Coxsackievirus B3 Infection Activates the Unfolded Protein Response and Induces Apoptosis through Downregulation of p58IPK and Activation of CHOP and SREBP1
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Cardiomyocyte apoptosis is a hallmark of coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3)-induced myocarditis. We used cardiomyocytes and HeLa cells to explore the cellular response to CVB3 infection, with a focus on pathways leading to apoptosis. CVB3 infection triggered endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and differentially regulated the three arms of the unfolded protein response (UPR) initiated by the proximal ER stress sensors ATF6a (activating transcription factor 6a), IRE1-XBP1 (X box binding protein 1), and PERK (PKR-like ER protein kinase). Upon CVB3 infection, glucose-regulated protein 78 expression was upregulated, and in turn ATF6a and XBP1 were activated via protein cleavage and mRNA splicing, respectively. UPR activity was further confirmed by the enhanced expression of UPR target genes ERdj4 and EDEM1. Surprisingly, another UPR-associated gene, p58IPK, which is often upregulated during infections with other types of viruses, was downregulated at both mRNA and protein levels after CVB3 infection. These findings were observed similarly for uninfected Tet-On HeLa cells induced to overexpress ATF6a or XBP1. In exploring potential connections between the three UPR pathways, we found that the ATF6a-induced downregulation of p58IPK was associated with the activation of CHOP and SREBP1. We confirmed that p58IPK, a negative regulator of PERK and PKR, mediates cross-talk between the ATF6a/IRE1-XBP1 and PERK arms. Finally, we found that CVB3 infection eventually produced the induction of the proapoptotic transcription factor CHOP and the activation of SREBP1 and caspase-12. Taken together, these data suggest that CVB3 infection activates UPR pathways and induces ER stress-mediated apoptosis through the suppression of p58IPK and induction/activation of CHOP, SREBP1, and caspase-12.

Coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3) is a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus of the genus Enterovirus in the family Picornaviridae and can cause acute or chronic viral myocarditis. Epidemiological studies reveal that viral myocarditis is one of the major heart diseases worldwide, particularly in infants, children, and adolescents (17). Further, CVB3-induced myocarditis can result in dilated cardiomyopathy, a condition for which the only treatment is heart transplantation (12). CVB3 infection has been studied for decades in various systems, but the mechanisms of pathogenesis underlying CVB3-induced myocarditis in humans remain poorly defined. Cumulative evidence suggests that both direct viral injury and subsequent inflammatory responses contribute to the damage of cardiac myocytes, and that the extent of such damage determines the severity of late-stage heart dysfunction (10, 35). Previous studies have documented that apoptosis in cardiomyocytes can result in damaged myocardial tissue and is a hallmark of CVB3-induced myocarditis (1, 45). Although it has been shown that apoptosis facilitates the release of viral progeny during CVB3 infection (9, 48), the molecular events leading to apoptosis in CVB3-infected cells have not been well characterized.

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) system, a primary site for protein synthesis and folding, is a major site of signal initiation and transduction in response to a variety of stimuli, including virus infections (24, 66). Endogenous imbalances in cells, such as the overproduction of proteins, the accumulation of mutant proteins, or the loss of calcium homeostasis, can cause a malfunction of cellular processes and stress to the ER system (26). In response to ER stress, a coordinated adaptive program called the unfolded protein response (UPR) is activated and serves to minimize the accumulation and aggregation of misfolded proteins by increasing the capacity of the ER machinery to fold proteins correctly and activate the degradation of aberrant proteins. The UPR program represents a network of signal transduction from the ER to various locations within the cytoplasm and the nucleus, resulting in either the enhancement of cell survival or the induction of apoptosis (5). Glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78) functions as a master regulator of the UPR, and its upregulation indicates the activation of the UPR program (18, 27, 44). Under normal conditions, GRP78 is associated with stress sensor proteins in the ER luminal domain. Under stress conditions, GRP78 is released and binds to misfolded proteins, resulting in the activation of stress sensors (22).

The UPR network of interactions is considered to have
three major arms, each activated by a characteristic sensor, PERK (PKR-like ER protein kinase), IRE1 (inositol-requiring enzyme 1), and ATF6a (activating transcription factor 6a) (59). The activation of the PERK pathway results in the phosphorylation of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eIF2α) subunit, leading to translation attenuation (18). PERK also activates the expression of ATF4, a transcription factor, leading to an upregulation of the proapoptotic genes CHOP (c/EBP homologous protein) and GADD34 (growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible protein-34) (38). IRE1 is a bifunctional ER transmembrane protein with both serine-threonine kinase and RNase activity (52, 56). Upon activation, IRE1 can remove a 26-nucleotide (nt) intron from unspliced X box binding protein 1 (XBP1) mRNA (XBP1u) by RNase activity, resulting in a translational frameshift. The spliced form of XBP1 mRNA (XBP1s) encodes a protein with a novel C terminus and acts as a potent transcriptional activator of many genes involved in the UPR (42). ATF6a is an ER transmembrane protein residing in the cytosol under normal physiological conditions. Upon the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER, ATF6a migrates to the Golgi apparatus, where it is cleaved by S1P and S2P proteases, releasing a soluble fragment that enters the nucleus and activates the transcription of ER chaperones and other genes responsible for correct protein folding (20).

