Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II Transactivator CIITA Is a Viral Restriction Factor That Targets Human T-Cell Lymphotropic Virus Type 1 Tax-1 Function and Inhibits Viral Replication
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Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) is the causative agent of an aggressive malignancy of CD4 T lymphocytes. Since the viral transactivator Tax-1 is a major player in T-cell transformation, targeting Tax-1 protein is regarded as a possible strategy to arrest viral replication and to counteract neoplastic transformation. We demonstrate that CIITA, the master regulator of major histocompatibility complex class II gene transcription, inhibits HTLV-1 replication by blocking the transactivating function of Tax-1 both when exogenously transfected in 293T cells and when endogenously expressed by a subset of U937 promonocytic cells. Tax-1 and CIITA physically interact in vivo via the first 108 amino acids of Tax-1 and two CIITA adjacent regions (amino acids 1 to 252 and 253 to 410). Interestingly, only CIITA 1-252 mediated Tax-1 inhibition, in agreement with the fact that CIITA residues from positions 64 to 124 were required to block Tax-1 transactivation. CIITA inhibitory action on Tax-1 correlated with the nuclear localization of CIITA and was independent of the transcription factor NF-YB, previously involved in CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-2 of HTLV-2. Instead, CIITA severely impaired the physical and functional interaction of Tax-1 with the cellular coactivators p300/CBP-associated factor (PCAF), cyclic AMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB), and activating transcription factor 1 (ATF1), which are required for the optimal activation of HTLV-1 promoter. Accordingly, the overexpression of PCAF, CREB, and ATF1 restored Tax-1-dependent transactivation of the viral long-terminal-repeat promoter inhibited by CIITA. These findings strongly support our original observation that CIITA, beside increasing the antigen-presenting function for pathogen antigens, acts as an endogenous restriction factor against human retroviruses by blocking virus replication and spreading.

Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1), the first discovered human oncogenic retrovirus (40), infects approximately 15 to 20 million people around the world and is endemic in Japan, South America, Africa, and the Caribbean (34). HTLV-1-infected individuals are life-long virus carriers but, while the vast majority of them remain clinically asymptomatic, some (2 to 5%) develop an aggressive malignancy of T cells, designated adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATLL) (53). HTLV-1 infection is also associated with chronic inflammatory diseases involving the nervous system (HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis [HAM/TSP]), the eyes, the lungs, or the skeletal muscles (51). Likewise, HTLV-2, a closely related retrovirus, has been associated with HAM/TSP-like diseases, but its association with lymphoproliferative disorders has not been clearly proven (27, 33, 41).

Recently, two new members of the HTLV family have been identified, HTLV-3 and HTLV-4, but for them no specific association with human diseases has been reported as yet (6, 52).

ATLL pathogenesis is not completely understood, but it clearly involves the viral protein Tax-1, which modulates the expression of cellular genes and deregulates cell signaling processes that are implicated in cellular proliferation, cell death, and cell cycle control (13, 19, 36). Because of these pleiotropic effects, Tax-1 has a central role in the transformation of T cells (17). Moreover, the lower pathogenicity of HTLV-2 virus compared to that of HTLV-1 has been hypothesized as dependent from reduced oncogenic potential of its transactivator, Tax-2, with respect to Tax-1 (see reference 11 and references therein).

In addition to its deregulatory action on the homeostasis of the host cell, Tax-1 has a crucial role in viral replication. It interacts with the cyclic AMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB) and activating transcription factor 1 (ATF1), bound to enhancer elements located in the proviral long-terminal repeat (LTR) (16, 32) and coordinates the assembly on the promoter of basal transcription factors, elongation transcription factors, and chromatin-modifying enzymes, including the histone acetyltransferases (HATs) p300, CREB-binding protein (CBP), and p300/CBP-associated factor (PCAF), to activate transcription of the viral genes (5, 20, 21, 28, 32). Interestingly, PCAF interacts directly with Tax-1 and, differ-
ently from CBP/p300, stimulates Tax-1 transactivation in a HAT-independent manner (15, 25).

