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We welcome the attention of Stedman et al. to the novel RNA viruses that we recently identified in an archaea-dominated community (1) and their analysis of viral and cellular codon usage as an approach to assist in assigning potential hosts. However, we are not convinced that their analysis of such a limited number of RNA viruses leads to any compelling conclusions without a comprehensive study that should include proper statistical tests.

There are numerous examples of virus codon usage either matching (2–4) or significantly deviating from (5–8) their host cell codon usage. Analyses of codon bias of poxviruses, adenoviruses, enteroviruses, and herpesviruses found a mixture of agreement with and antagonism to the codon bias of their human hosts (9–12). For example, human enterovirus 71 codon usage paradoxically matches the disfavored codon usage of the human host of this virus (11). An analysis of 16 phages of Staphylococcus aureus found few correlations in codon usage between a phage and its specific host (13). Indeed, the large variance observed in viral codon usage compared to that of the host cells is likely to be why Stedman et al. found that the Escherichia coli bacteriophage QB can be assigned with either eukaryotic or bacterial hosts. We are unaware of a comprehensive analysis of the host-virus combinations from all three domains of life; we believe that this would be a worthwhile endeavor.

There are many factors that contribute to viral codon usage independent of selection pressure to match the codon usage of its host cell. In some cases, it has been found that genome-wide mutational pressure overrides the selection for specific codons (14); translational selection (15) and protein secondary structure (16) can all contribute. In other cases, codon usage has been linked to the thermophilic lifestyle (17,18). For example, the codon usage of thermophilic bacteria more closely resembles that of thermophilic archaea than that of mesophilic bacteria (17,19).

We maintain that the most parsimonious conclusion from the data reported in Bolduc et al. (1) is that the RNA viral genomes we detected replicate in archaeal hosts residing in the sampled Yellowstone hot springs. Additional data compatible with this conclusion include recent single-cell rRNA gene analysis of the originally sampled hot spring showing that 97% of the cells analyzed (68 of 71 cells) represented members of 5 archaeal genera, with 3% belonging to a single bacterial genus. No eukaryotic cells were detected. These results support our original cellular metagenomic analysis reported in Bolduc et al. (1). In addition, we have developed reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) assays for a number of the suspected archaeal RNA viral contigs and can find the same RNA genomes in the viral fraction of the same hot spring 4 years after the original observations as well as in a number of other archaea-dominated Yellowstone hot springs. These findings suggest that the discovered RNA viral genomes do not come from viruses entering the hot springs from outside sources. Currently, we are actively pursuing direct isolation of the virus together with its host.
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