A number of viruses have been shown to trigger ER stress upon infection. However, the pattern of molecular interactions that occurs within the UPR program differs depending on virus identity and type of host cell. Many viruses apparently activate only one or a subset of UPR pathways, and interestingly, some viral infections activate one pathway yet suppress others. For example, the expression of hepatitis C virus (HCV) proteins activates the PERK- and ATF6a-initiated pathways (4, 8, 40) and G418 in parallel for use as controls. To induce the expression of ATF6a with the empty vectors pTRE and pTK-Hyg also were selected by hygromycin blotting using the hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (Covance). Cells transfected with the empty vectors pTRE and pTK-Hyg also were selected by hygromycin and G418 in parallel for use as controls. To induce the expression of ATF6a or XBP1, cells were cultured for 12 to 72 h in medium containing 1 μg/ml doxycycline (Dox).

**Materials and Methods**

**Virus, cells, siRNAs, plasmids, and transfections.** CVB3 (CG strain) was obtained from Charles Gauntt (University of Texas Health Science Center) and propagated in HeLa cells (ATCC). Virus stock was isolated from cells by three freeze-thaw cycles followed by centrifugation to remove cell debris and was stored at −80°C. The titer of virus stock was determined by plaque assay as described previously (67) and below. HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 100 μg/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine, and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Clontech). The HL-1 cell line, a mouse cardiac muscle cell line established from a cardiomyocyte tumor lineage, was a gift from William C. Claycomb (Louisiana State University Health Science Center). HL-1 cells were maintained in Claycomb medium (11) supplemented with 10% FBS (JRH Biosciences), 100 μg/ml of penicillin-streptomycin/ml, 0.1 mM norepinephrine (Sigma), and 2 mM l-glutamine (Invitrogen). The individual short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting human or mouse XBP1 and human ATF6a and GRP78 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and transfected according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 2 × 10⁴ HeLa cells were grown at 37°C overnight to 60 to 70% confluence in 6-well plates, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and overlaid for 24 h with transfection complex containing siRNA and Oligofectamine (Invitrogen). The transfection medium was then replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS, and the incubation was continued for 48 h. The transfection of plasmid pCDA1-neo-sESTK (a gift from Michael Katze, University of Washington) and pCDA3.1-ATF6(71-273) (a gift from K. Mori, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan) into HeLa cells was conducted by the same procedures as those for siRNA described above, except Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) was the transfection reagent.

**Construction of pTRE-HA-XBP1u-GFP and pTRE-HA-ATF6a and production of double-stable Tet-On/HeLa cell lines.** CDNA of XBP1u fused with GFP (green fluorescence protein) was amplified from the plasmid pH-XBP1u-GFP (a gift from Yi-Ling Lin, Academia Sinica, Taiwan, Republic of China) by PCR using primers listed in Table 1. The fragments were digested by HindIII and XbaI and ligated into the pTRE-HA vector (Clontech). The recombinant plasmid was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The full-length CDNA of ATF6a was amplified by PCR from the plasmid pCMV-ATF6a (OriGene, Rockville, MD) using primers listed in Table 1. The pTRE-HA-ATF6a expression plasmid was constructed by using the same strategy as that for constructing pTRE-HA-XBP1u-GFP. The double-stable Tet-On cell line inducibly expressing ATF6a or XBP1 was established by plasmid transfection. Briefly, 10⁴ Tet-On HeLa cells were cotransfected with either pTRE-HA-ATF6a or pTRE-HA-XBP1u-GFP, along with pTK-Hyg (encoding hygromycin resistance), using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 8 h of incubation with 4 μg of plasmid DNA and Lipofectamine, the mixture was replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS and hygromycin or G418 at a concentration of 200 or 400 μg/ml, respectively, and incubation was continued for 2 days before the initial selection of double-stable cells. Doubly resistant clones were picked 3 weeks later and further screened for ATF6a or XBP1 protein expression by Western blotting using the hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (Covance). Cells transfected with the empty vectors pTRE and pTK-Hyg also were selected by hygromycin and G418 in parallel for use as controls. To induce the expression of ATF6a or XBP1, cells were cultured for 12 to 72 h in medium containing 1 μg/ml doxycycline (Dox).