The AIR-1-encoded major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II) transactivator CIITA (1, 46) is a transcriptional factor that regulates the expression of MHC-II genes, whose products are cell surface molecules that play a pivotal role in the triggering of the adaptive immune response by presenting antigenic peptides to CD4+ T cells. In the same way as Tax-1, CIITA integrates multiple events of the transcriptional process and, thus, specific antigen presentation, CIITA interacts with many of the cellular factors (i.e., HATs) engaged by CIITA and HTLV transactivators, we have found that CIITA may inhibit Tax-2 function via interaction with the common binding factor NF-Y (49, 50). Thus, the idea has been proposed that CIITA inhibits HTLV-2 Tax-2 transactivation and consequently impaired of HTLV-1 replication, and we define the minimal function for HTLV-1. We show that CIITA inhibits Tax-1-directed transactivation of the viral LTR, causing a strong impairment of HTLV-1 LTR promoter linked to the firefly luciferase gene was generated from the plIcat vector (58) by PCR with the primers S-5'-GAGGACGAGGTCAATGACCATGAGCCCACA and AS-5'-GACGCTCGAGAAACAAAAACGCGAGCGC and by ligation into MluI-digested pGL2 firefly luciferase reporter vector (Promega). pCMV-Tax1 was previously described (44). The open reading frames of Tax-1 1-353 and the truncated forms 1-145, 1-250, and 109-353 were amplified by PCR from pJFE Tax-1 (22) and cloned into pcDNA6.2/N GFP Topo (Invitrogen) in frame with the V5 tag at the C terminus. The GFP open reading frame was eliminated by Xhol digestion. PCR constructs made by PCR were verified by sequencing.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plasmids.** pcCIITA1-124 and pcCIITA1-1310 were generated from pcfCIITA1-1130 (49) by PCR with the primers S-5'-GAGGAGGGATACAAATTGCGGAC and AS-5'-GACGCTCGAGAAACAAAAACGCGAGCGC and by ligation into MluI-digested pGL2 firefly luciferase reporter vector (Promega). pCMV-Tax1 was previously described (44). The open reading frames of Tax-1 1-353 and the truncated forms 1-145, 1-250, and 109-353 were amplified by PCR from pJFE Tax-1 (22) and cloned into pcDNA6.2/N GFP Topo (Invitrogen) in frame with the V5 tag at the C terminus. The GFP open reading frame was eliminated by Xhol digestion. PCR constructs made by PCR were verified by sequencing.

**Cells and stable transfections.** COS and human embryonic kidney 293T cells were grown in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 5 mM l-glutamine. Promonocytic U937 isogenic cell clones 10 and 34 were previously described (4, 14, 26). HLA-II-negative U937 clone 10 cells were transfected by electroporation with 5 pg of pcCIITA1-1130 by using a GenePulser II apparatus (Bio-Rad) at 1000 V and 250 mF. Transfected U937 clone 10 cells were selected and cultivated in RPMI supplemented with 10% FCS, 5 mM l-glutamine, and 1 mg of Geneticin-sulfate (Sigma)/ml. HLA-II-positive cells were purified by fluorescence-activated cell sorting with a BD FACS ARIA II cell sorter (Becton Dickinson).

**Transient transfections, Luciferase assay, and Western blotting.** COS and 293T cells were seeded in 35-mm-diameter plates and transfected with 0.15 pg of reporter plasmid pGL1-Luc, 12.5 ng of pcCIITA1, and increasing amounts of effector plasmid DNA, as indicated in the corresponding figure legends, using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). All of the transfections were carried out in the presence of 5 ng of phRL-CMV expressing the Renilla luciferase. Empty pcDNA3 vector was used as a stuffer DNA to maintain constant the total amount of transfected DNA. Cell extracts were prepared 24 h posttransfection and assayed for luciferase activities by using a dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Mean luciferase values, normalized to the Renilla values, of at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate are expressed as percentages of Tax-1-dependent luciferase activity set to 100%. Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD). Statistically significant values (P < 0.05) were assessed with a one-tailed Student t test. Cell lysates were analyzed for the expression of recombinant proteins by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with the following antibodies: anti-Flag (M2; Sigma) to detect CIITA proteins and p53, anti-Myc (9E10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) to detect myc-tagged NF-YB, anti-p300 (N15; Santa Cruz Bio-technology) to detect p300, and anti-turboGFP (Origene) to detect GFP-tagged CREB and ATFI. Endogenous α-tubulin was detected by using anti-α-tubulin monoclonal antibody (TS168; Sigma). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin secondary antibodies (Amersham) were used. Blots were developed by chemiluminescence assay (Immune-Star HRP substrate; Bio-Rad).

**Subcellular localization of CIITA and CIITA deletion fragments.** COS cells transfected with pEGFP-CIITAs chimera plasmids were grown on microscope slides. At 24 h posttransfection, the cells were rinsed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline. At 24 h posttransfection, the cells were rinsed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline.
saline (PBS), mounted on coverslips, and immediately observed with a Nikon-Eclipse 80i fluorescence microscope (>40 original magnification). Where indicated, the cells were incubated with 20 nM leptominycin B (LMB; Sigma) for the last 3.5 h of transfection. LMB-treated and methanol-treated (negative control) cells were fixed with methanol, mounted with mounting medium (Fluor Save Reagent; Calbiochem), visualized with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (objective lenses, HCX PL APO; ×63 original magnification; numerical aperture, 1.25), and imported into LAS AF software. The GFP tag at the N terminus does not affect either the transcriptional activity and the subcellular distribution of CIITA with respect to untagged protein (49).

**Subcellular CIITA and Tax-1 colocalization studies.** Human 293T cells seeded on glass coverslips were transfected with the expression vector for either pEGFP-ICITA, Tax-1-V5, or both expression vectors by Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). After 24 h, the cells were fixed with cold methanol, washed three times with 1× PBS, and blocked for 1 h with 1× PBS containing 0.5% gelatin (Bio-Rad) and 0.5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma). The cells were stained overnight with monoclonal anti-V5 antibody (Invitrogen) at 4°C and then washed five times with 0.5% PBS. F(ab̄)2 goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen) was used as a secondary antibody. After five washes, the samples were mounted with Fluor Save reagent (Calbiochem) and analyzed with the Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope using a ×63 objective lens with a 438-nm light source to detect GFP-ICITA (green) and a 543-nm light source wavelength to detect Tax-1-V5 (red).

