Recognition of Cap Structure by Influenza B Virus RNA Polymerase
Is Less Dependent on the Methyl Residue than Recognition by
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The cap-dependent endonuclease activity of the influenza virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase cleaves host mRNAs to produce capped RNA fragments for primers to initiate viral mRNA synthesis. The influenza A virus (FluA) cap-dependent endonuclease preferentially recognizes the cap1 structure (m7GpppNm). However, little is known about the substrate specificity of the influenza B virus (FluB) endonuclease. Here, we determined the substrate specificity of the FluB polymerase using purified viral RNPs and 32P-labeled polyribonucleotides containing a variety of cap structures (m7GpppGm, m7GpppG, and GpppG). We found that the FluA polymerase cleaves m7G-capped RNAs preferentially. In contrast, the FluB polymerase could efficiently cleave not only m7G-capped RNAs but also unmethylated GpppG-RNAs. To identify a key amino acid(s) related to the cap recognition specificity of the PB2 subunit, the transcription activity of FluB polymerases containing mutated cap-binding domains was examined by use of a minireplicon assay system. In the case of FluA PB2, Phe323, His357, and Phe404, which stack the m7GTP, and Glu361 and Lys376, which make hydrogen bonds with a guanine base, were essential for the transcription activity. In contrast, in the case of FluB PB2, the stacking interaction of Trp359 with a guanine base and putative hydrogen bonds using Gln325 and Gln363 were enough for the result for the cap-binding activity, we propose that the cap recognition pocket of FluB PB2 does not have the specificity for m7G-cap structures and thus is more flexible to accept various cap structures than FluA PB2.

Influenza A virus (FluA) and influenza B virus (FluB) belong to the family of Orthomyxoviridae. The genomes of FluA and FluB are composed of a set of eight segments of RNA (vRNA) of negative polarity. vRNA is complexed with nucleoprotein (NP) and associated with the RNA polymerase to form viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP) complex. vRNP is an essential unit for both transcription and replication (9). In transcription, the RNA polymerase catalyzes not only RNA polymerization and polyadenylation of m7G-RNA but also cleavage of host mRNAs to generate capped RNA fragments. The RNA polymerase is composed of one molecule each of three viral proteins, PB1, PB2, and PA. PB1 plays central roles in both RNA polymerase assembly (27, 31) and RNA polymerization (6). It contains the conserved motifs characteristic of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases and is directly involved in RNA chain elongation (1, 2). It binds to 5′- and 3′-terminal sequences of vRNA and cRNA (cRNA to vRNA), which are conserved in all segments and act as cis-acting elements for the viral RNA synthesis. PB2 is required for transcription and binds to the cap structures of host mRNAs. Recently, the structural features of the cap-binding site in FluA PB2 and the FluA PB1-PB2 contact site have been determined by functional studies and crystallography (12, 31). PA is involved in not only virus genome replication but also transcription as an endonuclease for generation of primers for RNA synthesis (8, 10, 13, 19, 36). It is also reported that PA is important for the polymerase assembly (19). The structure of the PB1-PA contact site has also been determined crystallographically (14, 27).

The FluA polymerase exhibits a cap-dependent endonuclease activity, which cleaves host mRNAs to produce capped RNA fragments with lengths of 11 to 13 nucleotides (nt). The resulting capped RNA fragment serves as a primer to initiate viral mRNA synthesis. It is well known that in the case of the FluA polymerase, eukaryotic mRNAs containing m7G(5′)ppp(5′)Nm (cap1) and m7G(5′)ppp(5′)Nmp(5′)Nm (cap2) structures stimulate in vitro viral RNA transcription strongly (4, 5, 29). Removal of m7G of the cap from mRNA eliminates the priming activity, and naturally occurring uncapped mRNAs do not prime transcription (5, 29). In addition, the presence of methyl groups in the cap is required for the priming activity; reovirus mRNAs with 5′-terminal GpppG are inactive as primers (3). It has also been demonstrated that each of the two methyl groups in the cap1 structure, the 7-methyl residue of guanine and the 2′-O-methyl on the ribose of guanosine, strongly influences the capped RNA-primed transcription activity (4).

