RNA-Binding Protein hnRNP D Modulates Internal Ribosome Entry Site-Dependent Translation of Hepatitis C Virus RNA
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Hepatitis C virus (HCV), one of the major causative agents of virus-related hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma in humans. Translation of the HCV polyprotein is mediated by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) in the 5' nontranslated region of the genome. Here, we report that a cellular protein, hnRNP D, interacts with the 5' border of HCV IRES (stem-loop II) and promotes translation of HCV mRNA. Overexpression of hnRNP D in mammalian cells enhances HCV IRES-dependent translation, whereas knockdown of hnRNP D with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) inhibits translation. In addition, sequestration of hnRNP D with an interacting DNA oligomer inhibits the translation of HCV mRNA in an in vitro system. Ribosome profiling experiments reveal that HCV RNA is redistributed from heavy to light polysome fractions upon suppression of the hnRNP D level using specific siRNA. These results collectively suggest that hnRNP D plays an important role in the translation of HCV mRNA through interactions with the IRES. Moreover, knockdown of hnRNP D with siRNA significantly hampers infection by HCV. A potential role of hnRNP D in HCV proliferation is discussed.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV), one of the major causative agents of virus-related liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in humans, contains a single-stranded RNA genome of positive polarity. HCV RNA contains nontranslated regions (NTRs) at the 5' and 3' ends (18, 23) and a long open reading frame encoding polyprotein that is synthesized through a single translational initiation event directed by an RNA element designated the internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) (21, 38) at the 5' NTR (46). The polyprotein is proteolytically processed into 10 or more viral proteins.

In general, IRES elements need several canonical translation factors (except eukaryotic initiation factor 4E [eIF4E]) for their activities (40, 42). However, HCV IRES-dependent translation requires only a few canonical factors (eIF2, eIF3, eIF5, and eIF5B) for function (30, 39, 41). Additionally, cellular proteins known as IRES-specific cellular transacting factors (ITAFs) are required for the efficient translation of HCV mRNA. For instance, polypyrimidine tract-binding protein (PTB) interacting with HCV IRES is required for IRES function (2, 3). A antigen interacting with the GCAC site near the initiator AUG is necessary for the optimal function of the HCV IRES (1, 10, 43). Recent studies have shown that NSAP1 interacting with the adenosine-rich core-coding region of HCV mRNA augments HCV IRES-dependent translation (27).

Heterogeneous ribonucleoprotein D (hnRNP D), also known as AU-rich element RNA-binding protein 1 (AUF1), is an hnRNP family member that shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm (44, 48). hnRNP D was initially identified owing to its ability to bind and destabilize c-myc mRNA in a crude in vitro decay system (6). The protein has four isoforms of different molecular weights (p37, p40, p42, and p45), all of which are produced by alternate splicing of a single transcript (11, 47). The hnRNP D protein has various functions, including mRNA decay (6), telomere maintenance (12), translation initiation (31, 36), and mCRD-mediated mRNA turnover (16). Another recent report showed that hnRNP D enhances the translation of c-myc mRNA (33).

Here, we show that hnRNP D binds HCV IRES and promotes translation of the viral protein. Specifically, hnRNP D interacts with the stem-loop II of HCV 5' NTR, and its overexpression enhances HCV IRES-dependent translation. Conversely, knockdown of hnRNP D inhibits the translation process. Analysis of the ribosomal profile with or without hnRNP D depletion also indicates that hnRNP D functions as an ITAF of HCV IRES. Interestingly, knockdown of hnRNP D results in increased HCV RNA replication inside cells, indicating that the protein represses HCV RNA replication. Based on the results, we conclude that hnRNP D functions in modulating HCV proliferation by balancing replication and translation of RNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid construction and small interfering RNA (siRNA). Dual reporters harboring the HCV IRES, encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) IRES, and c-myc IRES were constructed as described previously (27). The monocistronic reporter containing the HCV IRES and EMCV IRES, followed by freely luciferase used for in vitro translation, were prepared according to methods described in a previous report (28). Plasmids expressing hnRNP D, pFLAG-CMV2 p37, pFLAG-CMV2 p40, pFLAG-CMV2 p42, and pFLAG-CMV2 p45 were kindly provided by R. J. Schneider at New York University School of Medicine (44). To generate pRSET A-hnRNP D for recombinant hnRNP D purification, pFLAG-CMV2 p45 was treated with HindIII-Klenow-EcoRI, and the resulting DNA fragment was cloned into Ncol-Klenow-EcoRI-treated pRSET A (Invitrogen). To construct pH(130-228)CAT and pH(229-402)CAT used for generating an RNA probe (see Fig. 2B, below), HCV IRES corresponding to positions 130 to 228 and 229 to 402 were amplified from pH(18-402)CAT (27) using the following PCR primers: 5'-CTAGGTACCGGGAGAGCCATAG-3' and 5'-GCCCCCCTGTGGGCGGC-3'.

