Effects of Cellular Activation on Anti-HIV-1 Restriction Factor Expression Profile in Primary Cells
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Expression of cell-intrinsic antiviral factors suppresses HIV-1 replication. We hypothesized that cellular activation modulates host restriction and susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. We measured the gene expression of 34 antiviral factors in healthy peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). Cellular activation induced expression of interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), tripartite motif 5α (TRIM5α), bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST-2)/tetherin, and certain apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme 3 (APOBEC3) family members. Expression of RTF1, RNA polymerase II-associated factor 1 (PAF1), TRIM11, TRIM26, and BST-2/tetherin correlated with decreased HIV-1 infectivity. This report demonstrates synchronous effects of activation-induced antiviral genes on HIV-1 infectivity, providing candidates for pharmacological manipulation.

Restriction factors are potent antiviral host proteins that confer protection against retroviral infections (1). Prototypical examples of such proteins are APOBEC3G and APOBEC3F, which inhibit replication of HIV-1 variants lacking a functional vif gene. APOBEC3G is incorporated into HIV-1 virions and deaminates cytosines to uracils in replication intermediates, providing templates for lethal guanine-to-adenine mutations (2). The TRIM family members have also received much attention and are known to target the viral capsid and to inhibit viral transcription (3). Recently, other anti-HIV-1 factors have been described and studied in detail. SAMHD1 was recently shown to inhibit HIV and SIV infection in quiescent CD4+ T cells (4, 5) and myeloid cells (6, 7) by eliminating the intracellular pool of deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) required for viral cDNA production during reverse transcription.

Evaluating the overall anti-HIV-1 repertoire in primary cells is critical to identifying host cell-intrinsic defenses against the virus that may be targeted by small molecules, cytokines, or gene therapeutic strategies. Most studies to date have focused on the expression of individual restriction factors or restriction factor gene families, such as APOBEC3 (8–10) or TRIM. In this study, we hypothesized that cellular activation modulates a broad spectrum of host restriction mechanisms and that variations in restriction factor gene expression profiles between activated donor cells influence HIV-1 infectivity in vitro.

Abbreviations. APOBEC, apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme; BST-2, bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2; SAMHD1, sterile alpha motif (SAM) domain- and HD domain-containing protein 1; TRIM, tripartite motif; ISG, interferon-stimulated gene; CDKN1A, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A; PAF1, RNA polymerase II-associated factor 1; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; FCS, fetal calf serum; IL-2, interleukin-2; PHA-P, phytohemagglutinin-P; C7, threshold cycle; TLDA, TaqMan low-density array; IFN-α, alpha interferon; RLU, relative light units; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; TCID50, 50% tissue culture infective dose; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; EIF2AK2, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α kinase 2; HERC5, HECT domain and RLD domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 5; IFITM, interferon-induced transmembrane; MOV10, Moloney leukemia virus 10 homolog; SLFN11, Schlafen family member 11.

We first investigated the expression profile of host anti-HIV-1 factors in PBMC before and after cellular activation in vitro. Human primary PBMC were isolated from a Ficoll gradient from buffy coats obtained from 43 anonymous HIV-1-seronegative individuals from the Stanford blood bank. After processing, PBMC were stored and frozen in 10% DMSO–FCS prior to subsequent analyses. Cryopreserved PBMC were quickly thawed and used for RNA extraction. Alternatively, cryopreserved PBMC from the same blood donor were thawed and placed in a T-25 culture flask containing 30 ml of growth medium (RPMI 1640, 2 mM l-glutamine, 25 mM HEPES, 20% heat-inactivated FCS, 100 U/ml IL-2, and 50 μg gentamicin/ml) and PHA-P (5 μg/ml) for 1 day at 37°C. PHA was used for stimulation of PBMC as it provides a strong mitogenic activation of cells by binding nonspecifically to the cell membrane glycoproteins, cross-linking the T cell receptors in a donor-independent manner, yielding rates of proliferation and upregulation of CD25 and CD69 comparable to the rates seen with anti-CD3/CD28 costimulation (11). Total RNA was extracted from whole PBMC using TRIzol followed by DNase treatment. DNase-treated RNA was transcribed into cDNA using random primers. Quantitative real-time PCR utilizing a custom-made TLDA and thermal cycling was performed using an ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system. A panel of 6 housekeeping genes (GAPDH, 18S, ACTB, PPIA, RPLP0, and UBC) was used for relative quantification of target gene expression.
A panel of 6 housekeeping genes was included in the TLDA plates, and PPIA was identified as the most stably expressed gene and was used for normalization of results by the comparative CT method. All gene cards (Applied Biosystems) used for quantification are depicted in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Fold induction was determined using the comparative CT method. All gene cards (Applied Biosystems) used for quantification are depicted in Table S1 in the supplemental material. (A) Heat map depicting fold induction of antiviral gene expression following activation. (B) Ascending fold changes in gene expression. Bars represent means ± standard errors of the means (SEM) of antiviral gene expression determinations for a total of 43 donors. (C) Comparative analysis of antiviral gene expression in resting and activated donor cells. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test (***, P < 0.001).
downregulated nearly 2-fold; all other antiviral factors analyzed were upregulated. BST-2/tetherin, TRIM5α, APOBEC3G, and ISG15 were highly (6-fold to over 25-fold) upregulated.