Biochemical and structural studies revealed the functional structures of the cap-binding proteins, including FluA PB2 (12), human translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) (33, 34),
human nuclear cap-binding protein 20 (CBP20) (23), and vaccinia virus (nucleoside-2′-O′)-methyltransferase (VP39) (16). The overall structures of these four cap-binding proteins differ widely due to their evolutionarily unrelated origins, but the cap-binding pockets form a common structure and preferentially bind to the 7-methylated cap structure. These cap-binding proteins hardly bind to the unmethylated cap structure.

Most of our knowledge on the transcription mechanism of the influenza virus genome has been derived from studies on the FluA polymerase, whereas little is known about the FluB polymerase. It is reported that α-amanitin, a potent inhibitor for the host cell RNA polymerase II, inhibits influenza virus transcription, suggesting that eukaryotic mRNAs containing the cap structure are essential for influenza virus transcription (21). Using α-amanitin, we found that the growth of FluB is more sensitive to the amount of cellular mRNA than that of FluA (data not shown). To elucidate the transcription initiation mechanism of the FluB polymerase, we tried to determine the specificity of cap recognition by the FluB polymerase. First, we compared the substrate specificities of FluA and FluB polymerases using purified vRNPs and various capped RNA substrates (m7GpppG-, m7GpppG-, and GpppG-RNA) and found that the FluB polymerase efficiently cleaves not only m7G-capped RNAs but also unmethylated GpppG-RNA, whereas the FluA polymerase cleaves m7G-capped RNAs specifically. We then tried to identify key amino acids related to the cap recognition of FluB PB2. In order to examine the transcription activity using mutated PB2 proteins, we utilized FluA and FluB minireplicon assay systems using a virus polymerase-dependent reporter gene (17, 35). The minireplicon system has been utilized for a number of functional analyses of cis-acting elements with the viral genome and trans-acting viral factors (10, 35). The reporter gene contains a coding region flanked by each viral 5′ and 3′ untranslated region (UTR), which function as promoters, and therefore mimics an influenza virus genomic segment. Using this assay system, we identified the important amino acids required for the cap recognition by the FluB polymerase by referencing functionally important amino acids in the FluA polymerase (12).

Based on the findings using the assay systems, we propose that the FluB polymerase possesses a novel cap recognition mechanism, which is different not only from the FluA polymerase but also from well-known cap-binding proteins. These findings could be important to develop novel anti-influenza virus drugs targeting the cap recognition and cleavage reaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological materials. Monolayer cultures of 293T and MDCK cells were maintained at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) and minimal essential medium (MEM) (Nissui), respectively, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Cell Culture Technologies). Influenza virus A/Panama/2007/99 (A/PA99) and B/Shanghai/516/2002 (B/Sh/02) were kindly supplied by Y. Suzuki and T. Gotanda (Kitasato Institute, Research Center for Biologicals, Saitama, Japan). Vaccinia virus capping enzyme and recombinant human mRNA (guanine-7′)-methyltransferase (rhMTase) were prepared according to a previously described procedure (28).

Cloning of cDNAs for viral RNA polymerase subunits and nucleoprotein cDNAs. For construction of mammalian expression vectors for influenza virus polymerase subunits (PB1, PB2, and PA) and nucleoprotein (NP), cDNAs corresponding to the full-length PB1, PB2 with a FLAG tag at its C terminus (PB2cFLAG), PA, and NP were amplified by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) from vRNAs of influenza virus A/PA/99 and B/Sh/02 as templates using the following sets of phosphorylated primers (see Table S1 in the supplemental material): A-PB1-FOR and A-PB1-REV for FluA-PB1, A-PB2-FOR and A-PB2-cFLAG-REV for FluA-PB2cFLAG, A-PA-FOR and A-PA-REV for FluA-PA, A-NP-FOR and A-NP-REV for FluA-NP, B-PB1-FOR and B-PB1-REV for FluB-PB1, B-PB2-FOR and B-PB2-cFLAG-REV for FluB-PB2cFLAG, B-PA-FOR and B-PA-REV for FluB-PA, and B-NP-REV for FluB-NP. The PCR products were then cloned into the EcoRV site of pCAGGS-PB1 (7), resulting in construction of pCAGGS-Panama-PB1, pCAGGS-Panama-PB2cFLAG, pCAGGS-Panama-PA, pCAGGS-Panama-NP, pCAGGS-Shanghai-PB1, pCAGGS-Shanghai-PB2cFLAG, pCAGGS-Shanghai-PA, and pCAGGS-Shanghai-NP. cDNAs for PB2 mutants were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis using the primer sets for FluA-PB2cFLAG and FluB-PB2cFLAG and mutant primer sets (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). The PB2 mutant genes have been fully sequenced by standard methods (35).

