Adenovirus E1B55K Region Is Required To Enhance Cyclin E Expression for Efficient Viral DNA Replication
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Adenoviruses (Ads) with E1B55K mutations can selectively replicate in and destroy cancer cells. However, the mechanism of Ad-selective replication in tumor cells is not well characterized. We have shown previously that expression of several cell cycle-regulating genes is markedly affected by the Ad E1b gene in WI-38 human lung fibroblast cells (X. Rao, et al., Virology 350:418-428, 2006). In the current study, we show that the Ad E1B55K region is required to enhance cyclin E expression and that the failure to induce cyclin E overexpression due to E1B55K mutations prevents viral DNA from undergoing efficient replication in WI-38 cells, especially when the cells are arrested in the G0 phase of the cell cycle by serum starvation. In contrast, cyclin E induction is less dependent on the function encoded in the E1B55K region in A549 and other cancer cells that are permissive for replication of E1B55K-mutated viruses, whether the cells are in the S phase or G0 phase. The small interfering RNA that specifically inhibits cyclin E expression partially decreased viral replication. Our study provides evidence suggesting that E1B55K may be involved in cell cycle regulation that is important for efficient viral DNA replication and that cyclin E overexpression in cancer cells may be associated with the oncolytic replication of E1B55K-mutated viruses.

Adenoviruses (Ads) can infect cells at different cell cycle stages. After infection, viral proteins activate cellular factors and force quiescent G0 cells to enter S or S-like phase for viral DNA synthesis. It is believed that the primary role of the Ad E1A products is to regulate expression of host and viral genes to create a favorable cellular environment for viral replication. Instead of directly binding to specific DNA sequences in transcriptional regulation elements, E1A proteins target key regulators of cell proliferation by interacting with them (12, 17). The well-known cellular factors that E1A proteins bind with are products of the RB gene and its structural relatives p107 and p130 (49, 88). Sequestration of the protein of p16INK4a, TGFβ, and contact inhibition (46, 72, 92). The free and active E2F proteins are required to induce cells to enter the S phase by transactivation of cellular promoters containing E2F-responsive elements, including the CDK2 and E-type cyclins (2, 3, 56, 71).

The Ad E1B55K protein performs several functions that are believed to be important for viral replication. E1B55K has been shown in some studies to counteract the E1A-induced stabilization of p53 (14, 64). Several groups have shown that expression of E1A triggers the accumulation of p53 protein and p53-dependent apoptosis (14, 39, 48, 53). E1B55K protein may inhibit the functions of p53 through at least two distinct mechanisms. E1B55K is reported to bind the amino terminus of p53 (40), and this binding may repress p53 transcriptional activation, as suggested in transcription assays (52) and transient transfection studies (91). E1B55K also may interfere with p53 function by cooperating with viral E4-orf6 protein to cause proteolytic degradation of p53 protein (31, 33, 55, 63, 89).

Ad dl1520 (ONYX-015) contains an 827-bp deletion and a point mutation generating a premature stop codon in the E1B55K coding sequence, preventing expression from the gene (4). Since cancer cells defective in the p53 gene or its pathway may not require the E1B55K protein function of inhibiting p53 activity, it was proposed that dl1520 could selectively replicate in these cells (9, 69). This hypothesis has been greatly challenged by several studies showing that a variety of tumor cell lines, regardless of their p53 status, allow efficient replication of dl1520 and other E1b-mutated Ads (13, 15). With E1B55K-mutated Ads unable to interact with p53 (78), studies have shown that the accumulated p53 protein in cells after Ad infection can neither efficiently induce apoptosis nor transcriptionally activate expression of p53-responsive genes (37, 59). The results suggest that Ads may have E1B55K-independent mechanisms to inhibit p53 function and that blocking of p53 activity by E1B55K protein is unlikely to be the major requirement for virus replication. In addition, the E1B55K region also encodes several other products, one of which promotes cell transformation independent of repression of p53 function (81). Therefore, selective replication of dl1520 in cancer cells may be related to the lack of any viral proteins.
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encoded in the E1B55K region. An E1B55K insertion mutant, one specifically impairing the export of viral late mRNAs (25), exhibits defective viral replication in both transformed and normal human cells, suggesting the connection between E1B55K-mediated mRNA export and virus replication (24).

The E1B55K protein also enables the virus to overcome a restriction to its replication imposed by the G0/G1 state of the cell cycle (26). Unlike wt Ad, E1B55K mutants fail to produce progeny efficiently in HeLa cells infected during G0/G1. This restriction has been demonstrated to be independent of p53 status (26, 27) but may relate to functions of the viral E4 orf6 and orf3 proteins (28). E1B55K protein function in the transport of viral mRNA may not be related to the restriction of E1B55K mutant replication in cells infected during G0/G1, because it was reported that the total amount of cytoplasmic late viral mRNA was even greater in cells infected during G0/G1 than in those infected during S phase with either the wt or mutant viruses (29).