To construct pH(130-228)CAT and pH(229-402)CAT used for generating an RNA probe (see Fig. 2B, below), HCV IRES corresponding to positions 130 to 228 and 229 to 402 were amplified from pH(18-402)CAT (27) using the following primer pairs: 5'-CTAGGTACCGGGAGAGCCATAG-3' and 5'-GCCCCCCTGTGGGCGGC-3' for pH(229-402)CAT and 5'-CTAGGTACCGGGAGAGCCATAG-3' and 5'-GCCCCCCTGTGGGCGGC-3' for pH(130-228)CAT.
CAT. PCR fragments were treated with Asp718-BamHI and cloned into the corresponding sites of pH(18-402)CAT.

To construct a plasmid expressing short hairpin RNA (shRNA) against hnRNPD, we selected the target site on hnRNPD mRNA corresponding to nucleotides (nt) 867 to 895 (exon 7) downstream of the initiation codon. The DNA oligomers 5’-CTAGTCAGCAGAAAGGGGATATAGCTTATGGATCAAGAGATCCAAATAGTCAATATCCTCTGTCAGTTTTCCTAGAGA-3’ and 5’-CTAGTCAGCAGAAAGGGGATATAGCTTATGGATCAAGAGATCCAAATAGTCAATATCCTCTGTCAGTTTTCCTAGAGA-3’ were annealed and ligated into plasmid pEBV-U6-27 (8) treated with Sall and Xba1.

Duplex siRNAs targeting exon 1 of hnRNPD (nt 201 to 219) and control siRNA were purchased from Bioneer Inc. (Korea). The siRNA sequence targeting hnRNPD and the control RNA sequence were 5’-GAUUAGCAGCCAGUAAGACG(dTdT)-3’ and 5’-CCUACGCACCAUUUCUGU(dTdT)-3’, respectively.

Cell culture. 293T, Huh7, and Huh 7.5.1 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Clontech). FC cells containing the full-length HCV genome (32) and Huh-luc/neo-E1 cells containing the subgenomic replicon carrying both the nomyosin phosphotransferase and firefly luciferase genes (25) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Clontech) and the antibiotic G418 (600 µg/ml; Calbiochem). Huh 5-15 cells containing the subgenomic replicon Lm9-jpg-ubi/NS3-3’ were provided by R. Bartenschlager at the University of Heidelberg (13). Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Clontech) and hygromycin (300 µg/ml; Calbiochem).

Antibodies. Anti-Flag and anti-NSAP1 antibodies were purchased from Sigma, anti-actin antibody from ICN, and anti-hnRNP D antibody from Upstate Biotechnology. Anti-PTB and anti-La (7), anti-NS5B and anti-core (32), and anti-actin antibody from ICN, and anti-hnRNP D antibody from Upstate Biotechnology. Anti-PTB and anti-La (7), anti-NS5B and anti-core (32), and anti-NSA (24) antibodies have been described elsewhere.

UV cross-linking and immunoprecipitation of cross-linked proteins. All experiments were performed according to previous reports (26), except that 3P-labeled RNA corresponding to fragments of HCV IRES and purified hnRNPD were used as the interacting RNA and protein, respectively.

