Herpes Simplex Virus 1 ICP4 Forms Complexes with TFIID and Mediator in Virus-Infected Cells
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The infected cell polypeptide 4 (ICP4) of herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is a regulator of viral transcription that is required for productive infection. Since viral genes are transcribed by cellular RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II), ICP4 must interact with components of the pol II machinery to regulate viral gene expression. It has been shown previously that ICP4 interacts with TATA box-binding protein (TBP), TFIIB, and the TBP-associated factor 1 (TAF1) in vitro. In this study, ICP4-containing complexes were isolated from infected cells by tandem affinity purification (TAP). Forty-six proteins that copurified with ICP4 were identified by mass spectrometry. Additional copurifying proteins were identified by Western blot analysis. These included 11 components of TFIID and 4 components of the Mediator complex. The significance of the ICP4-Mediator interaction was further investigated using immunofluorescence and chromatin immunoprecipitation. Mediator was found to colocalize with ICP4 starting at early and continuing into late times of infection. In addition, Mediator was recruited to viral promoters in an ICP4-dependent manner. Taken together, the data suggest that ICP4 interacts with components of TFIID and Mediator in the context of viral infection, and this may explain the broad transactivation properties of ICP4.

During lytic infection, herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) exhibits a strictly regulated temporal cascade of gene expression that is divided into three general stages, immediate early (IE) or α, early (E) or β, and late (L) or γ (43, 44). This expression program is a result of a complex interplay between viral and cellular factors at both the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels. HSV genes are transcribed by cellular RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) (1, 14). The IE protein is required for productive infection (15, 21, 75). ICP4 activates the transcription of most viral genes while repressing the transcription of several viral genes, including its own (16, 27, 34, 56, 67, 74, 79, 103).

ICP4 exists as a 350-kDa homodimeric (59, 90) phosphoprotein (72). It has a DNA binding/dimerization domain and nuclear localization signal that are flanked by regions involved in transactivation and repression (17, 18, 32, 70, 71, 89). ICP4 binds to the consensus DNA sequence ATCGTCNNNNYC GRC, where R is purine, Y is pyrimidine, and N is any base (19, 28), and it also has been shown to bind DNA nonspecifically (31). The binding of ICP4 to specific sites has been shown to be involved in the repression of the ICP4, LAT, and OrfP/L ST promoters (29, 38, 62, 83). While DNA binding appears to be necessary, no specific ICP4 binding sites have been unambiguously associated with activation (12, 23, 26, 41, 92).

RNA pol II transcription is initiated through the assembly of the preinitiation complex (PIC) consisting of the general transcription factors (GTFs) (TFIID, TFIIB, TFIIF, TFIIE, and TFIIH) and RNA pol II (7, 61, 68). The efficiency of the formation of the PIC is a critical step in determining the rate of transcription and thus is a common site of both positive and negative transcriptional regulation (2, 11, 33, 56). Since all HSV promoters to date have been shown to possess a TATA box, it is likely that the recruitment of TATA binding protein (TBP)-containing complexes is crucial for the regulation of viral transcription. One such complex is TFIID, a large protein complex consisting of the TBP and its associated factors (TAFs) (7, 65). Promoter recognition and binding by TFIID, as well as PIC formation, are enhanced by the action of activator proteins (reviewed in reference 97). These factors can act directly through interactions with the GTFs, the TAFs of TFIID, or indirectly through the Mediator complex. Mediator is a large cellular complex of variable composition that acts to bridge upstream activators and the PIC, primarily RNA pol II (6, 30, 49, 63, 98, 106). Therefore, it is possible that ICP4 regulates transcription through interaction with these and other factors.

