Matrix Domain Modulates HIV-1 Gag’s Nucleic Acid Chaperone Activity via Inositol Phosphate Binding
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Retroviruses replicate by reverse transcribing their single-stranded RNA genomes into double-stranded DNA using specific cellular tRNAs to prime cDNA synthesis. In HIV-1, human tRNA_Lys serves as the primer and is packaged into virions during assembly. The viral Gag protein is believed to chaperone tRNA_Lys placement onto the genomic RNA primer binding site; however, the timing and possible regulation of this event are currently unknown. Composed of the matrix (MA), capsid (CA), nucleocapsid (NC), and p6 domains, the multifunctional HIV-1 Gag polyprotein orchestrates the highly coordinated process of virion assembly, but the contribution of these domains to tRNA_Lys annealing is unclear. Here, we show that NC is absolutely essential for annealing and that the MA domain inhibits Gag’s tRNA annealing capability. During assembly, MA specifically interacts with inositol phosphate (IP)-containing lipids in the plasma membrane (PM). Surprisingly, we find that IPs stimulate Gag-facilitated tRNA annealing but do not stimulate annealing in Gag variants lacking the MA domain or containing point mutations involved in PM binding. Moreover, we find that IPs prevent MA from binding to nucleic acids but have little effect on NC or Gag. We propose that Gag binds to RNA either with both NC and MA domains or with NC alone and that MA-IP interactions alter Gag’s binding mode. We propose that MA’s interactions with the PM trigger the switch between these two binding modes and stimulate Gag’s chaperone function, which may be important for the regulation of events such as tRNA primer annealing.

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) virions contain two copies of single-stranded genomic RNA, about 2,000 structural Gag proteins, viral enzymes and accessory proteins, and host factors necessary for productive infection (14). One of these essential factors is human tRNA_Lys, normally used by the ribosome in translation but co-opted by the virus for use as a primer to initiate the reverse transcription of viral genomic RNA (35, 38, 57). The 18 nucleotides (nt) at the 3’ end of tRNA_Lys are complementary to viral genomic RNA at the primer binding site (PBS) found within the highly structured 5’ untranslated region (UTR), and viral chaperone proteins promote tRNA_Lys-PBS annealing. The timing of tRNA primer annealing and whether it is regulated are currently unknown.

Nucleic acid (NA) chaperone proteins facilitate NA folding by destabilizing secondary and tertiary structures and allowing formation of the thermodynamically most favored state (56). Previous in vitro studies have shown HIV-1 nucleocapsid (NC) or NCP7 protein to be a very effective NA chaperone through a combination of destabilization and aggregation activities (16, 39, 58). NC also displays rapid NA binding kinetics, another important characteristic of chaperone proteins (15). NC can efficiently catalyze tRNA_Lys annealing onto the HIV-1 genome PBS (31, 53), as well as other NA refolding events critical to virus replication, such as RNA genome dimerization (37) and minus-strand transfer (39). In viruses lacking active protease, tRNA_Lys annealing to the PBS still occurs although at reduced levels, suggesting that the precursor protein Gag may act as an NA chaperone and facilitate this process in vivo (34). Indeed, GagΔp6, which lacks the C-terminal p6 domain, and other assembly-competent Gag variants can facilitate RNA annealing and genome dimerization in vitro (21, 29, 59, 75).