**RNA preparation and RT-PCR analysis.** Total RNA from cultured cells was isolated with the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) by following the supplier's instructions. First-strand cDNAs were reverse transcribed (RT) with 3 μg of RNA as template, and the target genes were amplified by RT-PCR using procedures described in the one-step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen), with minor modifications. Briefly, 2 μg of first-strand cDNA and 100 ng of each primer (Table 1) were used for PCR under standard conditions for 30 cycles, a point confirmed as falling within the exponential phase of amplification.

**Western blot analysis.** Western immunoblotting was performed by standard protocols as previously described (32). Briefly, cells were washed with cold PBS before the addition of an appropriate volume of lysis buffer (0.025 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail). After incubation for 20 min on ice, the cell lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, and protein-containing supernatant was collected. For protein isolation, the NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction reagents (Pierce) were employed per the manufacturer's instructions. The isolated proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk in PBS and incubated with one of the following primary antibodies: monoclonal anti-human GRP78 (Transduction Laboratory), monoclonal anti-mouse actin (Sigma), monoclonal mouse anti-HA.11 ( Covance), monoclonal mouse anti-VP1 (Novocastra), rabbit polyclonal anti-human caspase-7, polyclonal anti-human p-eIF2α, monoclonal anti-human p58IPK, and polyclonal anti-human p78CHOP (Cell Signaling), monoclonal anti-mouse caspase-12, polyclonal anti-human PERK, polyclonal anti-mouse ATF6a, polyclonal anti-human XBP1, polyclonal anti-human SREBP1, monoclonal anti-human caspase-3, monoclonal anti-human CHOP, and polyclonal anti-mouse histone H1 (Santa Cruz). After several washes with PBS, each blot was further incubated with an appropriate secondary
TABLE 1. Primer sequences used for RT-PCR to detect gene expression and for cloning to construct Tet-On plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XBP1 (human)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CTGGAACAGCAAGTGGTAGA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CTGGATCCTCTGTTGGAAGTACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBP1 (mouse)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>AACAAGAATGAGCGGACGGACGTGC</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GAGATCTGAAACTAGGAGGTGTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p58IPK (human)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GAGGTTGGTGGTTGGATGCAG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CTGCTCGGTTGACTCAAATCAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p58IPK (mouse)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GAGGTTGGTGGTTGGATGCAG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TGAGCTGAAGGGATGAAACC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERdj 4 (human)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>AAAATAAGAGCCCGATGCCTG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CGCTTCTTGATTCAGTTT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERdj 4 (mouse)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TTAGAAATGGCCTACCAAGCTCA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TTGCTGAAACATCGGTATGTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDEM (human)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TCATCCGAGTTCCAGAAAGCAGTC</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TTGACATAGAGTGGGAGGTGCTTCCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDEM (mouse)</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GCCTCTTTTCTCTCCAGAATAAATA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CTCTCTCCCTTCTGAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBP1-GFP</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GATCCACAAGCTTATAGTTGTTG</td>
<td>Cloning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCCTGACGGCTCTAGATGATTATGATGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF6a</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GAGAAGAAGCTGGGAAATGGGGGAG</td>
<td>Cloning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>AAGAGCCTTGATGCTATTGGAATGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

CVB3 infection induces ER stress, and silencing of GRP78 sensitizes cells to virus-induced death yet inhibits viral protein production. GRP78 upregulation is a major indicator of ER stress. To study the effect of CVB3 infection on ER homeostasis, we first determined the expression of GRP78 in both HeLa cells and HL-1 cardiomyocytes at various time points post-infection (pi). Figure 1A and B show that GRP78 expression gradually increased and reached a high level at 12 h pi in both cell lines, although the upregulation of GRP78 expression was more robust in HeLa cells than in HL-1 cells. The upregulation of GRP78 indicates that CVB3 infection induces ER stress.

As the activation of ER stress response pathways is a protective mechanism against aberrant protein production, we were interested to explore the effect of GRP78 silencing on CVB3 replication and CVB3-induced cell death. Figure 1C shows higher cell death in CVB3-infected cells transfected with GRP78 siRNA (image d) than in infected cells transfected with a negative control (scrambled sequence) siRNA (image b) or in sham-infected cells transfected with GRP78 siRNA (image c). This result indicates that reduced GRP78 expression sensitizes the cells to CVB3-induced cell death, and this was further confirmed by the decreased viability of cells treated with GRP78 siRNA compared to that of cells treated with scrambled siRNA (Fig. 1D). In CVB3-infected cells, the increased activation of caspase-3, along with reduced viral replication, as indicated by the relative levels of the major CVB3 structural protein VP1, were apparent in GRP78 siRNA-
treated cells but not in those treated with scrambled siRNA (Fig. 1E).