We have previously demonstrated that Ads with the wt E1b gene significantly increased expression of several cell cycle-related genes, including cyclin E1, cyclin E2, and CDC25A (66). Cyclin E (E1) is known to have an important role in oncogenic transformation (51, 54). Ectopic overexpression of cyclin E induces S-phase entry and DNA synthesis (73, 86). Cyclin E (E1) is known to have an important role in

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Abbreviations.** Ad, adenovirus; wt, wild-type; AdS, Ad serotype 5; MOI, multiplicity of infection; CPE, cytopathic effect; Rb, retinoblastoma gene; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorter; APH, aphidicolin; siRNA, small interfering RNA; Tet, tetracycline; TTA, Tet-off transcription activator; FBS, fetal bovine serum; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; BrdU, bromodeoxyuridine; CDK2, cyclin-dependent kinase 2.

**Cells and plasmids.** We used 293 cells (ATCC no. CRL-1573) for Ad amplification. TTA is stably expressed in 293-E2T cells (96). Cell lines used in the experiments included WI-38 (ATCC no. CCL-75), a human diploid cell line derived from normal embryonic lung tissue (35), and A549 (ATCC no. CCL-185), a human lung carcinoma cell line (23). Other cancer cell lines were derived from normal embryonic lung tissue (35), and A549 (ATCC no. CCL-185), a human lung carcinoma cell line (23). All cell lines were maintained in serum-free medium (10% FBS). S-phase synchronization was performed as described above. Cells were seeded in eight-well chamber slides with appropriate medium at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells/well and kept in the serum-starving culture for 48 h. Cells were then infected with AdS or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. After infection, the cells arrested in the G0/G1 phase were continually maintained in serum-starving medium, while the S-phase cells were cultured in regular medium with 10% FBS. The infected cells were harvested at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after infection for FACS cell cycle and Western blot analyses.

**Viral replication and assessments of CPE.** G0/G1-phase and S-phase synchronous or asynchornous cells were seeded in six-well plates at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells/well and were mock infected or infected with AdS or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. The cells were observed daily for CPE. Cells and culture supernatant were harvested at different times after infection. The cell lysate was prepared with the addition of freezing and thawing at 4°C. The titer of the Ad was evaluated by standard plaque-forming assay with the 293 cells in six-well plates, as described previously (30, 97).

**BrdU incorporation assay.** Synchronous G0/G1-phase A549 and WI-38 cells were prepared as described above. Cells were seeded in eight-well chamber slides with appropriate medium at a density of 5 × 10^5 cells/well and maintained in serum starvation medium for 48 h. Cells were then infected with AdS or dl1520 at an MOI of 10 for 2 h and kept in the serum-starving culture for 2 days. Ads5- and dl1520-infected cells were labeled with 10 mM BrdU for 60 min at 48 h after infection before fixation. Incorporated BrdU was detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody. The active sites of DNA replication after Ad infection were determined, according to the method described in a previous publication (87).

**Real-time PCR.** WI-38 cells infected with wt AdS and dl1520 were harvested at 12 h and 24 h postinfection. RNA was prepared using the RNaseasy mini kit along with RNase-free DNase set to remove any traces of DNA contamination (23). Other cancer cell lines were used to confirm Western blot results. Binding of the primary antibody was detected using a secondary antibody or anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). ECL reagents were used to detect the signals, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL).

**Synthesis of cells and virus infection.** Subconfluent cultures of WI-38 and A549 cells were synchronized in the G0/G1 phase by using serum deprivation (serum free or 0.5% FBS). Approximately 4 × 10^5 cells were plated in a 60-mm plate and maintained in serum starvation medium for 2 or 3 days. Synchronization of cells in the S phase of the cell cycle was achieved by using APH, a reversible inhibitor of eukaryotic DNA polymerase. Cells were treated with 0.1 mg/ml of APH for 24 h and washed in drug-free medium three times before resuspension. Cells were released from the arrest by transferring them to pre-warmed medium supplemented with 10% FBS. S-phase synchronization was confirmed by propidium iodide staining and flow cytometry after 4 h of APH release. G0/G1-phase and S-phase synchronous cells were mock infected or infected with AdS or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. After infection, the cells arrested in the G0/G1 phase were continually maintained in serum-starving medium, while the S-phase cells were cultured in regular medium with 10% FBS. The infected cells were harvested at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after infection for FACS cell cycle and Western blot analyses.

**Western blot analysis.** Western blot analyses were performed as described above. Blots were stained with mouse monoclonal antibodies, and bands were analyzed using the ImageQuant software package (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). The primary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:5,000. The secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:2,000.
Viral DNA assay. To compare viral DNA within infected cells, A549 and WI-38 cells were divided into 10-cm dishes at $2 \times 10^5$ cells/dish. Cells were infected with Ad5 or dl1520 at an MOI of 10 for 2 h. The cells were collected at 0 h, 24 h, and 48 h after infection. Subsequently, total DNA was isolated from the infected cells. After digestion with PsI, DNA samples (5 µg each) were added to 1.0% agarose gel for electrophoresis and transblotted to a Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Arlington Heights, IL) for Southern blot analysis with the Ad DNA fragment, as described previously (93).

siRNA transfection and cyclin E-associated kinase assays. RNA-mediated silencing of the cyclin E gene was performed by using a cyclin E siRNA/siAbTM assay kit (Dharmacon-Upstate, Lake Placid, NY) containing four pooled individual siRNA duplexes specific for targeting the cyclin E antisense strand and using a negative control containing four pooled nonspecific siRNA duplexes. WI-38 cells were transiently transfected with siRNA duplexes by using siMPOWER siRNA transfection reagent (Dharmacon-Upstate, Lake Placid, NY) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After 36 h, cells were either mock infected or infected with Ad5 at an MOI of 1. The cells were also observed daily for CPE.

