Phase I Trial of a CD8+ T-Cell Peptide Epitope-Based Vaccine for Infectious Mononucleosis
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Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) is a human gammaherpesvirus that is usually transmitted orally during childhood and infects more than 90% of the world’s population. The virus establishes a lifelong latent infection in B cells and is controlled in healthy seropositive individuals by CD8+ αβ T lymphocytes that recognize latent and lytic EBV antigens (38, 44). When infection with EBV is delayed until adolescence or adulthood, as is common in many Western countries, individuals have a 26 to 74% chance of developing glandular fever or infectious mononucleosis (IM) (19). IM is an acute, self-limiting disorder associated with fatigue, fever, sore throat, and generalized lymphadenopathy and is characterized by a pronounced blood mononcytosis. The severity of symptoms varies from mild flu-like symptoms for a few weeks to prolonged and debilitating disease lasting several months (19), and in rare cases the disease can be fatal (59). IM caused by EBV has also been associated with increased risk of multiple sclerosis and Hodgkin’s lymphoma (26, 41). In Western countries the incidence of IM has been estimated to be 45/100,000 per annum in the general community. However, the incidence is much higher among adolescents (15 to 19 years), at 320 to 370/100,000 per annum (18), and thus often affects young people at a critical time in their studies. The disease is less common in developing countries, where more than 90% of people generally seroconvert asymptotically to EBV during childhood. In Western countries the number of children entering adolescence without being infected with EBV has been estimated at 10 to 20%. However, this figure may be increasing with improvements in living standards. In the Tokyo region this percentage has recently been estimated to have reached ~50% by 2006 (57). Although ganciclovir has been used in severe cases and in immunocompromised patients (1) and corticosteroids are sometimes used (15), there are currently no treatments recommended for routine use in IM.

The ability of many individuals’ immune systems to control primary EBV infections without IM has prompted efforts toward the design of an IM vaccine (38, 39). Two approaches have been taken. The first involves a vaccine based on the major surface glycoprotein of EBV, gp350. This vaccine was originally conceived to induce neutralizing antibodies; however, antibody responses do not appear to correlate with protection, with some evidence suggesting that gp350-specific CD4 T-cell responses might mediate protection (40). The second approach seeks to generate EBV-specific CD8+ T cells that control the expansion of EBV-infected B cells after infection, thereby promoting asymptomatic seroconversion rather than preventing infection (38, 39). Such T cells are not only strongly implicated in controlling EBV in healthy individuals but have also been successfully used to treat EBV-associated posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) by adoptive transfer (29, 47, 49). Many EBV-specific CD8+ T cells recognize epitopes from the EBV nuclear antigens (EBNAs) (44). However, the association of these proteins with B-cell transformation precludes, and their large size complicates, their use in recombinant protein-based vaccines (54). A CD8+ T-cell epitope-based approach was thus pursued (39). Here we de-
scribe the results of the first phase I CD8+ T-cell epitope-based EBV vaccine trial. The vaccine comprised the HLA B*0801-restricted CD8+ T-cell epitope FLRGRAYGL (FLR) from the latent antigen EBNA3 (9, 10) and tetanus toxoid (TT) as a source of CD4+ T-cell help formulated in the water-in-oil adjuvant, Montanide ISA 720. This adjuvant has been successfully used to induce peptide-epitope-specific CD8+ T-cell responses in mice (20, 48) and has been used in several human vaccine trials (23, 36, 46, 62).

The primary aim of this trial was to establish that CD8+ T-cell epitope-based vaccination of EBV seronegative individuals was safe in the context of a primary EBV infection. IM is characterized by a high viral load and a pronounced lymphocytosis dominated by EBV-specific T cells (13, 14, 24). Asymptomatic seroconversion does not appear to be associated with a lymphocytosis, despite significant viral loads, suggesting that IM arises from an overreaction of T-cell responses (53). Whether a vaccine-induced EBV-specific memory T-cell response would subsequently expand following a primary infection and thereby promote lymphocytosis and IM remains an important question. In addition, since an FLR-based vaccine would induce CD8+ T-cell responses only to this single epitope, it also remains to be established whether immunodominance and/or immunodomination effects (17, 50) would narrow the immune response following a subsequent primary EBV infection to this epitope. Rapid recovery from IM symptoms has been correlated with broad T-cell reactivity to multiple CD8+ T-cell epitopes within lytic and latent antigens, whereas protracted illness was associated with a narrowly characterized by fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis using the HLA B*0801 mononclonal antibody (clone 59HA-1; One Lambda Inc.) and was later confirmed by full class I tissue typing (Princess Alexander Hospital tissue typing facility, Brisbane, Australia). Serological testing for EBV capsid antibody (VCA) was initially done by immunofluorescence microscopy (37) and later confirmed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay specific for VCA immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM and EBNA IgG (Queensland Medical Laboratory, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia). The inclusion criteria for the trial were EBV-seronegative and EBV infection by serology. The initial HLA screening was performed