Several studies have demonstrated interactions between ICP4 and both cellular and viral proteins in vitro. First, ICP4 stabilizes the formation of PICs on viral promoters in vitro via the enhancement of TFIID binding to the promoter (36). This most likely is achieved through direct interactions made by ICP4 with both TBP and TAF1 of the TFIID complex (9). The N-terminal regulatory domain of ICP4 is involved in the ICP4-TBP interaction, while interaction with TAF1 is dependent on an intact ICP4 C-terminal domain (9). ICP4 and TFIID also have been shown to cooperatively bind to viral promoters during productive infection (86). Second, ICP4 cooperatively forms a stable, tripartite complex (TPC) on DNA with TBP and TFIIB at promoters containing the ICP4 binding site in vitro (93). The formation of the TPC at the promoter suppresses activated transcription (38, 39, 51). Finally, ICP4 also has been shown to interact with the viral proteins ICP0 (107) and ICP27 (69). These viral proteins also play an important role in viral gene
regulation and may modulate ICP4 function (8, 27, 58, 66, 80, 85).

Previous studies investigating ICP4 protein interactions were performed largely in vitro, often with uninfected cellular extracts. The stoichiometry of the proteins, both relative to each other and to DNA, are not the same as those found during viral infection. In addition, HSV infection has been shown to significantly alter the composition of the host transcription machinery (47, 81, 109). Thus, the conditions used for these experiments do not necessarily reflect those found during the course of infection. In this study, we used tandem affinity purification (TAP) to isolate ICP4-protein complexes formed in the context of viral infection. This allowed for the characterization of protein interactions involving ICP4 that occurred in the physiological background of productive infection. Mass spectrometry (MS) and Western blot analysis identified several of these proteins, including TBP, TAFs, subunits of Mediator, and others. Further studies showed that Mediator was recruited to viral prereplication and replication compartments and associated with viral promoters on the viral genome in an ICP4-dependent manner. The data in this study confirm that ICP4 interacts with TFII D during infection. In addition, the results show that ICP4 plays a broader role in transcriptional regulation via interactions with Mediator and potentially other cellular factors in addition to TFII D. The ability of ICP4 to interact with a diverse array of cellular proteins, coupled with the lack of specific binding sites for ICP4-mediated transcription, may explain the ability of ICP4 to broadly activate different promoters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. HeLa, Vero, HEL, and E5 cells all were maintained by standard procedures. E5 cells were derived from Vero cells and express complementing levels of ICP4 (15, 17). The HeLa, Vero, and HEL cells all were obtained from the ATCC. Both the HSV-1 wild-type (wt) strain KOS and TAP-ICP4 viruses were propagated on Vero cells, while the nonfunctional ICP4 nonsense mutants n12 and n208 (18) were grown on E5 cells. Viral growth curves were performed on Vero cells. A total of 5 × 10⁶ cells were infected with KOS or TAP-4 HSV-1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 PFU/cell in 0.1 ml. Viral titers were determined by plaque assay on E5 cells.

Cells were harvested, washed twice in a total volume of 40 ml TBS plus 0.2 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.2 mM Na-p-tosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone (TLCK), and 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and then were pelleted at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. Cell lysates were prepared as previously described with some modifications (20). The cell pellet first was washed in five cell volumes of hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl) containing 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT, resuspended again in three cell volumes of hypotonic buffer, and incubated for 10 min on ice. The cell suspension then was transferred to a prechilled 7-ml Wheaton dounce homogenizer and subjected to about 15 strokes with the B-pestle. The efficiency of disruption was assessed via staining with trypan blue. The nuclei then were pelleted by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in half the total pellet volume with low-salt buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA) containing 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT. A one-third volume of high-salt buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.6 M KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA) with 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT then was added to the suspension dropwise for a final KCl concentration of 400 mM. Samples were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with gentle mixing. The extract then was clarified by centrifugation at 14,500 rpm for 30 min at 4°C.