To better depict the relative levels of gene expression of all the antiviral factors screened at the resting state and after activation, we generated Fig. 1C. All changes in gene expression were statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). APOBEC3A and APOBEC3C were the most abundant genes in resting cells, and BST-2/tetherin, APOBEC3G, and ISG15 were the most highly expressed genes in activated PBMC.

Taken together, our data demonstrate that levels of antiviral factor gene expression are significantly different in resting and activated PBMC and that the differential levels of expression in the resting and activated states could have implications for control of HIV-1 replication.

We then extended the panel of host antiviral factors and included a total of 34 different anti-HIV-1 genes. All anti-HIV-1 genes chosen for this screen are substantiated by at least one published report, demonstrating effective, cell-autonomous anti-HIV-1 activity in vitro (Table 1).

We activated PBMC cultures for 1 day with PHA-P (5 μg/ml), as described above, extracted RNA, and measured the overall expression of anti-HIV-1 genes using our custom-made TLDA. The gene expression levels are intercomparable, allowing the inference of relative expression levels across the surveyed genes. All gene cards (Applied Biosystems) used for quantification are depicted in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

We found that the expression levels of IFITM1, viperin, and TRIM22 were highest among all 34 genes screened (Fig. 2). APOBEC3B, APOBEC3F, and APOBEC3H were the least abundant restriction factors, exhibiting a more than 1-log reduction in expression compared to the other genes. The expression levels of TRIM15 and TRIM31 were below the level of detection (Fig. 2).

To complement our observation that host anti-HIV-1 gene expression is strongly induced by cellular activation, we next examined how the differential levels of gene expression observed between activated donor cells influenced HIV-1 infectivity ex vivo. To test whether the relative levels of expression of anti-HIV-1 factors correlated with HIV-1 infectivity, we performed ex vivo standardized HIV-1 infection assays.

We used the WITO4160 CCR5-tropic transmitted/founder strain of subtype B HIV-1 (40) with a Tat-regulated Renilla luciferase reporter gene (41) to quantify viral infectivity. Virus stocks were generated by transfection of 293T cells. PBMC were IL-2/PHA-P activated for 1 day, as described above, washed, and infected with 5-fold serial dilutions of virus made in quadruplicate for a total of 11 dilutions, in 96-well round-bottom tissue-culture plates. RLU were measured after 6 days of infection (this is sufficient time for multiple rounds of replication to occur) using a ViviRen Renilla luciferase kit (Promega), and the TCID₅₀ was calculated according to the method described in reference 42. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue staining using a Countess cell counter.

We found statistically significant negative correlations between HIV-1 infectivity and the expression of PAF1 ($R = -0.3708, P = 0.0144$), RTF1 ($R = -0.3629, P = 0.0168$) (Fig. 3A),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor name</th>
<th>NCBI Entrez gene description</th>
<th>Key anti-HIV-1 role(s)</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APOBEC3A to -H</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like 3</td>
<td>Hypermutation; lethal mutations in viral DNA; inhibition of reverse transcription; inhibition of integration</td>
<td>2, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM family (11 members)</td>
<td>Tripartite motif family</td>
<td>Targeting of viral capsid; inhibition of viral transcription</td>
<td>21, 22, 23, 24, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BST-2/tetherin</td>
<td>Bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2</td>
<td>Blocks release of enveloped viruses</td>
<td>26, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN1A (P21)</td>
<td>Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)</td>
<td>Blocks reverse transcription; blocks RNA transcription by reducing activity of CDK9</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAF1</td>
<td>Paf1, RNA polymerase II-associated factor 1</td>
<td>Inhibits early events of viral life cycle from reverse transcription to integration</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR9</td>
<td>Ctr9, Paf1/RNA polymerase II complex component</td>
<td>Inhibits early events of viral life cycle from reverse transcription to integration</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTF1</td>
<td>Rtf1, Paf1/RNA polymerase II complex component</td>
<td>Inhibits early events of viral life cycle from reverse transcription to integration</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF2AK2 (PKR)</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 2</td>
<td>Inhibits viral protein translation by protein phosphorylation; promotes innate immune signaling</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERC5</td>
<td>HERC5, HECT domain and RLD domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 5</td>
<td>Blocks early stage of retroviral particle assembly</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFITM family (3 members)</td>
<td>Interferon-induced transmembrane protein</td>
<td>Inhibits cytosolic entry</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifier</td>
<td>Blocks interaction between HIV-1 Gag and Tsg101 (ESCRT-I) required for efficient budding of HIV-1 (ESCRT-IV)</td>
<td>32, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOV10</td>
<td>Mov10, Moloney leukemia virus 10, homolog</td>
<td>Inhibits proteolytic processing of Gag and reverse transcription</td>
<td>34, 35, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNASEL</td>
<td>RNase 2 (2′,5′-oligoadenylyl synthetase dependent)</td>
<td>Cleaves single-stranded RNA in U-rich sequences; activates antiviral innate immunity</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSAD2 (viperin)</td>
<td>Radical S-adenosyl methionine domain containing 2</td>
<td>Inhibits viral production</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMHD1</td>
<td>SAM domain and HD domain 1</td>
<td>Inhibits HIV replication in quiescent CD4⁺ T cells and myeloid cells by regulating cellular dNTP supply</td>
<td>4, 5, 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLFN11</td>
<td>Schlafen family member 11</td>
<td>Inhibits viral protein synthesis</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRIM11 (R = −0.4203, P = 0.0050) (Fig. 3B), TRIM26 (R = −0.3784, P = 0.0124) (Fig. 3B), and BST-2/tetherin (R = −0.3016, P = 0.0494) (Fig. 3C). None of the 34 surveyed antiviral genes exhibited positive correlations with HIV-1 infectivity ex vivo.

