Reverse Genetic Analysis of Ourmiaviruses Reveals the Nucleolar Localization of the Coat Protein in Nicotiana benthamiana and Unusual Requirements for Virion Formation†‡‡
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Ournia melon virus (OuMV) is the type member of the genus Ourmiavirus. These viruses have a trisegmented genome, each part of which encodes a single protein. Ourmiaviruses share a distant similarity with other plant viruses only in their movement proteins (MP), whereas their RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) shares features only with fungal viruses of the family Narnaviridae. Thus, ourmiaviruses are in a unique phylogenetic position among existing plant viruses. Here, we developed an agroinoculation system to launch infection in Nicotiana benthamiana plants. Using different combinations of the three segments, we demonstrated that RNA1 is necessary and sufficient for cis-acting replication in the agroinfiltrated area. RNA2 and RNA3, encoding the putative movement protein and the coat protein (CP), respectively, are both necessary for successful systemic infection of N. benthamiana. The CP is dispensable for long-distance transport of the virus through vascular tissues, but its absence prevents efficient systemic infection at the exit sites. Virion formation occurred only when the CP was translated from replication-derived RNA3. Transient expression of a green fluorescent protein-MP (GFP-MP) fusion via agroinfiltration showed that the MP is present in cytoplasmic connections across plant cell walls; in protoplasts the GFP-MP fusion stimulates the formation of tubular protrusions. Expression through agroinfiltration of a GFP-CP fusion displays most of the fluorescence inside the nucleus and within the nucleolus in particular. Nuclear localization of the CP was also confirmed through Western blot analysis of purified nuclei. The significance of several unusual properties of OuMV for replication, virion assembly, and movement is discussed in relation to other positive-strand RNA viruses.

Ournia melon virus (OuMV) is the type member of the genus Ourmiavirus. OuMV was first isolated in melon crops in Ourmia in Iran (35, 53), the only country where its presence has been documented. Since its early discovery, OuMV has raised curiosity because of its unique virion morphology compared to other plant viruses: bacilliform particles of three different lengths with pointed ends and a tubular body containing discontinuities marked by fissures (35). Evidence was shown that each genomic RNA is encapsidated independently (35). Within the same genus are two other viruses: Epinus cherry virus (EpCV) (7) and Cassava virus C (CaVC) (3). No biological vector has been implicated in plant-to-plant transmission in the field (1, 42). Recent nucleotide sequence and phylogenetic analyses have shown that the three Ourmiavirus species have a conserved genome organization (53). All have a trisegmented plus-strand RNA genome. Each segment potentially encodes only one protein (Fig. 1). The largest RNA segment contains open reading frame 1 (ORF1) which encodes a putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP). The RdRP is phylogenetically related to the RdRPs of viruses in the Narnaviridae, a heterogeneous group comprised chiefly of viruses which infect fungi, including the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The RNA2 ORF2 encodes a putative movement protein (MP) (circa 30 kDa). In contrast to the phylogenetic relationships for RNA1, the OuMV MP shares similarities with MPs of plant-infecting viruses of the genus Tombusvirus. Finally, RNA3 encodes the 22-kDa coat protein (CP) which has no obvious phylogenetic relationships to any protein in the database (53). The different phylogenetic origins of RNA1 and RNA2 (from a fungal virus and a plant virus, respectively) point to interkingdom virus reassortment as a possible new mechanism of viral evolution apt to induce the emergence of a novel viral genus (32, 53). To better understand the molecular mechanisms behind the Ourmiavirus life cycle, a reverse genetic system is required.

Here, we describe the development of a reverse genetic system for OuMV launched by a mix of three Agrobacterium tumefaciens clones harboring binary plasmids expressing each of the genomic RNAs under the control of the 35S promoter. Using this system, we provide new genetic evidence for the role of each virus-encoded protein in the infection cycle in N. benthamiana plants. Furthermore, we identified unexpected genetic requirements for virion assembly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of full-length agroinfectious clones. The full-length cDNAs of each of the three genomic segments was obtained through reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using as a template RNA obtained from plants infected with the OuMV isolate VE9. RNA was extracted with a Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit and buffer A (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Reverse transcription was carried out as previously described (65). PCR was done using Phusion (Finzymes, Espoo, Finland) as previously described and with the appropriate primer combinations: OuMV-RNA1F and OuMV-RNA1R for full-length RNA1, OuMV-RNA2F and OuMV-RNA2R for RNA2, and

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Istituto di Virologia Vegetale, CNR, Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135 Torino, Italy. Phone: 39 011 3977923. Fax: 39 011 343809. E-mail: m.turina@ivv.cnr.it.
† In memoriam G. Crivelli.
‡ Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://jvi.asm.org/.
†‡ Published ahead of print on 16 March 2011.