A 250-μl (~125-μl packed volume) IgG-Sepharose 6 Fast Flow bead suspension (Amersham Biosciences) was added to four Poly Prep chromatography columns (Bio-Rad). The beads were equilibrated by being washed in 5 ml equilibration buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20) followed by 5 ml 0.5 M acetic acid, pH 3.4, 5 ml equilibration buffer, and 10 ml IgG binding buffer (15 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM KCl, 0.1% NP-40, and 0.5 mM EDTA) with 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT. The nucleic extracts were diluted approximately 2-fold in IgG binding buffer with 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT and added in equal amounts to the four columns. Extracts were incubated at 4°C for 4 h with gentle end-over-end rotation. Unbound extract was allowed to drain from the column. The columns then were washed with 5 ml IgG binding buffer with 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM TLCK, and 0.5 mM DTT; 5 ml IgG binding buffer with 0.5 mM DTT; and 5 ml tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na-phosphate buffer, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 1% B-mercaptoethanol, 10 μl NP-40, 0.5 ml TEV protease containing 1 μg/ml). The extracts were incubated overnight at 4°C in 1 ml TEV protease buffer containing 10 μl (100 U) AcTEV protease (Invitrogen) and 10 μl (250 U) Benzonase nuclease (Novagen) per column to release the bound proteins from the column and to digest any contaminating DNA in the sample. The eluates from the columns were drained into a new tube.

Three ml calmodulin binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM B-mercaptoethanol, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Mg(CH₃COO)₂, 1 mM imidazole, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1% NP-40) were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Calmodulin affinity resin (Stratagene) was added to two Poly Prep columns and equilibrated three times with 5 ml calmodulin binding buffer. Eight ml of eluate then was added to each calmodulin column and incubated with rotation for 4 h at 4°C. The columns then were washed in 10 ml calmodulin wash buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM B-mercaptoethanol, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Mg(CH₃COO)₂, 1 mM imidazole, and 2 mM CaCl₂]. The column complexes then were eluted in 10 ml calmodulin elution buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM B-mercaptoethanol, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Mg(CH₃COO)₂, 1 mM imidazole, and 2 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA)], combined, and concentrated using a Pierce Amicon concentrators (7 ml/9,000-molecular-weight cutoff; Pierce).

Western blot analysis. TAP-purified samples were separated via SDS-PAGE and visualized using a colloidal blue staining kit (Invitrogen) by following the manufacturer's protocol. Gel slices were submitted to the Genomics and Proteomics Core Laboratories (http://www.genetics.pitt.edu) at the University of Pittsburgh for analysis. The gel slices were subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion as described previously (91). The resulting peptide mixture was separated by capillary C18 reverse-phase liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a ThermoElectron Surveyor liquid chromatograph, and the effluent was analyzed directly on a ThermoElectron LCQ Deca XP Plus quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer using a nanospray ionization source. The instrument collected both MS and MS/MS spectra. The data were analyzed and searched against both human and HSV-1 Uniprot/Swissprot databases using the SEQUEST search engine in the BioWorks Browser, version 3.3.1 SP1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).
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conjugates (Promega) in TBS-T. Bound antibody was visualized using the ECL Plus Western blotting detection system (Amersham) per the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Immunofluorescence (IF).** Subconfluent HEL cells on coverslips were infected with KOS at an MOI of 10 PFU/cell. The virus was allowed to adhere for 1 h with periodic rocking, after which time the inoculum was removed and replaced with warm medium. The infections were allowed to proceed for 2, 4, and 8 h at 37°C. Subsequently, the medium was removed and the cells were washed once in warm phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 11 min at 37°C. The PFA was removed, and the cells were incubated at room temperature in PBS containing 100 mM glycine to stop the reaction. The cells were washed for 5 min in room-temperature PBS three times and then incubated in 50 mM NH₄Cl in PBS for 10 min. The cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 15 min and then washed in PBS-T (PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA) three times for 10 min each. The primary antibodies were diluted in PBS-T and 1% BSA. The antibodies used were a 1:500 dilution of N15 (rabbit polyclonal) for ICP4 and a 1:1,000 dilution of TRAP220 (goat polyclonal; sc-89986; Santa Cruz). The cells were incubated for 2 h with 100 µl antibody dilution per slide. They then were washed with PBS-T containing 1% BSA six times for 10 min each. The appropriate secondary antibodies (donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor488 or donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor595; both from Invitrogen) were diluted 1:500 in 100 µl PBS-T and 1% BSA per coverslip and incubated on the slides for 1 h. The coverslips were washed in PBS-T eight times for 7 min each and then mounted on glass slides using Immu-mount (Thermo Scientific). The slides were analyzed and images captured using a Leica DMi4000 B microscope.