The Gag polyprotein orchestrates virus assembly, and Gag alone is sufficient for formation of virus-like particles (VLPs), which in rabbit reticulocyte lysate resemble immature virions without membranes (9, 27). Gag consists of the matrix (MA), capsid (CA), spacer peptide 1 (SP1), NC, spacer peptide 2 (SP2), and p6 domains (Fig. 1B), which are proteolyzed into their freestanding mature forms during or after budding (14). The structures of most individual domains have been solved by X-ray crystallography or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy in both free and ligand-bound states (20, 22, 44, 47), but the entire structure of Gag remains elusive (25). Each domain of Gag is multifunctional, serving interaction roles during assembly, structural roles in the mature virion, and facilitative roles during reverse transcription and integration (10, 25, 39). Gag’s myristoylated MA domain interacts with the plasma membrane (PM) (5, 49), and the highly basic NC domain recognizes the φ packaging signal on the genome, thereby specifically selecting unspliced retroviral RNA preferentially over other RNAs in the cell (3, 20, 28, 37). CA facilitates Gag-Gag interactions responsible for oligomerization and particle formation (2, 24, 47) and interacts with assembly cofactors (43), and p6 is important for final budding events involving the cellular export machinery (26, 65).
annealing to the PBS, we characterized the capabilities of WT and variant Gag proteins to bind, aggregate, and destabilize NA helices. Basic regions at both ends of Gag (i.e., MA and NC) have previously been shown to contribute to inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6) binding (19). To establish the contribution of NC and MA to Gag’s chaperone function, a series of domain deletion variants and point mutants were prepared and tested, and the effect of IPs on tRNA annealing and NA interactions was investigated. These studies were also motivated, in part, by previous work showing that HIV-1 MA is capable of binding to and packaging NA via a “basic patch” that plays a known role in PM binding (4, 40, 51, 54). Intermolecular Gag-Gag interactions, which are essential for assembly, could be expected to enhance NA interactions by increasing binding cooperativity. To test this hypothesis, monomeric Gag variants were also examined. Taken together, our results support an essential role for the NC domain in HIV-1 Gag’s chaperone function, which may be modulated by MA domain interaction with NA and IPs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of proteins and nucleic acids.** WT untagged Gagp6 and variant proteins were purified to 90% homogeneity from Escherichia coli using established methods (18). QuickChange (Stratagene) site-directed mutagenesis was used to introduce point mutations and deletions. Unmyristoylated MA (His-tagged) (45), CA (77), and NC (30) were prepared essentially as previously described. During the preparation of MA, polyethyleneimine precipitation followed by ammonium sulfate precipitation was used to remove contaminating NA (7, 42).

A 105-nt RNA construct derived from the PBS region of the HIV-1 genome (ShortPBS RNA) and human tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) were transcribed in vitro according to established protocols (31). \(^{32}P\) radiolabeled tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) for use in annealing assays was transcribed using the same protocol except that a mixture of [\(^{32}P\)GTP] and GTP was used in the transcription mixture. Aminoacylation reactions were performed to assess the activity of tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) prior to use in annealing assays as described previously (62). Two carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-labeled oligonucleotides were used in these studies: 5′-FAM-DNA20, 5′-FAM-CTTCTTGGGAG TGAATTAG-3′ (20-nt DNA), obtained from TriLink Biotechnologies, and 3′-FAM-minihelix\(^{\text{3Lys}}\), 5′-GCCGGGAGACGCUAUCAAGUCCUGCGGGCCCAUCU-FAM-3′ (37-nt RNA), purchased from Dharmacon.

**Annealing assays.** ShortPBS RNA and tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) were folded prior to use according to previous methods (31). Annealing reaction mixtures contained 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM MgCl\(_2\), 20 mM NaCl, 10 nM [\(^{32}P\)]IP6 (Sigma), and 25 nM ShortPBS RNA unless noted otherwise. Mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 10 min and cooled to room temperature prior to the addition of chaperone protein. In single-time-point assays, protein concentrations ranged from 0 to 5 μM, and reaction mixtures were incubated for 120 min. In time course annealing assays, 0.4 μM protein was used, and annealing was allowed to proceed over 120 min at room temperature. In annealing assays with IPs, concentrations were 0.8 μM protein, 2 μM IP (Sigma), or 300 μM (1.45)-inositol trisphosphate (IP3) or dibutanylylphosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2, Echelon Biosciences, Inc.). In all annealing assays, reactions were quenched with 1% SDS (final concentration), phenol-chloroform extractions were performed, and tRNA annealing to the PBS was determined by scintillation counting.

**Sedimentation assays.** Sedimentation assays were performed to monitor the ability of viral proteins to aggregate NA. Conditions were identical to single-time-point annealing assays except that instead of being quenched with SDS, the mixtures were centrifuged at 9,300 × g for 5 min at 4°C in a tabletop centrifuge. The amount of [\(^{32}P\)]labeled tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) remaining in the supernatant was determined by scintillation counting.