Copyright © 2011, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.
OtMV-RNA3F and OtMV-RNA3R for RNA3 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Each blunt-end segment was kinased (except for RNA1, which was amplified with phosphorylated oligonucleotides) and ligated into the Stul-Smal-cup pJL89 (34). The three plasmids were called pGCRNA1, pGCRNA2, and pGCRNA3, respectively. Each plasmid contained the full-length segment with the exact 3′ end processed by the hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme present in the plasmids. Each plasmid was transformed into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1 and agroinfiltrated as previously described (8, 9, 37). We then assembled plasmids that contained RNA1 plus RNA3, RNA2 plus RNA3, RNA1 and RNA2, and finally a clone containing RNA1, RNA2, and RNA3 together. The clones were assembled through PstI partial digestion and Klenow fill-in to eliminate one of the two sites upstream or downstream from the RNA-expressing construct and by inserting the 35S-INA(X)-HDV fragment (cut with PstI), where “X” is any of the three genome sequences, in a step-by-step process. Deletion of RNA1 was carried out through PvuII digestion and religation of pGCRNA1, or, alternatively, through NsiI-NcoI digestion, Klenow treatment, and religation of the same plasmid giving pGCRNA1PvuII and pGCRNA1NcoINcoI clones, respectively. Another deletion in RNA1 was obtained by using the original RT-PCR the primers RNA1F and OuMV-RNA1-2613R, a reverse primer which anneals in a region of RNA1 immediately downstream from the ORF1 stop codon; this clone (pGCRNA1A13′) expresses full-length ORF1 without the 3′ untranslated region (UTR; circa 200 nucleotides [nt]) present in full-length RNA1. A list of the main constructs and their schematic representation are given in Fig. 2.

In vitro transcription and transcript inoculation. For in vitro transcription and transcript inoculation, we scrupulously followed the protocols previously detailed (66), except that the template for transcription was obtained through gel purification of a PCR product carried out with the high-fidelity polymerase Phusion (Finnzymes) and using as a template the plasmids pGCRNA1, pGCRNA2, and pGCRNA3, respectively.

Cell fractionation, nuclei purification, and Western and Northern blot analyses. Cellular fractionation between soluble (SOL) and membrane-bound proteins (P30 fraction; from centrifugation at 30,000 × g) was performed as described previously (45). Nuclear extracts were obtained with a Celllytic PN plant nuclei isolation/extraction kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) according to the manufacturer’s instructions following the protocol for a highly pure preparation. Western and Northern blot analyses were carried out as previously described (53), except that minus- and plus-strand probes were derived by cloning fragments of each RNA in pSC-B-amp/kan (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). In detail, a probe for RNA1 was derived with a 5′ end 300-bp fragment obtained through PCR by using RNA1-1F and RNA1-RealR. The fragment was cloned in pSC-B-amp/kan, and both orientations were kept and cut for transcription with EcoRV. Similarly, a probe for RNA2 was obtained by using the oligonucleotides RNA2-1F and RNA2-RealR; the probe for RNA3 was assembled with a 400-bp fragment obtained with the oligonucleotides RNA3-1F and RNA3-RealR. Conditions for Myc detection by Western blot analysis were previously described (45).

Virion partial purification, whole-virus agaro gel essay, and electron microscopic analyses. For partial virion purification, 1 g of the area of the agroinfiltrated leaves was processed using a FastPrep bead beater (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH), placing the leaf tissue in a 15-ml Falcon tube with 5 ml of 0.5 mm zirconia beads and 8 ml of protein extraction buffer as previously described (45). The leaf extract was left at 37°C for 30 min to allow endogenous RNase activity to take place (25). Five milliliters of the extract was then mixed with an equal volume of chloroform by vortexing, and the phases were separated by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was treated with 10% polyethylene glycol (PEG) (8,000 molecular weight [MW]) and 1% NaCl and precipitated for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 20 min, the pellet was resuspended in 300 ml of 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7). Agarose gels for whole-virus separation were prepared and run as previously described (50). Samples were transferred to nylon or nitrocellulose membranes through capillary transfer. Western and Northern blot analyses were carried out following standard protocols as previously described (53). The negatively stained, partially virion preparation trapped on the grid was examined by immunosorbent electron microscopy (ISEM) techniques as previously described (43). Specific anti-CP antiserum (A253) previously described (53) was diluted 1:2,000.

Confocal microscopy observation. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Leica TCS-SP2 microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) equipped with a long-distance 40× water immersion objective (HCX Apo 0.80). A 488-nm Ar laser line was used to excite the GFP and to collect transmitted light images of the samples. An emission window of 500 to 525 nm was used to image GFP fluorescence. CFP fluorescence was excited with the 458-nm line of a Leica TCS-SP2 microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) equipped with a long-distance 40× water immersion objective (HCX Apo 0.80). A 488-nm Ar laser line was used to excite the GFP and to collect transmitted light images of the samples. An emission window of 500 to 525 nm was used to image GFP fluorescence. CFP fluorescence was excited with the 458-nm line of an Ar laser and recorded at 450 to 510 nm. Red fluorescent protein (RFP) fluorescence was excited using the 544-nm line of an He/Ne laser and imaged at 550 to 620 nm. Subcellular localization of the fluorescent fusion proteins was analyzed in either single optical sections or z-axis projections from several overlaid sections.