**ChIP.** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed as described previously with some modifications (86). Vero cells (5 × 10⁶) in 100-mm dishes were mock infected or infected at an MOI of 10 PFU/cell with KOS at an MOI of 10 PFU/cell. The virus was allowed to adhere for 1 h with periodic rocking, after which time the inoculum was removed and replaced with warm medium. The infections were allowed to proceed at 37°C for 4 h prior to formaldehyde fixation. Sonicated chromatin was harvested, and the samples were preclariﬁed using protein A-agarose beads with salmon sperm DNA (Millipore) as described previously (86). The chromatin was harvested, and the samples were precleared using protein A and G beads for 4 h at 4°C with gentle mixing. Both TRAP220 and CRSP77 antibodies were used simultaneously for the immunoprecipitation of Mediator as described previously (102). Unbound antibody was washed away using 1 ml ChIP dilution buffer (86) with three washes for 5 min each. Precleared sample then was incubated with the antibody-bead mixture overnight at 4°C with gentle mixing. The bound protein complexes were washed and eluted, and the cross-links were reversed and treated with proteinase K as previously described (86). The released DNA was harvested via phenol-chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation and resuspended in 150 µl H₂O. The DNA was analyzed via reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using SYBR GreenER qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen) as described previously (86). The primers used for the PCR were the following: ICPO, ATAAAGTTAGCCCTGGCAGCCGG and GCTG CGTCTCTGGTCC (+24 to +56); tk, CAGCTGCTCATCCCGTGG and AGATCGTGGGACGCTGTGG (+200 to +65); and gC, CGCCGCTGTTGATGATT and TTATACCAGGGCGCACCAT (+90 TO +80).

**RESULTS**

The existing evidence suggests that ICP4 generally can activate pol II transcription by multiple mechanisms possibly involving interactions with multiple general transcription factors. In this study, we used TAP coupled with mass spectrometry to identify proteins in complex with ICP4 during infection. We also investigated the relevance of a subset of these interactions in virus infections by immunofluorescence and chromatin immunoprecipitation studies.

**Characterization of TAP-ICP4 virus.** Previous studies have shown that the amino terminus of ICP4 is not essential for virus growth and can tolerate the insertion of foreign epitopes (9, 17). Therefore, the TAP tag was inserted at the amino terminus in a manner that deleted the first 17 amino acids of ICP4 and kept the transcriptional control of the ICP4 mRNA under the ICP4 promoter, as described in Materials and Methods. Proper protein expression and the size of TAP-ICP4 were confirmed via Western blotting, and the growth competence of the virus was assessed by a one-step growth assay. Figure 1A shows a diagram of wt ICP4 and TAP-ICP4 and the mutants n208 and n12, which express the first 251 and 774 amino acids of ICP4, respectively. These viruses were used to generate samples of cells infected for 6 h for use in Western blot analysis with antibody to ICP4. Figure 1C shows that all of the viruses expressed an ICP4 protein having mobilities consistent with their expected sizes. KOS (wt) ICP4 had an apparent molecular mass of 175 kDa. TAP-ICP4 exhibits a slightly lower mobility compared to that of the wt, corresponding to the additional 184 amino acids (approximately 21 kDa) of the TAP tag. The yield of the TAP-ICP4 virus at 24 h was less than 2-fold lower than that of wt HSV on Vero cells in a one-step growth experiment (Fig. 1B), demonstrating that the TAP tag had little effect on the essential functions of ICP4.

**Optimization of extraction and purification.** The TAP tag consists of two IgG binding domains from *Staphylococcus aureus* protein A and a calmodulin binding peptide (CBP) separated by a TEV protease cleavage site (76, 82). The TAP method isolates protein complexes using two affinity steps, an irreversible protein A-IgG interaction and the reversible CBP-calmodulin interaction. This allows for a gentle but specific isolation of complexes from cells.