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design.** The single-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase I (safety and immunogenicity) EBV vaccine trial was conducted at the Queensland Institute of Medical Research (QIMR), Australia and was approved by The Bank of Credit Centre Research Ethics Committee and conducted under the Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration CTN scheme. Volunteers were recruited from QIMR and the University of Queensland and were screened for HLA B*0801-positive status and EBV infection by serology. The initial HLA screening was performed by high-pressure liquid chromatography and was fully active in chromium release assays (9).

**The EBV vaccine.** The vaccine consisted of the synthetic peptide, FLR (9), mixed with TT in 5 mM phosphate buffer-isotonic saline and emulsified (3:7 [wt/wt]) with the water-in-oil adjuvant Montanide ISA 720 (Seppic, Paris, France) (20). The FLR peptide was chemically synthesized under GMP conditions (Aussep Ltd.). Two peptide doses were tested, 5 and 50 µg per vaccine dose, with the placebo vaccine containing no peptide. The peptide and placebo vaccines also contained 1.35 Lyme factor/ml (0.675 Lyme factor/dose) TT (CSL Ltd.) and thromsersal (0.01% [wt/vol]) (CSSL Ltd.). Stopped glass vials were filled with the vaccines under nitrogen by CSL Ltd. Volunteers were given 0.5 ml of vaccine per injection subcutaneously into the thigh.

**Formal Toxicology.** The vaccine showed no activity in the Ames test or sister chromatid exchange assay. The peptide was stable in the vaccine for 2 years at 4°C and was extracted from the vaccine by vigorous shaking with chloroform-0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in distilled water (1.5:1, vol/vol). The recovered peptide (in the aqueous phase) was analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography and was fully active in chromium release assays (9).

**LCs and PHA blasts.** EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCs) were established from each vaccinee’s peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) by exogenous virus transformation of peripheral B cells using the QIMR Wil strain of virus (43). LCs were maintained in medium comprising RPMI 1640 (Gibco), 2 mM glutamine (ICN Biomed. Aust Pty Ltd., Seven Hills, Australia), 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (CSL Ltd., Melbourne, Australia), and 10% fetal calf serum (QIMR). Phytohemagglutinin (PHA) blasts were generated and maintained as described previously (31).

**Ex vivo ELISPOT assay.** PBMC were separated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation and frozen in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 90% fetal calf serum with and without peptide epitope. Wells were scored as positive when the percent well-subtracted chromium release was greater than 50%.

**LDA.** The limiting-dilution analysis (LDA) was performed as described previously (30). Briefly, PBMC from vaccinees were distributed in twofold dilutions from 6.25 x 10^4 to 5 x 10^3 cells per well in round-bottom microtiter plates and stimulated with irradiated (20,000 rads) FLR-sensitized PBMC or irradiated (8,000 rads) autologous LCs. On day 10, each of the 24 replicate microcultures for each dilution was split into two replicates and used as effectors in a standard 5-h 51Cr release assay against autologous PHA blasts sensitized with and without peptide epitope. Wells were scored as positive when percent specific chromium release for peptide-sensitized target cells exceeded the mean release from control wells by 3 standard deviations. T-cell frequency was calculated by the method of maximum-likelihood estimation (autologous PBMC or irradiated (8,000 rads) autologous LCs). On day 10, each of the 24 replicate microcultures for each dilution was split into two replicates and used as effectors in a standard 5-h 51Cr release assay against peptide-sensi-