RNA affinity chromatography. RNA affinity chromatography experiments were performed as described previously (27), except that Huh7 cytoplasmic extracts and biotinylated RNA corresponding to HCV IRES fragments were used.

Establishment of cell clones expressing hnRNPD shRNAs. HeLa cells were transfected with control plasmids or those expressing shRNA (pEBV-U6-27 and pEBV-U6-27/hnRNPD; 1 µg each) by electroporation. From 48 h post-transfection, cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing hygromycin (300 µg/ml; Calbiochem). After 1 month of selection, hygromycin-resistant colonies were pooled and cultivated for further analysis.

Preparation of HeLa S10 cell extracts and in vitro translation. Cytoplasmic S10 extracts of HeLa S3 cells were prepared as specified in an earlier report (9). In vitro translation reactions in HeLa S10 were performed for 1 h in a 12.5-µl reaction mixture (130 mM potassium acetate and 1.5 mM magnesium acetate) containing 10 nM mRNA at 30°C.

RNA purification and analysis. Total RNA was extracted using the TRI reagent (Invitrogen). Total RNA (20 µg) from transfected 293T cells was analyzed by Northern blot analysis (8). Total RNA (2 µg) was reverse transcribed using ImProm II reverse transcriptase (Promega), and the cDNA was subjected to real-time PCR analysis for quantification using Sbyr premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa). Primer sequences for reverse transcription-PCR and real-time PCR were as follows: HCV, 5’-GTCATGCAATGGGTAGTAAGTGA3’ and 5’-CTCCCGGGGC ACTGCAAAGCATGCTTGG-3’ (29); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), 5’-TGGCATACCAACTGCGTCAATAGC3’ and 5’-GAGGGCACGGATGATTTTCCTAGAGA-3’.

Ribosomal profiling. Huh 5-15 cells were subjected to sucrose gradient analysis, as described elsewhere (8). Fractionated samples were classified as shown below in Fig. 6. Total RNA of each fraction was purified using TRI reagent (Invitrogen) and subjected to real-time PCR analysis for quantification.

Virus infection. Preparation of infectious JFH 5A-RLuc and infection experiments were performed as described in a previous report (24).

RESULTS

hnRNPD enhances HCV IRES-dependent translation. Since hnRNPD is cofractionated and coimmunoprecipitated with NSAP1 (16), which enhances HCV IRES activity (27), we examined its effects on HCV IRES-dependent translation. The effects of hnRNPD isoforms were monitored by cotransfection of 293T cells with effector plasmids expressing these proteins (Fig. 1A, panel ii) and a reporter plasmid producing dicistronic mRNA consisting of Renilla luciferase (RLuc), which is translated in a cap-dependent manner, followed by firefly luciferase (Fluc) under the translational control of HCV IRES (nt 18 to 402) (Fig. 1A, panel i, top). Among the hnRNPD isoforms, p37 and p45 enhanced HCV IRES-dependent translation, as measured from the relative ratio of firefly luciferase to Renilla luciferase activity (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 2 and 5 with lane 1). The p40 and p42 isoforms had no significant effect on the translation of HCV mRNA in 293T cells (Fig. 1B, panel i, compare lanes 3 and 4 with lane 2), despite similar expression levels of the isoforms on a Western blot (Fig. 1B, panel 1, α-Flag). The p45 isoform showed the strongest translational enhancing activity in Huh7 cells (hepatocellular carcinoma cells), the same as in 293T cells. Interestingly, p42 but not p37 enhanced translation through the HCV IRES in Huh7 cells (Fig. 1B, panel i, compare lanes 2 and 4 with the corresponding lanes in C, panel i). This discrepancy may be attributed, at least in part, to the varied amounts of hnRNPD isoforms in different cells and to the varied strength of binding affinity of hnRNPD isoforms to RNA (19, 22). Since p45 isoform of hnRNPD enhanced HCV IRES-dependent translation to a maximal extent in both cells (Fig. 1B, panel i, and C, panel i, lane 5), we focused on the p45 isoform of hnRNPD (designated p45+) in subsequent experiments.