The preparation of the protein extract prior to purification is a critical step, since it is important to efficiently isolate the target protein while retaining protein-protein interactions. We chose to generate protein extracts using the Dignam salt extraction method, which has been used to prepare transcriptionally active nuclear extracts (20). Nuclei were isolated from TAP-ICP4-infected HeLa cells 6 h postinfection (hpi) as described in Materials and Methods. The isolated nuclei were divided into four aliquots and extracted in buffer containing 150, 200, 300, or 500 mM KCl. The resulting nuclear extracts were subjected to the TAP procedure. Both unconcentrated and concentrated TAP-purified samples were separated via SDS-PAGE and visualized by silver staining (Fig. 2A). ICP4, the dark band near the top of the gel, was most efficiently isolated using 200 and 300 mM KCl. There was a marked reduction in ICP4 at 500 mM KCl, probably due to the lysis of nuclei at this concentration of KCl, resulting in significant DNA contamination and reduction in the extract yield. Despite only a slight difference in total ICP4 isolated at 200 and 300 mM KCl, there was an increase in proteins copurifying with ICP4 at the higher salt concentration, as indicated by the increased banding complexity at 300 mM KCl. Indeed, the 500 mM KCl sample had less ICP4 than the 200 mM salt sample, but it had more copurifying proteins.

Given the results of previous *in vitro* studies, it was of interest to determine if TFIIID (TBP) was present in the extracts of the TAP-ICP4-purified preparations. To test this, the concentrated TAP-purified samples from Fig. 2A along with aliquots from the corresponding nuclear extracts were separated via SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blotting (Fig. 2B). The blots were probed with antibodies for ICP4 and TBP (Fig. 2B, upper and lower blots, respectively). The amount of ICP4 in the TAP-purified samples reflected what was present in the extracts. The extraction of the 37-kDa TBP was not an obvious
function of the salt concentration used. However, the amount of TAP-purified TBP was maximal when the 300 mM KCl extract was used. Significantly less TBP was observed in the TAP-purified samples using the 200 and 500 mM KCl extracts, and barely detectable amounts of TBP were observed using the 150 mM extract. The 175-kDa band (TAP-ICP4) seen in the nuclear extracts of the TBP blot is due to the protein A domain of the TAP tag binding to the antibody used in the Western blotting. This band was not seen in the final, TAP-purified samples because the protein A domain was cleaved from the tag as part of the purification procedure.

While TBP can be found in all of the extracts, there is an optimum concentration of KCl that will extract ICP4 and TBP that copurifies with it. ICP4 and TBP most probably exist in cells complexed with a variety of molecules and subcellular compartments. Some molecules will be bound to DNA and some will be free, and others may participate in multiple complexes. Therefore, it is to be expected that different complexes containing the same molecule (ICP4) will be extractable from cells with different concentrations of salt. In this case, it appears that an ICP4-TBP-containing complex is extractable from cells with 300 mM KCl, and that this complex may dissociate when extracted with 500 mM KCl. As a result of this and other experiments, we decided to use 400 mM KCl to extract nuclei for the remainder of the studies.