At the optimal time, gene silencing was monitored by Western blotting (usually 48 h after infection). Cells were lysed in CDK2 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% Brij 35, 5 mM glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 1 mM dithiothreitol), according to the method described in a previous publication (11). Clarified supernatants were immunoprecipitated with anti-cyclin E antibody (Santa Cruz) at 4°C overnight method described in a previous publication. Clarified supernatants were scanned with a Typhoon 9400 laser scanner (Amersham Biosciences, Sunnyvale, CA). Phosphorylated proteins were analyzed with Image Quant software (v. 5.2; Amersham Biosciences, Sunnyvale, CA).

RESULTS

The Ad E1B55K region is required to enhance cyclin E overexpression. We have previously observed that the Ad E1b gene produces alterations in expression of various cellular genes in Ad-infected cells (66). After WI-38 human lung fibroblast cells were infected with wt Ad5 or Adhz60 (the latter lacks the entire E1b gene) (65), the cDNA microarray study showed that the E1b gene affected the expression of a diverse range of genes, including those involved in the cell cycle, apoptosis, stress responses, and angiogenesis (66). Among the genes important in cell cycle progression, expression levels of cyclin E1, cyclin E2, and CDC25A all were increased about threefold. The results suggest that Ad E1B products may be involved in manipulation of the host cell cycle.

We are especially interested in cyclin E expression affected by E1B because cyclin E has an important role in DNA replication and cell cycle progression (22, 60). Since the E1b gene encodes the two major proteins, E1B19K and E1B55K, we wished to determine whether one or both of the E1B proteins (or regions) are required for induction of cyclin E expression in virus-infected cells. We evaluated the cyclin E mRNA levels in WI-38 cells after infection with Ad5, Adhz60, or dl1520, each at an MOI of 10. At 12 h and 24 h after infection, RNAs were isolated from cells and converted to cDNA for quantitative real-time PCR using the primers that were indicated in Materials and Methods to determine cyclin E (E1) mRNA level changes. The results showed that infection with Ad5 resulted in a fourfold increase of cyclin E mRNA levels at 12 h postinfection compared to the level for mock infection (Fig. 1A). In contrast, cells infected with either dl1520 or Adhz60 could not induce cyclin E expression at 12 h. Ad5 infection further led to a 10-fold increase of cyclin E mRNA levels at 24 h, whereas dl1520 and Adhz60 only triggered a three- to fourfold increase at the same time point. dl1520 and Adhz60, with a partial or entire deletion of the E1b gene, can still increase cyclin E expression, consistent with the previous observation that expression of E1A increased cyclin E gene expression (19, 83). However, the expression of cyclin E induced by Ad5 (with the E1b gene) is consistently about threefold greater than that induced by dl1520 or Adhz60 at both 12 h and 24 h (Fig. 1A). The difference in cyclin E mRNA levels between the cells affected by dl1520 and Adhz60 was not significant. These results indicate that the deletion of the E1B55K region affects cyclin E gene transcription.

We further evaluated the cyclin E protein levels produced in WI-38 cells after infection with Ad5, Adhz60, or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. The cyclin E protein level significantly increased in WI-38 cells after Ad5 infection by 48 h (Fig. 1B). At this time point, the cyclin E protein was barely detected in WI-38 cells infected with dl1520 or Adhz60. The result is consistent with the quantitative real-time PCR result (Fig. 1A) and our previous cDNA microarray study (66). The products encoded in
the E1B55K region in Ad5, lacking in both Adhz60 and dl1520, may be responsible for the increased cyclin E expression in WI-38 cells.

The E1B55K region is less important for induction of cyclin E protein in A549 cancer cells. The role of cyclin E in tumorigenesis has recently been investigated (51, 54). Previously, we showed that viruses with partial or entire deletions of E1b are able to replicate in A549 human lung cancer cells (65). If oncolytic replication of E1b-mutated Ads is dependent on cyclin E overexpression in cancer cells, then A549 cells either may constitutively produce cyclin E or can be induced to overexpress cyclin E by the E1B-mutated viruses. To verify this, A549 cells were mock infected or infected with Ad5, dl1520, or Adhz60 and assessed by Western blotting, as described above for WI-38 cells. A small cyclin E isoform protein was clearly observed in samples from mock-infected cells. A larger cyclin E protein was strongly induced in A549 cells by Ad5 infection at 24 h and 48 h (Fig. 2, lanes 6 and 10). The production of the two forms of cyclin E protein may be caused by the use of different ATG start codes (58); this will be discussed later. Interestingly, dl1520 and Adhz60 also induced cyclin E production in A549 cells, although the levels were relatively lower than in Ad5-infected A549 cells (Fig. 2, lanes 7, 8, 11, and 12). We conclude that A549 cancer cells are less dependent on E1B55K for the induction of cyclin E expression.