**Immunoprecipitation.** For protein binding studies, 293T cells were seeded in 100-mm diameter plates and transfected with 2.5 μg of the expression vector of each interacting protein. Empty pcDNA3 vector was used as a stuffer DNA. Coinmunoprecipitations were carried out as previously described (48). For myc-NF-YB and Tax-1-V5 pulldown, we used the anti-myc A14 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and the anti-V5 (Invitrogen) monoclonal antibody, respectively, and protein A-Sepharose beads. To precipitate flag-tagged proteins, we used the anti-flag M2 agarose beads (Sigma). Precipitated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blotting with specific antibodies. To detect untagged Tax-1, we used the supernatant of the anti-Tax-1 hybridoma (clone 168A51-2) from the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. We analyzed 8% of the precleared cell lysate for the expression of recombinant proteins by Western blotting with specific antibodies (input). Tax-1 activity was assayed 48 h after transfection using the luciferase reporter pLTR1-Luc, 5 ng of phRL-CMV, and 2 μg of the expression vector for Tax-1, alone or together with pACH. At 48 h posttransfection, the cell extracts were analyzed for luciferase activity, and cell culture supernatants were assayed for the presence of viral p19 antigen by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with the HTLV p19 antigen ELISA kit (ZeptoMetrix). The concentration of p19 was determined by interpolation from a standard curve. Cell pellets were lysed, and extracts were analyzed for luciferase activity and the expression of recombinant proteins by Western blotting. The values of three independent experiments performed in triplicate were calculated as the mean luciferase/Renilla ratio ± SD and are expressed as percentages relative to the luciferase activity produced by virus-derived Tax-1 set to 100%

**RESULTS**

**CIITA inhibits Tax-1-dependent activation of HTLV-1 LTR promoter in the nucleus.** To examine whether CIITA inhibits the transcriptional activity of HTLV-1 Tax-1, we cotransfected COS cells with the HTLV-1 LTR luciferase reporter construct and the expression vector for Tax-1, alone or together with increasing amounts of a plasmid coding for CIITA full-length. At 24 h posttransfection, the cells were lysed, and cell lysates were assessed for Tax-1-dependent luciferase activity. We found that full-length CIITA does not significantly affect basal promoter activity (Fig. 1A, column 3 versus column 1). In contrast, CIITA repressed Tax-1 transactivation in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A, columns 4 to 6 versus column 2).

Superimposable results were obtained in 293T human cells (see Fig. 3B).

In order to define the region of CIITA that mediates this inhibitory effect, N-terminal or C-terminal truncations of CIITA were also tested for their ability to inhibit Tax-1-dependent transactivation. The N-terminal I-252 fragment inhibited Tax-1 transactivation, whereas the complementary C-terminal 253-1130 region exerted only a modest inhibition at the highest doses (Fig. 1A, columns 7 to 9 and columns 10 to 12 versus column 2). Western blot analyses revealed that the inability of CIITA253-1130 to interfere with Tax-1 function was not due to its lower expression levels compared to CIITA wild-type or the other CIITA truncated molecules (Fig. 1A, WB:a flag).

To further restrict the region involved in Tax-1 inhibition, we tested three additional N-terminal CIITA fragments (1-124, 26-200, and 64-200). All three molecules strongly inhibited Tax-1 activity (Fig. 1A, columns 13 to 21 versus column 2), indicating that the common region 64-124 of CIITA is minimally required to block the function of the viral transactivator (Fig. 1C, black box). Accordingly, a CIITA fragment spanning amino acids 253 to 410 did not inhibit Tax-1-directed LTR activation (Fig. 1A, columns 22 to 24 versus column 2). In contrast, CIITA Δ253-410, carrying an in-frame deletion of residues from positions 253 to 410 and maintaining all of the functional domains of CIITA, except the domain mediating the phosphorylation-dependent dimerization of CIITA (48), inhibited Tax-1 activity (Fig. 1A, columns 25 to 27 versus column 2).

