Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) Vpu enhances the release of viral particles from infected cells by interfering with the function of BST-2/tetherin, a cellular protein inhibiting virus release. The Vpu protein encoded by NL4-3, a widely used HIV-1 laboratory strain, antagonizes human BST-2 but not monkey or murine BST-2, leading to the conclusion that BST-2 antagonism by Vpu is species specific. In contrast, we recently identified several primary Vpu isolates, such as Vpu of HIV-1DH2, capable of antagonizing both human and rhesus BST-2. Here we report that while Vpu interacts with human BST-2 primarily through their respective transmembrane domains, antagonism of rhesus BST-2 by Vpu involved an interaction of their cytoplasmic domains. Importantly, a Vpu mutant carrying two mutations in its transmembrane domain (A14L and W22A), rendering it incompetent for interaction with human BST-2, was able to interact with human BST-2 carrying the rhesus BST-2 cytoplasmic domain and partially neutralized the ability of this BST-2 variant to inhibit viral release. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation analysis to detect Vpu–BST-2 interactions suggested that the physical interaction of Vpu with rhesus or chimpanzee BST-2 involves a 5-residue motif in the cytoplasmic domain of BST-2 previously identified as important for the antagonism of monkey and great ape BST-2 by simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) Nef. Thus, our study identifies a novel mechanism of antagonism of monkey and great ape BST-2 by Vpu that targets the same motif in BST-2 used by SIV Nef and might explain the expanded host range observed for Vpu isolates in our previous study.

BST-2 (also referred to as tetherin, CD317, or HM1.24) is an interferon-inducible transmembrane (TM) protein that was originally identified in terminally differentiated human B cells of patients with multiple myeloma (1, 2). The protein consists of approximately 180 amino acids that include a short N-terminal cytoplasmic domain, a TM domain, and a rod-like α-helical ectodomain with the propensity, at least in vitro, to form a rod-like coiled-coil structure (3–8). The BST-2 C terminus is made up of a stretch of hydrophobic residues that, in rat BST-2, has been determined to function as a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor signal (4). Recent experimental evidence suggests that in human BST-2 (huBST-2), this C-terminal hydrophobic domain may constitute a second TM domain rather than a GPI anchor signal (9). As far as the BST-2 ectodomain is concerned, it contains three cysteine residues important for the formation of covalent cysteine-linked dimers, as well as two N-linked carbohydrate side chains whose functional importance is currently unclear (1, 2, 4, 10, 11). BST-2 associates with lipid rafts at the cell surface and on internal membranes (4, 12–15).

While the physiological function of BST-2 in uninfected cells remains unclear, BST-2 has recently been shown to inhibit the release of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) (16, 17). In fact, inhibition of virus release by BST-2 is not HIV-1 specific but affects other lentiviruses, such as HIV-2 and simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV), as well as a wide variety of enveloped viruses, including Ebola virus, Lassa virus, Marburg virus, Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), porcine endogenous retrovirus (PERV), and endogenous betaretrovirus of sheep (18–23). Three different lentiviral proteins, HIV-1 Vpu, HIV-2 Env, and SIV Nef, have been found to antagonize the activity of their natural host, BST-2, thus allowing for efficient particle release from BST-2-expressing cells. They are either TM proteins, like BST-2 (Vpu, Env), or are membrane associated by means of a myristic acid modification (Nef). All of them are thought to interfere with BST-2 function through direct physical interaction (24–33). Aside from these lentiviral proteins, the KSHV-encoded ubiquitin ligase K5 has been found to antagonize BST-2 by inducing ubiquitin-mediated endocytosis and lysosomal degradation of BST-2 (34, 35).

A current model suggests that BST-2 tethers mature virions to the cell surface by means of its N-terminal TM region and C-terminal membrane anchor. Indeed, immune electron microscopy identified BST-2 on virions tethered to the cell surface and on particles tethered to each other (11, 36–39). Interestingly, artificial tetherin consisting of the N-terminal TM region of transferrin receptor, a coiled-coil ectodomain of the cytoplasmic dimeric protein dystrophia myotonica protein kinase, and a GPI anchor signal derived from urokinase plasminogen activator receptor was capable of inhibiting the release of HIV-1 virions tethered to the cell surface (11). This suggests that the secondary structure rather than the primary amino acid sequence of BST-2 is important for its tethering function. Indeed, our own studies investigating the importance of structural elements in the BST-2 ectodomain revealed surprising flexibility in the size of the BST-2 ectodomain. Both increases and decreases in the size of the ectodomain, as well as replacement of the C-terminal hydrophobic domain by heterologous TM domains, were functionally tolerated (9, 40).