E1A overexpression cannot completely compensate for the lack of E1B55K function in cyclin E induction. We have previously shown that E1B55K can increase E1A expression in virus-infected cells (95). It is well known that viral E1A displaces E2F transcription factors from the Rb pocket proteins, resulting in activation of E2F target genes (18, 54, 79, 80). A previous report has shown that E1A induced cyclin E expression (19). Thus, it is unclear whether E1B55K is a genuine activator of cyclin E expression or if the elevated level of cyclin E is primarily a consequence of higher E1A expression from Ad5. We used Adhz69 (94), a mutant with a deletion in the E1B55K gene, to determine the effect of E1A overexpression on cyclin E expression in virus-infected cells in the absence of E1B55K. The strong cytomegalovirus promoter was used to replace the E1a endogenous promoter in Adhz69, resulting in constant overexpression of the E1A proteins in infected cells (94, 95). We infected WI-38 and A549 cells with increasing levels of Adhz69 (MOIs of 0.25, 0.64, 1.6, 4, and 10). Separately, the cells were also infected with Ad5 or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. As expected, the increased Adhz69 resulted in increased levels of E1A products in both WI-38 and A549 cells compared with levels in cells infected with Ad5 or dl1520 (Fig. 3A). E1A overexpression increased cyclin E expression about twofold in Adhz69-infected cells compared with levels in dl1520-infected cells (Fig. 3A and B, lanes 3 versus 8). However, cyclin E protein levels mediated by Adhz69 were still about 10-fold lower than those in Ad5-infected cells in at least three repeated experiments (Fig. 3A and B, lanes 2 versus 4 to 8). Therefore, E1A overexpression cannot entirely compensate for the E1B55K function in cyclin E induction.

Ad5 increases cyclin E-L expression in A549 and WI-38 cells. To confirm the cyclin E protein induced by Ad infection, we constructed a plasmid pTet-cycE, in which the cyclin E cDNA is under the control of the Tet-off inducible promoter (29, 67). Thus, the cyclin E expression from pTet-cycE can be turned on or off by TTA in the absence of Tet. We introduced the pTet-cycE plasmid DNA into 293-E2T cells to determine cyclin E expression; 293-E2T cells can stably express the TTA protein and be efficiently transfected with plasmid DNA (96). Two days after transfection, proteins were isolated for Western blotting with the cyclin E antibody. Tet did not affect endogenous cyclin E expression in mock-transfected 293-E2T cells (Fig. 4, compare lanes 5 and 6). The two forms of cyclin E protein were identical to the ones observed with the Ad5-infected A549 cells; the large cyclin E (cyclin E-L) protein
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FIG. 2. Western blot analysis of cyclin E (cyc E) produced in A549 cells after mock infection or infection with Ad5, Adhz60, or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. Cells were collected at the indicated times postinfection and subjected to Western blot analysis.
band was the primary form induced in Ad5-infected WI-38 cells (Fig. 4, lanes 1 to 4). Two alternatively spliced forms of cyclin E mRNA were detected in human cells (58). Translation of the cyclin E-L was reported to be initiated at an ATG codon located in exon 2. Cyclin E-L has an N terminus that is 15 amino acids longer than that of cyclin E, the small isoform, which initiates in frame from an ATG codon in exon 3. A549 cells constitutively produce the cyclin E protein. Ad5 infection appears to induce primarily the cyclin E-L in both A549 and WI-38 cells. The cyclin E cDNA in pTet-cycE contains exons 2 and 3, including the two translation start codons that are separated by the 15-amino-acid coding sequences. It is likely that both start codons can be used, resulting in the induction of the cyclin E-L and cyclin E in 293-E2T cells after pTet-cycE transfection. Our results are consistent with those of previous studies showing both cyclin E-L and cyclin E were produced when a similar plasmid with the identical cyclin E cDNA was used (34). Our results suggest that Ad5 infection primarily increases cyclin E-L expression.

We further studied whether the cyclin E promoter activity may be affected by virus infection in cancer and normal cells. We constructed another plasmid pCycE-EGFP, in which the cyclin E promoter region (−363 to +87) was applied to control the reporter gene EGFP. We introduced pCycE-EGFP into both WI-38 cells and A549 cancer cells and then infected these cells with Ad5 or dl1520. Two days later, EGFP activity in WI-38 and A549 cells was evaluated (Fig. 5A). Without infection, EGFP expression driven by the cyclin E promoter was higher in A549 cells than in WI-38 cells, suggesting that the cyclin E promoter is more active in cancer cells than in normal cells. Ad5 infection significantly increased EGFP expression in both WI-38 and A549 cells. In contrast, the dl1520 could not increase EGFP expression as strongly as Ad5, especially in WI-38 cells. These results suggest that E1B55K may enhance cyclin E expression via activation of the transcription from the 450-bp cyclin E promoter (−363 to +87).