Proteins copurifying with ICP4. The goal of this study was to characterize those proteins that participate in complexes with ICP4 during the course of infection. TAP was used to isolate those complexes, and mass spectrometry was used to characterize them. HeLa cells (1.5 × 10⁹) were infected with KOS or TAP-ICP4. Six hours postinfection, the cells were harvested and ICP4 protein complexes were isolated using the TAP procedure as described in Materials and Methods. The purified protein complexes were separated via SDS-PAGE and visualized via silver and colloidal blue staining (Fig. 3). As expected, ICP4 was the major component of the purified samples. Many more proteins were isolated by TAP from TAP-ICP4-infected nuclear extracts than from KOS-infected nuclear extracts; however, some proteins were purified from the KOS nuclear extracts, suggesting the non-TAG-specific retention of some proteins by the matrices. In preparation for the mass-spectroscopic analysis, gel slices were excised from the length of the TAP-ICP4 lane of a colloidal blue-stained gel (Fig. 3B). The proteins were digested in situ with trypsin, and the resulting peptides were eluted from the gel and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The analysis identified 46 proteins with high confidence (Table 1). The Uniprot designation, protein name, probability score (Ppro) assigned by the SEQUEST software, and molecular weights of the protein are indicated. The Ppro score is the probability that the protein listed is a random match to the
spectral data. Only those proteins with a Ppro score of less than 10^{-3} are listed. Of the 46, 14 were identified from gel slices corresponding to incorrect weights. The remaining 32 proteins were further divided into four categories: viral proteins, transcription factors, calmodulin binding proteins, and other, miscellaneous proteins. Two proteins that previously have been shown to interact with ICP4, TBP, and L22 were identified. The L22/ICP4 interaction was shown previously in a two-hybrid screen (55). The isolation of L22 via TAP and its identification via MS help validate the analysis. Of particular interest for this study are the 11 transcription factors found to copurify with ICP4. The identification of TBP and eight TAFs verifies the previous in vitro findings. Interestingly, TAF1, which was shown previously to interact with ICP4 (9), was not identified by MS (Table 1). TAF-7, TRAP220, CRSP77, and Med7 also were not identified by the MS analysis, but all are components of multisubunit complexes (TFIID and Mediator) for which at least one component of the complex was identified by the MS analysis. Some proteins might not be as easily extracted from the PAGE gel as others. In particular, the Mediator complex is present in lower abundance than TFIID, so one might expect fewer of its subunits to be detected.

Each of the blots in Fig. 4 was probed with an antibody against the protein indicated at the bottom of each blot. On each blot are samples of the TAP-ICP4 extract (extract), a TAP-purified sample from KOS-infected cells (KOS), and a TAP-purified sample from TAP-ICP4-infected cells (TAP-ICP4). Two reacting polypeptides are expected in the extract lane, the protein the antibody was raised against, and TAP-ICP4, because the IgG binding motif is present on TAP-ICP4. No reacting bands were seen in the KOS lane, indicating that the protein the antibody is directed against does not sufficiently bind to and elute from the affinity matrix to be detectable by this analysis. However, TAF-1, TAF-4, TAF-7, TBP, TRAP220, CRSP77, and Med7 all were detected in the TAP-ICP4 lanes, indicating that these proteins were purified in a complex with ICP4. Thus, ICP4 was isolated from infected cells in a complex with a number subunits of TFIID, including TBP, TAF1, TAF-3, TAF-4, TAF-4b, TAF-5, TAF-6, TAF-7, TAF-9b, TAF-10, and TAF-13, as shown either by MS or Western blotting of TAP-ICP4. ICP4 also was isolated from...
infected cells in a complex with several subunits of the Mediator complex, including Med7, Med8, TRAP220, and CRSP77. ICP4 was not isolated in a complex with the viral proteins ICP27 and ICP0 by this method. It should be stressed that this does not mean that these proteins do not interact with ICP4. The procedure used to extract and purify the complexes might not have been compatible with the retention of these interactions.

**Location of Mediator components in virus-infected cells.**

VP16, the viral transactivator of IE genes, previously has been shown to interact with Mediator subunits (105). Mediator has not, however, been implicated in the ICP4-mediated expres-
sion of HSV genes. As a first step to investigate the ICP4-Mediator association and its role in viral gene expression, the kinetics of the localization of ICP4 and Mediator, as determined by immunofluorescence of TRAP220 in infected HEL cells, was determined. HEL cells were infected at an MOI of 10 PFU/cell with KOS and fixed at 2, 4, and 8 hpi. The cells then were stained with antibodies directed against the Mediator component TRAP220 (in green) and ICP4 (in red). A digitally magnified view of a single, representative HEL cell (white box) also is shown (Fig. 5). As expected, no ICP4 signal was seen in the mock-infected cells. By 2 hpi, a subset of infected cells express ICP4, as seen by a diffuse, nuclear stain with several small ICP4 foci. It has been shown that a subset of these foci contain viral genomes (95) and are sites of viral transcription and, later, DNA replication. By 4 hpi, ICP4 was observed localizing to small replication compartments, which by 8 hpi had increased in size. TRAP220 exhibited a diffuse, primarily nuclear staining. HSV infection resulted in some reorganization of TRAP220. As early as 2 hpi, cells expressing ICP4 showed the recruitment of TRAP220 into the small, ICP4-containing foci. As infection proceeded and the ICP4 foci enlarged, TRAP220 recruitment to and colocalization with ICP4 continued. Thus, TRAP220 and, by extension, Mediator, are recruited to ICP4 foci early in infection, and this continues through late times of infection.