Queensland Health Pathology Services, Royal Brisbane Hospital; or (iv) the medical history or physical examination revealed clinical abnormalities. Separate information sessions and consent forms were provided for screening and enrollment into the trial. Fourteen healthy, EBV-seronegative, HLA B*0801-positive, 18- to 50-year-old volunteers (seven females and seven males) were enrolled in the trial. They were randomly assigned by a computer-generated list into vaccine (n = 10, with 8 to be immunized with 5 µg and 2 with 50 µg peptide) and placebo (n = 4) groups (Table 1). Vaccine recipients were observed for 6 h following each immunization and contacted by telephone after 1 week. Clinical assessment was performed and blood was collected for immunological screening (including EBV serology and T-cell responses) before each injection and at 2, 4, 8, 10, and 12 weeks and 6, 12, and 24 months after each vaccination. Two vaccinations were offered, the first at day 0 and the second at week 8. Where possible, volunteers were also followed up after 8 to 12 years to test for EBV seroconversion and inquire whether they had suffered from IM since vaccination.

**The EBV vaccine.** The vaccine consisted of the synthetic peptide, FLR (9), mixed with TT in 5 mM phosphate buffer-isotonic saline and emulsified (3:7 [wt/wt]) with the water-in-oil adjuvant Montanide ISA 720 (Seppic, Paris, France) (20). The FLR peptide was chemically synthesized under GMP conditions (Aussep Ltd.). Two peptide doses were tested, 5 and 50 µg per vaccine dose, with the placebo vaccine containing no peptide. The peptide and placebo vaccines also contained 1.35 Lyme factor/ml (0.675 Lyme factor/dose) TT (CSL Ltd.) and thromsersal (0.01% [wt/vol]) (CSSL Ltd.). Stopped glass vials were filled with the vaccines under nitrogen by CSL Ltd. Volunteers were given 0.5 ml of vaccine per injection subcutaneously into the thigh.

**Formal Toxicology.** The vaccine showed no activity in the Ames test or sister chromatid exchange assay. The peptide was stable in the vaccine for 2 years at 4°C and was extracted from the vaccine by vigorous shaking with chloroform-0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in distilled water (1.5:1, vol/vol). The recovered peptide (in the aqueous phase) was analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography and was fully active in chromium release assays (9).

**LCs and PHA blasts.** EBV-transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCs) were established from each vaccinee’s peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) by exogenous virus transformation of peripheral B cells using the QIMR Wil strain of virus (43). LCs were maintained in medium comprising RPMI 1640 (Gibco), 2 mM glutamine (ICN Biomed. Aust Pty Ltd., Seven Hills, Australia), 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (CSL Ltd., Melbourne, Australia), and 10% fetal calf serum (QIMR). Phytohemagglutinin (PHA) blasts were generated and maintained as described previously (31).

**Ex vivo ELISPOT assay.** PBMC were separated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation and frozen in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 90% fetal calf serum with and without peptide epitope. Wells were scored as positive when the percent well-subtracted chromium release was greater than 50%.

**LDA.** The limiting-dilution analysis (LDA) was performed as described previously (30). Briefly, PBMC from vaccinees were distributed in twofold dilutions from 6.25 x 10^4 to 5 x 10^3 cells per well in round-bottom microtiter plates and stimulated with irradiated (20,000 rads) FLR-sensitized PBMC or irradiated (8,000 rads) autologous LCs. On day 10, each of the 24 replicate microcultures for each dilution was split into two replicates and used as effectors in a standard 5-h 51Cr release assay against autologous PHA blasts sensitized with and without peptide epitope. Wells were scored as positive when percent specific chromium release for peptide-sensitized target cells exceeded the mean release from control wells by 3 standard deviations. T-cell frequency was calculated by the method of maximum-likelihood estimation (autologous PBMC or irradiated (8,000 rads) autologous LCs). On day 10, each of the 24 replicate microcultures for each dilution was split into two replicates and used as effectors in a standard 5-h 51Cr release assay against peptide-sensi-
ized autologous PHA blasts at a 20:1 effector-to-target ratio as described previously (8).