**dl1520 viral DNA synthesis is more restricted in serum-starved normal cells.** In normal cells, cyclin E expression occurs during a brief window of time from late G1 into early S phase with a peak expression level near the restriction “R” point (44). Cyclin E is essential for cells to reenter the cell cycle from the G0 state (22, 60). Given that E1B55K has a function to induce cyclin E expression, it may be required for forcing G0 cells to enter an S-like phase for efficient virus DNA replication. To study E1B55K function associated with cyclin E induction, we analyzed viral DNA synthesis in A549 and WI-38 cells arrested in the G0 state. A549 and WI-38 cells were

![FIG. 4. Western blot analysis to confirm cyclin E (cyc E) protein induced by Ad infection. The pTet-cycE plasmid was introduced into 293-E2T cells to induce cyclin E expression by the Tet-off expression system. The cyclin E proteins induced by Ad5 infection are identical to the ones produced from the pTet-cycE plasmid when Tet was removed.](http://jvi.asm.org/)

![FIG. 5. (A) The plasmid pCycE-EGFP was transfected into WI-38 and A549 cells, which were then mock infected or infected with Ad5 or dl1520. EGFP expression under the control of the cyclin E promoter was determined 2 days later. Ad5 infection activated the cyclin E promoter and resulted in higher EGFP expression. (B) Cell cycle profiles of A549 and WI-38 cells after incubation in 0.5% serum medium for 2 days. Cells were stained with propidium iodide for FACS analysis. (C) BrdU incorporation into serum-starved quiescent Ad-infected A549 and WI-38 cells. Ad5- and dl1520-infected cells were labeled by 10 mM BrdU for 60 min before fixation at 48 h postinfection. Incorporated BrdU was detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody. Hoechst staining was used to identify nucleus localization. Cells were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline and analyzed using a fluorescent microscope (Olympus X-70). (D) Southern blotting was used to determine the viral DNA synthesis within A549 and WI-38 cells infected at synchronous G0 versus S phase. The DNA was isolated from the cells at days 0, 1, and 2 postinfection and fragmented with the restriction enzyme PstI. The probe was Ad5 genome DNA.](http://jvi.asm.org/)
cultured in serum-starving media for 2 days, resulting in about 80% of A549 and WI-38 cells being arrested in their G0 phase (Fig. 5B). These cells were then infected with Ad5 or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. After virus infection, cells remained continually in the serum-starving cultures for the entire experiment. We compared DNA syntheses in A549 and WI-38 cells after infection with Ad5 or dl1520. A549 and WI-38 cells were labeled by 10 mM BrdU for 60 min at 48 h after infection. BrdU was incorporated into the DNA of A549 cells infected with Ad5 (98% of cells) or dl1520 (92% of cells) (Fig. 5C). The strong BrdU-positive cells (95%) were also detected among WI-38 cells infected with wt Ad5 but not among cells infected with dl1520 (Fig. 5C). Only weak labeling could be observed with a few G0-arrested WI-38 cells after infection with dl1520, indicating repressed DNA synthesis. For each of the above treatments, 100 or more cells were examined. To verify DNA synthesis, Southern blot analysis was applied to determine viral DNA changes in A549 and WI-38 cells (Fig. 5D). If the function of E1B55K is required for driving G0-arrested WI-38 cells to reenter an S-like phase, then WI-38 cells infected during S phase may be less dependent on the function of E1B55K for dl1520 replication. Synchronization of A549 and WI-38 cells in the S phase of the cell cycle was achieved by using APH, a reversible inhibitor of eukaryotic DNA polymerase. Cells were released from the arrest by culturing in a drug-free medium supplemented with 10% FBS. At 4 h after APH removal, A549 and WI-38 cells entered S phase and were mock infected or infected with Ad5 or dl1520. The G0-arrested A549 and WI-38 cells remained in serum-starving medium before and after infection. Total DNA was isolated from cells at day 0, 1, and 2 postinfection. The DNA was digested with PstI and then subjected to Southern blotting with an Ad DNA fragment. The dl1520 DNA was amplified in A549 cells infected during S and G0 phases and also in S-phase WI-38 cells, but dl1520 DNA replication was strongly inhibited in G0-arrested WI-38 cells (Fig. 5D). The control Ad5 increased in A549 and WI-38 cells infected in both S and G0 phases. Our results suggest that E1B55K may be involved in viral DNA synthesis by inducing cyclin E expression to overcome the G0-state restriction.