To exclude the possibility that the increase in firefly luciferase activity by p45 protein is due to monocistronic reporter mRNA, which may be generated by cryptic promoter activity, aberrant splicing, or cleavage of dicistronic mRNA by a putative endonuclease, we monitored the integrity of the dicistronic reporter mRNA containing the HCV IRES element by Northern blot analysis with a 3P-labeled probe corresponding to the firefly luciferase gene (Fig. 1B, panel ii, and C, panel ii). Overexpression of p45 did not affect the integrity of reporter mRNA, and putative RNA of monocistronic mRNA size was not detected (Fig. 1B, panel ii, and C, panel ii). Together, these results indicate that some hnRNPD isoforms enhance HCV IRES-dependent translation in vivo, and the p45 isoform displays the strongest ITAF activity.

We investigated the effects of p45 on several IRES elements through cotransfection of dual reporter plasmids containing HCV, EMCV, and c-myc IRES (Fig. 1A, panel i, and D). Overexpression of p45 enhanced the IRES activity of HCV mRNA, as expected, but did not affect EMCV or c-myc IRES-dependent translation in 293T cells (Fig. 1D, upper panel), despite similar levels of the effector protein (p45) (Fig. 1D, lower panel).

We monitored the effects of cotransfection of plasmids expressing NSAP1 and hnRNPD, since hnRNPD interacts with NSAP1. The overproduction of NSAP1 and hnRNPD together showed an additive effect rather than synergistic effect, which might be observed if they functioned in a cooperative manner (data not shown). This suggests that NSAP1 and hnRNPD function independently.