**RESULTS**

**Volunteer selection.** A total of 1,075 resident students from the University of Queensland and staff members from QIMR were screened within a span of 5 years (1994 to 1999), and 25% were shown to be EBV seronegative. Of these, 72 (6.7%) were found to be EBV seronegative and HLA B*0801 positive during the initial screening. Ultimately 14 volunteers (1.5%) were enrolled in the trial. The main reasons for exclusion were anti-TT antibody titers of >5 IU/ml, EBV seroconversion prior to final enrollment, and subjects declining to continue.

**Vaccination, adverse events, and seroconversion.** Fourteen volunteers were vaccinated, including eight with 5 μg peptide (volunteers designated #01, #02, #04, #05, #06, #07, #08, and #09), two with 50 μg peptide (#13 and #14), and four with placebo (#03, #010, #011, and #012). Three subjects (#07, #08, and #011) were not given the second vaccination because of an increase in anti-TT titers beyond 5 IU/ml. One subject (#014) was not given the second vaccination due to EBV seroconversion. One subject (#09) declined the second dose of vaccine because of the discomfort following the first dose (Table 1). The vaccine was well tolerated in most volunteers, and no serious adverse events were seen throughout the trial period. Side effects were restricted to self-limiting, mild to moderate injection site reactions, which are summarized in Table 2. The vaccine was well tolerated in most volunteers, and no serious adverse events were seen throughout the trial period. Side effects were restricted to self-limiting, mild to moderate injection site reactions, which are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Vaccination summary for vaccine recipients, EBV seroconversion, and IM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Vaccine recipient</th>
<th>EBV seroconversion (wk of test)</th>
<th>IM or asymptomatic</th>
<th>EBV serology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 μg</td>
<td>#01</td>
<td>No (412)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#02</td>
<td>Yes (628)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#04</td>
<td>Yes (104)</td>
<td>Low positive</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#05</td>
<td>No (542)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#06</td>
<td>No (523)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No (520)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes (104)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes (26)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#13</td>
<td>No (421)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes (8)</td>
<td>Borderline&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>#03</td>
<td>No (585)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#10</td>
<td>No (494)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes (438)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#12</td>
<td>Yes (392)</td>
<td>IM, treating doctor notified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Second immunization not given due to high anti-TT antibody titers.
<sup>b</sup> Declined second immunization.
<sup>c</sup> Second immunization not given due to EBV seroconversion.
<sup>d</sup> Lymphocyte count within normal range at time of serology test.
<sup>e</sup> Diagnosis unclear, possible mild IM or tonsillitis (see text).
<sup>f</sup> Borderline. VCA IgM usually lasts for 1 to 2 months. Anti-EBNA IgG responses usually appear after 2 to 6 months.
<sup>g</sup> FLR- and RAK-specific T-cell expansions seen by FACS (data not shown), confirming EBV-positive status.
differences in IL-15 receptor status between several EBV-se-
infect T cells from individuals who have had IM (45). However, in
receptor has been reported to be permanently down regulated
interleukin-15 (IL-15) receptor status was determined, as this
practitioner. To try to determine whether vaccinee 14 had IM,
repeated tonsillitis and was prescribed penicillin by her general
represent mild IM; however, this vaccinee had a history of
sore throat and fatigue, which lasted about 2 to 3 weeks and
suggests that vaccinee 14 became infected within a few weeks
of the first immunization. This vaccinee complained of a severe
and individuals recovered from IM (data not shown). Using
monoclonal antibodies 151303 and 151307 (R&D Systems), 0.1
to 4% of CD4+ T cells and 3 to 15% of CD8+ T cells were
IL-15 receptor positive in all groups and in vaccinee #14 (data
not shown). The IL-15 receptor profile thus does not appear to
be a reliable indicator of past IM.

**Induction of FLR-specific T-cell responses postvaccination.**
Ex vivo IFN-γ ELISPOT assays illustrated that the vaccine had
generated detectable FLR-specific responses in 8/9 vaccine
recipients. Responses were detected in 7/8 of the vaccinees
(#01, #02, #04, #05, #06, #07, and #08) who received 5
μg peptide (Fig. 1A). T-cell data from vaccinee #14 are not pre-
dented (see above). Vaccinees #09 and #14 were the only
vaccinees in whom FLR-specific responses could not be dem-
strated postvaccination by ELISPOT assay. However,
PBMC from #08, #10, and #12 weeks were not available for
testing for vaccinee #09, and PBMC from only two time points
(weeks 2 and 4) were available for vaccinee 14 before serocon-
version. Appropriate Vβ TCR sequences were nevertheless
identified in PBMC from vaccinee #09 at week 8 (see below).
No responses were observed in any of the placebo vaccine
recipients (#03 and #10 [Fig. 1] and #011 and #12 [data not
shown]). When the mean responses for the six vaccinees who
received two immunizations were plotted over time, clear