Preparation of influenza virus vRNPs. To prepare vRNPs, we first purified influenza virus virions at 30°C for 60 min with a disruption buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM diethiothreitol (DTT), 0.5% Triton X-100, and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol according to a method described previously (32). The sample was then directly subjected to centrifugation at a 30 to 60% (wt/vol) linear gradient of glycerol on a 7-methylated RNA capping enzyme, which has guanylyltransferase, guanine-7-methyltransferase, and capping substrate. To synthesize m7GpppG-RNA, m7GpppGm-RNA, and GpppG-RNA, 0.4 pmol of m7GpppG-RNA was incubated at 37°C for 2 h in the presence of 0.5 μM S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet). After the reaction, capped RNA was analyzed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on a polyethyleneimine (PEI)-cellulose plate (PEI-CEL UV254; Macherey-Nagel) (28). The reaction product was then extracted with phenol-chloroform, ethanol precipitated, and used as a capping substrate. To synthesize m7GpppGm-RNA and GpppG-RNA, 50 pmol of mpppG-RNA was incubated at 37°C for 2 h in the presence of 15 mg/ml of rhMTase and 0.4 pmol of [32P]GTP (800 cpm/fmol) and an appropriate amount of purified vaccinia virus capping enzyme, which has guanylyltransferase, guanine-7-methyltransferase, and ribose-2′-O′-methyltransferase activities, in a reaction mixture (50 μl) containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 2 mM MgCl2, 40 mM NaCl, and 20 mM DTT in the presence or absence of 150 μM S-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet). After the reaction, capped RNA was analyzed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on a polyethyleneimine (PEI)-cellulose plate (PEI-CEL UV254; Macherey-Nagel) with 0.1 M LiCl and visualized by autoradiography.

In vitro capped RNA cleavage and RNA elongation reactions. The determination of FluB cap-dependent nucleoside activity and the subsequent RNA elongation reaction were carried out in a reaction mixture (25 μl) containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 0.1 mM ammonium acetate, 5 mM MgCl2, 2.5 mM DTT, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 8 U of RNasin, 3 to 5 fmol of each 32P-capped RNA (800 cpm/fmol), and an appropriate amount of purified vRNPs without or with ATP, UTP, GTP, or CTP. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30°C for 2 h, and then RNA products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing 6 M urea. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried, and RNAs were visualized by autoradiography. The amount of synthesized RNA was measured with a liquid scintillation counter (LS6000IC; Beckman). The endo-nuclease activity was represented as a ratio of the amount of cleaved RNAs to that of total capped RNAs, and the RNA elongation efficiency was represented as a ratio of the amount of transcripts to that of total capped RNAs.

Cap-binding assay. UV cross-linking was carried out to measure the cap-binding activity of viral RNA polymerases. A reaction mixture (12 μl) containing...
Quantitative RT-PCR was performed. Total RNA was extracted from transfected commercially available reagents (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol for the omission of the PB2 expression plasmid. After transfection, the cells were harvested and homogenized in a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9], 100 mM NaCl, 30 mM KCl, and 0.1% Nonidet P-40) containing differently methylated cap structures, such as m^7GpppG, m^7GpppG, and GpppG, as described in Materials and Methods) and thin-layer chromatography on a PEI-cellulose plate as described above, and the amount of [3^2P]-labeled capped RNA was measured with a liquid scintillation counter.