The widely used HIV-1 laboratory strain NL4-3 encodes a Vpu...
protein that is able to target human BST-2 but not murine or monkey BST-2, leading to the notion that Vpu antagonism of BST-2 is species specific (41–46). More-recent evidence, however, indicates that other Vpu proteins, such as the Vpu encoded by the clinical isolate HIV-1DH12, have a less restricted phenotype and are able to antagonize not only human BST-2 but rhesus BST-2 (rhBST-2) as well (47). Transfer of the TM domain from HIV-1DH12 Vpu was sufficient to render HIV-1NL4-3 Vpu capable of antagonizing rhesus BST-2 (47). The importance of the TM domain in Vpu for antagonism of BST-2 was affirmed by the finding that a single amino acid change in the TM domain of rhesus BST-2 (I48T) rendered the protein sensitive to HIV-1NL4-3 Vpu in a gain-of-function analysis (48). Similarly, the change of a single amino acid in the TM domain of human BST-2 to the corresponding residue found in the BST-2 protein of the Tantalus monkey (T45I) rendered the protein insensitive to HIV-1 Vpu in a loss-of-function study (42). Thus, all available evidence points to a functional interaction of the TM domains of Vpu and rhesus BST-2 as necessary and sufficient for functional neutralization of rhesus BST-2.

In an extension of these studies, we designed experiments to confirm that the expanded host range associated with the transfer of the DH12 Vpu TM domain to NL4-3 Vpu was due to a gain of interaction between Vpu and rhesus BST-2. Surprisingly, binding studies revealed that the nonfunctional NL4-3 Vpu interacted with rhesus BST-2 as efficiently as the functional Vpu variant, Vpu\textsuperscript{mdDH12}, suggesting that the interaction of Vpu and BST-2 was necessary but not sufficient for the functional neutralization of BST-2 and may involve sequences in the cytoplasmic domain of Vpu. Indeed, we found that while HIV-1 Vpu, as expected, interacted with human BST-2 and may involve sequences in the cytoplasmic domain of BST-2, the interaction of Vpu and BST-2 was necessary and sufficient for functional neutralization of rhesus BST-2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids. The construction of plasmid pNL4-3, encoding the laboratory-adapted full-length molecular HIV-1 isolate NL4-3 (52), its Vpu-deficient variant pNL4-3/Udel (53), and pNL4-3 Vpu tmDH12, encoding a chimeric Vpu (47), have been described previously. Vectors for the expression of untagged human and rhesus BST-2 (huBST-2 and rhBST-2, respectively) have been described previously (48, 54). In addition, rhBST-2\textsuperscript{T45I}, carrying a mutation in its TM domain, rhBST-2\textsuperscript{C447T} a chimera encoding the human cytoplasmic domain, and rhBST-2\textsuperscript{I48T} carrying the human TM domain, have been described previously (48). Plasmids pKGC-huBST-2, pKGN-Vpu, pKGN-Vpu\textsubscript{tp}, and pKGNstop, for analyzing the interaction of Vpu and BST-2 in live cells, have been described previously (25). All mutants were created by overlapping extension PCR, and the resulting DNA fragments were subcloned into the pNL4-3, pcDNA3.1−(−) (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA), pkmKGC-MC, or pkmKGN-MN (MBL International, Woburn, MA) vector backbone. The nucleotide sequence of each construct was verified by sequence analysis. The open reading frame of codon-optimized SIVcpz\textsubscript{mb897} Vpu was generated by single-step assembly of 6 oligodeoxynucleotides (55) according to the algorithm reported for codon-optimized NL4-3 Vpu (56) and was subcloned into pkmKGN-MN. The chimpanzee BST-2 open reading frame (ORF) was amplified from pCGCG-chimpanzee BST-2 (provided by Frank Kirchhoff [43]).

Cell culture and transfection. HEK293T (293T) cells grown in 6-well plates were transfected with appropriate pairs of constructs (1 μg each) tagged with the N- or C-terminal fragment of Kusabira green (KG or KGC, respectively) and 2 μg of an mCherry-expressing plasmid as a transfection marker (provided by Juan Martin-Serrano). Forty-eight hours posttransfection, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), scraped, and resuspended in 2 ml of PBS. One fraction (0.5 ml) of the cell suspension was analyzed on a FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences Immunocytometry Systems, Mountain View, CA) as described previously (25, 57). The mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) signals in mCherry-positive cells are shown in the figures. The remaining cells (1.5 ml) were pelleted, resuspended in 100 μl of PBS, and mixed with an equal volume of 2× sample buffer (4% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 125 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 10% 2-mercaptoethanol [ME], 1% glycerol, 0.002% bromophenol blue). Samples were heated at 95°C for 10 min with occasional vortexing. Cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE; proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and were reacted with an anti-KGN or anti-KGC monoclonal antibody (Mab) (MBL International, Woburn, MA). Membranes were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Amer sham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ), and proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amer sham Biosciences).
tein. Beads were washed three times with wash buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100). Bound proteins were eluted by heating in 1 X sample buffer (2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol, and 0.001% bromophenol blue) for 10 min at 95°C, separated by SDS-PAGE, and visualized by fluorography. Virus release was quantified by phosphorimaging analysis using a Fujifilm FLA7000 system. The fraction of p24 released in the absence of BST-2 relative to the total amount of intra- and extracellular Gag protein was defined as 100%.

**Pulse-chase analysis.** The pulse-chase assay is similar to the virus release assay except that viral release kinetics are determined by collecting samples at multiple time points over an observation period as described previously (58). Briefly, cells grown in 25-cm² flasks were transfected with 5 μg of pNL4-3/Udel, 0.01 μg of pKGC-chimpBST-2, and 0.5 μg of constructs expressing KGN-tagged Vpu or the KGN tag only. Twenty-four hours later, cells were labeled for 30 min at 37°C as described for the virus release assay. The isotope was then removed, and cells were chased in complete DMEM–FBS for the times indicated in Fig. 6C. At each time point, cells and supernatants were collected separately, lysed in NP-40–DOC lysis buffer, and immunoprecipitated as described for the virus release assay.