**FIG. 1.** (A) Sequence and predicted secondary structure of unmodified human tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) and ShortPBS RNA (HIV-1 NL4-3) used in this work. Shown in red are the complementary sequences that base pair during tRNA annealing. The structure of the PBS region shown here is based upon the model of Wilkinson et al. (74). The minihelix derived from the acceptor-\(\sp{23}P\)C domains of human tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\) is boxed. (B) Schematic showing the full-length HIV-1 Gag protein and Gagp6 variants investigated in this study.

After proteolysis, MA remains bound to the viral membrane, CA forms the conical core, and NC facilitates many steps of reverse transcription (39, 68). Although the myristoyl group of MA allows it to nonspecifically bind to membranes, amino acids 17 to 33 on MA’s surface target assembly to the PM by binding phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP\(_2\)) lipids in the PM inner leaflet (5, 11–13, 50, 60, 66). Inositol phosphates (IPs) such as PIP\(_2\) are necessary cofactors for lipids in the PM inner leaflet (5, 11–13, 50, 60, 66). Inositol phosphates (IPs) such as PIP\(_2\) are necessary cofactors for in vitro assembly of VLPs that resemble wild-type (WT) HIV-1 (8). Decreasing the levels of cellular PIP\(_2\) by overexpressing a PIP\(_2\) specific phosphatase severely reduces virus assembly, which is retargeted to intracellular compartments (50). However, in assembly experiments other IPs tested also formed WT-like VLPs (9).

In this work, we evaluated how each of Gag’s structural domains contributes to its chaperone activity in vitro. In addition to carrying out detailed kinetic studies of tRNA\(^{\text{3Lys}}\)
**RESULTS**

The MA domain of Gag inhibits tRNA\(^{3\text{rd}}\) annealing. The tRNA annealing activity of Gag\(\Delta\text{p6}\) and Gag-derived variant proteins was measured using a gel mobility shift annealing assay as previously described (31). Briefly, unmodified 76-nt human tRNA\(^{3\text{rd}}\) transcript was internally labeled with \(\alpha\text{-}\text{32P}\)GTP and incubated with a 105-nt RNA construct (ShortPBS) derived from the PBS region of the HIV-1 genome (Fig. 1A) in the presence of recombinant HIV-1 proteins. The Gag variants used in this study (Fig. 1B) all lack the C-terminal p6 domain and also lack the N-terminal myristoyl group. Importantly, previous studies have shown that Gag\(\Delta\text{p6}\) (designated wild-type herein) assembles into immature VLPs that mimic authentic immature virions (8–9, 73). Deletion constructs (Gag constructs consisting of CA and NC [CANC], MA-linker-NC, and MA and CA [MACA] domains) were designed to determine the contribution of each of Gag’s domains to its NA chaperone activity. Additionally, variants were tested to understand how the basic character of MA (using Gag\(\Delta\text{p6}\) with the mutations K30N and K32N [Gag\(\Delta\text{p6-3M}\)] and the ability of MA to homodimerize (using WM-Gag\(\Delta\text{p6}\), WM-CANC, and WM-Gag\(\Delta\text{p6-3M}\), where WM represents the CA mutations W316A and M317A) affect its chaperone activity (Fig. 1B). Gag\(\Delta\text{p6-3 M}\) contains the E40R, E42L, N47K point mutations, which impart a more robust NA binding ability to HIV-1 MA (M. Sun, R. Gorelick, L. Mansky, and K. Musier-Forsyth, unpublished results).

