel electrophoresis (Fig. 8C). We found that shTim-depleted cells accumulated higher-order TR DNA structures, while these structures were absent in control shRNA. The structures were partially sensitive to both MBN and T7endo, suggesting that abnormal recombination structures were accumulating at the TR in shTim-depleted cells. We also tested the effect of Tim depletion on LANA, ORC2, and MCM3 binding by ChIP assay (Fig. 8D and E). We found that Tim depletion had no significant effect on LANA or ORC2 (Fig. 8D) but increased MCM3 occupancy ~4-fold (Fig. 8E). These findings are consistent with a role for Tim in facilitating MCM helicase movement through or from the TR.

DISCUSSION
Eukaryotic DNA replication fork progression varies depending on DNA structure and nucleoprotein composition. There are
features in terms of replication fork-blocking activity, formation of recombination junctions, and requirement for the replication fork protection complex.

In this study, we provide evidence that the replication fork stalling and recombination-like structures occur in one or more TRs of KSHV (Fig. 1D), suggesting that recombination junctions are intermediates in the replication process of TR (Fig. 2C). Components of the replication fork protection complex, Tim and Tipin, are recruited to this region in a cell cycle- and LANA-dependent manner (Fig. 3). Tim depletion inhibited TR plasmid DNA replication (Fig. 4) and resulted in the loss of stable episomes in latently infected PEL cells (Fig. 5). Tim depletion caused the selective loss of KSHV DNA from BCBL1 cells (Fig. 6) but caused only moderate reduction in global cellular DNA synthesis, as measured by incorporation of BrdU (Fig. 7). Furthermore, Tim depletion caused aberrant replication and recombination of the TR (Fig. 8), which may activate deleterious rearrangement in this region, destabilizing the TR. These results indicate that Tim cooperates with LANA to facilitate faithful replication of the TRs and suggests that TR-associated recombination structures contribute directly to KSHV episome maintenance.

Our findings are consistent with the established roles of TR and LANA in KSHV episome maintenance and DNA replication (10, 11). Our results are less consistent with single-molecule-fiber fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) studies that suggest that replication neither initiates nor pauses in TRs in latently infected BCBL1 cells (17). In contrast to single-molecule studies, we found that replication pausing and recombination occur and, to a lesser extent, origin bubbles form at KSHV TRs. These structures were readily detected in 8×TR plasmids that were maintained as stable episomes in LANA-expressing BIAB cells. For technical reasons, we were unable to resolve TR replication structures with DNA from full-length KSHV genomes due to the very large number of repeats. However, we could detect recombination-like structures in viral genomes derived from BCBL1 cells using 1D gel methods (Fig. 2). We also observed enrichment of Tim, Tipin, and Pol8, which further suggests that replication pausing occurs at TRs in latently infected PEL cells (Fig. 3). Numerous differences in the methods employed may account for these conflicting observations (17). First, replication initiation within TRs is likely to be more frequent on small plasmids lacking alternative zones of DNA replication, as was observed for EBV OriP-containing plasmids (18). We also found that replication pausing and origin bubbles could be detected only in cells highly enriched in S phase and that these structures represent less than 1% of the total population of DNA structures isolated from asynchronous cells. Therefore, it is possible that single-molecule analysis underrepresents these relatively rare replication events due to the limited number of molecules examined. Single-molecule analysis may also lack the resolution to observe short pausing and recombination events that occur within the TR DNA, which may be less than ~1 kb. While we cannot exclude the possibility that 8×TR plasmid replication is different than that of full-length KSHV genomes, our findings support roles for Tim, Tipin, and Pol8 accumulation in both 8×TR plasmids and viral episomes in latently infected cells. Therefore, we favor the interpretation that LANA-dependent replication initiation, pausing, and recombination do occur at some essential level within TRs in latently infected B cells.

Programmed pausing of DNA replication forks can have specialized chromosome functions. In prokaryotes, RFBs promote a
form of sister chromatid interaction referred to as chromosome kissing, due to the formation of hemicatenated DNA replication intermediates (54). Persistence of these DNA junctions provides a mechanism of sister chromatid cohesion that may facilitate homologous recombination repair in G2 phase and amphitelic chromosome segregation in mitosis (55). In eukaryotes, different types of replication fork barriers may lead to different DNA structures and chromosome functions. Our studies suggest that while both EBV and KSHV maintenance elements have RFB activities, the DNA structures have significantly different properties. In particular, we found that upon Tim depletion, replication forks at EBV OriP collapse and induce the formation of DNA double-strand breaks (43). In contrast, Tim depletion at KSHV TRs prolongs replication fork pausing and induces pathological recombination (Fig. 8). It has been proposed that the recombination proteins mediate the spatial chromosome organization and pairing during meiotic cell division (56). Recombination precedes the dynamic chromosomal movement to biorient chromosomes for equal segregation. It would be interesting to know whether replication-coupled recombination at KSHV TRs may work as a homologue pairing center to spatially organize its episomes for faithful segregation. The Tim homologue in yeast, Swi1, differentially regulates recombination at RFBs based on their genomic context (57). At the mating type switch locus in Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Swi1 is required for an epigenetically stable imprinting signal (58, 59). Whether similar imprinting occurs at the KSHV and EBV maintenance elements is not known, but the establishment of stable episomal maintenance has been shown to depend on a rare epigenetic event (60). It is therefore tempting to speculate that programmed pausing by LANA at the TR and by EBNA1 at OriP generates critical epigenetic recombination-dependent structures essential for the establishment and maintenance of episomal viral genomes.

In conclusion, KSHV episome stability requires the fork protection complex protein Tim to regulate the formation of recombination-like structures that form during latent-cycle replication of the TRs. Further work is needed to understand and identify specific partners involved in this Tim-dependent replication pathway to ensure KSHV TR stability. Finally, it remains to be shown that programmed DNA replication fork barriers, induced by pro-
teins like EBNA1 and LANA, and the formation of DNA recombination structures at these viral episome maintenance elements contribute directly to viral chromosome segregation, as has been proposed in other organisms.
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