The localization of Mediator in virus-infected cells (Fig. 5) resembles the localization of TFIID (78). We have shown previously that ICP4 recruits TFIID to viral promoters in infected cells (86). Therefore, it was of interest to determine if the presence of a functional ICP4 protein in virus-infected cells recruits the Mediator complex to viral promoters. To test this, Mediator recruitment by ICP4 to promoters representative of the three classes of viral genes was assessed by chromatin immunoprecipitation. Vero cells were infected at an MOI of 10

FIG. 4. Western blot analysis of TAP material from KOS- and TAP-ICP4-infected cells. The extract from TAP-KOS-infected cells and the TAP material from KOS- and TAP-ICP4-infected cells was separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blot analysis. The antibody used to probe the blot is indicated at the bottom of each blot.
PFU/cell with n12 or KOS, and ChIP analysis was performed at 4 hpi as described in Materials and Methods. The association of ICP4, RNA pol II, and Mediator with the ICP0, tk, and gC promoters was analyzed as a function of wild-type (KOS) or nonfunctional (n12) ICP4 (Fig. 6). As expected, wt ICP4 was found on all of the promoters tested, whereas the n12 ICP4, which lacks a DNA-binding domain, was not detected. It should be noted that the antibody used to detect ICP4 reacts with n12 ICP4.

As previously shown (86), the presence of wt ICP4 resulted in the recruitment of pol II to both tk and gC promoters (Fig. 6). The relatively high level of pol II on the ICP0 promoter in the absence of ICP4 reflects the high activity of this promoter in the absence of ICP4. This was observed previously as well (86). Importantly, the presence of functional ICP4 resulted in the recruitment of Mediator complex to both the tk and gC promoters. Interestingly, ICP4 also caused the recruitment of Mediator to the ICP0 promoter. Therefore, the presence of ICP4 on these promoters in infected cells resulted in the recruitment of Mediator and is consistent with the colocalization studies shown in Fig. 5 and the results of the molecular interaction studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Given the large size of ICP4, its complicated genetics, and its ability to activate a diverse set of promoters, it is likely that ICP4 interacts with a number of cellular proteins involved in pol II transcription. To investigate this possibility, ICP4-containing complexes were isolated from infected cells using TAP-MS and Western blot analysis were used to identify proteins contained in the isolated complexes. The data show that in addition to TFIID, ICP4 interacts with other cellular factors, including Mediator. The significance of the Mediator interaction and its potential role in viral gene expression were explored further by immunofluorescence and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analyses using IF and ChIP assays. Mediator colocalized with ICP4, and its efficient recruitment to viral promoters required the presence of ICP4. Both TFIID and Mediator are ubiquitous components of the pol II transcriptional machinery. Therefore, the results of this study begin to explain the broad activity of ICP4.

**TAF-TBP-ICP4-containing complexes.** Previous studies have shown that ICP4 interacts in vitro with both TBP and TAF1 of TFIID (9, 36); it colocalizes with TBP, TAF1, and TAF4 during viral infection (78); and its presence is required for the efficient recruitment of TBP (TFIID) and pol II to viral promoters during viral infection (86). The copurifications of TBP and TAFs with ICP4 in this study are the first demonstrations that ICP4 interacts with TBP- and TAF-containing complexes in viral infection. The copurification of ICP4 with TBP, TAF-1, TAF-3, TAF-4, TAF-5, TAF-6, TAF-7, TAF-9B, TAF-10, and TAF-13 (Fig. 4, Table 1) suggests that ICP4...
stably associates with the TFIID complex in infected cells. However, in addition to TFIID, several other TBP- and TAF-containing complexes have been described. These complexes, including TFTC, STAGA, and PCAF, are involved in activator-dependent transcription, adopt structures similar to that of TFIID, and share many of the same enzymatic activities, including histone acetyltransferase activity (64, 87). It has been suggested that a subset of TAFs (TAF4, TAF-5, TAF-6, TAF-9, and TAF-12) form a stable scaffold on which TFIID and the other TAF-containing complexes are formed (104). Interestingly, TAF4, TAF-5, TAF-6, and TAF-9 all were shown to copurify with ICP4 (Fig. 4, Table 1). It is possible that, in addition to TFIID, ICP4 activates transcription through interactions with other TAF-containing complexes via this core TAF subcomplex. This may allow ICP4 to activate genes with different promoter architectures, such as early and late viral genes, at different times postinfection or in different cell types. These possibilities are being investigated further.