**TZM-bl assay.** The TZM-bl assay was carried out essentially as described previously (40). Virus stocks were prepared by transfection of 293T cells. Virus-containing supernatants were harvested 24 h after transfection. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (3 min, 1,500 × g). One hundred microliters of viral supernatant was used to infect 5 × 10⁴ TZM-bl cells in a 24-well plate in a total volume of 1.1 ml containing 1 μM ritisinav (NIRV AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, catalog no. 4622). Infection was allowed to proceed for 48 h at 37°C. The medium was removed; cells were lysed in 300 μl of 1 X reporter lysis buffer (Promega Corp., Madison, WI), and lysates were frozen at −80°C for a minimum of 30 min. To determine the luciferase activity in the lysates, 5 μl of each lysate was combined with 20 μl of a luciferase substrate (Steady-Glo; Promega Corp., Madison, WI), and light emission was measured using a Modulus II microplate reader (Turner BioSystems Inc., Sunnyvale, CA).

**Statistical analysis.** To determine statistical significance, Student’s t tests and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were carried out using GraphPad Prism (version 6) for the BiFC interaction assay and the functional assays (the virus release, pulse-chase, and TZM-bl assays), respectively.

**RESULTS**

The interaction of rhesus BST-2 with HIV-1 Vpu involves the cytoplasmic domain. To gain a better understanding of the physical and functional interaction between Vpu and BST-2, we chose to carry out a live-cell protein-protein interaction assay based on bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) (59, 60). The BiFC approach is based on complementation between two fragments of a fluorescent protein such as Kusabira green (KG) (61). Individual fragments such as the N- and C-terminal fragments of KG (referred to as KGN and KGC, respectively) are not fluorescent by themselves; however, reconstitution of a complex by these fragments brought together by the association of two interaction partners fused to the fragments restores fluorescence and allows for quantitative analysis of protein complexes using flow cytometry (25, 57).

We started out by assessing the molecular interaction between HIV-1 Vpu and BST-2 by using the BiFC assay. For that purpose, we used N-terminally KGC-tagged BST-2 constructs expressing huBST-2 (25), rhBST-2, or rhBST-2I48T as shown at the top of Fig. 1A. We also used C-terminally KGN-tagged NL4-3 Vpu constructs expressing wild-type (WT) Vpu (25), a mutant with a scrambled TM domain, Vpu⁰ (25), or VpuI48T (Fig. 1A, bottom). After confirmation of protein expression by immunoblotting (Fig. 1B, top), the intensities of BiFC signals from different levels of protein-protein interaction were measured by flow cytometry (Fig. 1B, bottom). Bars framed in red indicate combinations previously found to result in functional antagonism of BST-2 (47, 48). A strong BiFC signal was observed in cells transfected with huBST-2 and NL4-3 Vpu but not in cells expressing

**FIG 1** Physical interaction is not sufficient for BST-2 antagonism by Vpu. (A) Schematic representation of the BST-2 and Vpu constructs used for assessing physical interaction through bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). The KGC tag was fused in frame to the N terminus of BST-2 (huBST-2, rhBST-2, and rhBST-2I48T). The KGN tag was fused in frame to the C terminus of Vpu and its mutants. The cartoon is not drawn to scale (the KGC tag, the KGN tag, human BST-2, and NL4-3 Vpu consist of 51 residues, 168 residues, 180 residues, and 81 residues, respectively). (B) (Top) The expression of KGC-tagged BST-2 variants and KGN-tagged Vpu variants was assessed by immunoblotting using antibodies to KGC and KGN, respectively. The KGN fragment encoded by the “tag-only” vector has a faster mobility and is not visible here. Faint bands in lanes 1, 5, and 9 are nonspecific background. Lane numbers in the immunoblot correspond to bar numbers in the graph below. (Bottom) The physical interaction of BST-2 and Vpu was quantified by a BiFC assay. 293T cells transfected with pKGC-huBST-2, pKGC-rhBST-2, or pKGC-rhBST-2I48T, and with the indicated pKGN-Vpu variants, were analyzed by flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods. The MFI of NL4-3 Vpu with rhBST-2 (bar 6) was empirically defined as 100%. Results are expressed as the relative MFI (BiFC) plus the standard error of the mean calculated from three independent experiments. ***, P < 0.01; n.s., not significant (P > 0.05). Bars outlined in red represent combinations where the Vpu variant was able to antagonize the BST-2 variant (47, 48).
huBST-2 and the KGN tag only (Fig. 1B, compare bars 1 and 2). In addition, a scrambled-TM-domain mutant of Vpu, VpuRD, which is unable to antagonize BST-2 (62), produced only background signals in our BiFC assay (Fig. 1B, compare bars 1 and 3). These results demonstrate that the physical interaction of Vpu and BST-2 in the context of KGC- and KGN-tagged variants faithfully replicates previous results from studies using coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) (26–28, 63), fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (64), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (31), or BiFC (25). Finally, VpuRH12(DH) carrying the TM domain of DH12 Vpu, which we previously found to antagonize both human and rhesus BST-2 (47), interacted with huBST-2, although the BiFC signal was not as strong as that observed with NL4-3 Vpu (Fig. 1B, compare bars 2 and 4). Nevertheless, the BiFC signal was significantly higher than that observed for VpuRD or the "tag-only" control (Fig. 1B, compare bar 4 with bar 3 or 1). The failure of VpuRH12 to produce significant BiFC signals when expressed in combination with huBST-2 indicates that the signal observed in conjunction with wild-type Vpu (Fig. 1B, bar 2) is specific and is not the result of random encounters of two membrane proteins in a 2-dimensional plane. Taken together, these results validate our BiFC assay as a suitable method for the assessment of molecular interactions between HIV-1 Vpu and host BST-2 in live cells.