**Replication of E1B55K-mutated virus is less restricted in S-phase cells.** We further investigated the replication of Ad5 and dl1520 in A549 and WI-38 cells in S, G0, or unsynchronized states. S and G0 cells were treated as described above. Figure 6A, representing one of three repeat experiments, shows the results of CPE analysis of A549 and WI-38 cells at 3 days postinfection with Ad5 or dl1520. Nearly 100% of the A549 cells showed CPE after infection with Ad5, regardless of having been infected in an S, G0, or unsynchronized state. This was also true for A549 cells infected by dl1520 (Fig. 6A). We also observed that most of the WI-38 cells in S phase or G0 phase infected by Ad5 displayed CPE (Fig. 6A). In contrast, dl1520 caused partial CPE in WI-38 cells infected in S phase,
but no clear CPE was observed with WI-38 cells infected by dl1520 during G0 phase. It appears that the differences in the CPE were related to the phase of the WI-38 cell cycle and the E1B55K function. We then compared the titers of Ad5 and dl1520 that were produced in A549 and WI-38 cells at day 3 after infection (Fig. 6B). Ad5 could efficiently replicate in both cancer and normal cells in G0 and S phases. Although dl1520 replication is not as efficient as Ad5 in A549 cancer cells, dl1520 titers increased more than 100-fold, from 10^5 input level to 10^7 per ml, regardless of whether the cells were infected in S phase or G0 state. As expected, dl1520 replication was strongly inhibited in G0-arrested WI-38 cells. The restriction for dl1520 replication in WI-38 normal cells was partially released in the S phase of the cell cycle (Fig. 6B).

**Virus replication correlated with cyclin E expression.** We isolated total cellular proteins from A549 and WI-38 cells infected with Ad5 or dl1520 to evaluate expression of various cyclins. To completely arrest cells in G0 phase for this experiment, cells were cultured in totally serum-free medium for 3 days before infection and remained in the serum-free culture after infection. Cells were infected with Ad5 or dl1520 at an MOI of 10. In synchronously S-phase and serum-starved G0 WI-38 cells, Ad5 infection increased cyclin E expression at day 2 and day 3 postinfection (Fig. 7A, lanes 5 and 8). Cyclin E expression was barely detected in the dl1520-infected WI-38 cells arrested in G0, whereas an increase of cyclin E expression was found in S-phase WI-38 cells at days 2 and 3 after infection with dl1520 (Fig. 7A, lanes 6 and 9), consistent with the delayed and lower cyclin E mRNA induction in dl1520-infected WI-38 cells (Fig. 1A). Both Ad5 and dl1520 could induce cyclin E expression in A549 cells in G0 or S phases (Fig. 7B). Again, the results suggest that E1B55K function is critically required for cyclin E induction in serum-starved WI-38 cells. We also evaluated productions of other cyclin proteins. Ad5 infection induced cyclin B in A549 and WI-38 cells (Fig. 7A and B). The induction of cyclin B may be enhanced by E1B55K because the lack of E1B55K in dl1520 resulted in less induction of cyclin B in both cells (Fig. 7A and B, lanes 6 and 9). In contrast to the clear effects on the induction of cyclin E and B proteins, only modest changes in the levels of cyclin A and D were observed in A549 and WI-38 cells after infection with Ad5 or dl1520. Cyclin B normally begins to accumulate in late S phase and forms an inactive complex with CDC2 kinase. It has been reported that the stable association of cyclin B/CDC2 to chromosome replication origins inhibits cellular DNA replication (90). Thus, it is possible that the induced cyclin E expression may increase viral DNA amplification and, at the same time, cyclin B expression may block host cellular DNA replication.

To correlate viral growth kinetics with expression of cyclin E in S-phase and G0-arrested cells, we determined virus titers produced in A549 and WI-38 cells from day 0 (4 h) to day 4 after infection (Fig. 7C). The Ad5 replication in serum-free A549 and WI-38 cells was delayed 1 or 2 days compared to cells growing with 10% serum medium. The dl1520 replication was also delayed in serum-free A549 cells. However, the final titers of Ad5 in A549 and WI-38 cells with or without serum were similar at day 4. This was also true for dl1520 in A549 cells. In contrast, the dl1520 replication was significantly inhibited in serum-free WI-38 cells. The titer of dl1520 was decreased for 2 days and then slightly increased until day 4. The final titer of dl1520 was 100-fold lower in serum-free WI-38 cells than in the same cells cultured with normal serum. These studies further demonstrated that virus replication is affected by cyclin E expression in Ad-infected cells.

**Specific siRNA represses cyclin E activity and partially inhibits virus replication.** If cyclin E overexpression induced by viral infection is critical in the virus life cycle, we expect that blocking cyclin E expression may inhibit viral replication. siRNA, a short double-strand of RNA (19 to 23 bases in length), can sequence-specifically cleave up to 95% of the target mRNA in the cell (7, 85). A siRNA/siABTM assay kit (Dharmacon-Upstate, Lake Placid, NY) that contains four pooled individual siRNA duplexes targeting cyclin E mRNA was applied to specifically inhibit cyclin E production. The negative control was a pool of nonspecific siRNA duplexes. Since cyclin E in WI-38 cells can be significantly induced by Ad5, we investigated the effect of the siRNA with Ad5-infected WI-38 cells. In this experiment, WI-38 cells were first transiently transfected with siRNA duplexes. After 36 h, WI-38 cells were then either mock infected or infected with Ad5 at an MOI of 1. At 48 h postinfection, gene silencing was monitored by Western blotting. Western blot analysis revealed that the cyclin E-specific siRNA inhibited expression of cyclin E by 90%, compared with the levels in cells transfected with the control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 8A, lanes 2 and 4) and with the cyclin E protein standard of twofold serial dilution (lower part of Fig. 8A). We also examined the cyclin E-associated kinase activity. Anti-cyclin E immunoprecipitates were prepared, followed by in vitro kinase assays using histone H1 as substrate in the presence of [32P]-labeled ATP (11). Ad5 infection strongly upregulated the cyclin E-dependent kinase activity in cells treated with the control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 8B, compare lanes 3 and 4). However, a partial decrease of cyclin E-associated kinase activation was observed in response to cyclin E siRNA transfection (Fig. 8B, compare lanes 2 and 4). The cyclin E kinase activity was consistent with the cyclin E protein level. Blockage of cyclin E expression with siRNA notably delayed CPE in Ad5-infected WI-38 cells, but it could not completely prohibit CPE (Fig. 8C). Furthermore, we observed that repressed cyclin E expression by siRNA led to a decrease of virus replication; the specific siRNA decreased the viral titer about threefold in the above experimental conditions, from an average of 7.7 × 10^6 to 2.5 × 10^6 in three repeated experiments (Fig. 8C). Thus, repressed cyclin E expression with siRNA decreased cyclin E expression and its associated kinase activity, and it partially inhibited the virus replication.