Taken together, these results indicate that CIITA inhibits Tax-1-directed transactivation of HTLV-1 promoter independently of its dimerization and that 60 amino acids at the N terminus of the molecule are necessary to mediate this inhibitory effect. It is noteworthy that the CIITA wild type and all of the other Tax-1-inhibiting fragments of CIITA show a predominant, if not exclusive, nuclear accumulation (Fig. 1Ba, b, d, e, and f), with the exception of CIITAΔ253-410, which is cytoplasmic (Fig. 1Bb). The noninhibiting fragments CIITAΔ253-1130 and CIITAΔ253-410 are, respectively, localized in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1Bc) or diffusely distributed in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 1Bg). This observation raised the possibility that CIITA might affect Tax-1 transactivation by acting at the cytoplasmic level as well. Alternatively, CIITAΔ253-410 could temporarily localize and interfere with Tax-1 in the nucleus. To verify the second possibility, we assessed whether the cytoplasmic localization of CIITAΔ253-410 was due to a decreased nuclear import or to an increased nuclear export. To distinguish between these two scenarios, we examined the effect of LMB, a specific inhibitor of CRM1/exportin-mediated nuclear export, on the localization of CIITAΔ253-410. If the deletion of the dimerization domain simply abrogates nuclear import, LMB should have no effect. Conversely, if the deletion affects nuclear export, LMB treatment would cause the accumulation of CIITAΔ253-410 in the nucleus. Indeed, CIITAΔ253-410 showed a strong but not complete nuclear localization following LMB treatment (Fig. 2d versus 2c). On the contrary, CIITAΔ253-1130, which did not inhibit Tax-1, did not respond to LMB and remained cytoplasmic (Fig. 2f versus 2e). Moreover, LMB treatment determined the complete accumulation of CIITA wild-type in the nucleus (Fig. 2b versus 2a), confirming...
ing previous reports showing that CIITA is a shuttling protein exiting the nucleus via a CRM1-dependent mechanism (9, 31).

We conclude that the deletion of the dimerization domain does not inhibit nuclear import of CIITA, but rather facilitates its nuclear export. Therefore, CIITA transiently localizes in the nucleus, and this may explain its capacity to impair the transcription function of Tax-1.

The cellular transcription factor NF-YB interacts with Tax-1 but does not alter the transcription function of the viral transactivator. We have previously shown that Tax-2-directed HTLV-2 LTR activation is suppressed by the overexpression of NF-YB, a subunit of the trimeric NF-Y complex, and we have hypothesized a possible involvement of NF-Y in CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-2 (49). On the basis of these findings, we sought to determine whether NF-YB could exert a similar negative effect on Tax-1 activity. Because a physical interaction between Tax-1 and NF-YB was previously reported (39), and this interaction might interfere with Tax-1 transactivation, we first assessed whether the two factors interact in vivo. Expression vectors for Tax-1 and myc epitope-tagged NF-YB (mNF-YB) were cotransfected in 293T cells. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibody and examined for the presence of the viral transactivator by anti-Tax-1 Western blotting. Tax-1 was indeed detected in the immunoprecipitates when coexpressed with mNF-YB but not when expressed with pcDNA3 (Fig. 3A, IP:a myc, WB:aTax-1, lane 1 versus lane 2).
To examine whether NF-YB interferes with Tax-1-dependent transcriptional activation of the HTLV-1 LTR promoter, 293T cells were cotransfected with increasing amounts of mNF-YB and fixed amounts of the reporter construct HTLV-1 LTR-luciferase and Tax-1 expression vector. Increasing amounts of CIITA plasmid were transfected as a positive control of Tax-1 inhibition. Overexpression of NF-YB did not cause any decrease in Tax-1-dependent luciferase activity (Fig. 3B, columns 6 to 8 versus column 2). These findings confirm that NF-YB interacts with Tax-1 in vivo, but this interaction does not affect Tax-1-dependent HTLV-1 LTR activation.

**CIITA inhibits HTLV-1 replication by inhibiting Tax-1 function.** The observed inhibition of Tax-1 transactivation by CIITA, prompted us to investigate whether this suppressive effect correlates with the inhibition of HTLV-1 virus expression. In order to do this, we took advantage of a viral expression system in human 293T cells that up on transfection with the HTLV-1 proviral clone pACH (29) become permissive to virus replication and sustain the production of viral particles that are released in the cell culture supernatant. 293T cells were transiently transfected with pACH vector alone or together with the expression vectors for either CIITA wild type, CIITAΔ253-410, or NF-YB. To detect the activity of virus-derived Tax-1 transactivator, the pLTR1-Luc reporter plasmid was also cotransfected. As shown in Fig. 4A, the expression of CIITA wild-type and CIITAΔ253-410 caused up to 10- and 20-fold reduction of Tax-1 transactivation, respectively (white columns versus black column). In contrast, overexpression of NF-YB did not affect Tax-1-mediated activation of the viral LTR promoter (hatched columns versus black column). These findings confirm that full-length CIITA and CIITAΔ253-410, but not NF-YB, inhibit the transcriptional activity of virus-derived Tax-1.

To demonstrate that CIITA renders 293T cells refractory to HTLV-1 replication by targeting Tax-1, we measured by ELISA the viral p19 antigen in the supernatants of the same cell cultures analyzed for the luciferase activities. The amounts of p19 were dramatically reduced in the supernatants of cells expressing wild-type CIITA and below the detection limit of our assay in cells transfected with the highest dose of CIITAΔ253-410 (Fig. 4B, white columns versus black column). The expression of exogenous NF-YB, instead, did not affect the production of p19, whose levels were comparable to those measured in the supernatant of cells expressing the virus alone (Fig. 4B, hatched columns versus black column).