### TABLE 2. Injection site reactions and TT titers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Vaccine recipient</th>
<th>Injection site reactiona after:</th>
<th>TT titer, IU/ml (wk after vaccinationb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptide 5 μg</td>
<td>#01</td>
<td>11- by 6.5-cm red warm swelling at day 9, resolved at day 28</td>
<td>2- to 3-cm mild swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#02</td>
<td>6-cm swelling at day 5-6, mild discomfort, resolving at day 14</td>
<td>Slight thickening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#04</td>
<td>Slight pain for 24 h, 5- by 4-cm induration at day 14, itching</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#05</td>
<td>10- by 8-cm firm warm raised swelling, resolved at day 7</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#06</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
<td>9-cm swelling at day 3, slightly tender, resolved at day 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#07</td>
<td>11- by 8-cm raised erythema at day 2, pain, resolving at day 14</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#08</td>
<td>7-cm raised pink warm itchy area at day 3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#09</td>
<td>10-cm tender raised red swelling at day 2, 2 cm by day 4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 μg</td>
<td>#13</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#14</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>#03</td>
<td>2- to 3-cm induration, slightly tender for 3 days</td>
<td>5-cm red tender warm swelling at day 1, 3-cm induration at day 3, resolving at day 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#10</td>
<td>Slight tender swelling at day 5</td>
<td>6-cm warm swelling at day 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#11</td>
<td>2-cm induration, slightly tender at day 14</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#12</td>
<td>No significant reaction</td>
<td>8-cm erythema, itchy, resolved after day 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

# Table notes:

- Measurements are diameters.
- P, prevaccination. Boldface indicates TT values above the 5-IU/ml cutoff.
- NA, not applicable because no second vaccination was given.

---

periods. A further vaccinee, #02, seroconverted between
weeks 104 and 628 with no history of IM. One of the placebo-
vaccinated volunteers (#12) was diagnosed with IM by their
general practitioner using symptoms and serology at week 392
(Table 1).

Vaccinee #14 was found to be EBV seropositive at 8 weeks
(Table 1) and at that time had significant responses against
FLR (20/10^6 lymphocytes), RAK (480/10^6), and QAK 72/10^6)
as measured by LDA. No responses were detected at weeks 2
and 4 (data not shown). The incubation period for EBV has
been reported to be 38 days for one individual (56), which
suggests that vaccinee 14 became infected within a few weeks
of the first immunization. This vaccinee complained of a severe
sore throat and fatigue, which lasted about 2 to 3 weeks and
began at about week 7. No time off work was taken, biochem-
istry was normal, and no significant lymphocytosis was present
at week 4 or 8. At week 4 the CD4 and CD8 counts were 1.64 ×
10^9 and 0.45 × 10^9/liter, respectively, and this changed to 0.89 ×
10^9 and 1.77 × 10^9/liter at week 8. This clinical picture may
represent mild IM; however, this vaccinee had a history of
repeated tonsillitis and was prescribed penicillin by her general
practitioner. To try to determine whether vaccinee 14 had IM,
interleukin-15 (IL-15) receptor status was determined, as this
receptor has been reported to be permanently down regulated
in T cells from individuals who have had IM (45). However, in
contrast to the findings of Sauce et al. (45), we found no
differences in IL-15 receptor status between several EBV-se-
ronegative individuals, healthy EBV-seropositive individuals,
and individuals recovered from IM (data not shown). Using
monoclonal antibodies 151303 and 151307 (R&D Systems), 0.1
to 4% of CD4+ T cells and 3 to 15% of CD8+ T cells were
IL-15 receptor positive in all groups and in vaccinee #14 (data
not shown). The IL-15 receptor profile thus does not appear to
be a reliable indicator of past IM.
postvaccination expansions of T-cell numbers followed by their expected contraction (22) could be seen (Fig. 1B).