Transgenic plants expressing RFP-endoplasmic reticulum (RFP-ER) and RFP-histone 2B (RFP-H2B) (18, 39) were used as controls for localization of ER and nuclei. pBin-GFP and pBInGfp5-ER were previously described (37, 68, 198).
N. benthamiana protoplasts from agroinfected leaves were derived at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) as previously described (24).

RESULTS

Infectivity of binary recombinant plasmids and of in vitro transcribed viral RNA. Through direct cloning of the full-length RT-PCR product of each genomic segment in pJL89 (34), we obtained clones expressing each of the three RNAs downstream from a 35S promoter, and each RNA is putatively processed by an HDV ribozyme (58) to the exact 3′ end previously determined (Fig. 2). Using oligonucleotides incorporating the T7 promoter immediately upstream from the viral genomic sequence, we derived the templates for in vitro transcription of the three genomic RNAs through PCR. Agroinfiltration and mechanical inoculation of in vitro generated positive-sense transcripts resulted in systemic infection of N. benthamiana plants when the three constructs were mixed; we also found that agroinfiltration of the single plasmid containing all three OuMV segments gave infection. All infections were confirmed by Western and Northern blot analyses (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), and systemic symptoms were undistinguishable from those obtained through mechanical inoculation of the original VE9 isolate (see Fig. S1).

RNA1 encodes a fully competent viral replicase. When we infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves with pGC-RNA1 designed to generate only OuMV RNA1, Northern blot analysis of infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves were derived at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) as previously described (24).

FIG. 2. Schematic representation of constructs built and used in this study. Each clone has the same pJL89-derived backbone, where double Stul/SmaI restriction digestion allows insertion of blunt-end PCR fragments derived from RT-PCR of full-length genomic segments between the double 35S promoter (2-35S) and the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme (Rz) followed by a Nos terminator. Arrow directions indicate the orientation of the viral transcripts obtained for each of the described clones. The arrows indicate each ORF encoded by the genome.
trated leaves showed accumulation of both minus- and plus-strand OuMV RNA1, demonstrating that agroinfiltration of RNA1 alone is sufficient for its \textit{cis} replication (Fig. 3A). Deletions in RNA1 in two different regions (PvuII deletion and NsiI-NcoI deletion) abolished the ability to accumulate minus-strand RNA, thereby showing a lack of replication. This is the first genetic evidence that, indeed, RNA1 encodes a fully competent viral replicase and that this is sufficient for its own replication.

We also constructed another plasmid designed to express the full-length coding sequence of ORF1 but lacking the 200 nt of the RNA1 3’ UTR. When this was agroinfiltrated alone and in combination with pGC-RNA3, there was no replication of RNA1. However, we did find evidence for generation of a functional replicase as RNA3 replication was observed in the doubly infiltrated tissue (Fig. 3B). This demonstrates that the OuMV RNA1-encoded RdRP is sufficient for replication of RNA3 in \textit{trans}.

**Virion assembly requires actively replicating RNA3.** We next evaluated requirements for virus particle accumulation in the agroinfiltrated leaves. Electron microscopic observation of sap or partially purified virions failed to reveal the presence of virus particles when only pGC-RNA3 was agroinfiltrated in \textit{N. benthamiana} leaves, as did ISEM (Fig. 4A). These data suggest that the mere expression of RNA3-encoded CP by itself cannot originate capsids although both the RNA3 and the CP were present in the agroinfiltrated leaf, as shown by Western and Northern blot analyses (Fig. 4B). However, particles were detected when pGC-RNA1 and pGC-RNA3 were agroinfiltrated together. These data show that RNA2 is not required for particle formation (Fig. 4A) but also suggest that actively replicating RNA3 is required. RNA1 replication in itself is not necessary for virion formation since pGC-RNA1 lacking the RNA1 3’ UTR was able to support replication and encapsidation of RNA3 (Fig. 3B and 4C). Furthermore, Northern blot analysis of RNA extracted from the purified particles confirmed that RNA1 lacking the whole 3’ UTR was not encapsidated. This also might suggest that requirements for encapsidation are present in the 3’ UTR of RNA1 (Fig. 4C).

Apparent inconsistencies between different experiments related to RNA3 and CP expression when RNA3 was agroinfiltrated alone or with an active viral RdRP could be due to the
different time points of extraction after agroinfiltration. For example, in the earlier phases, when transcription still predominates, the amounts of RNA3 and CP are comparable among the various combinations (as shown in Fig. 4C); in the later phases, when viral replication predominates over the simple accumulation of processed RNA from plasmid transcription from the 35S promoter, the differences between the different treatments (with or without active viral RdRP) are much higher (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material).