In order to establish whether the replication of HTLV-1 is inhibited also in cells that express physiologic levels of CIITA, we cotransfected the pACH vector and the pLTR1-Luc reporter plasmid in two previously described U937 promonocytic cell clones, one HLA-II positive and the other HLA-II negative (4, 14, 26). Accordingly, we showed that HLA-II-positive cells (clone 34) express endogenous CIITA protein, whereas HLA-II-negative cells (clone 10) do not (data not shown). By measuring both the luciferase activity and the p19 production, we demonstrated that HTLV-1 expression was significantly inhibited in CIITA-positive U937 clone 34 cells with respect to CIITA-negative U937 clone 10 cells (Fig. 4C and D, column 4 versus column 2). To further confirm that this distinct behavior of the two cell clones was indeed due to their differential expression of CIITA, we established an U937 clone 10-fCIITA transfectant stably expressing exogenous CIITA. It is significant that, upon the expression of CIITA, U937 clone 10 cells became relatively refractory to HTLV-1 replication (Fig. 4C and D, column 6 versus column 2). Thus, we conclude that endogenously expressed CIITA, by targeting the transcriptional activator Tax-1, inhibits HTLV-1 virus expression.

**CIITA interacts with Tax-1 in vivo.** To further detail the molecular mechanisms of CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-1 function, we assessed whether CIITA interacts with the viral...
transactivator. To test this hypothesis, Tax-1 and flag-tagged CIITAs were coexpressed in 293T cells. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-flag antibody, and CIITA-bound proteins were assessed for the presence of Tax-1 by Western blotting. Tax-1 coprecipitated with CIITA (Fig. 5A, IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1, lane 2). To map the region of CIITA mediating this interaction, we performed the pulldown experiments with two N-terminal fragments (1-252 and 1-321) and two C-terminal fragments (253-1130 and 748-1130) of CIITA, each one coexpressed in cells with Tax-1. The two N-terminal fragments and the C-terminal 253-1130 fragment of CIITA interacted with Tax-1 (Fig. 5A, IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1, lanes 5 and 6 and lane 4, respectively). A very weak interaction, if any, was observed with CIITA748-1130 (Fig. 5A, IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1, lane 7). We also detected an interaction between Tax-1 and CIITA253-410 (Fig. 5A, IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1, lane 3), indicating that the C-terminal 253-1130 fragment of CIITA binds to Tax-1 at least through this stretch of amino acids. Tax-1 is not precipitated by the anti-flag antibody when expressed alone (Fig. 5A, IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1, lane 1) in 293T cells. We conclude that CIITA binds specifically to Tax-1 via two non-overlapping regions from positions 1 to 252 and from positions 253 to 410 (Fig. 5B, hatched and black boxes, respectively).

To define the region of Tax-1 mediating the binding to CIITA, we cotransfected in 293T cells the expression vectors for CIITA and either one of two N-terminal or one C-terminal fragments of Tax-1. The capacity of these deletion mutants to interact with CIITA was then analyzed by pulldown experiments and compared to that of full-length Tax-1. As shown in Fig. 5C, CIITA interacted with Tax-1(1-145) and Tax-1(1-250) even better, on a stoichiometric basis (input, WB:a V5), than with Tax-1 full-length (IP:a V5, WB:a flag, lanes 2 and 3 versus lane 1). In contrast, the C-terminal 109-353 fragment of Tax-1 did not interact repeatedly with CIITA (IP:a V5, WB:a flag, lane 4), indicating that the overlapping 109-145 region is likely dispensable for the binding to CIITA. We therefore conclude that the first 108 amino acids of Tax-1 (Fig. 5D, hatched box) are necessary for the interaction with CIITA.

To further investigate CIITA–Tax-1 physical interaction at the subcellular level, we performed confocal microscopy analysis in 293T cells cotransfected with the two transactivators. The results showed that CIITA and Tax-1 are both present in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm of 293T cells when singularly expressed (Fig. 5Ed and e, respectively). When coexpressed (Fig. 5Ec and b), they colocalize in both compartments (Fig. 5Ec, merge image).

CIITA inhibits the functional and physical interaction between Tax-1 and PCAF. Tax-1 and CIITA use common cellular coactivators to direct transcription from their target promoters, HTLV-1 LTR and MHC-II, respectively. In particular, they both physically and functionally interact with the HATs p300, CBP, and PCAF (20, 21, 25, 30, 45). Moreover, the squelching of the cofactors described above is the primary mechanism by which CIITA suppresses the transcription of cellular genes (43, 54).