The LDA assays showed vaccine-induced FLR-specific responses in 3/9 vaccine recipients (#01, #05, and #13), with a marginal response in vaccinee 2 (Fig. 2). No responses were detected in the four placebo-vaccinated individuals (#03 and #10 [Fig. 2] and #11 and #12 [data not shown]).

PBMC from vaccines 1, 6, and 13 were tested for long-term FLR-specific memory responses at weeks 412, 523, and 421, respectively, using the cultured ELISPOT method of Goonetilleke et al. (21). Responses of 0, 92.5, and 95 IFN-γ spots per 10^6 PBMC were obtained, respectively; however, the latter two did not reach significance over background (data not shown).

TCR sequence analysis. FLR-specific CD8 T cells in nearly all HLA B8 EBV-seropositive individuals share the same Vβ TCR amino acid sequence (3, 52). RT-PCR and sequencing were therefore used to determine whether FLR vaccination induced CD8 T cells with this Vβ sequence. PBMC or bulk culture samples (containing 5 x 10^6 cells) from six vaccinees (#01, #05, #06, #07, #09, and #13) and three individuals receiving the placebo (#10, #11, and #12) taken at various times (see Fig. 3 legend) were analyzed by RT-PCR. All the samples from vaccinees produced PCR products that were detected by ethidium bromide staining (data not shown). In contrast, detectable PCR products were not generated from PBMC taken at week 0 from vaccinee #01 or from any of the six placebo PBMC samples, with the exception of PBMC from #12 taken at week 2 (data not shown). All samples produced bright, clear, and consistent PCR products when the TCR constant-region primers were used (data not shown). PCR products from no. 12 at week 2 and a selection of products...
from six vaccinees were cloned and sequenced. All clones providing TCR sequences from all six vaccinees showed the same V\textbeta amino acid sequence as that previously published for CD8 T cells recognizing FLR (3), although several different nucleotide sequences were found (Fig. 3). The same nucleotide sequence was also found in #09 PBMC at 8 weeks and after EBV seroconversion at week 104. Two sequences were obtained from the PCR product from PBMC of the placebo recipient #12 at week 2 (Fig. 3, #12 w2 Placebo); however, neither showed any similarity to the consensus sequence. These data indicate that FLR peptide vaccination of EBV-seronegative individuals induced CD8 T cells with the same V\textbeta TCR amino acid sequence as that seen in the majority of HLA B8 individuals both during primary infection and after EBV seroconversion (32).

FLR-specific T-cell responses post-EBV seroconversion. Three vaccinees, #04, #08, and #09, seroconverted asymptotically within 2 years with no lymphocytosis evident at the time of positive EBV serology (Table 1). These vaccinees showed FLR-specific T-cell responses of 404, 1,240, and 792 IFN-\gamma spots per 10^6 PBMC after seroconversion (Fig. 1). These T-cell frequencies are comparable to those found in other studies using ex vivo ELISPOT analysis of FLR-specific T-cell responses in healthy EBV-seropositive HLA B8 individuals (32, 58). In our hands such individuals usually give FLR-specific responses in the range of 100 to 1,100 spots/10^6 PBMC in ex vivo IFN-\gamma ELISPOT assays (data not shown). The LDA frequencies following seroconversion (Fig. 2) are also comparable to those found in healthy EBV-seropositive individuals (11, 12). Analysis with MHC-peptide multimers of FLR-specific responses in vaccinees #04 and #09 (Fig. 4A, B*0801-FLR) also showed responses similar to those seen during IM and in healthy seropositive individuals (24). We were thus unable to find any evidence that vaccine-primed individuals were predisposed to abnormally high FLR-specific anamnestic responses after EBV seroconversion.

Examination of the phenotype of the B*0801-FLR multimer-positive cells for #04 (week 104) and #09 (week 26) showed the expected memory phenotype. However, significant proportions (25% and 57%, respectively) were CD45RA positive. For #09, this percentage dropped to 4% at week 52 (Table 3). In healthy seropositive individuals and during IM, latent antigen-specific CD8^+ T cells usually remain CD45RA negative (16, 24).