hnRNPD interacts with the HCV IRES element. Several known ITAFs function by interacting with IRES RNA. To validate whether hnRNPD binds the HCV IRES element, we performed in vitro RNA-protein binding assays using various...
FIG. 1. hnRNP D augments HCV IRES-dependent translation in vivo. (A, panel i) Schematic diagrams of dicistronic reporter plasmids used to monitor the efficiency of cap- and IRES-dependent translation in vivo. The vectors contain the cytomegalovirus (CMV) immediate-early enhancer-promoter (CMV promoter) to direct transcription in cells. (ii) Schematic diagrams of mRNAs encoding different hnRNP D isoforms. The shRNA and siRNA target sites are underlined in black and gray, respectively. (B and C, panel i) 293T cells (B) or Huh7 cells (C) were cotransfected with a dicistronic reporter plasmid harboring the HCV IRES and an effector plasmid, pFLAG-CMV2 (lane 1), and its derivatives expressing hnRNP D isoforms (lanes 2 to 5). At 48 h posttransfection, the relative luciferase activities in transfected cells were measured. The ratio of firefly luciferase to Renilla luciferase activity in cells transfected with plasmid pFLAG-CMV2 was set to 1 (upper panels). Experiments were performed at least three times for each set, and standard deviations are presented as error bars. Lysates were analyzed by Western blotting with a monoclonal anti-Flag antibody (lower panels). The asterisk indicates a nonspecific band observed in all cells. (ii) Integrity of dicistronic mRNA. Northern blot analysis was performed with 20 μg of total RNA isolated from transfected 293T cells (B) or Huh7 cells (C). The RNA content was visualized by methylene blue staining (lower panels). The positions of the human 28S and 18S bands are indicated. The arrow signifies the position of reporter mRNA. (D) Translation activities of IRES-containing dicistronic mRNA in 293T cells. Dicistronic reporter constructs (HCV IRES in lanes 1 and 2, EMCV IRES in lanes 3 and 4, c-myc IRES in lanes 5 and 6), an effector plasmid expressing p45 (lanes 2, 4, and 6), and a negative control effector pFLAG-CMV2 (lanes 1, 3, and 5) were cotransfected. At 48 h after transfection, cells were harvested and their luciferase activities measured. Luciferase activities in cells transfected with the pFLAG-CMV2 plasmid were set to 1 (upper panel). Error bars represent standard deviation values. Lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against Flag (lower panel).
FIG. 2. hnRNP D interacts with SL II of the HCV IRES. (A) Schematic diagrams of HCV RNA used in UV cross-linking experiments and affinity chromatography. AUG denotes the initiator codon. (B, panel i) Cytoplasmic extracts of HuH7 cells were subjected to an in vitro RNA-binding assay with biotinylated RNA probes corresponding to different regions of the HCV IRES (positions 18 to 129, 130 to 228, 229 to 331, 18 to 331, and 18 to 402 in lanes 3 to 7, respectively). Immunoblot analysis was performed with anti-hnRNP D (upper panel) and anti-NSAP1 antibodies (lower panel). Each hnRNP D isoform is denoted with arrows. (ii) Immunoprecipitation of UV cross-linked Flag-tagged p45 isoform of hnRNP D with a 32P-labeled HCV RNA probe (nt 18 to 402). After UV cross-linking with cytoplasmic extracts of empty vectors and hnRNP D expression plasmid-transfected 293T cells, samples were precleared with protein G-agarose resin and immunoprecipitated with 2 μg of anti-Flag antibodies. Resin-bound proteins were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate–10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; lanes 3 and 4). Lanes 1 and 2 depict 32P-labeled proteins before immunoprecipitation in empty vector-transfected and hnRNP D-coding plasmid-transfected cells, respectively. The arrow signifies immunoprecipitated protein. (iii) UV cross-linked cytoplasmic extracts of Flag-hnRNP D overexpressing 293T cells were immunoprecipitated with 2 μg of anti-Flag antibodies or anti-HA antibodies as a negative control. After immunoprecipitation, resin-bound proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE (lanes 2 and 3). The arrow indicates immunoprecipitated protein. (iv) UV cross-linking experiments were performed with 200 ng of purified recombinant p45 isoform of hnRNP D protein and 32P-labeled RNA corresponding to probes 18-129 (lane 2), 130-228 (lane 3), 229-331 (lane 4), 18-331 (lane 5), and 18-402 (lane 6).
segments of HCV RNA corresponding to the 5' NTR and the coding region of the N terminus of the core protein (Fig. 2A). Cytoplasmic extracts from Huh7 cells were incubated with biotinylated RNA probes corresponding to regions shown in Fig. 2A, and the RNA-protein complexes were precipitated with streptavidin-agarose beads, followed by detection of hnRNP D protein by Western blotting. Consistent with the riboproteomics data with HCV IRES (35), hnRNP D protein interacted with the full-length HCV IRES element (Fig. 2B, panel i, lane 7). Interestingly, binding strength to the HCV IRES varied among the hnRNP D isoforms (Fig. 2B, panel i, lane 7). The region between nt 18 and 129, including stem-loop II (SL II) of HCV IRES, was sufficient for binding to hnRNP D (Fig. 2B, panel i, lanes 3, 6, and 7). On the other hand, hnRNP D did not bind RNA corresponding to positions 130 to 228 or 229 to 331 (Fig. 2B, panel i, lanes 4 and 5).

Direct interactions of hnRNP D with HCV IRES were confirmed using UV cross-linking and immunoprecipitation. Cytoplasmic extracts of 293T cells transfected with a plasmid expressing the Flag-tagged p45 isoform of hnRNP D or a negative control vector were immunoprecipitated using an anti-Flag antibody after UV cross-linking reactions with 32P-labeled HCV RNA (nt 18 to 402) and RNase digestion (Fig. 2B, panel ii). The p45 protein was clearly detected by UV cross-linking and immunoprecipitation of cell extracts transfected with p45-expressing cells (Fig. 2B, panel ii, lane 4, and panel iii, lane 3). No band was detected with the extracts of cells transfected with the control vector (Fig. 2B, panel ii, lane 3) or when antihemagglutinin (anti-HA) antibody was used in the immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2B, panel iii, lane 2). These data strongly suggest that p45 directly interacts with HCV IRES in the cell.