Results

In vitro capped RNA cleavage reaction and subsequent RNA elongation reaction. The FluA polymerase requires the cap1 structure (m^7GpppNm) stringently for transcription (4). In contrast, little is known about the requirement for the cap structure of the FluB polymerase. Thus, we first examined the efficiency of the capped RNA cleavage reaction and subsequent RNA elongation reaction by FluA and FluB polymerases using cap1 RNA (m^7GpppGm-RNA). The cap1 RNA labeled with [3^2P] in the cap structure was incubated with purified RNA polymerase complexes (100 ng of PB1 equivalents) with phenol-chloroform and ethanol precipitated with 20 μg of carrier tRNA. After treatment with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIAP), which removes free phosphate groups, periodate oxidation under mild conditions followed by β-elimination with aniline was carried out to remove 5’-terminal m^3G from capped RNA, generating RNA with 5’-triphosphate, which is the substrate for vaccinia virus capping enzyme, as described previously (4, 11). The RNA was then recapped using vaccinia virus capping enzyme with [3^2P]GTP as described in the previous section. To measure the amount of [3^2P]-labeled capped RNA, the RNA was digested with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase (TAP) (Sigma) at 37°C for 1 h in a buffer containing 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.5), 5 mM EDTA, and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The reaction product was analyzed by thin-layer chromatography on a PEI-cellulose plate as described above, and the amount of [3^2P]Gm was measured with a liquid scintillation counter.
had the expected cap structure. Using these RNAs as substrates, we carried out in vitro capped RNA cleavage and subsequent RNA elongation reactions with FluA or FluB vRNPs. As expected, FluA vRNP specifically cleaved both m’GpppGm-RNA and m’GpppG-RNA, although the latter was less efficiently cleaved (Fig. 2B, lanes 2, 5, and 8, and D). The m’GpppGm-RNA fragments were most successfully elongated into viral mRNAs (Fig. 2C, lane 2, and E). In contrast, FluB vRNP could cleave GpppG-RNA efficiently in addition to the m’GpppGm-RNA and m’GpppG-RNA (Fig. 2B, lanes 3, 6, and 9, and D). It is noteworthy that m’GpppGm-RNA fragments also served as an efficient primer for chain elongation, as is the case for the FluA polymerase (Fig. 2C, lane 3, and E). Moreover, we carried out UV cross-linking assays using RNA primers containing various cap structures (Fig. 2F).

Interestingly, the cap-binding activity was detected just using m’GpppGm-RNA with both FluA and FluB vRNPs. These results indicate that the guanine-7-methyl residue is a key for stable cap binding of both FluA and FluB polymerases. It is also indicated that the cap-binding activity is strictly related to the elongation efficiency but not to the cleavage reaction. It is presently unknown why the binding of GpppG and m’GpppG was not detected under the conditions employed, while m’GpppG-RNA was recognized and cleaved by both FluA and FluB polymerases and GpppG-RNA was by the FluB polymerase. Since m’GpppG-RNA and GpppG-RNA were not effective for elongation, the cleavage of these cap structures would be abortive for transcription, possibly due to improper recognition.

Identification of key amino acids involved in the cap recognition specificity of the PB2 subunit of the FluB polymerase.

To clarify the cap recognition mechanism, we focused our structure-related functional studies on the interaction between the cap1 structure and the PB2 subunit, which has the cap-binding domain. It is quite likely that amino acid residues essential for cap binding are conserved between FluA and FluB (Fig. 3A). Three-dimensional (3D) structural studies (12) revealed that in the FluA PB2 cap-binding domain (Fig. 3B), Phe404 and His357 sandwich the methylated guanine and Phe323 stacks on the ribose of m’GTP. Glu361 makes hydrogen bonds with the N1 and N2 positions of guanine, and Lys376 also makes a hydrogen bond with position O6 of guanine. Computer-associated modeling could make the FluB PB2 cap-binding domain fit on the FluA PB2 cap-binding domain (Fig. 3C). In the model of the FluB cap-binding domain, 2 amino acids, Gln325 and Trp359, are different from Phe323 and His357 of the FluA cap-binding domain, respectively.