In order to determine whether a similar mechanism is responsible for CIITA-mediated suppression of Tax-1 transactivation, we verified whether the overexpression of PCAF or p300 could reverse the inhibitory action of CIITA. Increasing amounts of expression vectors for PCAF or p300 were cotransfected in COS cells with the HTLV-1 LTR-luciferase reporter construct and with a fixed inhibitory amount of CIITA plasmid. Overexpression of PCAF, but not p300, rescued in a dose-dependent manner the transcriptional activity of Tax-1 inhib-
CIITA inhibits the functional interaction between Tax-1 and CREB/ATF1. As outlined above, LTR transactivation by Tax-1
requires the assembly of a multiprotein complex containing CBP/p300/PCAF, as well as CREB/ATF1. Since the region in Tax-1 targeted by CIITA is also involved in binding the transcription factors CREB and ATF1, additional experiments were performed to determine whether CIITA affects this functional interaction. COS cells were cotransfected with plasmid expressing Tax-1 and increasing amounts of plasmids coding for flag-tagged PCAF (A) or p300 (B) in the absence (white columns 4 and 5) or the presence (hatched columns 7 and 8) of a fixed amount of plasmid coding for flag-tagged PCAF (Panel A; 1.6 μg) or p300 (1.6 μg) in panels A and B, respectively, in the absence of Tax-1. Error bars represent the SD. Student’s t test analysis was performed, and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant (*). Recombinant flag-CIITA (fCIITA), flag-PCAF (fPCAF), and p300 proteins were detected in cell extracts by Western blotting with anti-flag and anti-p300 antibodies. (C) 293T cells were transfected with Tax-1, myc-CIITA (mCIITA), and flag-PCAF (fPCAF) expression vectors in different combinations as indicated. Anti-flag agarose bead-precipitated proteins were analyzed for the presence of Tax-1 and CIITA by immunoblotting with anti-Tax-1 (IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1) and anti-myc (IP:a flag, WB:a myc) antibodies, respectively. Eight percent of the precleared cell lysates was analyzed for the expression of recombinant proteins by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies (input, WB:a Tax-1, WB:a myc; WB:a flag).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that CIITA, the master regulator of MHC-II gene expression, inhibits HTLV-1 replication by targeting the LTR-dependent transcriptional activating function of the viral transactivator Tax-1. This inhibitory effect was observed with exogenously expressed CIITA but, more importantly, also with endogenous CIITA expressed in HLA-II-positive promonocytic U937 cells. This result is particularly relevant because it indicates that physiologic amounts of CIITA might inhibit HTLV-1 expression in antigen-presenting cells of the promonocytic/monocytic cell lineage, which is

![FIG. 6. The overexpression of PCAF, but not p300, counteracts CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-1 transactivation, and CIITA affects Tax-1–PCAF interaction. COS cells were cotransfected with fixed amounts of pLTR1-Luc, phRL-CMV, and pTax-1 and with increasing amounts of plasmid coding for flag-tagged PCAF (A) or p300 (B) in the absence (white columns 4 and 5) or the presence (hatched columns 7 and 8) of a fixed amount of plasmid coding for flag-tagged PCAF. Column 6 in panels A and B represents the percentage inhibition of Tax-1 activity by 0.4 μg of plasmid coding for flag-tagged PCAF (Panel A; 1.6 μg) or p300 (1.6 μg) in panels A and B, respectively, in the absence of Tax-1. Error bars represent the SD. Student’s t test analysis was performed, and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant (*). Recombinant flag-CIITA (fCIITA), flag-PCAF (fPCAF), and p300 proteins were detected in cell extracts by Western blotting with anti-flag and anti-p300 antibodies. (C) 293T cells were transfected with Tax-1, myc-CIITA (mCIITA), and flag-PCAF (fPCAF) expression vectors in different combinations as indicated. Anti-flag agarose bead-precipitated proteins were analyzed for the presence of Tax-1 and CIITA by immunoblotting with anti-Tax-1 (IP:a flag, WB:a Tax-1) and anti-myc (IP:a flag, WB:a myc) antibodies, respectively. Eight percent of the precleared cell lysates was analyzed for the expression of recombinant proteins by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies (input, WB:a Tax-1, WB:a myc; WB:a flag).](http://jvi.asm.org/)