Maximal chaperone activity of HIV-1 NC is known to require saturating levels of protein—that is, enough protein to coat the NA substrates. The binding site size of mature NC has been reported to be 5 to 8 nt (39). Thus, the concentration dependence of each Gag variant was first tested using a single-time-point assay in which protein concentration was varied while all other conditions remained constant (Table 1). For proteins lacking the NC domain (MA, CA, and MACA), no annealing was observed over 2 h using up to a 1:6 nucleotide-to-protein ratio. In contrast, for all proteins containing the NC domain, annealing was observed, suggesting that NC is absolutely essential for this activity. For chaperone proteins that did facilitate annealing, these single-time-point assays allowed us to determine each protein’s \(K_{1/2}\) value, i.e., the critical nucleotide-to-protein ratio at which annealing is half maximal (Table 1). A higher \(K_{1/2}\) value indicates that less protein is required to facilitate tRNA annealing. The \(K_{1/2}\) value reflects a chaperone protein’s binding affinity \(K_{d}\) and binding site size, as well as cooperativity. For NC \((K_{1/2} \sim 7.90)\), about four times more protein was required to achieve half-maximal annealing relative to the amount of Gag\(\Delta\text{p6} \ (K_{1/2} \sim 30.2)\), consistent with previous studies comparing the two proteins (59, 75). Gag\(\Delta\text{p6}, \text{CANC} (K_{1/2} \sim 29.9)\), and Gag\(\Delta\text{p6-K30,32N} \ (K_{1/2} \sim 26.7)\) facilitate annealing at lower concent-
MA domain reduces Gag’s tRNA annealing efficiency. In contrast, the more basic GagΔp6-3 M variant has a slightly lower annealing rate (0.021 ± 0.01 min⁻¹), whereas the less basic GagΔp6-K30,32N variant has an enhanced annealing rate (0.14 ± 0.01 min⁻¹).

To test the effect of dimerization on Gag’s chaperone activity, WM mutations were introduced into the GagΔp6, CANC, and GagΔp6-K30,32N variants. Monomeric WM-GagΔp6 protein facilitates tRNA annealing with the same rate as GagΔp6, suggesting that Gag multimerization does not contribute to tRNA annealing efficiency under saturating conditions. However, the monomeric WM-CANC protein shows a significantly reduced annealing rate (0.052 ± 0.01 min⁻¹) relative to CANC. Thus, in the context of CANC, dimerization appears to have a positive effect on tRNA annealing. However, CANC-facilitated tRNA annealing is slower than that of NC, suggesting that the presence of the wild-type CA domain weakens NC’s chaperone activity. Likewise, MA-linker-NC has a higher annealing rate than GagΔp6, supporting the conclusion that the CA domain reduces the chaperone activity of wild-type Gag. The dimerization-deficient WM-GagΔp6-K30,32N shows a somewhat reduced annealing rate (0.101 ± 0.01) relative to GagΔp6-K30,32N, but the effect is relatively small. Taken together, these data suggest that the effect of dimerization on tRNA annealing is highly context dependent. Despite the variable effects of CA dimerization on annealing rate, the single-time-point assays described above suggest that dimerization-competent variants are more efficient on a molar basis (i.e., have higher K1/2 values) than their monomeric counterparts (Table 1), which likely reflects their increased cooperativity.
Inositol phosphates stimulate Gag’s NA chaperone activity.

We next investigated the mechanism by which MA modulates Gag’s interactions with NA. Residues K30 and K32 within the MA domain of Gag have been implicated in binding to IPs \( \textit{in vitro} \) (63), a result that has been corroborated by cell-based assays (50), membrane flotation experiments (12), and liposome-binding assays (4, 12). Since a primary function of MA involves membrane binding to PIP2, we investigated the effect of IPs on the chaperone activity of Gag. For these studies, we used inositol-(1,4,5)-triphosphate (IP3), a compound that resembles the head group of PIP2, as well as inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6). We also tested PIP2-diC4, a soluble analog of PIP2 which has 4-carbon acyl chains in place of the arachidonate and stearate chains in native PIP2.

As shown in Fig. 3A, all IPs tested enhance the ability of Gag to facilitate tRNA annealing. In the presence of 2 \( \mu \)M IP6 or higher concentrations of the less negatively charged IP3 or PIP2-diC4 (300 \( \mu \)M), up to a 13-fold enhancement in tRNA annealing is observed (Fig. 3A). The rate of tRNA annealing by GagΔp6 in the presence of IPs \( (0.470 \pm 0.10 \text{ min}^{-1}) \) is even greater than that of CANC (Table 1). The need for higher concentrations of IP3 and PIP2-diC4 was expected based on \textit{in vitro} assembly assays in which higher concentrations of IP3 were required to correct particle morphology (8). Further studies were conducted only with IP6. We showed that the presence of IP6 does not enhance annealing by NC or CANC, which lack the MA domain (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the observed rate increase is due to IP6-MA interactions. To confirm this hypothesis, the rate of annealing of GagΔp6-K30,32N was also tested in the absence and presence of IP6. The rate of annealing by this variant was also unaffected by IP6 addition (Fig. 3B).