**CVB3 infection activates the XBP1 pathway but suppresses p58IPK expression.** It has been established that under ER stress conditions, IRE1 can become activated and direct the splicing of a 26-nucleotide (nt) intron from XBP1u, resulting in a translational frameshift of XBP1 mRNA (7). The gene product of XBP1s acts as a potent transcriptional activator of several genes involved in the UPR. To determine whether CVB3 infection can trigger XBP1 mRNA splicing, we employed the reporter plasmid pHA-XBP1u-GFP. This plasmid encodes an N-terminal HA-tagged XBP1u, with the C terminus fused to GFP at the second open reading frame (ORF) of XBP1u. The GFP is expressed only after XBP1u is spliced, thereby removing a 26-nt intron containing a PstI site (66). The confirmation of GFP expression was performed by fluorescence microscopy (C), and cell viability was measured by MTS assay and converted to a percentage of a control receiving no siRNA transfection and sham infection (D). Error bars represent means ± SD. \( P < 0.05 \). (E) Relative levels of CVB3 VP1 protein and procaspase-3 cleavage were detected by Western blot analysis.

FIG. 1. CVB3 infection upregulates GRP78 expression, and the silencing of GRP78 enhances CVB3-induced cell death and reduces VP1 synthesis. Mouse cardiomyocyte HL-1 cells (A) and HeLa cells (B) were infected with CVB3 at an MOI of 100 and 10, respectively. The cell lysates were prepared at the indicated time points pi and subjected to Western blot analysis using the indicated antibodies. HeLa cells treated with tunicamycin (Tu) (2 mg/ml) to induce ER stress were included as a positive control. Actin is detected as a loading control. HeLa cells were transfected with GRP78 siRNA or scrambled (Scr) siRNA and then infected with CVB3. At 12 h pi, cell morphology was observed by phase-contrast microscopy (C), and cell viability was measured by MTS assay and converted to a percentage of a control receiving no siRNA transfection and sham infection (D). Error bars represent means ± SD. \( P < 0.05 \). (E) Relative levels of CVB3 VP1 protein and procaspase-3 cleavage were detected by Western blot analysis.
two fragments (291 and 307 nt) characteristic of unspliced RNA isolated from HeLa and HL-1 cells. The spliced XBP1s gene product further upregulated the expression of its target genes ERdj4 (ER-localized DnaJ4) and EDEM1 (ER degradation-enhancing α-mannosidase-like protein). To our surprise, p58IPK, a cellular inhibitor of the eIF2α kinases PKR and PERK, which is often upregulated in association with the UPR, was downregulated in the setting of CVB3 infection.

Silencing XBP1 expression enhances CVB3-induced cell death and inhibits CVB3 VP1 synthesis. To further explore the function of XBP1 in the UPR, the effect of reduced XBP1 expression on cell survival and viral replication was investigated. The siRNA targeting of XBP1 significantly reduced the level of XBP1 mRNA and that of its downstream target genes EDEM1 and ERdj4 (Fig. 3A). In addition, HeLa cell death induced by CVB3 infection was greatly enhanced in XBP1 siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 3B, image d) compared to that of controls (Fig. 3B, images b and c). The enhanced death of infected cells containing lowered levels of XBP1 mRNA was confirmed by cell viability assays (Fig. 3C), increased procaspase-3 cleavage (Fig. 3D), and decreased CVB3 VP1 protein synthesis (Fig. 3E).

Overexpression of XBP1 enhances UPR and promotes CVB3 VP1 protein synthesis. To further semiquantitatively determine the effect of XBP1 expression levels on cell survival and CVB3 replication efficiency, we established a Tet-On/
XBP1-inducible cell line to examine the effect of XBP1 expression on the upregulation of ER chaperone in the absence of CVB3 infection. Figure 4A shows that XBP1 expression was increased beginning at 12 h after Dox induction (pdi), and that this increase coincided with the upregulation of GRP78 and downregulation of p58IPK. Further, the downregulation of p58IPK correlated with the increased phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2α (Fig. 4A). Second, we examined the effect of XBP1 expression on CVB3 replication at different time points after infection. Tet-On/XBP1 HeLa cells were induced for 72 h with Dox to promote XBP1 expression and then infected with CVB3. VP1 protein synthesis, viral plaque formation, and cell viability were evaluated at several time points pi. We found that the expression of GRP78 and CVB3 VP1 were significantly enhanced 6 h pi in Dox-induced cells compared to that in uninduced cells at the corresponding time points (Fig. 4B). However, CVB3 plaque formation data exhibited a converse pattern compared to that observed in the VP1 production data, with Dox-induced cells producing less infectious virus than uninduced cells (Fig. 4C). We speculate that this may be because XBP1 expression suppresses a later stage of the CVB3 life cycle, such as particle assembly, resulting in a decrease in infectious virus production. This speculation is further supported, at least in part, by cell viability data (Fig. 4D) showing that cells induced to express XBP1 and then infected with CVB3 have higher viability than uninduced and infected cells. Such higher viability may result from XBP1 expression suppressing CVB3 particle formation and thereby benefiting the health of host cells.