To determine key amino acids related to the cap recognition specificity, the transcription activity was measured using a minireplicon assay system. In this assay system, we have used a transient-transfection system with a viral genome, in which the coding region for a viral gene is replaced with a luciferase reporter gene while cis-acting regulatory regions (24) remain intact (35). The cellular RNA polymerase I produces a negative-sense luciferase RNA sandwiched with viral terminal sequences. Luciferase mRNA is synthesized by transcription of the negative-sense RNA with the viral RNA polymerase and NP and subjected to translation. This system has been used to measure the transcription activity of the Flu polymerase (20, 22).

In the case of FluA PB2, His357, with which methylated guanine is stacked, could be replaced by other aromatic residues such as Trp and Phe, while Phe404, which is also involved in stacking methylated guanine, could not be replaced (Fig. 4A). Leu could not substitute for either His357 and Phe404. On the other hand, in the case of FluB PB2, Trp359 could be replaced with other aromatic residues (but with less efficiency than for the FluA polymerase), but Phe406 could be replaced with hydrophobic residues such as Tyr and Leu (Fig. 4B). To confirm the importance of the hydrogen bonds with methylated guanine, Glu361 and Lys376 in FluA PB2 and Glu363 and Lys378 in FluB PB2 were replaced with alanine (Ala). Ala substitutions in FluA PB2 abolished the transcription activity,
while Ala substitution for Lys378 of FluB PB2 caused only a small decrease in the transcription activity (Fig. 4C and D). These results suggest that the stacking interaction of His357 and Phe404 and the hydrogen bonds of Glu361 and Lys376 with methylated guanine are essential for cap recognition by the FluA polymerase. This is in good agreement with a previous report (12). In contrast, it is suggested that the stacking interaction of Trp359 and the hydrogen bonds of Glu363 with methylated guanine are sufficient for cap recognition by the FluB polymerase. These results indicate that the mechanism for recognition of methylated guanine by the FluB polymerase could be different from that for the FluA polymerase. It is also speculated that the cap-binding pocket of the FluB polymerase may be more flexible or less stringent than that of the FluA polymerase in recognition of various cap structures, since Phe406 of FluB PB2 is changeable with other amino acids.

Phe323 in FluA PB2 stacks on the ribose of m^7GTP and was essential for cap recognition (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental

FIG. 2. Specificity of recognition of cap structures by Flu polymerases. (A) Analysis of 5'-terminal cap structures of RNAs. T7 RNA polymerase-synthesized RNAs were treated with nuclease P1 and analyzed by TLC (PEI-CEL, 0.65 M LiCl), and radioactive nucleotides were detected by autoradiography. (B and C) In vitro capped RNA cleavage (B) and RNA elongation (C) reactions were performed with 600 ng of FluA (lanes 2, 5, and 8) or FluB (lanes 3, 6, and 9) vRNP using 2 fmol of variously methylated capped RNAs (m^7GpppGm-RNA, lanes 1 to 3; m^7GpppG-RNA, lanes 4 to 6; GpppG-RNA, lanes 7 to 9). RNA products were analyzed by 15% PAGE containing 8 M urea. The input capped RNAs (33 nt), the cleaved capped RNA products, and the elongated products are indicated as a closed triangle, open triangles, and a black bar, respectively, at the right. (D and E) Ratios of cleaved RNA products (D) and RNA transcripts (E) to total input primer RNAs. (F) Cap-binding activity for variously methylated capped RNAs. UV cross-linking was performed using 50, 100, and 200 ng of FluA (upper panel) and FluB (lower panel) vRNP and 50 fmol of variously methylated capped RNAs (GpppG-RNA, lanes 1 to 3; m^7GpppG-RNA, lanes 4 to 6; m^7GpppGm-RNA, lanes 7 to 9).
However, it is likely that Gln325 in FluB PB2, which is located in the same position of Phe323 in FluA PB2, makes a hydrogen bond with the ribose of m7GTP. We speculated that FluB PB2 recognizes the cap structure in a flexible pocket as discussed above, so that the hydrogen bonds made by Gln325 and Glu363 could be more crucial for cap binding than those in FluA PB2. In addition, there could be an appropriate amino acid in the amino acid combination between amino acid positions 325 and 363 in FluB PB2 in order to keep the flexible pocket. To confirm this prediction, the transcription activities of mutants with substitutions at position 325 were examined in the presence of the Asp363 mutant (Fig. 4E). The transcription activity of the Asp363 single mutant was reduced to 20% of the wild-type level, possibly because of a longer distance between Asp363 and guanine residues for hydrogen bonds (Fig. 4E; see Fig. S4B in the supplemental material). Interestingly, Lys and Arg mutations but not Ala and Asn mutations at position 325 could rescue the transcription activity of Asp363 (Fig. 4E). We also examined the effect of an Asp363 single mutation and an Arg325-Asp363 double mutation on the transcription and replication processes and the cap-binding activity (Fig. 5). According to the levels of accumulation of mRNA (Fig. 5A) and cRNA (Fig. 5B), the level of reporter expression (Fig. 4E) is well correlated with the transcription but not the replication activities. To examine the cap-binding activity in vivo, capped RNAs that could interact with the viral RNA polymerase were coprecipitated from cells expressing the recombinant RNA polymerase, and the cap structure was detected by recapping of RNA which had been CIAP treated and then decapped (12). Based on these reports, we tried to identify the specificity of cap recognition and characterize key amino acids for cap recognition of the FluB polymerase.