**Cyclin E overexpression is correlated with virus replication in cancer cells.** The above results suggest that E1B55K is involved in enhancing cyclin E expression. The function of E1B55K appears to be required for virus replication, especially after infecting G0-arrested normal cells. However, the Ad E1B55K gene is less important in A549 cancer cells. According to previous studies, many cancer cells can support replication of E1B55K-mutated viruses, but other cancer cells are resistant to the replication. We suspect that the "permissive" cancer cells, which are able to support E1B55K-mutated virus replication, may be induced to express high levels of cyclin E after dl1520 infection, while the "restrictive" cancer cells may not be induced to express cyclin E. To correlate cyclin E expression
FIG. 7. Western blot analysis of cyclin E (cyc E) and cyclins B, D, and A produced in (A) WI-38 and (B) A549 cells mock infected or infected with Ad5 or dl1520 during G0 or S phase. Cells were collected at days 1, 2, and 3 postinfection and subjected to Western blot analysis. β-Actin was used as a control to demonstrate an equal loading and transfer. (C) Viral growth kinetics in A549 and WI-38 cells cultured in 10% serum or serum-free medium. The virus titers produced in the cultures were determined at days 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4.
and d1520 oncolytic replication, four permissive cancer cell types (HCT116, RKO, HepG2, and Hep3B) and four restrictive cancer cell types (Saos2, HeLa, MDA-MB-231, and HT29) were infected with Ad5 or d1520. Published reports have shown that after infection of the permissive cancer cells, d1520 yields are either similar to (HCT116) (59) or only three- to fourfold lower (RKO and HepG2 [32, 59] and Hep3B [95]) than Ad5 yields. Studies also have shown that d1520 replication is significantly repressed in all of the four restrictive cell types; the ratio of d1520/Ad5 is only 1% in Saos2 (95) or 2 to 3% in HeLa (32) and MDA-MB-231 and HT29 (59) cells. We determined cyclin E protein levels in these virus-infected cells using Western blot analysis at day 3 after infection. Infection with d1520 can efficiently induce cyclin E expression in all of the four permissive cancer cell types, HCT116, RKO, HepG2, and Hep3B, although it was less effective than wt Ad5 in HCT116 and RKO cells (Fig. 9A). Ad5 infection could also induce high levels of cyclin E expression in the restrictive cancer cell types Saos2, HeLa, MDA-MB-231, and HT29; however, the cyclin E expression was largely not induced in the restrictive cancer cells after d1520 infection (Fig. 9B). Thus, Ad5 can induce cyclin E expression in all permissive and non-permissive cancer cells, whereas d1520 can only increase cyclin E expression in permissive cancer cells. It is likely that induction of cyclin E expression by d1520 is correlated with selective replication of the virus in cancer cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Tumor-selective replication of E1b-mutated Ads is being evaluated clinically as a novel approach in cancer gene therapy (8, 10, 42, 68). Understanding the function of E1B55K in virus and host-cell interaction will enable us to improve the efficacy and safety of the virus-mediated oncolytic therapy. In the current report, we have demonstrated that the Ad E1B55K region is involved in the induction of cyclin E expression, that the
function of cyclin E induction encoded in the E1b region is not critically required for virus replication in permissive cancer cells, and that failure to increase cyclin E expression prevents E1B55K-mutated viruses from undergoing effective replication.

It is well known that viral E1A plays an important role in cell cycle alteration (6). Previous studies have shown that the inactivation of pRB family proteins and CDK inhibitor p21, through the binding of the E1A proteins, leads to the induction of cyclin E-CDK2 activity (50, 61). Consistent with this, we observed that viruses (dl1520 and Adhz60) expressing wt E1A without E1B55K could still increase cyclin E mRNA in the range of three- to fourfold in WI-38 cells in the late infection stage at 24 h (Fig. 1). However, infection with wt Ad5 expressing E1A and E1B55K increased cyclin E transcription over 10-fold at the same time point (Fig. 1). In addition, E1A overexpression cannot completely compensate for the cyclin E induction function encoded in the E1B55K region (Fig. 3).