CVB3 infection activates the ATF6α pathway. In response to ER stress, ATF6α is cleaved in the Golgi apparatus by transmembrane proteases and translocated to the nucleus, where it activates genes responsible for UPR (20, 47). To assess whether CVB3 infection activates the ATF6α pathway, ATF6α cleavage was evaluated by the Western blot analysis of HeLa and HL-1 cell lysates prepared at various time points after infection.
CVB3 infection. Figure 5A shows an intact form of ATF6a (90 kDa) detected in sham-infected cells, while in infected cells the cleavage of ATF6a, producing a 50-kDa band, was detectable at 8 h pi and almost complete at 12 h pi, indicating that CVB3 infection activates the ATF6a pathway in the two cell lines evaluated. To further evaluate the effect of ATF6a activation on cell viability and viral replication, we first used siRNAs targeting ATF6a and then measured the expression levels of ATF6a and its target gene XBP1 by Western blotting and RT-PCR, respectively. As shown in Fig. 5B, ATF6a expression was strongly reduced by its specific siRNA in uninfected cells. Cells that had been treated with ATF6a-siRNA were then infected with CVB3 and evaluated for viability, VP1 protein levels, infectious virus production, and changes in morphology. Figure 5C shows that, at each time point tested, VP1 protein synthesis was reduced in cells transfected with ATF6a siRNA compared to cells transfected with scrambled siRNA. Figure 5D shows that more cell death was observed in infected cells transfected with ATF6a siRNA (image d) than in controls (images b and c). This result was confirmed by the decreased cell viability (Fig. 5E) and increased cleavage of procaspase-3 (Fig. 5F) observed in ATF6a-siRNA treated cells compared to that in controls. We note that, as found in the experiments producing Fig. 1E and 3D, the cleavage product of procaspase-3 was difficult to detect by immunoblotting. It may be that the siRNA silencing of target genes contributed to CVB3-induced proteasome-mediated degradation of the procaspase form, precluding its detection (33, 50). To confirm the occurrence of cleavage, we performed additional Western blot analysis on 17% acrylamide resolving gels, loading 80 μg of total protein (instead of the usual 30 μg), and then were better able to detect the cleaved form (17 to 19 kDa) of caspase-3 (Fig. 5G).

Overexpression of ATF6a upregulates XBP1 and alters chaperone expression. To further determine whether transcription factor ATF6a regulates XBP1 and the expression of other downstream genes, we established a Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cell line that expresses ATF6a upon induction with Dox. To
ensure that the overexpressed HA-ATF6a protein was functional, we performed Western blot analysis to detect the activated form of ATF6a and found that the p50 cleavage product was present in Dox-induced/CVB3-infected cells but not in the three controls, including noninduced/CVB3-infected cells, noninduced/sham-infected cells, and Dox-induced/sham-infected cells, that were incubated for 10 h (Fig. 6A). Since ATF6a is an ER membrane protein, we wanted to rule out the possibility that the activation of the UPR was nonspecifically generated by the overexpression of an ER membrane protein in our experimental system. We therefore detected GRP78 expression levels in HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid pcDNA3.1-ATF6(171-373) overexpressing a dominant-negative (DN) ATF6a and found that the overexpression of DN-ATF6a decreased GRP78 production compared to that of the controls (Fig. 6B). These data indicate that the overexpressed HA-ATF6a is functional and can specifically induce UPR.

With the Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cell line, we first evaluated whether ATF6a overexpression could induce the activation of ER chaperones in the absence of CVB3 infection. As shown in Fig. 6C, ATF6a expression was dramatically increased by 12 h pdi. The increased expression of ATF6a, like the increased expression of XBP1 shown in Fig. 2 and 4, induced an overall upregulation of ER chaperone GRP78, although a transient downregulation at 24 to 48 h pdi was observed. The increased ATF6a expression also apparently induced the downregulation of p58IPK and increased the phosphorylation of eIF2/β. As expected, the overexpression of ATF6a also upregulated XBP1.
FIG. 6. Overexpression of ATF6a alters target gene expression in the absence of CVB3 infection. (A) CVB3-induced cleavage of HA-ATF6a. Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells were left uninduced or were induced with Dox and then infected with CVB3 or sham infected with PBS. At the indicated time points pi, the functional form of ATF6a (p50) was detected by Western blot analysis. Actin expression was used as a loading control. (B) The specific induction of the UPR in Dox-induced Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells. HeLa cells were transfected with either the pcDNA3.1 plasmid or the pcDNA3.1-ATF6(171-373), which overexpresses a dominant-negative (DN) ATF6a, or with the pcDNA3.1 vector only (as a control), and GRP78 expression was detected by Western blot analysis at 48 h posttransfection. GRP78 detected in Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells after Dox induction was included as an additional control. (C) Overexpression of ATF6a upregulates XBP1 and alters UPR-responsive gene expression in the absence of CVB3 infection. Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells were induced or not induced for ATF6a expression. Cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis to detect the expression levels of ATF6a and other UPR-related genes using the indicated antibodies.

by 12 h pdi, further confirming that XBP1 is inducible by ATF6a. These data support previous reports that ATF6a can induce XBP1 expression, and that the IRE1 and ATF6a pathways interact with each other and functionally intersect at XBP1 (31, 63). Note that XBP1 protein levels declined at 48 h pdi, which may be due, as reported for XBP1u (64), to a negative regulation targeting the XBP1 protein for proteasome-mediated degradation.