Normal acquisition of CD8^+ T-cell responses to other epitopes in vaccinees after EBV seroconversion. To determine whether, after EBV seroconversion, vaccinees developed normal CD8^+ T-cell responses to other epitopes or whether vaccination causes responses to be dominated by FLR-specific CD8^+ T cells, PBMC from vaccinees #04 and #09 were analyzed with an MHC-peptide multimer for responses to the HLA B*0801-restricted epitope RAK from the lytic antigen BZLF1. Vaccinee #04 developed RAK responses (Fig. 4A, HLA B*0801-RAK) comparable to those seen in individuals recovering from IM or in individuals latently infected with EBV (24). Vaccinee 9 showed a clear expansion of RAK-specific responses at week 26, which fell at week 52 (Fig. 4A, HLA B*0801-RAK). Similar expansions and contractions of RAK responses have been well documented for IM patients as they recover from disease (24). The phenotypes of the HLA B*0801-RAK pentamer-positive cells were also similar to those seen in individuals recovering from IM (16, 25) (Table 3, HLA B*0801-RAK). Responses to FLR, the HLA B*0801-restricted epitope, OAK (from EBNA3), and RAK could also be detected in PBMC collected 26 and 104 weeks after immunization for vaccinees #04 and #09, respectively, using chromium release assays (Fig. 4B). In addition, ELISPOT assays using week 104 PBMC from vaccinee #08 showed HLA A2-restricted responses to GLCTLVAML (from BMLF1) as well as RAK responses similar to those found in healthy seropositive individuals (58) (Fig. 4C). Small responses to the HLA
A2-restricted epitopes LLD (28) and CLG (34) were also detected (Fig. 4C). Thus, taken together, these data do not support the view that single-epitope immunization results in immunodominance or immunodomination effects (17) which would lead to the repression of responses to other epitopes following seroconversion.

DISCUSSION

Although dendritic cells pulsed with CD8 T-cell epitopes have been used to treat EBV-positive nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients (35), to our knowledge the current paper describes the first CD8\(^+\) T-cell-based EBV vaccine trial with EBV-seronegative individuals, and it illustrates that epitope-based vaccination is mostly well tolerated and immunogenic in most individuals. The same TCR V\(_\beta\) sequences that are involved in recognizing FLR presented by EBV-infected cells in HLA B8 EBV-seropositive individuals (3) were also found in EBV-seronegative volunteers postvaccination. Importantly, vaccine-based priming of responses to the single FLR epitope did not predispose individuals to disease following a primary EBV infection, indicating that single-epitope immunization is safe in this context. In addition, neither FLR nor other EBV-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses were demonstrably affected in vaccinees following primary EBV infection, suggesting that significant immunodominance or immunodomination effects did not occur (17). Insufficient numbers preclude any formal assessment of vaccine efficacy. Nevertheless, excluding vaccinee 14, who seroconverted near the time of vaccination, 4/4 points postvaccination were assessed by FACS using FLR-specific (left panels, B*0801-FLR) and RAK-specific (right panels, B*0801-RAK) pentamers (x axis) and anti-CD8 monoclonal antibody (y axis). The percentage of CD8 T cells that are pentamer positive are indicated in the top right corner of each panel. (B) Standard chromium release assay using bulk cultures generated from PBMC from vaccinees #04 (week 52) and #09 (week 104) to assess lytic activity specific for FLR, QAK, and RAK. (C) Ex vivo IFN-\(\gamma\) ELISPOT assay using PBMC from vaccinee #08 (collected at week 104) to assess T-cell responses to the HLA A*0201-restricted epitopes LLDFVRFMGV (LLD), GLCTLVAML (GLC), CLGLLTLTMV (CLG), IILYNGWYA (ILY), YLLEMLWRL (YLL), and YLQQNWWTL (YLQ) and the HLA B*0801-restricted epitopes FLR, QAK, and RAK.