Viral determinants for local and systemic infection in *N. benthamiana* plants. Necrotic local lesions were always visible on *N. benthamiana* leaves inoculated with a combination of all three RNA transcripts and/or in the agroinfiltrated area, and systemic symptoms later developed on upper leaves (Table 1; see also Fig. S2 and Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). When any of the genome segments alone were used as the inoculum, no local lesions or systemic symptoms were apparent. We next evaluated various combinations of the genome segments for their abilities to induce local lesions and systemic infection. When only pGC-RNA1 and pGC-RNA2 were used, necrotic local lesions developed on inoculated leaves (Table 1; see also Fig. S2 and Fig. S3). Interestingly, with the same plasmid mix, well-defined necrotic areas on the upper uninoculated leaves were also observed (12 dpi) (Fig. 5A). However, in contrast to inoculations with all three plasmids, when only genome segments 1 and 2 were expressed, the sizes of the necrotic areas remained limited throughout the life of the plant. In order to confirm that the predicted RNA2-encoded MP was produced in these infections, we used Western blot analysis of the symptomatic and nonsymptomatic areas of the upper leaves. In the necrotic areas, the MP was abundantly expressed, whereas the CP was absent, as expected (Fig. 5B).
However, no accumulation of MP was observed in the neighboring asymptomatic areas of the leaves (Fig. 5B), showing that, although vascular transport was allowed, subsequent spread within the upper leaves did not occur. Accumulation of RNA1 in the symptomatic area was also demonstrated through Northern blot analysis (data not shown). Therefore, long-distance movement of both the RNA1 and RNA2 genomic segments through the vascular system does not strictly require the CP. But as these partial systemic infections failed to spread in the upper leaves, this suggests that the CP is instead required for efficient and complete infection of the upper leaves.

The expression of each genomic RNA through agroinfiltration, either alone or in all possible combinations with the remaining genomic RNAs, was evaluated locally in the agroinfiltrated areas through Northern and Western blotting (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material); RNA1 accumulation of both positive and negative strands was higher when RNA1 was coagroinfiltrated with either RNA2 or RNA3 or both, and expression levels of both RNA2 and RNA3 and of their derived proteins were much lower when either was agroinfiltrated singly than when coincoculated with RNA1. No synergistic effect was observed for the coexpression of both RNA2 and RNA3 in the same leaf area. The upregulation of RNA1 accumulation when RNA1 was coexpressed with replicating RNA3 (as shown in Fig. 3A; see also S4) could be due to direct protection against RNA degradation due to coat protein encapsidation although other explanations, such as interference with antiviral defense pathways, namely, posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS), cannot be ruled out. A further noteworthy detail is the higher accumulation of CP and of RNA3 when the three segments are agroinfiltrated together as opposed to the mix of RNA1 and RNA3 only (as shown in Fig. 4A; see also Fig. S4). Such upregulation could depend on either a direct synergistic effect of RNA2 or an indirect effect caused by the higher number of infected cells, due to the promotion of movement exerted by the presence of actively replicating RNA2.

The role of the RNA2-encoded ORF in cell-to-cell movement. Taken together, the above experiments demonstrate that all three genomic RNAs and their encoded proteins are required for development of full-fledged systemic infections, but the specific role of the RNA2-encoded putative MP was still not proven. Therefore, to investigate the role of this protein, we performed microscopic analyses to assess possible MP trafficking and subcellular localization. A dilution series of standardized agrobacterial clone suspensions of pGC-GFP-MP, pGC-3GFP, pGC-3CFP, or pGC-GFP-CP (Fig. 2) were used to obtain transient expression of GFP-MP, GFP and CFP, or GFP-CP. Observation of fluorescence at 3 days postagroinfiltration showed that CFP, GFP, or GFP-CP was retained only in single cells, whereas in the same leaves GFP-MP expression was also visible in groups of cells, indicating that the chimeric protein was not localized to individual cells (Fig. 6). Our attempts to derive actively replicating virus vectors (Fig. 2) which replaced the CP with GFP failed (data not shown), but the pGC-RNA3GFP clone was still able to express GFP, presumably because of 35S-driven activity. This clone was therefore used to show that RNA2 can contribute to rendering the cell-to-cell connection (plasmodesmata or de novo tubule formation) more permeable to GFP. Using a dilution series of the agroinoculation mix to obtain single transfected cells (10⁻³ dilution), we observed that GFP was confined to one cell when pGC-RNA1 plus pGC-RNA3GFP was agroinfiltrated, whereas when pGC-RNA1 plus pGC-RNA3GFP was diluted into a pGC-RNA2 suspension and used for agroinoculation, strong GFP fluorescence in single cells was associated with a weaker fluorescent signal in one or more of the surrounding cells (data not shown), similar to what we observed with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum type and RNA segment(s)</th>
<th>Systemic infection</th>
<th>Necrotic local response</th>
<th>Virion formation in agroinfiltrated leaves</th>
<th>CP accumulation in agroinfiltrated leaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agroclone(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA2(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA2(+), RNA3(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA2(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA3(+) + RNA2(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1Δ3, RNA2(+), RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA transcript(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA2(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA2(+), RNA3(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA2(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1(+) + RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA3(+) + RNA2(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA1Δ3, RNA2(+), RNA3(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ NA, not applicable.
GFP-MP fusion. This result does not necessarily imply that virus infection can move from one cell to another in the absence of the CP, but it does suggest that RNA2-encoded MP facilitates GFP transport to adjacent cells. Taken together, these two experiments show that both the MP expressed from RNA2 and the GFP-MP fusion facilitate protein movement to neighboring cells, which is a functional feature of viral movement proteins.