![FIG. 7. Overexpression of CREB or ATF1 counteracts CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-1 transactivation. COS cells were cotransfected with fixed amounts of pLTR1-Luc, phRL-CMV, and pTax-1 and with increasing amounts of plasmid coding for GFP-tagged CREB (A) or GFP-tagged ATF1 (B) in the absence (white columns 4 and 5) or the presence (hatched columns 7 and 8) of a fixed amount of plasmid coding for GFP-tagged CREB (Panel A; 0.2 μg) or GFP-tagged ATF1 (0.2 μg) (Panel B, respectively, in the absence of Tax-1. Error bars represent the SD. Recombinant flag-CIITA (fCIITA), GFP-CREB (CREB), and ATF1-GFP (ATF1) proteins were detected in cell extracts by Western blotting with anti-flag (for CIITA) and anti-tGFP (for CREB and ATF1) antibodies.)
known to be a potential target of HTLV-1 infection (23). Interestingly, the CIITA-positive U937 clone 34 and the CIITA-negative U937 clone 10 used in the present study have been mainly characterized for their efficient or inefficient capacity of supporting HIV-1 replication, as recently reviewed (26). U937 clone 34 belongs to the subset of so-called “minus” or “nonpermissive” cell clones for HIV-1 replication (4, 14). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that its transcriptional and epigenetic setup is geared toward resistance to retroviral infection or replication, unlike what observed in the counterpart of “plus/permis sive” cell clones, such as the CIITA-negative U937 clone 10. The potential interplay between CIITA and other candidate restriction factors for HIV-1 and HTLV in this clonal model is under investigation. A functional mapping of CIITA showed that a short stretch of 60 amino acids from positions 64 to 124 is the minimal region required to inhibit Tax-1 function. Indeed, all CIITA fragments lacking this domain do not affect Tax-1 transactivation, irrespective of their subcellular localization. Full-length CIITA and the N-terminal fragments inhibiting Tax-1 activity are predominantly localized in the nucleus, an observation compatible with CIITA inhibiting the latest steps of transcriptional activation of the viral promoter in the nucleus. A noticeable exception to this rule was found with CIITAΔ253–410, which has the internal deletion of amino acids from positions 253 to 410, a predominant cytoplasmic localization, and yet inhibits Tax-1 transactivation and HTLV-1 replication. This finding suggested two alternative, but not exclusive, hypotheses: (i) CIITAΔ253–410 suppresses Tax-1 transactivation by acting in the cytoplasm, for example, by trapping Tax-1 or a cellular factor crucial for Tax-1 transcription activity, and (ii) CIITAΔ253–410 transiently localizes in the nucleus and there inhibits Tax-1 function. Relevant to the second possibility, it must be mentioned that CIITA shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, and many regions mediating nuclear import and export have been identified within the protein, although their real contribution to CIITA subcellular localization is difficult to decipher (9, 31). In order to dissect out these two possibilities, we incubated cells expressing CIITAΔ253–410 with LMB, an inhibitor of CRM1/ exportin-mediated nuclear export, and observed that this deletion mutant relocated to the nucleus. This result is consistent with previous studies defining CRM1-binding nuclear export regions in CIITA (31) and supports the possibility that the temporary expression of cytoplasmic CIITA in the nucleus is sufficient to inhibit Tax-1. Furthermore, since CIITAΔ253–410 has lost the intrinsic capacity of CIITA to form phosphorylation-dependent homodimers (48) and, as shown here, stable nuclear retention, our results imply that dimerization regulates the retention of CIITA in the nucleus, possibly masking nuclear export regions from the export machinery. It will be important to verify whether cytoplasmic CIITA mutants that contain the inhibitory region 64–124, but are insensitive to LMB, retain the capacity to inhibit Tax-1 transcription function. Because we cannot exclude that parallel mechanisms operating in the cytoplasm might contribute to CIITA-mediated abrogation of Tax-1 transactivation, and on the basis of the results presented here showing that CIITA and Tax-1 interact in vivo (see discussion below) and colocalize both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm, future investigations will assess the subcellular localization of Tax-1 in the presence of cytoplasmic mutants of CIITA.

In searching for the molecular mechanisms at the basis of CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-1 transactivation, we first focused on the transcription factor NF-YB, whose involvement in the inhibition of Tax-2 by CIITA was previously hypothesized (49). We confirm here that NF-YB interacts with Tax-1 in vivo, but in contrast to the effect on Tax-2, NF-YB did not inhibit the transcription function of Tax-1 and the replication of HTLV-1 virus. These findings establish a crucial difference in the molecular mechanism by which the two retroviruses are affected by CIITA. The reasons of this different behavior, as well as the functional consequences of Tax-1–NF-YB interaction on the activities of the viral transactivator beyond its transcriptional activation of the LTR promoter, are currently under investigation.

We also focused on the possible interaction between CIITA and Tax-1. We found, for the first time, that CIITA, a transcriptional integrator exquisitely dedicated to the transcription activation of a very specific genetic system, the MHC-II gene complex, interacts in vivo with a human oncogenic retrovirus-specific gene product, the Tax-1 transactivator. We found that the region of Tax-1 required to bind CIITA spans the N-terminal amino acids 1 to 108. Two regions of CIITA mediate this interaction. The N-terminal region 1-252 mediates both the binding to Tax-1 and the functional inhibition of the viral transactivator, whereas the adjacent region 253–410 binds to Tax-1 without affecting its transactivation capacity. In the context of full-length CIITA, the two regions may form a single Tax-1-interacting surface, but only the presence of residues 64 to 124 associates this interaction with the inhibition of Tax-1. The fact that CIITA may interact with a factor via two distinct regions is not unprecedented, since it has been previously shown for CIITA-p300 interaction (43).

Tax-1 interacts with a multitude of cellular factors, including transcriptional activators and repressors, basal transcription factors, chromatin-modifying enzymes, and transcription elongation factors, to modulate the expression of viral and host genes. All of these proteins, forming the so-called Tax-1 interactome (5), are general factors involved in many activation and/or repression transcription pathways. Remarkably, many of the above Tax-1-interacting cellular coactivators, such as the HATs p300, CBP, and PCAF, are used also by CIITA to activate the transcription of MHC-II promoter (20, 21, 25, 30, 45).

Importantly, we show here that overexpression of PCAF, but not of p300, counteracts the inhibitory action of CIITA on Tax-1, restoring full activity of the viral transactivator. Moreover, we demonstrate that CIITA decreases the in vivo binding of Tax-1 to PCAF. In addition, we show that two other important transcription factors, CREB and ATF1, required for the assembly of the functional complex necessary for Tax-1 activation of HTLV-1 LTR promoter, counteract the inhibitory action of CIITA on Tax-1.