We next assessed the interaction of Vpu with rhBST-2 in our BiFC assay (Fig. 1B, bars 5 to 8). NL4-3 Vpu does not antagonize rhBST-2 in a functional assay but was nevertheless found to interact with rhBST-2, even though the BiFC signal was lower than that for huBST-2 (Fig. 1B, compare bars 2 and 6). Of note, NL4-3 Vpu interacted with rhBST-2 almost as efficiently as VpuRH12(DH), which is capable of functionally antagonizing rhBST-2 (Fig. 1B, compare bars 6 and 8). This suggests that VpuRH12(DH) antagonizes rhBST-2 without increasing the physical interaction between the proteins. Surprisingly, VpuRH12(DH) interacted with rhBST-2 as efficiently as NL4-3 Vpu (Fig. 1B, compare bars 6 and 7), even though it was unable to interact with huBST-2. To confirm this result, we also assessed the interaction between NL4-3 Vpu and rhBST-2I48T, because we reported previously that mutation of I48T in the TM domain of rhesus BST-2 renders the protein sensitive to NL4-3 Vpu without a noticeable increase in the physical interaction between the proteins. The same was true for VpuRH12(DH) and VpuRH12(DH), which produced very similar BiFC signals when coexpressed with wild-type rhBST-2 or with rhBST-2I48T (Fig. 1B, compare bars 6 and 10), suggesting that the I48T mutation renders the rhBST-2I48T sensitive to NL4-3 Vpu without a noticeable increase in the physical interaction between the proteins. The expression of KGC-tagged BST-2 variants and KGN-tagged Vpu mutants was assessed by immunoblotting as described for Fig. 1B. The KGN fragment encoded by the "tag-only" vector in lanes 1, 2, and 3 has a faster mobility and is not visible here.

FIG 2 The interaction of rhesus BST-2 with Vpu involves their cytoplasmic domains. (A) Schematic representation of the BST-2 variants used in this analysis. The cartoon is not drawn to scale (the KGC tag and human BST-2 comprise 51 and 180 residues, respectively). (B) The physical interaction between NL4-3 Vpu or VpuRH12(DH) and rhBST-2 variants was quantified by flow cytometry as described for Fig. 1B. Error bars reflect the standard error of the means from three independent experiments. ***, P < 0.01; **, 0.01 < P < 0.05. The expression of KGC-tagged BST-2 variants and KGN-tagged Vpu mutants assessed the relative levels of protein-protein interaction by BiFC (Fig. 2B, bottom). As expected, the interaction of NL4-3 Vpu with rhBST-2TMhu was significantly stronger than that with wild-type rhBST-2 (Fig. 2B, compare bars 4 and 6), because the TM domain of human BST-2 contributes prominently to the interaction with NL4-3 Vpu. Nevertheless, the BiFC signal for rhBST-2 and NL4-3 Vpu was significantly above background (Fig. 2B, compare bars 1 and 4). Of note, the BiFC signal for NL4-3 Vpu and rhBST-2CYThu was lower than the signal for NL4-3 Vpu and rhBST-2 (Fig. 2B, compare bars 4 and 5), suggesting involvement of the rhBST-2 cytoplasmic domain in the interaction with Vpu. Consistent with these results, we found that the cytoplasmic domain of rhBST-2 also contributes to the interaction with VpuRH12 (Fig. 2B, compare bars 7 and 8).

The cytoplasmic domain of rhesus BST-2 is critical for functional antagonism by Vpu. We reported previously that VpuRH12(DH) but not NL4-3 Vpu was able to antagonize rhBST-2 (47). Given that the mutation of the Vpu TM domain in VpuRH12 inhibited binding to huBST-2 (Fig. 1B) and resulted in a loss of antagonizing activity toward huBST-2, we next investigated the relative importance of the cytoplasmic domain in rhBST-2 for functional...
antagonism by Vpu. The BST-2 constructs used in this experiment are shown schematically in Fig. 3A. We first tested the ability of VputmDH12 to interact with rhBST-2 or rhBST-2CYThu (Fig. 3B). Protein expression was verified by immunoblotting (Fig. 3B, inset). BiFC analysis indeed demonstrated that coexpression of VputmDH12 with wild-type rhBST-2 produced a stronger BiFC signal than coexpression with rhBST-2CYThu, carrying the human cytoplasmic domain (Fig. 3B, compare bars 3 and 4). The change in the BiFC signal caused by the replacement of the rhBST-2 cytoplasmic domain with the huBST-2 cytoplasmic domain provides further evidence that the cytoplasmic domain of rhBST-2 contributes to the interaction with Vpu (Fig. 3B).