**DISCUSSION**

Most of our knowledge on the transcription mechanism of the influenza virus genome has been derived from studies on FluA, while little has been demonstrated for FluB. This is also the case for studies on the enzymatic aspects of these viral RNA polymerases. Each of the two methyl groups in the cap1 structure, the 7-methyl residue of the guanine base and the 2'-O-methyl residue on the ribose of the penultimate base, strongly influences the transcription activity of the FluA polymerase (4). Recently, the structure of the PB2 cap-binding domain of the FluA polymerase with m7GTP has been clarified (12). Based on these reports, we tried to identify the specificity of cap recognition and characterize key amino acids for cap recognition of the FluB polymerase.

First, we compared the efficiencies of capped RNA cleavage and subsequent RNA elongation reactions of the FluA polymerase with those of the FluB polymerase using cap1-RNA. As expected, the FluA polymerase exhibited efficient endonuclease activity, elongation activity, and cap-binding affinity. The pattern of cleavage of cap1-RNA by the FluB polymerase was different from that by the FluA polymerase (Fig. 1A; see Fig. S1B in the supplemental material), and the RNA elongation and cap-binding activities of the FluB polymerase were lower than those of the FluA polymerase (Fig. 1A and B). These results indicate that the cap binding and cleavage mechanism

![Figure 3: Structure of the PB2 cap-binding domain.](http://jvi.asm.org/)
of the FluB polymerase are different from those of the FluA polymerase.

We then examined the specificity of recognition of cap structures by the FluB polymerase compared with that by the FluA polymerase. Using various methylated capped RNAs, we performed capped RNA cleavage and RNA elongation assays (Fig. 2). The FluA polymerase cleaved RNA containing m7G specifically, while the FluB polymerase could cleave GpppG-RNA as well as RNA containing m7G. Both the FluA and FluB polymerases elongated and bound to the cap structure efficiently only in the case of m7GpppGm-RNA compared with other capped RNAs (Fig. 2C, 2E, and 2F). Based on these results, we propose that the FluA polymerase recognizes strictly the guanine-7-methyl residue in the cleavage reaction and that the FluB polymerase recognizes only the cap core structure (GpppX), which may result in its weak cap1-binding activity. In addition, these results suggest that the ribose 2'-O-methyl residue and/or the guanine-7-methyl residue may be responsible for the elongation reaction by both FluA and FluB polymerases, because cap binding and efficient elongation could not be observed except for m7GpppGm-RNA.