Thus, it is likely that CIITA may suppress Tax-1 transcriptional activity by inhibiting the physical and functional interaction between the viral transactivator and crucial components of the multiprotein complex whose assembly is required for Tax-1 transactivating function. This could take place in two ways that are not mutually exclusive: CIITA may sequester
Tax-1 that could no longer form and/or participate to the assembly of the multiprotein complex required for the HTLV-1 LTR transactivation, and/or CIITA may sequester crucial transcription factors that would no longer be available to interact with Tax-1.

Regarding PCAF, CIITA might inhibit its recruitment to the transcriptional complex on the viral LTR promoter simply by sequestering it. Alternatively, CIITA by interacting with Tax-1 may simply prevent the binding between PCAF and the viral transactivator. On the basis of the finding reported here that the N-terminal 1-108 Tax-1 region is required for the interaction with CIITA and on previous findings indicating the C-terminal part of Tax-1 as the region mediating the interaction with PCAF (25), it is likely that, if CIITA and PCAF compete for the binding to Tax-1, they do not compete for the binding to the same surface of the viral transactivator. Rather, the binding of CIITA to the N-terminal part of Tax-1 might alter the conformation of the viral transactivator, and this might affect the binding of PCAF to the C-terminal region of Tax-1.

In addition to its relevance for the biology of HTLV-1 infection, this observation underlines an additional difference between HTLV-1 and HTLV-2, since PCAF does not seem to be involved in CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-2 function and HTLV-2 replication (49).

The N-terminal region of Tax-1 that binds CIITA engages also CREB and ATF1 (5). Although an interaction between CIITA and CREB has been described (10), no direct interaction between CIITA and ATF1 has been reported. Thus, the hypothesis of the sequestration by CIITA of Tax-1, which no longer would be available to interact with the above crucial transcription factors, should be considered the most likely possibility for factors such as ATF1.

Future studies will assess whether CIITA-Tax-1 interaction can prevent other cellular transcription factors to bind to Tax-1 and whether Tax-1 bound to CIITA is still recruited to the viral LTR. Most importantly, future investigation will help to clarify whether the newly found function of CIITA on Tax-1 and viral replication can affect the oncogenic potential of the HTLV-1 retrovirus. Within this frame, it will be important to assess the involvement of CIITA in the Tax-1-mediated activation of the NF-κB pathway, which is believed to be a critical step in the inactivation of the viral transactivator. On the basis of the findings reported here that the N-terminal 1-108 Tax-1 region is required for the interaction with CIITA and on previous findings indicating the C-terminal part of Tax-1 as the region mediating the interaction with PCAF (25), it is likely that, if CIITA and PCAF compete for the binding to Tax-1, they do not compete for the binding to the same surface of the viral transactivator. Rather, the binding of CIITA to the N-terminal part of Tax-1 might alter the conformation of the viral transactivator, and this might affect the binding of PCAF to the C-terminal region of Tax-1.

In addition to its relevance for the biology of HTLV-1 infection, this observation underlines an additional difference between HTLV-1 and HTLV-2, since PCAF does not seem to be involved in CIITA-mediated inhibition of Tax-2 function and HTLV-2 replication (49).

The N-terminal region of Tax-1 that binds CIITA engages also CREB and ATF1 (5). Although an interaction between CIITA and CREB has been described (10), no direct interaction between CIITA and ATF1 has been reported. Thus, the hypothesis of the sequestration by CIITA of Tax-1, which no longer would be available to interact with the above crucial transcription factors, should be considered the most likely possibility for factors such as ATF1.

Future studies will assess whether CIITA-Tax-1 interaction can prevent other cellular transcription factors to bind to Tax-1 and whether Tax-1 bound to CIITA is still recruited to the viral LTR. Most importantly, future investigation will help to clarify whether the newly found function of CIITA on Tax-1 and viral replication can affect the oncogenic potential of the HTLV-1 retrovirus. Within this frame, it will be important to assess the involvement of CIITA in the Tax-1-mediated activation of the NF-κB pathway, which is believed to be a critical step in the induction of the viral transactivator by HTLV-1. Indeed, NF-κB is constitutively activated in HTLV-1-infected cells expressing Tax-1 and in ATL cells despite they often lack detectable Tax-1 expression (19, 36). This finding not only underscores the importance of NF-κB in HTLV-1-mediated T-cell transactivation but also implies that transition from Tax-1-dependent to Tax-1-independent NF-κB activation is occurring during the multistep process of leukemogenesis.

The results of this investigation, together with the previously reported inhibition of two other human retroviruses, HIV-1 and HTLV-2 (2, 3, 50), unambiguously demonstrate that CIITA has evolved as a general defense mechanism of the host against retroviruses not only because it activates the adaptive immune response against the infectious agents but also because of its intrinsic capacity to act as an endogenous viral restriction factor. The different molecular mechanisms through which CIITA mediates its viral restriction function emphasize the functional adaptation and plasticity of this molecule to serve its manifold host-intrinsic protecting activities. Together with its functional requirement on MHC-II expression, these findings make CIITA a unique example of molecule bridging directly adaptive and intrinsic immunity during evolution.
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