The ability of Vpu to antagonize rhBST-2CYThu was tested in a virus release assay (Fig. 3C). The physical interaction between NL4-3 Vpu and rhBST-2CYThu was quantified as described for panel B. The BiFC signal for the interaction of NL4-3 Vpu with rhBST-2CYThu I48T (bar 3) was defined as 100%. (Inset) The expression of KGC-tagged BST-2variants and KGN-tagged Vpu proteins was assessed by immunoblotting as described for Fig. 1B. (D) The ability of Vpu to antagonize rhBST-2CYThu I48T was assessed as described for panel C.
The BiFC signal measured for the interaction of wild-type Vpu and huBST-2 (as assessed in panels E and F). The expression of KGC-tagged huBST-2CYTrh and Gain-of-function analysis of cytoplasmic interactions between Vpu and BST-2. (A to C) Mutation of residues 14 and 22 in the TM domain of huBST-2 and Vpu14/22 was quantified by flow cytometry as described for Fig. 1B. The BiFC signal measured for the interaction of wild-type Vpu and huBST-2 (bar 2) was defined as 100\%. ***\, P < 0.01. The expression of KGC-tagged huBST-2 and KGN-tagged proteins was assessed by immunoblotting as described for Fig. 1B. The KGN fragment encoded by the “tag-only” vector has a faster mobility and is not visible here. Faint bands in lane 1 are nonspecific background. (B) The functional antagonism of human BST-2 by Vpu variants was assessed by metabolic labeling as described for Fig. 3C. Filled circles, NL4-3 (WT); filled squares, NL4-3 Vpu14/22; open circles, NL4-3/Udel. Data are means plus standard errors of the means from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. ***\, P < 0.01; n.s., P > 0.05. (C) The functional antagonism of human BST-2 by Vpu variants was assessed by a TZM-bl assay, 293T cells (5 × 10^4) were transfected with 5 μg of either pNL4-3 (WT), pNL4-3/Udel or pNL4-3 Vpu14/22 in the absence of BST-2 or in the presence of 0.05 μg or 0.2 μg of pCDNA-huBST-2. The TZM-bl assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods. Virus release in the absence of BST-2 (0 μg) was defined as 100%. Data are means plus standard errors of the means from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. ***\, P < 0.01; n.s., P > 0.05. (D to F) Cytoplasmic interactions are sufficient to restore the BST-2-antagonizing activity of Vpu14/22. (D) The physical interaction between huBST-2CYTrh and Vpu or Vpu14/22 was quantified as described for Fig. 1B. The BiFC signal obtained for the interaction of NL4-3 Vpu and huBST-2CYTrh (bar 2) was defined as 100\%. ***\, P < 0.01. Bars outlined in red represent combinations where the respective Vpu variant was able to antagonize huBST-2CYTrh (as assessed in panels E and F). The expression of KGC-tagged huBST-2CYTrh and KGN-tagged proteins was assessed by immunoblotting as described for Fig. 1B. (E) The ability of Vpu14/22 to antagonize huBST-2CYTrh via cytoplasmic domain interaction was assessed by metabolic labeling as described for Fig. 3C. Data are means plus standard errors of the means from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. ***\, P < 0.01. (F) The ability of Vpu14/22 to antagonize huBST-2CYTrh, was also assessed by a TZM-bl assay as described for panel C. Data are means plus standard errors of the means from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. ***\, P < 0.01.
tions at amino acids 14 and 22 in Vpu (NL4-3 Vpu14/22). In agreement with the results reported by Vigan and Neil (65), we found that unlike wild-type Vpu, Vpu14/22 was unable to antagonize huBST-2 in our virus release assay (Fig. 4B, compare solid circles to solid squares). These results were confirmed in an independent assay employing infection of TZM-bl cells as a means to quantify virus release (Fig. 4C). The results are consistent with those of the virus release assay shown in Fig. 4B, demonstrating that wild-type NL4-3 Vpu (WT), but not Vpu14/22, enhances virus release in the presence of huBST-2 (Fig. 4C, compare solid circles and solid squares).

Next, we assessed the ability of Vpu14/22 to physically interact with and functionally counteract huBST-2 carrying the rhBST-2 cytoplasmic domain (huBST-2CyThr). BiFC analysis revealed significant interaction of Vpu14/22 with huBST-2CyThr compared to the vector control (Fig. 4D, compare bars 1 and 3), although the BiFC signal was slightly weaker than that observed for wild-type Vpu (Fig. 4D, compare bars 2 and 3). These results show that the cytoplasmic domain of rhBST-2 renders the protein capable of interacting with NL4-3 Vpu in the absence of TM domain interaction. To assess whether the interaction of Vpu14/22 with BST-2 via its cytoplasmic domain is sufficient for Vpu14/22 to functionally antagonize BST-2, we carried out our virus release assay (Fig. 4E), as well as the TZM-bl infection assay (Fig. 4F). Both assays demonstrated that the level of virus release from cells expressing huBST-2CyThr in the presence of Vpu14/22 is significantly higher than that in the absence of Vpu (Fig. 4E and F, compare filled squares with open circles) (P < 0.0001, respectively). However, the antagonism of huBST-2CyThr by Vpu14/22 was less efficient that seen for wild-type Vpu (Fig. 4E and F, compare filled circles with filled squares) (P = 0.002 and <0.0001, respectively). The results from this gain-of-function analysis therefore demonstrate that (i) a physical interaction between Vpu and BST-2 is necessary for functional antagonism and (ii) the interaction of Vpu with the cytoplasmic domain of rhBST-2 contributes at least in part to the expanded host range of Vpu.