As the annealing reactions described above were performed in 20 mM NaCl, the effect of IPs on Gag-facilitated tRNA annealing were also tested in the presence of physiological salt conditions (i.e., 150 mM NaCl). Under these conditions, GagΔp6 annealing is also stimulated by IP6 addition (Fig. 3A, inset) although \( \sim 10 \)-fold more IP6 was required to achieve a similar rate enhancement. This likely reflects the electrostatic nature of MA-IP6 interactions.

Dissecting the mechanism of IP stimulation of Gag annealing. NA aggregation, destabilization, and rapid binding kinet-
ics are three important properties of NA chaperone proteins such as NC. We hypothesized that IP6 stimulates Gag-facilitated tRNA annealing by enhancing one or more of these activities.

A sedimentation assay was used to assess NA aggregation (71). In this assay, $^{32}$P-labeled tRNA$^{35}$ is incubated with GagΔp6 or NC, which leads to the formation of NA-protein aggregates that can be pelleted by centrifugation at speeds (9,300 $\times$ g) that do not pellet free protein or NA. This assay has been previously used to assess aggregation activity of a variety of retroviral NC proteins (55, 64, 69–71). GagΔp6 and NC aggregate NA with similar efficiencies (Fig. 4A), and IP6 does not affect Gag’s ability to aggregate NA (Fig. 4B).

A time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (tr-FRET) assay was used to assess destabilization. In this assay, a DNA hairpin complementary to the HIV-1 genome’s transactivation response region (TAR) RNA is labeled at the 3’ and 5’ ends with a fluorescent dye and quencher, respectively (55). This assay was previously used to characterize duplex destabilization activity of retroviral chaperone proteins (32, 55) by directly monitoring the end-to-end distance of the hairpin stem. The advantage of tr-FRET is that it allows the distribution of different populations of molecules to be observed (i.e., open, semiopen, and closed) in the absence and presence of chaperone protein. By monitoring the most open population as a function of protein concentration, we find that GagΔp6 is able to effectively destabilize the TAR hairpin and that the presence of IP6 does not affect this capability (Fig. 4C).

NA binding of Gag variants was next investigated using fluorescence anisotropy (FA) (Fig. 5). For these studies, binding to a 20-mer single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) oligonucleotide and a 37-nt tRNA$^{35}$-derived acceptor-TΨC stem minihelix was measured (Fig. 1A, boxed). In the case of MA, addition of protein was accompanied by a significant decrease in fluorescence intensity, making the use of FA less reliable. Therefore, in this case, the change in fluorescence intensity was used to determine the apparent binding affinity (Fig. 5C).

In accord with its duplex destabilizing activity, NC preferentially binds single-stranded over double-stranded NA (39, 64). As expected, tighter binding to the ssDNA 20-mer ($K_D$ of $\sim$30 nM) relative to the minihelix$^{35}$ (30 nM) is observed (Table 1). In contrast, MA binds both substrates with similar affinities, with no preference for ssDNA ($K_D$ of $\sim$400 nM). All Gag variants containing NC bind strongly and with comparable affinities to both substrates ($K_D$ of $\sim$35 to 100 nM), irrespective of the presence of the MA domain. Although binding of Gag variants containing both NC and MA domains is predicted to be stronger than for variants containing only NC, the relatively short NA sequences used in the FA binding studies may not support simultaneous binding of both domains to a single substrate. The only exception is the MA-linker-NC construct, which binds with substantially greater affinity ($K_D$ of 12 to 15 nM), most likely reflecting this protein’s increased flexibility compared to GagΔp6. Taken together, these results confirm that Gag interacts with NA most strongly with its NC domain but that the MA domain also binds NA with moderate affinity.