The hnRNP D and HCV IRES interactions were further confirmed using purified p45 protein and radiolabeled RNA corresponding to different portions of the HCV IRES (Fig. 2A). Purified hnRNP D protein bound efficiently to RNA containing nt 18 to 129 (Fig. 2B, panel iv, lanes 2, 5, and 6) and weakly to those lacking nt 18 to 129 (Fig. 2B, panel iv, lanes 3 and 4). These data are consistent with the results of protein

---

**FIG. 3.** Effects of an hnRNP D-specific shRNA on HCV IRES activity. (A) Schematic diagrams of dicistronic mRNA used in RNA transfection experiments. The intercistronic regions of dicistronic mRNA contain the HCV IRES (nt 18 to 402) and EMCV IRES, respectively, are shown. Dicistronic mRNAs were synthesized with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of 7-methyl-GpppG to add cap structures at the 5' end. (B) Western blot analysis of HeLa cells expressing hnRNP D-specific shRNA (sh hnRNP D). Stably transformed HeLa cells were generated by transfection of the control vector and that encoding sh hnRNP D. Cells were harvested, and protein levels were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-hnRNP D, -NSAP1, -PTB, -La, and -actin antibodies. (C) Translation activities of dicistronic mRNA in shRNA-expressing cells. Capped dicistronic mRNAs were transfected into control cells (lanes 1 and 3) and sh hnRNP D-expressing cells (lanes 2 and 4). After 3 h of incubation, luciferase activities in cells were measured. The ratio of FLuc to RLuc activity in control cells was set to 1 (lanes 1 and 3). Experiments were performed at least three times for each set, and standard deviations are presented as error bars.
precipitation with biotinylated RNA and Western blotting shown in Fig. 2B, panel i. These results collectively indicate that hnRNP D interacts directly with HCV IRES through nt 18 to 129, including SL2 of the IRES.

**Knockdown of hnRNP D by shRNA reduces HCV IRES-dependent translation.** To further analyze the effects of hnRNP D on HCV IRES-dependent translation, we monitored the effects of hnRNP D knockdown with shRNA. We generated a plasmid expressing hnRNP D-specific shRNA (sh hnRNP D) targeting exon 7, which is only found in the p42 and p45 isoforms of hnRNP D mRNA (Fig. 1A, panel ii), because p45 showed the strongest ITAF activity (Fig. 1B). Cell lines expressing shRNA against hnRNP D and negative controls were generated by transfecting HeLa cells with a plasmid expressing hnRNP D-specific shRNA or empty vector and then collecting hygromycin-resistant cells. Cells expressing shRNA against exon 7 of hnRNP D displayed a markedly reduced level of p45 and reduced level of p40/p42 isoforms of hnRNP D, which was most likely due to knockdown of p42, but retained p37 isoform (Fig. 3B, hnRNP D). On the other hand, the levels of actin and other ITAFs that affect HCV IRES-dependent translation, such as NSAP1, PTB, and La, remained unchanged (Fig. 3B, NSAP1, PTB, La, and actin), indicating the specificity of this shRNA. The effects of hnRNP D knockdown on HCV IRES-dependent translation were monitored via transfection of dicistronic reporter mRNAs containing HCV IRES or EMCV IRES in the intercistronic regions (Fig. 3A) into control and hnRNP-D knockdown cells. The RNA transfection method was used to eliminate the possibility of aberrant mRNA production through a putative cryptic promoter or cryptic splicing acceptor in HCV IRES that might be activated when dicistronic mRNAs are generated by DNA transfection. HCV IRES-dependent translation was reduced upon transfection of dicistronic RNA into hnRNP D knockdown cells compared with control cells (Fig. 3C, lanes 1 and 2). Notably, about 60% of HCV IRES activity remained, even after knockdown of the p42/p45 isoforms of hnRNP D. Residual translation activity through HCV IRES is possibly attributed, at least in part, to the other isoforms of hnRNP D (p37/p40), because p37 augments HCV IRES-dependent translation (Fig. 1B) and siRNA that suppressed all isoforms of hnRNP D induced much stronger inhibition of HCV IRES activity (see below). On the other hand, translation of EMCV IRES was not affected by knockdown of hnRNP D (Fig. 3C, lanes 3 and 4). These results, along with p45 expression data (Fig. 1B), strongly suggest that the p45 isoform of hnRNP D protein augments HCV IRES-dependent translation.