ATF6a overexpression benefits CVB3 replication. CVB3 infection induces ATF6a activation (i.e., cleavage) and initiates the UPR. The UPR affects cell fate by either inducing adaptation to ER stress or by inducing apoptosis (29). CVB3 infection is known to be persistent in the heart (2, 25), implying the sustained activation of ATF6a in cardiomyocytes. To semi-quantitatively evaluate the effect of ATF6a expression levels on cell survival and CVB3 replication efficiency, we compared uninduced and induced Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells at various time points after infection with CVB3. We then evaluated the effect of ATF6a overexpression on (i) CVB3 replication by the Western blot analysis of VP1, (ii) infectious viral particle formation by plaque assay, and (iii) cell viability by MTS assay. The expression of GRP78 and CVB3 VP1 was significantly enhanced from 6 to 10 h pi in cells induced for ATF6a overexpression compared to that of uninduced cells at corresponding time points (Fig. 7A). Enhanced CVB3 VP1 production at 12 h pi was further confirmed by viral plaque assay (Fig. 7B). Finally, Fig. 7C shows that during CVB3 infection, the induction of ATF6a decreased cell viability.

CVB3-induced suppression of p58IPK correlates with upregulation of PKR and phosphorylation of eIF2α. Since the CVB3-activated IRE1-XBP1 pathway and the overexpression of ATF6a was associated with the downregulation of p58IPK, a negative regulator of eIF2α kinases PKR and PERK, we next examined if CVB3 infection also could activate PKR or PERK and increase the phosphorylation of eIF2α. HeLa cells were infected with CVB3, and total proteins were analyzed by Western blotting. As shown in Fig. 8A, p58IPK was downregulated, and by 6 h pi the phosphorylation of PKR (p-PKR) had increased. However, we failed to detect an increase in the phosphorylation of PERK (data not shown). Phosphorylated eIF2α (p-eIF2α) production was upregulated at each time point pi.

Overexpression of p58IPK benefits CVB3 replication. p58IPK is a cochaperone in the ER stress response (43). Its upregulation enhances cell survival and, in turn, allows increased viral replication (16). To explore this circuitry, we used the pcDNA1/Neo-p58IPK plasmid to establish a stable HeLa cell line, and we prepared lysates from cells at different time points pi. The level of p58IPK expression and its effect on the phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2α were evaluated by Western blot analysis. In addition, the resulting viral titers were measured by plaque assay. Figure 8B shows that p58IPK overexpression counteracted the CVB3-induced downregulation of p58IPK and produced an overall net increase in p58IPK production, decreased the phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2α, and increased the synthesis of CVB3 VP1 protein compared to that of a control line of stable cells made by vector-only transfection. Enhanced CVB3 replication was further confirmed by the increased viral titer in the p58IPK stable cells (Fig. 8C).

CVB3 infection induces upregulation of proapoptotic CHOP and activation of SREBP1, caspase-7, and caspase-12. To analyze the ER stress-mediated signal transduction pathway leading to cell apoptosis, we evaluated downstream proapoptotic gene expression in HeLa and HL-1 cells after CVB3 infection. In HeLa cells, CHOP was upregulated, and another ER-asso-
an upstream activator of caspase-12 (41) (Fig. 9B). Activated caspase-7 also was apparent in infected HeLa cells (Fig. 9C). The intensity of cleaved caspase-7 product was reduced by 10 h pi in HL-1 cells, perhaps because of proteasome-mediated degradation.