To determine whether IP6 alters the NA binding properties of NC and MA, competition assays were performed. In these experiments, the proteins were prebound to minihelix$^{35}$, and the complexes were titrated with IP6. As shown in Fig. 5D, the fraction of MA-bound complexes decreases with increasing IP6, suggesting that MA is readily competed off the NA (75%) (Fig. 5D). Similar competition studies performed with free NC or GagΔp6 showed that these NA-protein complexes are only partially displaced with increasing IP6 concentration (20%) (Fig. 5D). These findings demonstrate that $\sim$2 μM IP6 is sufficient to compete with NA for binding to the MA domain of Gag, but even a 10-fold higher concentration of IP6 cannot effectively compete off the NC domain. Using these competition curves and the $K_D$ of MA binding to the minihelix,
we estimate that the $K_d$ of MA binding to IP6 is 245 ± 60 nM (see Materials and Methods).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we show that on a molar basis GagΔp6 is a better NA chaperone than NC, facilitating annealing at about 4-fold lower concentrations, albeit at a 10-fold reduced rate relative to NC. Inositol phosphates (IPs) stimulate GagΔp6-facilitated tRNA\textsubscript{3Lys} annealing to the PBS to a level that approaches that of NC. IP6-independent enhancement in annealing also occurs if the MA domain is deleted from Gag or if positively charged residues known to interact with IPs (e.g., K30 and K32) are neutralized. Furthermore, IPs compete very effectively for NA binding to MA but not NC, a finding in accordance with recent studies showing that MA bound preferentially to PIP\textsubscript{2}-containing liposomes in the presence of NA (4, 13). The HIV-1 MA domain alone shows little or no chaperone activity (Table 1), but MA binds NA with moderate affinity, and mutations affecting the basic character of MA modulate Gag’s chaperone activity (i.e., GagΔp6-3 M and GagΔp6-K30,32N). Taken together, these findings suggest that Gag binds to NA with both NC and MA domains and that this binding mode is reflected in reduced chaperone activity.

The conformation of HIV-1 Gag in solution has been measured by hydrodynamic techniques and small-angle neutron scattering (17). Gag was found to be flexible, with a distribution of conformations, including conformers in which the MA and NC domains are closer than would be expected for a completely extended protein (17). Moreover, IPs have been shown to bind to Gag at both ends in solution, possibly stabilizing the folded conformation (19). In the immature virion, Gag is extended (23) and has thus been proposed to undergo a large conformational change during the process of assembly (4, 17, 19). Previously, it was observed that mixing RNA and GagΔp6 \textit{in vitro} leads to the formation of small, aberrant VLPs 25 to 30 nm in diameter (9). Interestingly, adding IPs to this mixture results in a change in the morphology of VLPs assem-

FIG. 5. Fluorescence measurements to monitor NC (A), GagΔp6 (B), and MA (C) binding to minihelix\textsuperscript{3Lys} with average binding affinities ($K_d$) from at least three trials indicated. For NC and GagΔp6, changes in the fluorescence anisotropy were fit to a 1:1 binding curve as described previously (60). For MA, the change in fluorescence intensity (i.e., quenching) upon binding was fit to a similar binding model described in Materials and Methods. (D) Competition binding assay wherein 20 nM 3’-FAM-labeled minihelix\textsuperscript{3Lys} was preincubated with 200 nM NC, 200 nM GagΔp6, or 1 µM MA followed by titration with IP6. Fluorescence anisotropy (NC and GagΔp6) or intensity (MA) was normalized to the fraction bound.
bled in vitro—i.e., the formation of 100- to 120-nm-diameter WT particles resembling immature HIV-1 virions (8). However, a GagΔp6 variant lacking residues 16 to 99 of MA, which includes the PIP2 binding site, does not require IPs for WT VLP formation. These findings are in agreement with the present study and other evidence (4, 17, 52) suggesting that Gag may adopt the MA/NC-bound state in the presence of NA.

An IP-induced conformational change within Gag could account for the enhancement in Gag’s chaperone activity although additional experiments are needed to test this possibility. An alternative explanation is that IPs prevent MA-NA interactions without inducing a conformational change upon binding to MA. Future studies using biophysical techniques to directly observe Gag’s conformation in the presence of IPs and NA are planned. In either case, the enhanced chaperone activity of Gag in the presence of IP6 may be due to more effective NA coating by the NC domain. Alternatively, the increase may be due to a subter rearrangement of NC-NA interactions resulting in more efficient chaperone activity.