A 5-amino-acid sequence in the rhesus BST-2 cytoplasmic domain accounts for the interaction with Vpu and for rhesus BST-2 antagonism by HIV-1 Vpu. One of the most prominent differences between the cytoplasmic domains of rhesus and human BST-2 is a 5-amino-acid segment [(G/D)DIWK] that is present in rhBST-2 but is missing in huBST-2 (Fig. 5A). This segment was previously identified as critical for the antagonism of monkey and great ape BST-2 by SIV Nef (43, 44, 49). To investigate the importance of the (G/D)DIWK element for the interaction with NL4-3 Vpu, we deleted these five residues in rhBST-2 (Fig. 5A, rhBST-25AA). To control for the potential effect of the deletion, we also mutated these five residues to alanine (Fig. 5A, rhBST-2AA). Finally, since the cytoplasmic domains of human and rhesus BST-2 also differ in six positions at their extreme N termini, we replaced the six differing residues in rhBST-2 with the corresponding human sequences (Fig. 5A, rhBST-2Hu3AA). All mutants were transfected into the BiFC (KGC) vector, and expression of the KGC-tagged BST-2 variants and KGN-tagged Vpu was verified by immunoblotting (Fig. 5B, right). All proteins were expressed at comparable levels, except rhBST-2AA557, whose expression was about 2-fold higher in this experiment (Fig. 5B, top right, lane 4). As expected from our results shown in Fig. 2B (bars 4 and 5), we detected a strong BiFC signal for the interaction of NL4-3 Vpu with wild-type rhBST-2 (Fig. 5B, bar 2) and a reduced signal for the interaction of Vpu with rhBST-2CyThr (Fig. 5B, bar 3). Deletion of the DIWK motif or its replacement with alanine resulted in similar reductions of the BiFC signals (Fig. 5B, bars 4 and 6, respectively). In contrast, replacement of six N-terminal residues by the human sequence did not significantly alter the BiFC signal (Fig. 5B, bar 5). These results indicate that the (G/D)DIWK motif in the cytoplasmic domain of rhBST-2 represents the cytoplasmic component responsible for the interaction with HIV-1 Vpu.

Although NL4-3 Vpu can interact with rhBST-2 via its cytoplasmic domain, it is unable to functionally antagonize rhBST-2 unless it also encodes the TM domain of the DH12 isolate of Vpu (47). To assess whether the (G/D)DIWK motif similarly contributes to the functional antagonism of rhBST-2 by VpuDH12, we
carried out our virus release assay using NL4-3 Vpu in the presence of either rhBST-2del5 (Fig. 5C, left) or rhBST-2Nhu (Fig. 5C, right). We found that Vpu, but not NL4-3 wild-type Vpu, was capable of functionally antagonizing rhBST-2del5 (Fig. 5C, left) (P, 0.0015). These results indicate that the 5-amino-acid DDIIWK motif in the cytoplasmic domain of Vpu is necessary but not sufficient for functional antagonism, which depends on determinants within the Vpu TM domain.

The cytoplasmic domain of HIV-1 Vpu contributes to the physical interaction with, and functional antagonism of, chimpanzee BST-2. The pandemic form of HIV-1 group M has been traced back to SIVcpz, a virus causing infection in chimpanzees (66). SIVcpz carries a vpu gene, but its gene product is unable to antagonize chimpanzee BST-2 (chimpBST-2) (43, 50). Interestingly, chimpmastic domain interacts with, and antagonizes chimpanzee BST-2. (A) (Top) Amino acid alignment of the cytoplasmic domains of the BST-2 variants used in this experiment. Identical sequences are shown in black; residues in blue are conserved in chimpanzee and rhesus BST-2; residues in red are conserved in human and chimpanzee BST-2; residues in brown are specific to chimpanzee BST-2. (Bottom) Schematic representation of Vpu variants employed in the experiment. (B) The physical interaction of the chimpmastic domain interaction was assessed by pulse-chase analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Open circles, empty vector; filled circles, NL4-3 Vpu; filled squares, SIVcpz Vpu; filled squares, SIVcpz Vpu, cyTNL. Data are means ± standard errors of the means from two independent experiments. The statistical significance of the difference in virus release for SIVcpz Vpu and SIVcpz Vpu, cyTNL was determined by two-way ANOVA. *** P < 0.01.