To elucidate the mechanism of cap recognition by the FluB polymerase, we studied the PB2 subunit, which has the cap-binding domain. Recently, the 3D structure of the FluA PB2 cap-binding domain was revealed (12). Amino acid residues essential for cap binding were identified and found to be conserved between FluA and FluB polymerases (Fig. 3A). In the FluA PB2 cap-binding domain (Fig. 3B), the methylated guanine base is sandwiched with His357 and Phe404, and Phe323 stacks on the ribose of m7GTP. Glu361 makes hydrogen bonds with the N1 and N2 positions of guanine, and Lys376 also makes hydrogen bonds with the O6 position of guanine. Based on the structure of the FluA PB2 cap-binding domain, a model of the FluB PB2 cap-binding domain was postulated (Fig. 3C). Five amino acids which contact the guanine-7-methyl residue are highlighted. Minireplicon assays showed that Trp359 in FluB PB2 is crucial for possible stacking interaction with a methylated guanine base without sandwiching with Phe406 (Fig. 4B). Moreover, the hydrogen bond made by Lys378 to the O6 position of guanine seemed not to be essential for cap recognition (Fig. 4D). These results suggest that the FluB polymerase recognizes the cap structure in a manner different from the FluA polymerase. We illustrated a new proposed computer-associated model for cap recognition by FluB PB2 (see Fig. S4A in the supplemental material), although the 3D structure of the FluB PB2 cap-binding domain has not been determined. The overall structures of four cap-binding proteins, FluA PB2 (12), eIF4E (33, 34), CBP20 (23), and VP39 (16), differ each other widely due to their evolutionarily unrelated origins, but the cap-binding pockets are essentially quite similar (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), although there are some differences in details. In addition to the two aromatic amino acids, an acidic residue is directed toward the pocket to accommodate the positively charged π-ring system of...
the methylated guanine. These amino acids provide high specificity for the recognition of m'GTP and exhibit low affinity for nonmethylated cap analogues (>100-fold difference in affinity compared with N7-methylated ones) (15, 18, 26). Compared with these well-known cap-binding proteins, the cap-binding pocket of FluB PB2 contains only one aromatic amino acid, Trp359. This feature may cause the low affinity of FluB PB2 for the cap1 structure (Fig. 1B) and the recognition of nonmethylated capped RNA (GpppG-RNA) (Fig. 2) compared with FluA PB2.

In the case of FluA PB2, the stacking interaction of Phe323 with the ribose of m'GTP is also essential for cap recognition. However, Gln325 of FluB PB2 seems to make a hydrogen bond with the ribose of m'GTP instead of a stacking interaction. To examine our speculation that FluB PB2 recognizes the cap structure in the flexible pocket, we made substitution mutations at position 325 in the presence of an Asp363 mutation (Gln → Asp), which should extend too much into the pocket where Gln325 is present. Interestingly, the transcription activity and the cap-binding activity of the Asp363 mutant were restored to the wild-type levels by the Arg325 mutation (Fig. 4E and 5) without changing the replication activity. The transcription activity of the Asp363 single mutant was decreased, possibly because the longer distance between Asp363 and the guanine residue may make hydrogen bonds weak (see Fig. S4B in the supplemental material). These results suggest that the hydrogen bond made by Arg325 with the ribose of the guanosine could support the recognition of the cap structure (Fig. 4E, 5A, 5C, and 5D; see Fig. S4C in the supplemental material). Crystal structure analyses of wild-type FluB PB2 and the mutant containing Arg325 and Asp363 are needed to support our hypothesis.

In summary, our results indicate that the substrate specificity and the residues essential in the cap recognition are different between FluA and FluB polymerases. In the case of the FluA polymerase, m'G-capped RNA is cleaved specifically, and the stacking interactions of His357 and Phe404 with the methylated guanine base and of Phe323 with the ribose of m'GTP and the hydrogen bonds made by Glu361 and Lys376 on the methylated guanine are essential for cap recognition as observed in other cap-binding proteins. In contrast, in the case of the FluB polymerase, unmethylated capped RNA is cleaved as well as m'G-capped RNA, and the stacking interaction which is made only by Trp359 with the guanine base and the hydrogen bonds which are made by Glu361 on the guanine base and by Gln325 with the ribose of m'GTP are enough for cap recognition.
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