We next characterized the subcellular localization of the MP in vivo by visualizing fluorescence from the GFP-MP fusion in epidermal agroinfiltrated cells and protoplasts derived from agroinfiltrated leaves. Two constructs were used. The first (pBin-GFP-MP) expressed the GFP-MP fusion from the pBin61 vector, and so a canonical mRNA with a poly(A) tail was transiently transcribed in this case. The second construct (pGC-GFP-MP) expressed GFP-MP from the OuMV RNA2 clone, so the primary RNA was processed through a ribozyme. In both cases, fluorescence was detected although quantitatively the pBin-GFP-MP showed much higher fluorescence (data not shown). The epidermal cells from leaves agroinfiltrated with pGC-GFP-MP showed a weak cytoplasmic fluorescence and a striking punctate pattern within the cell walls (Fig. 7A, C, and E). Similar structures were never observed in control plants expressing the GFP alone (Fig. 7B, D, and F), where the cell wall was clearly visible as a dark line separating the fluorescent cytoplasm of adjacent cells. No obvious colocalization with RFP-ER (in transgenic plants) was observed (data not shown), indicating that pGC-GFP-MP fluorescence was not associated with the endoplasmic reticulum. Protoplasts from agroinfiltrated leaves showed brightly labeled bodies in an otherwise weakly fluorescent cytoplasm. More interestingly, this fluorescence pattern was associated with intense labeling of tubular protrusions extending from the plasma membrane into the extracellular space (Fig. 8A to D); the tubules were not present when GFP or GFP-ER constructs were used (Fig. 8E to H).

**CP subcellular localization.** The above experiments as well as computer-assisted predictions of the OuMV-encoded...
RNA2 protein suggest that it is an MP. However, given the limited size of the local or systemic necrotic lesions when RNA3 was not expressed, a direct or indirect role of the CP in facilitating virus spread needed to be addressed. For this purpose we analyzed by confocal microscopy the subcellular localization of GFP-MP fusion expressed in epidermal cells. Agro-suspensions precisely diluted at an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 were agroinfiltrated in 1/10 serial dilutions until single-cell transfection was obtained. Only fully developed *N. benthamiana* leaves were agroinfiltrated. All pictures correspond to the 10⁻¹ dilution. Fluorescence images (A, C, E, and G) are overlaid with the respective bright-field images (B, D, F, and H). (A and B) Localization of pBinGFP-CP fluorescence limited to the transfected epidermal cell (asterisk). The weak red signal (A and B, E and F, and G and H) derives from excitation of chloroplast autofluorescence in the mesophyll. Analogous single-cell localization (asterisk) of pBin-CFP (C and D) fluorescence is visible as well as for pBin-GFP (E and F). When pBinGFP-MP was agroinfiltrated (G and H), fluorescence leaked from the transfected cell (asterisk) to the neighboring cells (arrowhead). Bar, 20 μm.

FIG. 6. Cell-to-cell movement of GFP-MP fusion expressed in epidermal cells. Agro-suspensions precisely diluted at an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5 were agroinfiltrated in 1/10 serial dilutions until single-cell transfection was obtained. Only fully developed *N. benthamiana* leaves were agroinfiltrated. All pictures correspond to the 10⁻¹ dilution. Fluorescence images (A, C, E, and G) are overlaid with the respective bright-field images (B, D, F, and H). (A and B) Localization of pBinGFP-CP fluorescence limited to the transfected epidermal cell (asterisk). The weak red signal (A and B, E and F, and G and H) derives from excitation of chloroplast autofluorescence in the mesophyll. Analogous single-cell localization (asterisk) of pBin-CFP (C and D) fluorescence is visible as well as for pBin-GFP (E and F). When pBinGFP-MP was agroinfiltrated (G and H), fluorescence leaked from the transfected cell (asterisk) to the neighboring cells (arrowhead). Bar, 20 μm.