High GagΔp6 concentrations (3 μM; 1:1 nucleotide-to-Gag ratio) do not stimulate the tRNA annealing rate (Fig. 2). This was surprising since NC binds NA with a higher affinity than MA (Table 1), and one might expect that the NC domains of excess GagΔp6 might compete out the MA domain of bound GagΔp6 molecules, thereby favoring the NC-bound state. Whereas high Gag concentration evidently cannot drive this change in vitro, expression of large amounts of Gag in cells (i.e., in the presence of PIP2 or other factors) leads to an enrichment of Gag on membranes (52).

MA may interact with RNA for a variety of reasons, such as to prevent premature assembly in the cytoplasm or on inappropriate membranes (4, 13), to prevent premature myristoyl exposure (52, 60, 66), or to act along with NC in viral RNA selection and packaging (51, 72). CANC and GagΔp6-K30,32N show elevated tRNA annealing rates, which appear to be correlated with the fact that neither protein has an MA domain capable of interacting with NA as effectively as GagΔp6. Moreover, the GagΔp6-M variant binds with higher affinity to NA and is a less efficient chaperone than WT GagΔp6 (Table 1). It is important to note that all of the Gag variants studied here lack the p6 domain for technical reasons. Whether the acidic nature of p6 diminishes the affinity of the C terminus of Gag for NA remains to be established.

NC facilitates tRNA annealing ~105-fold over the background rate (31), whereas the rate of GagΔp6-facilitated annealing is at least 10-fold lower. No differences in aggregation and duplex destabilization were observed for the Gag variants tested here (Fig. 4). Given the NA binding affinity of MA (Table 1), the difference in binding modes is likely responsible for Gag’s weaker chaperone activity. Without NA binding, an NA chaperone cannot act on its substrate, but for Gag, additional binding contributed by its MA domain limits its chaperone activity. This finding is not without precedent as mutations that reduce the StPNA NA chaperone protein’s binding affinity increase its overall chaperone activity (46).

Recent structure-probing analysis of the HIV-1 genome has found that the RNA structure differs between NC-bound and unbound states (74). Although the structure of the genome in the presence of Gag is unknown, it is possible that a more reverse transcription-competent tRNA/genome binary complex is achieved by the NC protein only after proteolysis of the Gag precursor (1). In accordance with this idea, a recent report suggests that Gag-annealed tRNA is not as stable as NC-annealed tRNA (29). This finding may be explained by Gag’s preference to bind NA with both its MA and NC domains, resulting in a less stably annealed tRNA structure relative to an NC-annealed complex.

Our findings suggest that prior to PM binding, MA-NA interactions may prevent Gag from prematurely annealing tRNA’s. Recent single-virion fluorescence experiments support a model in which relatively few Gag molecules traffic with the RNA genome to the site of assembly on the PM (36). These Gag molecules are likely clustered around the 5’ of the genome (36). Regulation of annealing may benefit the virus by preventing premature reverse transcription (33, 67, 75) or cleavage by Dicer (76). Inhibition of Gag’s chaperone activity during assembly may also serve to regulate RNA remodeling of the 5’UTR (1) and modulate interactions with RNA binding proteins such as RNA helicase A (6). Studies are under way to test these possibilities.

In cells, PIP2-Gag interaction preferentially targets Gag to the PM rather than other cellular membranes, and it has been hypothesized that this interaction may even target Gag to lipid rafts (61). Our new findings suggest that PIP2 interaction may also activate Gag’s chaperone activity. However, the interaction between HIV-1 MA and PIP2 is relatively weak in vitro, especially compared to more highly phosphorylated IPs such as inositol pentakisphosphate (IP5) and IP6 (6, 61). For example, in the present study, 150 times more PIP2 than IP6 was required to enhance Gag’s chaperone activity. In cells, IP6 is present as a structural cofactor in ADAR2 (41) and as a nuclear mRNA export signal synthesized from PI(4,5)P2 (48). It is unclear what role, if any, IP5 or IP6 may play in HIV-1 biology, but our results suggest that MA-IP interactions in the cytosol could, in principle, modulate Gag-NA interactions prior to membrane binding.
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