Interaction of ICP4 with Mediator. In addition to TFIID, components of Mediator associate with ICP4 during infection (Fig. 4, Table 1). Mediator is a large, multisubunit complex that acts as a general cofactor, bridging activators and the general transcription machinery primarily through facilitating the entry of pol II into the preinitiation complex (45, 54, 96, 98). It also plays a role in the activator-dependent stabilization of the scaffold complex, which enhances transcription reinitiation from the same promoter (108). The Mediator complex can be divided into three domains, the head, middle, and tail. The head domain primarily interacts with pol, while the middle and tail domains interact with upstream activators, thus executing its bridging function (5, 10, 13). The Mediator subunits found to copurify with ICP4 in this study are found in different regions of the Mediator complex; both Med8 and CRSP77 localize to the head region of Mediator, while Med7 and TRAP220 are found in the middle region. Although Mediator has been shown to interact with other viral activators, including HSV VP16, this is the first evidence for an interaction with ICP4.

There was a significant reorganization of TRAP220 during infection, with strong colocalization of ICP4 and TRAP220 starting at early and proceeding through late times of infection (Fig. 5). The same pattern has been observed previously for ICP4, TBP, and pol II (78). Some of the early and all of the late foci contain viral genomes (95). Therefore, the results of Fig. 5 suggest that Mediator is recruited by ICP4 to viral genomes prior to and after viral DNA replication. The strong colocalization between ICP4 and Mediator suggests a role in viral gene expression. Figure 6 further shows that Mediator is present on the promoters of representative IE, early, and late genes, and that Mediator association is greatly increased by the
presence of functional ICP4. Thus, part of the mechanism by which ICP4 activates the transcription of viral genes probably involves interactions with components of the Mediator complex and its recruitment to viral promoters.

IE62, the VZV homolog of ICP4, has been shown to interact with Mediator (106). An N-terminal acidic activation domain of IE62 was shown to interact directly with Med25 of Mediator and IE62. Although IE62 and ICP4 share sequence similarity, ICP4 does not contain a sequence that is similar to that of the IE62-Med25 interaction domain. The interaction of IE62 with Mediator may be more similar to the interaction of Mediator with VP16. VP16 also interacts with Med25 through an acidic activation domain (105), suggesting a common Mediator interaction motif. ICP4 may interact differently with Mediator than IE62 or VP16. At present, the regions of ICP4 that interact with Mediator and the identities of specific components of Mediator that make direct contact with ICP4 have yet to be determined.

Other potential ICP4 binding partners. Several other proteins of interest were identified by the MS analysis. TFIIF-I is a cellular protein involved in both basal and activated transcription through its recognition of the Initiator (Inr) element of the core promoter (84). It is required, along with TFIIFD, for the activation of TATA- and Inr- promoters but not TATA+-only promoters (57). The efficient activation of late promoters by ICP4 requires a functional Inr element (37, 48). Therefore, an interaction between ICP4 and TFIIF-I could be relevant for the function of ICP4. This is being explored currently.

The viral U3 kinase also was found in the TAP-purified ICP4 complexes. The U3 kinase has multiple roles during lases 1 and 2, CoREST, and UL34, among other viral and cellular protein involved in both basal and activated transcription of the herpes simplex virus 1. J. Virol. 72:1115–1124.
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