FIG. 7. Subcellular localization of GFP-MP versus GFP in *N. benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells, observed 3 days postagroinfiltration. Images show localization of pGC-GFP-MP (A, C, and E) and pGC-RNA3-GFP (B, D, and F) in agroinfiltrated leaves. The fluorescence images in panels A and B are overlaid with the corresponding bright-field images in panels C and D; only the overlaid pictures are shown for the higher magnification images in panels E and F. In panels A and C the punctate pattern of MP-GFP fluorescence and its association with the cell wall are visible (arrowhead); panel E shows a particularly evident pattern (arrowheads). Localization of free GFP (B and D) to the cytoplasm clearly identifies the unlabeled cell wall (F) as a dark line separating the fluorescent cytoplasms of adjacent cells (arrowheads). Bars, 10 μm (A to D) and 5 μm (E and F).
has been detected in proteome studies specific for the nucleolus (48), our results are consistent with previous studies reporting the exclusion of H2B-RFP from the nucleolus in transgenic plants expressing such a construct (39). Finally, although GFP alone is able to permeate the nucleus, this is generally not the case when the GFP is fused to nonnuclear proteins. Furthermore, this permeability does not extend to the nucleolus (see Fig. S5), suggesting that accumulation of GFP-CP inside the nucleolus is indeed due to the CP. Nuclear localization of the CP was also suggested by its presence in the purified preparations of the nuclei, where the MPs were absent (Fig. 9G). In a setting of viral infection, the MP proved to be a particularly good control for contamination of the nuclear fraction with cytoplasmic proteins since it was present in both the soluble and the P30 fraction (see next paragraph) (Fig. 10).

**Accumulation of MP and CP in cytosolic and noncytosolic fractions.** In order to validate the above localization observations, a cell fractionation protocol to separate soluble from membrane-bound proteins was applied to the virus-infected plants, and the CP and MP distribution in these fractions was analyzed. MP was predominantly found in the membrane fraction, whereas the CP accumulated preferentially in the soluble fraction (Fig. 10A); but both proteins were present also in the other fraction. In contrast, when the same separation protocol was applied to leaves expressing CP and MP not in a setting of a viral infection, but rather mixed through agroinfiltration in the absence of RNA1, the CP was detected only in the soluble fraction, and the MP was detected only in the membrane-enriched fraction (Fig. 10A). As a control for proper separation, GFP was found only in the soluble fraction (as expected), whereas a GFP-MP fusion was found only in the P30 fraction (Fig. 10B). In the separation protocol we used, nuclei (and all cellular organelles) are disposed through an initial low-speed centrifugation step (45).

**DISCUSSION**

Although discovered more than 30 years ago (35), ourmiviruses have been carefully studied only recently (53). Surprisingly, sequence analysis of their genomes revealed no obvious relationship with any of the viruses whose sequences are deposited in publicly available databases, except for a very distant similarity in their RdRPs with those of members of the Naraviridae, a heterogeneous family of viruses that does not include plant viruses. Furthermore, similarity was noted for the Ourmiviruses MP with those of the plant aureoviruses (53). Also, their virion morphology is unique among viruses characterized so far (35). The very distant relationships to plant viruses and their unique morphology prompted us to further characterize the details of their life cycle through a reverse genetic approach. We successfully assembled a set of full-length cDNA clones putatively transcribing in vivo each exact RNA genome segment, thanks to a 35S promoter and an HDV ribozyme to process the exact 3’ end. Agroinfiltration of a mix of the three clones efficiently reproduced an infection on *N. benthamiana* indistinguishable from that obtained by mechanical inoculation with the wild-type virus.

We found that OuMV local infections and necrotic local lesions can be induced only when both RNA1 and RNA2 are inoculated together, whereas either of the two genomic segments alone failed to infect plants and induce symptoms. We could rule out that this might have been due to the lack of accumulation of a functional protein in each of the two single transcript inoculations; in fact, when each of the two genome-
FIG. 9. Nucleolar localization of GFP-CP fusion protein. Images show subcellular localization of GFP-CP fusion in *N. benthamiana* protoplasts (A to C) and epidermal cells (D to F) and Western blotting for CP and MP localization on nuclear extracts (G). (A) In protoplasts, GFP-CP shows the strongest fluorescent intensity in the nucleolus (arrowhead) although clear labeling is present in the whole nucleus. (B) A weaker signal is visible in the cytoplasm after the gain of the photo multiplier tube was increased. (C) Overlay of the image in panel A with the corresponding bright-field image to visualize cell boundaries. An analogous strong labeling of the nucleolus is visible in leaf epidermal cells. In this case, the GFP-CP construct was agroinfiltrated in transgenic plants expressing H2B-RFP. (D) GFP fluorescence, where strong nucleolar labeling is evident (arrowheads). (E) Nucleoli are also visible as dark unlabeled spots in the corresponding H2B-RFP image, due to the exclusion of H2B from the nucleolus. (F) Both fluorescent signals overlaid with the bright-field image of the same cells. n, nucleus. Bar 20 μm. (G) Western blot showing the presence of OuMV CP in a purified nuclear extract from OuMV-infected *N. benthamiana* plants. No MP is present in the extract, as demonstrated by the lack of labeling with both anti-MP and anti-Myc antibodies (ab) since a Myc-MP fusion was agroinfiltrated and used for the OuMV infection. Thermo Scientific Pierce Blue Prestained MW Marker (Pierce) is the molecular weight marker (MWM), and the sizes of the bands are expressed in thousands.
expressing plasmids was inoculated alone, we observed direct evidence of MP accumulation for RNA2 (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material), while for the RNA1-encoded ORF1 the accumulation of minus-strand RNA1 is indirect evidence for the accumulation of active replicase protein (Fig. 3). This suggests that direct or indirect interaction between the two proteins is indeed necessary to elicit necrotic local lesions on *N. benthamiana*. Furthermore, the lack of necrotic response when the coinfection was carried out with an RNA1 with a deleted 3'/H11032 UTR but which still allowed for replication of RNA2 suggests the hypothesis that necrotic response requires active replication of RNA1 (or a region in the 3' UTR) and not simply accumulation of the RdRP and the MP together in the same cell. Necrotic response has been mapped to a single specific virus protein or an RNA domain, as in the classic case of p19 of the *Tomato bushy stunt virus* (TBSV) in *Nicotiana tabacum* (60) or in the 5' UTR of the nepovirus *Grapevine chrome mosaic virus* (15). More complex models for necrotic response (particularly for a generalized lethal necrosis response), implying the requirements of more than one virus-encoded protein, have also been hypothesized (10, 38).