FIG 6 The cytoplasmic domain of HIV-1 Vpu renders a SIVcpz Vpu capable of interacting with and antagonizing chimpanzee BST-2. (A) (Top) Amino acid alignment of the cytoplasmic domains of the BST-2 variants used in this experiment. Identical sequences are shown in black; residues in blue are conserved in chimpanzee and rhesus BST-2; residues in red are conserved in human and chimpanzee BST-2; a residue in brown is specific to chimpanzee BST-2. (Bottom) Schematic representation of Vpu variants employed in the experiment. (B) The physical interaction of the chimpmastic domain interaction was assessed by pulse-chase analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Open circles, empty vector; filled circles, NL4-3 Vpu; open squares, SIVcpz Vpu; filled squares, SIVcpz Vpu, cyTNL. Data are means ± standard errors of the means from two independent experiments. The statistical significance of the difference in virus release for SIVcpz Vpu and SIVcpz Vpu, cyTNL was determined by two-way ANOVA. *** P < 0.01.
2 and 3). Surprisingly, however, the coexpression of chimpBST-2 with SIVcpz Vpu<sub>cyt</sub> yielded BiFC signals even higher than those observed for the interaction with SIVcpz Vpu or HIV-1<sub>NL4-3</sub> Vpu (Fig. 6B, compare bar 4 to bars 3 and 2). These results suggest that the cytoplasmic domain of HIV-1<sub>NL4-3</sub> Vpu contributes significantly to the physical interaction with chimpBST-2. In agreement with this conclusion, SIVcpz Vpu<sub>cyt</sub> showed no detectable interaction with chimpBST-2<sub>cyt</sub>, lacking the 5-amino-acid DDIWK motif (Fig. 6B, compare bars 7 and 8). Thus, the inability of SIVcpz Vpu to antagonize chimpBST-2 appears to be due to its inability to interact with the DDIWK motif in the cytoplasmic domain of chimpBST-2.

To further test this hypothesis, we performed a virus release experiment. Sequences encoding the cytoplasmic domain of Vpu from the 3′ end of the env gene. Because of that, expression of SIVcpz Vpu from a full-length molecular clone could affect Env expression. Therefore, we did not use the TZM-bl-based assay used in the preceding experiments, since potential effects on Env expression could affect the readout from this assay. Instead, we carried out pulse-chase experiments as described in Materials and Methods, using SIVcpz Vpu and, as a chimpBST-2 binding-competent control, SIVcpz Vpu<sub>cyt</sub>. We used C-terminally KGNTagged Vpu constructs as shown in Fig. 6B in conjunction with NL4-3/¥Udel instead of using full-length provirus constructs expressing mutant Vpu. HIV-1<sub>NL4-3</sub> Vpu was included as a control. Virus release from transfected 293T cells was measured over a 4-h time span (Fig. 6C). In agreement with previous reports (43, 50), we found that HIV-1 Vpu, but not SIVcpz Vpu, enhanced virus release in the presence of chimpBST-2. However, transfer of the HIV-1 Vpu cytoplasmic domain to SIVcpz Vpu (SIVcpz Vpu<sub>cyt</sub> NL4-3) rescued the defect and rendered the resulting chimera capable of antagonizing chimpBST-2 with an efficiency similar to that of wild-type HIV-1 Vpu (Fig. 6C, compare filled squares with filled circles). Thus, the cytoplasmic domain of HIV-1<sub>NL4-3</sub> Vpu is critical not only for the physical interaction with chimpBST-2 but also for the ability to functionally antagonize chimpBST-2.

**DISCUSSION**

The ongoing HIV epidemic, which has affected millions of people worldwide, originated from the zoonotic transmission of SIVcpz, a simian immunodeficiency virus normally infecting chimpanzees (66). As a result, HIV-1 and SIVcpz are very similar in their genetic structures. In particular, they both carry a <i>vpu</i> gene and lack a <i>vpx</i> gene, while most other SIV lineages, including SIV mac, carry <i>vpx</i>. The two best-studied functions of HIV-1 Vpu are the degradation of CD4 and the enhancement of virus particle secretion from infected cells (for a review, see reference 68). Interestingly, SIVcpz Vpu, while capable of inducing CD4 degradation, is unable to antagonize chimpanzee or human BST-2 for efficient virus release (43). Instead, SIVcpz—like most other SIVs—relies on Nef to overcome the BST-2-imposed restriction (43, 44, 49). Nef targets a 5-amino-acid motif in the cytoplasmic domain of simian BST-2 (43, 44, 49). Of note, this motif is not found in human BST-2 and appears to have been lost more than 800,000 years ago (69). The transmission of SIV to humans is a fairly recent event, and it is interesting that during adaptation to humans, the virus somehow shifted the burden of antagonizing BST-2 from Nef to Vpu (reviewed in reference 70). This switch seems to have been successful only in group M HIV-1 isolates, since the less common HIV-1 groups N, O, and P, which arose from independent zoonotic transmissions, seemed to have failed to evolve an effective BST-2 antagonist (43, 71).