**Sequestration of hnRNP D with an oligodeoxynucleotide impedes HCV IRES-dependent translation in vitro.** Previously, we developed a useful method to identify the cellular proteins augmenting IRES activities (9). The underlying principle of this method is that several RNA-binding proteins bind to specific DNA sequences. Thus, addition of an oligodeoxynucleotide that specifically interacts with an RNA-binding protein, such as ITAF, inactivates protein function through sequestration from its own target RNA (9). hnRNP D interacts strongly with the G-rich strand of telomeric DNA repeats (TTAGGG)₄ (12). A G-to-C substitution of the fifth nucleotide, (TTAGCG)₄, abolishes the hnRNP D-binding capacity of telomeric DNA repeats. To determine the function of hnRNP D in HCV IRES-dependent translation, we monitored the effects of (TTAGGG)₄ and (TTAGCG)₄ on HCV IRES-dependent translation using an in vitro translation system. In vitro translation reactions of monocistronic reporter mRNA containing HCV IRES or EMCV IRES, followed by firefly luciferase (Fig. 4A), were performed in HeLa S10 extracts in the presence of (TTAGGG)₄ or (TTAGCG)₄ (hereafter referred to as G5 and C5, respectively). Addition of G5 DNA oligomers, which bind hnRNP D with high affinity, inhibited HCV IRES-dependent translation in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4B, lanes 2 and 3). On the other hand, C5 DNA oligomers that bind weakly to hnRNP D inhibited HCV IRES-dependent translation only slightly, even at high concentrations (Fig. 4B, lanes 4 and 5). Neither G5 nor C5 oligomers inhibited EMCV IRES-dependent translation, indicating that the inhibitory effect of the G5 oligomer on HCV IRES-dependent translation is not attributed to nonspecific inactivation of the translation system (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 to 10). These data also

FIG. 4. hnRNP D-interacting DNA oligomers inhibit HCV IRES-dependent translation in vitro. (A) Schematic diagrams of monocistronic reporter mRNA used in an in vitro translation assay. The HCV IRES (nt 18 to 402) and EMCV IRES sequences are followed by firefly luciferase. (B) Effects of G5 and C5 oligomers on IRES-dependent translation in HeLa S10 extracts. Monocistronic reporters (10 nM, final concentration) were used in translation reactions in the presence of G5 (lanes 2, 3, 7, and 8) and C5 (lanes 4, 5, 9, and 10) oligomers or in the absence of oligonucleotides (lanes 1 and 6). The final concentrations of oligomers were 2 μM (lanes 2, 4, 7, and 9) or 4 μM (lanes 3, 5, 8, and 10). The firefly luciferase activity in extracts without oligomers was set to 1. Experiments were performed at least three times for each experimental set, and standard deviations are presented as error bars. NT, not treated.
indicate that hnRNP D plays a positive role in HCV IRES-dependent, but not in EMCV IRES-dependent, translation.