Arguably, the most interesting result from our study is the link between virus replication and virion assembly. Virion formation and RNA encapsidation have been studied in vitro in the *Tomato mosaic virus* (TMV) model since the early 1950s (16). Other virus models where the virus is able to self-assemble in vitro include the *Brome mosaic virus* (BMV), *Turnip crinkle virus* (TCV), *Alfalfa mosaic virus* (AMV), and *Cowpea mosaic virus* (CPMV) (22). Studies of virion formation in vivo are more recent, and new insights into virion in vivo assembly and packaging have been gained with the use of agroinfiltration (5). Within this context, very few model systems are under investigation, and detailed descriptions of plus-stranded isometric viruses have only recently been reviewed (52). To our knowledge, our study is the first to indicate that virion assembly of a nonenveloped plus-stranded RNA virus strictly requires the presence of RNA derived from replication (excluding RNA derived from transcription only). Coupling between replication and packaging in plus-strand RNA viruses was first shown to occur in the poliovirus (46). Two other animal viruses, flock house virus (FHV) and Kunjin virus (KUNV), were demonstrated to strictly require replication for proper packaging (28, 67). Among plant viruses, the best-studied model for packaging is the *Brome mosaic virus* (BMV), a tripartite plant virus where coupling between replication and packaging was also shown, with clear evidence for its role in properly selecting the encapsidated progeny RNA, excluding cellular RNA (4–6). Furthermore, a specific mutant of the N-terminal part of the CP can assemble in vivo, but not in vitro, and an interaction between the CP and the replicase was hypothesized (11). Nevertheless, for BMV, in vivo production of BMV CP and RNA3 indeed results in virion formation (5), whereas in the ourmiavirus model, virion formation itself occurs only with active replication of RNA3. In ourmiaviruses, active replication is therefore also required for the structural aspects of capsid assembly, which to our knowledge has not yet been described in nonenveloped plus-strand RNA viruses. A recent report that also hints at a role for replicase in packaging was published for the *Turnip yellow mosaic virus* (TYMV) (61), a virus species which cannot be assembled in vitro but requires

**FIG. 10.** Distribution of OuMV CP and MP in subcellular fractions during active viral infection or coexpressed through agroinfiltration in the absence of RNA1. (A) Western blot of proteins from virus infection (pGCRNA1+2+3) and coagroinfiltration of RNA2 and RNA3 (pGCRNA2+3) in the absence of RNA1. Samples were processed at 4 dpi. Arrows indicate the specific CP and MP bands. ab, antibody. (B) Control for proper separation between cytosolic and noncytosolic fraction. GFP was expressed from pBin-GFP through agroinfiltration, and samples for Western blotting were processed at 3 dpi. GFP-MP is a fusion of GFP with the movement protein obtained from agroinfiltration of pBin-GFP-MP and processed at 3 dpi. Sol, cytosolic fraction; P30, noncytosolic, membranous fraction; mock, pBin61-infiltrated leaf; ab, antibody. Bottom panels show Coomassie staining of a replicate of the gel used for Western blotting. Thermo Scientific Pierce Blue Prestained MW Marker (Pierce) is the molecular weight marker (MWM), and the sizes of the bands are expressed in thousands.
the presence of replication vesicles induced in the outer chloroplast membrane (40).

Phylogenetic analysis has suggested that the RNA2-encoded protein could act as a movement protein (53). Furthermore, antibodies against the OuMV MP specifically reacted with virion-containing tubular structures found in the cytoplasm and across the cell walls (53). Here, we provide the first genetic evidence confirming the hypothesis that the RNA2-encoded protein is a movement protein. Lack of RNA2 in the transcript mix resulted in no visible infection foci on the inoculated leaf. Although RNA1 alone is replication competent, no symptoms developed on inoculated leaves, and it remained localized in inoculated cells. When coinfected with RNA2, however, symptoms developed on the inoculated and distal leaves; thus, the RNA2-encoded MP facilitated cell and vascular transport of both RNA1 and RNA2 as well.