In this study, we found that host-encoded antiviral genes are differentially expressed in response to cellular activation. As expected, most of the genes studied were significantly upregulated following mitogen stimulation, while a minority of factors were significantly downregulated. For example, ISG15 and APOBEC3G, which were the two most upregulated antiviral genes following activation, may play pivotal roles in restricting HIV-1 replication in activated cells. Conversely, APOBEC3F and APOBEC3A, whose expression levels were strongly repressed after activation, may play a more important role in restricting infection in quiescent, resting cells. It is curious that activation had divergent effects on the expression levels of APOBEC3F and APOBEC3G, which typically fluctuate in tandem and behave similarly in response to other stimuli (e.g., IFN-α). This discordance reveals that there may be discrete regulatory pathways associated with these APOBEC3 family members that were not apparent under other experimental conditions.

Most antiviral factors are triggered by interferon; in particular, APOBEC3G, APOBEC3F, and BST-2/tetherin have been shown to be strongly induced by IFN-α in vivo (43), so it is possible that our observed upregulation of antiviral genes occurs through an IFN-α pathway boosted by cellular activation.

Although it may seem paradoxical that activation induces antiviral restriction factors and simultaneously renders the cell more supportive of HIV-1 replication, we do not believe that these phenomena are in conflict, and our group has generated ample data supporting the positive correlation of cellular activation and the expression of restriction factors both in vitro and in vivo.

Cellular activation is known to induce a wide range of factors that enhance HIV-1 replication. These include several transcription factors that accelerate viral transactivation, transcription, and production (44, 45). The overall cell-intrinsic susceptibility to HIV-1 infection, therefore, is determined by the net balance between the induction of inhibitory factors and the induction of supportive cellular cofactors and mechanisms. There is little doubt that stimulation increases the overall susceptibility of target cells to HIV-1 infection. However, our work is based on the hypothesis that the relative differences in the expression of restriction factors between activated cells account for differences in the extents of viral infectivity and production between donors observed ex vivo.

Finally, using an ex vivo standardized HIV-1 replication assay, we showed that the increased levels of certain, but not all, antiviral genes correlated with impaired viral replication. The Env-IMC-LucR construct used in our replication assays bears a minimal Nef defect, which may affect viral propagation to some degree. It is also worth noting that this clone encodes functional Vpu and Vif proteins and therefore antagonizes restriction by BST-2/tetherin and members of the APOBEC3 family.

Another consideration is the contribution of other unrelated cellular variables to viral production. Factors such as CD4 receptor and chemokine coreceptor surface expression levels facilitate viral entry and likely contribute to the susceptibility of PBMC to HIV-1 infection; these parameters were not controlled or explicitly examined in our experiments. Correlations with HIV-1 infectivity were analyzed at the level of the individual gene using univariate statistics. As experiments come to be performed on a larger scale, involving cells from hundreds of donors, a multivariate approach may be implemented to simultaneously evaluate the relative effects of restriction genes on HIV-1 replication ex vivo to test the hypothesis that groups of antiviral factors could act in concert to promote resistance to HIV-1. Moreover, the expression profiling of isolated cellular subsets (e.g., CD4+ T cells) and lymphoid-resident cellular populations will enhance our understanding of the relationship between host restriction mechanisms and HIV-1 pathogenesis.

Identifying and characterizing potent host-encoded, cell-intrinsic anti-HIV-1 mechanisms are of critical importance to the
development of novel prophylactic, therapeutic, and curative strategies for HIV-1 infection.
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