| Table 3. Percentages of B*0801-FLR and -RAK tetramer-positive cells expressing CD27, CD28, CD45RA, CD45RO, and CD62L |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Vaccine Tetramer | CD27 | CD28 | CD45RA | CD45RO | CD62L | CD62L |
| B*0801- #04 (104) | 0.34\(^a\) | 97 | 99 | 25 | 82 | 24 |
| FLR #09 (26) | 0.48\(^a\) | 97 | 99 | 57 | 91 | 58 |
| #09 (52) | 0.7\(^a\) | 98 | 99 | 4 | 86 | 48 |
| #01 (NA)\(^b\) | 1.3 | 89 | 83 | 5 | 92 | 47 |
| B*0801- #04 (104) | 4.37\(^a\) | 87 | 99 | 40 | 42 | 24 |
| RAK #09 (26) | 12.42\(^a\) | 79 | 99 | 26 | 47 | 28 |
| #09 (52) | 8.52\(^a\) | 89 | 99 | 28 | 34 | 31 |
| #01 (NA) | 3.41 | 90 | 97 | 38 | 61 | 40 |

\(^a\) As shown in Fig. 3A.  
\(^b\) Healthy seropositive donor. NA, not applicable.
of the peptide vaccine recipients who seroconverted failed to develop IM, whereas 1/2 of the placebo-vaccinated individuals who seroconverted developed IM. Published studies on the incidence of IM among young adults experiencing primary EBV seroconversion show a range from 25 to 74% (19).

The most common side effect in our study was inflammation and discomfort at the site of injection (Table 2), an observation also made in previous trials using this adjuvant (36, 62). The side effects were similar in the peptide vaccine and placebo groups (Table 2), indicating that they were unrelated to the peptide component of the formulation. Following a pretrial vaccination of an HLA B8 EBV-seropositive individual with the 5-μg FLR vaccine, TT titers rose from 8.3 to >50,000 IU/ml. This was associated with a severe injection site reaction, consisting of a 15-cm-diameter erythema and induration, with suppurative lasting ~2 months (data not shown). TT is thus the likely cause of injection site reactions (27, 33), despite the fact that only 1/10th the amount of TT in the conventional TT-alum vaccine was delivered. The 5-IU/ml cutoff adopted for this trial was implemented to minimize the risk of such inflammatory responses. However, this meant that ~45% of volunteers could not enter the trial, and 3/14 vaccinees did not receive a second dose of vaccine (Table 2). TT thus does not appear to be a good choice for providing CD4+ T-cell help in such vaccines, although adequate help for CD8 induction was likely provided by the TT, since all vaccinees increased their TT titer and/or showed a pronounced reaction to the vaccine. Such responses/reactions are likely due to activation of TT-specific CD4 T cells (7), which should provide the necessary help for CD8 T-cell induction (6, 48). However, alternative proteins or peptides that have not previously been seen by the patient’s immune system might provide sufficient help without the associated anamnestic inflammatory response (42). gp350 from EBV is clearly an obvious candidate (40).

The presence of CD45RA-positive latent-antigen-specific CD8+ T cells during acute asymptomatic seroconversions in vaccinees #04 and #09 (Table 3) contrasts with the observation that such cells are usually CD45RA negative during IM and in healthy seropositive individuals (16, 24). Such CD45RA, CD45R0 double-positive memory cells have been reported previously after primary human cytomegalovirus infection (63). It is unclear whether this is a general feature of acute asymptomatic seroconversions or due to vaccination. The expansion of RAK-specific CD8+ T cells in #09 at week 26 (Fig. 4A) in the absence of a lymphocytosis was also unexpected, since a previous study has demonstrated no major perturbations in the blood TCR repertoire in three out of four individuals undergoing asymptomatic seroconversion (53). The TCR repertoire recognizing the dominant RAK epitope can be diverse (51), so conceivably TCR repertoire analysis may not detect such expansions. This RAK expansion is unlikely to be a result of FLR vaccination and therefore indicates that lytic antigen-specific expansions can occur during asymptomatic seroconversions.

Due to the HLA diversity across human populations, we have estimated that about 25 CD8+ T-cell epitopes would be needed to cover >90% of individuals in Western countries. Although complex peptide mixtures can be effectively codelivered in water-in-oil adjuvants (20), manufacture of a vaccine with so many individual components is difficult. EBV peptide-based vaccines with fewer epitopes might be envisaged for PTLD patients, where the HLA is often known, or for IM if specific populations are targeted or where reduced population coverage is acceptable. Combining large numbers of epitopes for an EBV vaccine might be achieved using the polyepitope or polytope approach (55, 60), which has recently shown some success in human trials (21). The data presented here suggest that an epitope-based approach for EBV vaccines is safe and does not appear to predispose individuals to immunological problems after EBV infection.
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