Confocal microscopy of GFP-MP fusion expression in the agroinfiltrated area revealed that the MP is present in cytoplasmic connections across the plant cell walls; GFP-MP fusion expression also stimulates the formation of plasma membrane tubular protrusions in protoplasts derived from the agroinfiltrated area. This localization of GFP-MP in vivo is consistent with previous descriptions of tubule formation stimulated by the expression of the MP in other virus model systems (19, 26, 27, 54, 63).

Taking together the results from the genetic analysis and MP localization, we can outline a model where cell-to-cell virus movement is realized efficiently as virus particles, as previously hypothesized from electron microscopy observations (53). The CP is not strictly necessary for cell-to-cell or long-distance movement since in the presence of RNA1 and RNA2 mixed inoculation, we could detect localized infection in the inoculated and upper uninoculated leaves; however, these infections failed to develop fully in the upper leaves. In this model, the RNA3-encoded CP (likely assembled as virions) aids in efficient invasion of the mesophyll, possibly interfering with the plant antiviral defense systems (sensu latu) or in specific steps involving barriers between leaf tissues. Furthermore, the formation of membranous tubules in protoplasts expressing GFP-MP suggests that cell-to-cell movement occurs through tubules passing within highly modified plasmodesmata, as occurs with several other RNA and DNA viruses (26, 27, 54, 63).

This is in apparent contrast with the phylogenetic similarity of the OuMV MP with the MPs of the aureoviruses, a group of plant viruses devoid of tubule formation and in which the CP is dispensable for cell-to-cell movement. This apparent paradox should be viewed in the context of studies which have found that different types of MPs can complement each other across functionally classified groups (2, 33, 44, 62). To our knowledge, the Ourmiavirus model would be the first tubule-forming virus that does not strictly require the CP for cell-to-cell movement and therefore shares properties with non-tubule-forming plant virus models. The fact that the CP is not strictly required for long-distance movement in vascular tissue is another feature shared by a few plant virus groups. But this property is often host specific: viruses having this property occur among the tombusviruses (14, 55, 59), the dianthoviruses (69), the hordeiviruses (23), the umbraviruses (57), the tobaviruses (64), and the pomoviruses (41). Although our data show that the CP of ourmiaviruses is not strictly required for vascular movement, its role in rendering vascular movement more efficient cannot be excluded. Further work is needed to elucidate the histological details of movement and replication in different plant tissues and organs. In addition, we cannot exclude a possible specific role of the CP in overcoming specific barriers to movement, such as phloem uploading or unloading (17), since agroinoculation itself could be a factor in overcoming such barriers.

Another interesting result here is the nucleolar localization of the OuMV CP. There is a growing body of evidence (21) for the nuclear localization of proteins encoded by plus-stranded RNA viruses (in both animals and plants) that replicate in the cytoplasm; in some cases, such viruses were demonstrated not to require a nuclear phase for their replication (21). Studies on RNA plant viruses have reported the presence of virus-encoded proteins in the nucleus: in the Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), the silencing suppressor protein 2b displayed nuclear localization (36); the Tombusvirus p19 is also translocated by plant ALY proteins to the nucleus (13). In other RNA plant virus systems, such as sobemovirus, umbravirus, potyvirus, luteoviruses, and the Satellite panicum mosaic virus (SPMV), the CP itself is localized to the nucleus (20, 30, 47, 49, 56). To date, the function of nucleolar localization has been shown in only two systems: in umbraviruses, ORF3 localization to the nucleolus was described as being necessary for long-distance virus spread (31). In this system, the role of fibrillarin, a nuclear protein, was found to be important for the assembly of RNP particles which are transported systemically (12, 29). Our genetic analyses seem to exclude the role of the CP in long-distance movement in ourmiaviruses, at least in N. benthamiana. A second system where the role of nucleolar localization was demonstrated is the potyvirus Potato virus A (PVA), where nucleolar inclusion protein a (NiA) localization to the nucleus and the nucleolus was reported to be relevant for several essential steps in the viral life cycle (51). An area of further work is the mapping of subdomains in both the MP and CP necessary for their subcellular localization and functionality. A bioinformatic approach (http://psort.hgc.jp/) showed the presence of putative nuclear localization signals in the CP sequence, which will be validated by a mutagenesis approach in future work.

In this study we also show the different separation profiles of the MP and the CP into soluble and membrane-bound fractions depending on their expression within the context of a viral infection or simply thorough coexpression in the same cell without RNA1. In OuMV-infected plants, MP and CP were found in both the membranous and soluble fractions. If active replication does not occur, such as upon agroinfiltration and transient expression, both proteins were present in only one of the two fractions. The different affinities for the differential centrifugation fractions do not imply different subcellular localizations. Further in vivo subcellular localization experiments investigating the interaction between the two proteins and the replicase are needed. A well-studied example of different subcellular localizations (and affinity for subcellular fractions) of a specific viral protein is that of the SPMV CP (49). In this system, only the whole virion is cytosolic, whereas mutations inhibiting virion formation induce CP accumulation in various other compartments, including the cell wall, nuclei, and membranes.
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