Unlike Nef, which targets BST-2 via its cytoplasmic domain, HIV-1 Vpu has evolved to engage human BST-2 primarily via its TM domain in a species-specific manner (41–46). Because of this species specificity, Vpu was not predicted to support HIV-1 replication in macaques. Indeed, HIV-1 is unable to replicate in macaques, not only because of a BST-2 restriction but also because of restrictions imposed by APOBEC3G and Trim5α (72, 73). Nevertheless, the macaque system has gained importance for HIV research and is currently the most widely used nonhuman primate model for vaccine development and the study of host immune responses. To bypass the restrictions encountered by HIV-1, investigators are using chimeric SIV variants that carry HIV-1 <i>env</i> sequences in conjunction with SIV <i>gag, pol, vif, and nef</i>. Because of the overlap of the <i>vpu</i> open reading frame with the <i>env</i> gene, simian-human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) chimeras typically also carry the HIV-1 <i>vpu</i> gene. Surprisingly, experiments performed with SHIV-infected pig-tailed macaques suggested a correlation between Vpu expression and viral pathogenesis (74, 75). Of note, the increased pathogenic potential of SHIV in infected pig-tailed macaques mapped to the Vpu TM domain, pointing to BST-2 as the target of Vpu (76). Indeed, our own results gained from SHIV-infected rhesus macaques demonstrate that at least some HIV-1 Vpu proteins have an expanded host range and are capable of targeting rhesus macaque as well as human BST-2 (47).

Previous studies had used coimmunoprecipitation assays (26, 28, 29, 63, 65), FRET (64), and NMR spectroscopy (31) to demonstrate the physical interaction of Vpu with human BST-2 via their TM domains. Our approach was to assess the mechanistic basis of the expanded Vpu host range by studying Vpu-BST-2 interactions using a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay as reported previously (25). Our results showing the physical interaction between HIV-1 Vpu and huBST-2 by a BiFC assay in this study faithfully reproduce previous results from co-IP studies (26–28, 63), from a FRET study (64), and from a study using NMR (31). In addition, our assay also reproduced the less-efficient interactions of Vpu<sub>RD</sub> and Vpu<sub>14/22</sub> (A<sub>14LW 22A</sub>) with huBST-2 previously shown by co-IP (28, 65), by FRET (64), or by BiFC assay (25). Indeed, using this assay, we were able to document robust interactions of HIV-1 Vpu with huBST-2 via their TM domains, previously shown by other groups (26, 28, 29, 63, 65). However, our results also make clear that the binding of Vpu to BST-2 is not sufficient for BST-2 antagonism, since DH12 Vpu, but not NL4-3 Vpu, was able to antagonize rhBST-2 even though these two Vpu proteins interacted equally well with rhBST-2 in our BiFC assay (Fig. 1B). The postbinding steps required for BST-2 antagonism remain unclear. However, we found that the introduction of an I<sub>48T</sub> mutation into the rhBST-2 TM domain, which rendered the protein sensitive to antagonism by NL4-3 Vpu (48), did not affect the protein-protein interaction in our current analysis (Fig. 1B). Along the same lines, mutating a single residue in the TM domain of NL4-3 Vpu (A<sub>14L</sub>) resulted in a loss of activity against huBST-2 (65) without affecting the protein-protein interaction in our BiFC assay (data not shown). Thus, we can conclude that the binding of Vpu to BST-2 is necessary but not sufficient for functional antagonism.

As expected from our functional studies, Vpu also interacted with rhBST-2 in our BiFC assay (Fig. 1B, 2B, and 5B). Surprisingly, however, and in contrast to the interaction with huBST-2,
the interaction of Vpu with rhBST-2 involved sequences in the cytoplasmic domain of BST-2. Even more surprisingly, the cytoplasmic sequences in rhBST-2 involved in the interaction with Vpu mapped to the same 5-amino-acid motif [(G/D)DIWK] that is missing from huBST-2 and that forms the target of SIV Nef. The interaction of Vpu with the cytoplasmic domain was functionally relevant and was sufficient to confer at least partial antagonistic activity on a Vpu variant (Vpu14/22) (Fig. 4E and F) previously shown to be nonfunctional due to a lack of interaction with human BST-2 (65). The results from our current study lead us to amend the previous assessment that Vpu targets BST-2 through TM domain interactions by concluding that, at least for macaque and chimpanzee BST-2, the cytoplasmic domain of BST-2 contributes to the physical interaction with Vpu. The fact that HIV-1 Vpu has the ability to interact with a sequence motif not found in human BST-2 raises interesting evolutionary questions, since the sequence is present in the BST-2 of the chimpanzee, which is the natural host for the Vpu-encoding SIVcpz. Yet Vpu from SIVcpz does not antagonize chimpanzee BST-2 (43). Instead, the five-residue motif is necessary for antagonism by SIVcpz Nef (50, 51). Our results suggest that SIVcpz interacts with a motif lacking in HIV-1 VL/HL-Vp. The Vpu renders the protein capable of both physically interacting with and functionally antagonizing chimp-BST-2. Taking our results together, our study revealed the importance of the Vpu cytoplasmic domain for the interaction with the (G/D)DIWK motif in monkey and great ape BST-2.

Investigations to determine what sequences in Vpu are involved in the interaction with BST-2's cytoplasmic domain are ongoing. However, based on the positioning of the (G/D)DIWK motif relative to the BST-2 transmembrane domain, we would predict that the sequences in Vpu required for the interaction with the (G/D)DIWK motif map to one or both of its cytoplasmic helices, a situation similar to that described for the interaction of Vpu with the cytoplasmic domain of CD4 (77, 78).
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