DISCUSSION

CVB3 is a nonenveloped RNA virus, which replicates rapidly in double-layered membrane vesicles derived from the intracellular membrane system (58). Thus, it is not surprising that we found evidence that CVB3 infection induces ER stress response measures. In this study, we explored CVB3-induced ER stress responses and their effects on the induction of apoptosis in an attempt to better understand the molecular pathogenesis of CVB3. We directly examined CVB3-infected cardiomyocytes and HeLa cells and employed inducible HeLa cell lines engineered to overexpress the stress response gene XBP1 or ATF6a. Overall, we found that CVB3 infection induces ER stress and differentially regulates the three arms of the UPR. Specifically, we observed the upregulation of GRP78, the master regulator of the UPR, soon after the CVB3 infection of both HeLa cells and cardiomyocytes. Further, the siRNA silencing of GRP78 enhanced CVB3-induced cell death, indicating that the activation of the UPR early during CVB3 infection promotes host cell survival. Following the up-regulation of GRP78 in CVB3-infected cells, the IRE1-mediated splicing of XBP1u was activated and produced XBP1s. As in previous reports (28, 30), XBP1s in turn induced the up-regulation of various genes encoding protein degradation and folding factors, including EDEM1 and ERdj4. p58IPK is a negative regulator of PKR and PERK (43, 54) and has been reported to be present at unchanged or heightened levels in cells during infection by various viruses, including influenza virus (43), flaviviruses (66), and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) (3). Surprisingly, we observed p58IPK to be downregulated at both the mRNA and protein levels in CVB-infected HeLa and HL-1 cells. Furthermore, the induced overexpression of p58IPK increased CVB3 VP1 protein production, implying that p58IPK serves to promote overall host cell health and thereby viral protein production.

In unperturbed cells, GRP78 is associated with the ER stress sensor ATF6a. Upon perturbation they typically disassociate, with ATF6a then invoking the initiation of UPR activities. We explored ATF6a protein expression in both HeLa and HL-1 cells as well as in a Tet-On HeLa cell line inducibly expressing ATF6a, and we found that CVB3 infection activated ATF6a, producing a 50-kDa cleavage product in all three cell types. In the inducible HeLa cells, we found that the increased expression of ATF6a was associated with an overall increase in GRP78 production (Fig. 6C). Further, we observed that ATF6a pathway activation also regulated other components of the UPR. For instance, XBP1, the substrate of IRE1 (63), was activated (Fig. 9A). Full-length SREBP1 (125 kDa) was cleaved to produce an ~60-kDa activated product as early as 4 h pi. We also observed some bands with molecular sizes greater than 60 kDa, which likely are nonspecific products, as they did not show an increase in intensity over time, as the SREBP1 cleavage product did. Finally, we detected activated caspase-12, a key marker of ER stress-mediated apoptosis (36), in CVB3-infected HL-1 cells (Fig. 9B). It was not possible to investigate caspase-12 activation in HeLa cells, as no human orthologue of mouse caspase-12 has been clearly identified (14). The activation of caspase-12 in HL-1 cells was further indicated by the activation of caspase-7,
that p58IPK has PERK-independent functions and mediates the cytosolic degradation of misfolded proteins delayed at the ER translocon. Thus, it has been suggested that p58IPK has multiple functions involved in protecting the cell from ER stress (39). Here, p58IPK was downregulated upon ATF6a activation in CVB3-infected HeLa cells and in noninfected, Dox-induced Tet-On/HA-ATF6a cells, further suggesting that p58IPK is involved in cross-talk among the UPR pathways. Our data support the notion that the IRE1-XBP1 pathway is regulated by ATF6a and connected to the PKR (PERK) pathway via p58IPK. We note that our data showing the downregulation of p58IPK are inconsistent with a previous report that utilized uninfected, tunicamycin-treated cell cultures (54). The downregulation of p58IPK that we observed in the Tet-On cells may be related to the specific cellular conditions in these cells, where the induced expression of ATF6a produced the overexpression of XBP1u. It has been reported that XBP1u can form a complex with, and thereby negatively regulate, the UPR-specific transcription factors ATF6a and XBP1s. Once formed, the complex can be sequestered from the nucleus and degraded by proteasomes (64, 65). Thus, the negatively regulated ATF6a and XBP1s in the Tet-On cells may result in the downregulation of p58IPK. For this reason, the Tet-On cell system, although useful, may not be as meaningful an experimental system as nontransfected cells for analyzing the effect of ATF6a or XBP1 on CVB3 replication.

We speculate that the lowered expression of p58IPK that we observed during CVB3 infection facilitates persistent PKR signaling, thereby sustaining the enhanced phosphorylation of eIF2α. We note also that p-PERK was not significantly upregulated during CVB3 infection (data not shown), and we assume that p-PERK’s role in increasing the phosphorylation of eIF2α during CVB3 infection is compensated for by upregulated p-PKR. It is known that eIF2α phosphorylation can inhibit the translation of eukaryotic cellular mRNAs. However, during CVB3 infection, we observed enhanced VP1 protein production under conditions of increased eIF2α phosphorylation present in cells overexpressing XBP1 or ATF6a. We speculate that robust CVB3 translation under conditions in which host translation is inhibited is enabled by the CVB3 internal ribosome entry site (IRES), which mediates translation initiation in a cap-independent manner (62) and can bypass the eIF2α-dependent translation block (46, 49). In addition, the reduction in the cap-dependent translation of cellular mRNAs