Constructed from dl309, dl1520 also lacks E3 sequences and carries a mutation just upstream of the start of the VA1 gene. Since Adhz60 and Adhz69 are constructed based on Ad5, they do not carry the other mutations found in dl1520. The lack of cyclin E induction associated with dl1520, Adhz60, and Adhz69 should be related to the E1B55K region commonly deleted or mutated in those viruses. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that cyclin E induction may be affected by other products encoded in the E1B55K region. Although the E1B19K and E1B55K proteins are the major products encoded in the E1b gene, the 55K region also encodes at least three other polypeptides generated by alternative splicing of a common mRNA precursor (81, 84). A recent study has shown that the E1B 156R protein encoded in the E1B55K region has an important function in cell transformation (81). For the same reason, the selective replication of dl1520 in cancer cells may not be related exclusively to the E1B55K protein.

According to studies with a cyclin E1 and E2 double knockout, E-type cyclins have two essential functions: driving the G0 state into the S phase of the cell cycle and increasing the DNA replication rate (22, 60). Both functions are critical for virus DNA replication. Given the ability to induce cyclin E expression, the E1B55K region may be required for virus replication after infection of quiescent G0 cells. dl1520 failed to increase cyclin E expression and also could not undergo effective replication after infection of the G0-arrested WI-38 cells (Fig. 5 and 6). These restrictions were partially relieved when WI-38 cells were infected during S phase. In contrast, Ad5 could replicate in WI-38 cells in S and G2 phases. The cyclin E induction and Ad DNA replication are less dependent on the E1B55K region in A549 cancer cells (Fig. 6 and 7). Thus, E1B55K protein (or other polypeptides encoded in this region) may have a specific function in the induction of cyclin E expression, and this function could be critically required for virus replication after infection in quiescent or G0-arrested normal cells.

The E1B55K-enhanced cyclin E induction may correlate with the clinical observation that dl1520 selectively replicates in and destroys tumor cells at the exclusion of surrounding normal tissue (42). Loss of normal cell cycle control is a hallmark of tumorigenesis. Molecular analysis of human tumors has shown that cyclin E is frequently overexpressed in many human tumors, including breast and lung cancers (16, 38, 51, 54, 82). In addition, cyclin E overexpression is correlated with tumor progression and predicts a poor prognosis in cancer patients (5, 41, 45). Thus, the abnormal regulation of cyclin E or its potent inhibitors (such as p21^{Cip1} and p27^{Kip1}) may contribute to cell cycle dysregulation and allow dl1520-selective replication in cancer cells. We have extended our study into several cancer cell types. We observed that the wt virus can replicate and also induce cyclin E expression in all tested cancer cells (Fig. 9). However, dl1520 can increase cyclin E expression in the permissive cancer cells that support dl1520 replication but cannot induce cyclin E expression in the restrictive cancer cells that are resistant dl1520 replication. These data suggest that cyclin E overexpression is correlated with dl1520 oncolytic activity.

E1B55K-enhanced cyclin E induction appears to be unrelated to the cellular p53 status. Among the permissive cancer cell types, HCT116, RKO, and HepG2 are p53 wt and Hep3B is p53 null. In the restrictive cancer cells, Saos2 and HeLa are p53 wt and MDA-MB-231 and HT29 are p53 mutants (27, 32, 70). It is also unlikely that the repressed cyclin E expression and restrictive dl1520 replication in the restrictive cancer cells are caused by poor Ad infection. Although Ad infection efficiency can be different from cell line to cell line, dl1520 and Ad5, having the same viral particles, should equally infect a given type of cell. In addition, our previous report has shown that the permissive Hep3B and restrictive Saos2 cells were equally infected by Ad vectors (95). We also demonstrated that cancer A549 and normal WI-38 cells were also equally infected by Ads (94).

There are several lines of studies suggesting that Ad E1B55K has a novel function related to cell cycle regulation that may be independent of its p53 inactivation. First, E1B55K-mutated viruses are highly impaired in their ability to produce viruses in HeLa cells (1, 62), which contain and express the human papillomavirus E6 protein (43, 76, 77). The E6 protein, having a function of interfering with p53 (74, 75), cannot compensate for E1B55K loss for virus replication in HeLa cells. Second, replication of E1B55K-mutated viruses in HeLa cells is less restricted in S phase than in the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle, and this cell cycle restriction on viral replication was reportedly independent of p53 functions (26, 27). Third, our recent work with cDNA microarray analysis has demonstrated that various genes involved in the cell cycle were increased by E1B proteins (66). Finally, we now show that E1B55K is involved in the induction of cyclin E overexpression that is needed for virus-efficient replication, especially in quiescent cells. The obvious advantage of upregulating cyclin E expression by virus infection would be to force cells to enter into an S or S-like phase, in which the cell would express proteins and substrates that could be required for virus replication.

The results presented in this study suggest that E1B55K or products encoded in this region may be associated with selective replication of E1B55K-mutated viruses in cancer cells. Identifying the factors that are...
targeted by E1B55K may help to reveal the mechanism of cyclin E overexpression and its functions in tumorigenesis.
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