FIG. 8. CVB3-induced downregulation of p58IPK activates PKR-mediated phosphorylation of eIF2α, and overexpression of p58IPK increases CVB3 RNA translation. (A) HeLa cells were cultured and infected with CVB3 as described for Fig. 1. Cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis to detect p58IPK and the phosphorylation of PKR and e-IF2α. (B) HeLa cells stably transfected to express p58IPK and vector-transfected cells (as a control) were infected with CVB3 at an MOI of 10. Cell lysates were used to detect p58IPK, CVB3 VP1, and phosphorylated PKR and eIF2α at the indicated time point pi. Actin expression is included as a loading control. (C) The results of viral plaque assays measuring viral titers in infected cell samples collected at 12 h pi are shown.
that occurs during CVB3 infection likely results in the greater availability of translation machinery for the IRES-driven translation of viral RNA (13). It has been reported that HCV, another IRES-containing RNA virus, suppresses cellular XBP1 trans-activating activity, leading to the elevated translation of its own mRNA (51). Here, in CVB3-infected cells overexpressing p58IPK, the phosphorylation of eIF2α by PKR was downregulated (Fig. 8B), allowing increased cellular mRNA translation and thereby enhanced cellular health and an environment more conducive to CVB3 replication.

In several other experimental systems, the phosphorylation of eIF2α has been associated with an upregulation of ATF4 and the subsequent induction of the proapoptotic transcription factor CHOP (19, 37). We were unable to detect the increased expression of ATF4 during CVB3 infection (data not shown), but we did observe a significant upregulation in the level of CHOP. Since ATF4 and ATF6α work in concert to activate CHOP expression (60), we speculate that the CHOP upregulation we observed during CVB3 infection is modulated primarily by ATF6α.

SREBP1 is another transcription factor associated with the ER membrane. Its function in the regulation of lipid homeostasis has been studied extensively. It was reported that in response to a low level of sterol and other unidentified factors, SREBP1 translocates from the ER to the Golgi complex, where it is cleaved by site-1 and site-2 proteases. The fully processed mature form of SREBP1 then enters the nucleus and transactivates target gene expression (6). The activation of SREBPs by cleavage also was reported to occur in HCV-infected Huh cells (57). In addition, two recent studies reported that SREBP1c activation plays a major role in β-cell glucolipotoxicity and apoptosis (55) and that an increased level of active SREBPs in macrophages is involved in HIV protease inhibitor-induced apoptosis via the depletion of ER calcium stores and the activation of caspase-12 (69). We found that during CVB3 infection SREBP1 was induced, and the level of its cleaved form increased coincidently with the upregulation of p-eIF2α and CHOP as well as with the activation of caspase-7 and -12. A recent report indicated that caspase-7 expression is positively controlled by SREBP1 and SREBP2 (15), thus providing a prospective linkage between SREBP1 and caspase-7 in the apoptosis cascade.

Caspase-12 is an ER membrane-associated cysteine protease that is induced and activated by caspase-7 in response to ER stress (36, 41). It has been suggested that caspase-12 is a key mediator of ER stress-induced apoptosis and is required for the recruitment of other cytosolic caspases to the ER membrane during the induction of the apoptotic program (41). Our results show that caspase-12 was cleavage activated in HL-1 cells by 6 h postinfection, and that this event temporally coincided with the induction of other apoptotic mediators, such as CHOP and caspase-3 and -7, two caspases involved in the

![FIG. 9. CVB3 infection induces upregulation of CHOP and activation of SREBP1, caspase-7, and caspase-12. HeLa cells or HL-1 cells were cultured and infected with CVB3 as described in Fig. 1. Cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis to detect the induction and activation (cleavage) of proapoptotic transcription factors CHOP and SREBP1, respectively (A), cleavage pattern (indicating activation) of caspases-7 and caspase-12 in HL-1 cells (B), and caspase-7 in HeLa cells (C). Actin was detected in parallel as a loading control.](http://jvi.asm.org/Downloaded from)
Cascade of caspase-12-mediated apoptosis (34). We note that although the human genome contains no identified orthologue of mouse caspase-12 (14), it has two similar speculative counterparts, namely, caspase-4 and caspase-5. A recent study reported that caspase-4 is involved in ER stress (21), but as yet there is no related report on caspase-5. The question of whether caspase-4 and/or caspase-5 plays a role in humans equivalent to that of mouse caspase-12 in mediating apoptosis induction requires further study.

In summary, our studies using both HeLa cells and cardiomyocytes indicate that during CVB3 infection, UPR pathways are induced but are carried out in a manner involving the atypical expression of several UPR target genes, including p38\(^{\text{ERK}}\), EDEM1, and ERdj4 (Fig. 10). Further, CVB3-induced alterations of UPR pathways can result in the activation of proapoptotic genes, engendering a shift from ER stress pathways to those of apoptosis. Since cardiomyocyte apoptosis is a hallmark of viral myocarditis, these data provide further insight into the molecular pathogenesis of CVB3-induced myocarditis.
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