Knockdown of hnRNP D with siRNA inhibits HCV IRES-dependent translation in HCV replicon-containing cells. Huh-luc.neo-ET cell lines contain a bicistronic selectable HCV replicon including both neomycin phosphotransferase II (Neo) and FLuc genes under translational control of the HCV IRES. Huh 5-15 cell lines contain a monomeric selectable HCV replicon harboring a hygromycin-resistant gene, followed by HCV nonstructural proteins. Control siRNA and si hnRNP D were transfected into Huh-luc.neo-ET cells using Lipofectamine 2000. At 48 h after transfection, cells were harvested and protein levels analyzed by Western blotting with anti-actin, -hnRNP D, and -NS5B antibodies. (ii) The NS5B bands in panel i were quantified and normalized to actin bands. (iii) Levels of HCV mRNA (relative to GAPDH mRNA) in Huh-luc.neo-ET cells transiently transfected with control or hnRNP D-targeting siRNA were determined using real-time PCR analysis. The amount of HCV mRNAs in control siRNA-transfected cells was set to 1. (iv) The effects of si hnRNP D on HCV IRES-dependent translation in Huh-luc.neo-ET cells were monitored by measuring firefly luciferase activity. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the amounts of protein in cell extracts, and the luciferase activity in cells transfected with control siRNA was set to 1. (v) The NS5B level in panel ii was normalized to RNA levels in panel iii to obtain relative IRES activity, as shown in panel iv.
tigating the effects of siRNA against hnRNP D on HCV IRES activity. In this case, we used siRNA targeting all different isoforms of hnRNP D (Fig. 1A, panel ii). Compared with cells transfected with control siRNA, those transfected with siRNA against hnRNP D displayed markedly reduced levels of all isoforms of hnRNP D (Fig. 5B, panel i, hnRNP D). Interestingly, the level of NS5B protein under the control of the EMCV IRES was increased about twofold in cells depleted of hnRNP D (Fig. 5B, panel i, NS5B, and panel ii). This effect is possibly attributable to the twofold increase in the level of HCV RNA in hnRNP D-depleted cells, as measured by real-time PCR in cells transfected with siRNA against hnRNP D (Fig. 5B, panel iii; also see below). Depletion of hnRNP D did not affect EMCV IRES-dependent translation (Fig. 3C and 4B). It is plausible that hnRNP D blocks replication of HCV to avoid the collision between translational and replication machineries. An analogous situation is reported for the interplay between translation and replication of polioviral RNA (4, 15), where PCBP and/or PTB have key roles in the switch from translation to replication (see Discussion, below). Further investigation is required to clarify the molecular basis of the increase in the HCV RNA level in hnRNP D-depleted cells. Importantly, the level of firefly luciferase under the control of the HCV IRES was reduced by about 60% in cells transfected with siRNA against hnRNP D (Fig. 5B, panel iv). The actual effect of hnRNP D knockdown on HCV IRES function is even more dramatic (about 80%), considering the increased HCV RNA level (Fig. 5B, panel v; relative luciferase activities in panel iv were normalized with RNA levels in panel iii).

The necessity of hnRNP D in proliferation of HCV, which requires both translation and replication of RNA, was monitored using a monocistronic HCV replicon, Huh 5-15, containing the hygromycin resistance gene and HCV NS3 to NS5B in a single open reading frame (Fig. 5A, lower panel) (13). This artificial polyprotein is translated through the HCV IRES and processed by ubiquitinase and the viral proteinase NS3, resulting in functional proteins. Under these conditions, expression of NS5B controlled by HCV IRES was reduced by 80% upon suppression of hnRNP D with siRNA (Fig. 5C, panel i, NS5B, and panel ii). On the other hand, the HCV RNA level was reduced by 20% (Fig. 5C, panel iii). The reduction in the RNA level might be due to the suboptimal levels of viral proteins, such as NS5B polyproteinase, which are under the control of the HCV IRES. Considering the HCV RNA concentration, HCV IRES activity was reduced by about 70% in hnRNP D knockdown cells. This effect of hnRNP D on HCV IRES-dependent
translation in a monocistronic context is consistent with that in a dicistronic context (Fig. 5B and C).

The importance of hnRNP D in HCV mRNA translation was further analyzed by monitoring the ribosomal profiles of HCV mRNA with or without treatment with siRNAs against hnRNP D (Fig. 6). The overall profiles of ribosomes in sucrose gradient analyses were not altered by treatment with siRNA against hnRNP D. This is reflected in the levels of a control GAPDH mRNA (Fig. 6C). On the other hand, a shift of HCV mRNA from heavy polysomes (fractions 7 and 8) to light polysomes (fractions 3 to 6) was observed in cells treated with siRNA against hnRNP D (Fig. 6D, lanes 3 to 8). The effects of hnRNP D on HCV IRES activity in replicons collectively suggest an important role of the protein in translation of HCV mRNA.

Effect of hnRNP D on translation of HCV mRNA during infection. Finally, we monitored the effects of hnRNP D suppression on viral RNA proliferation, using an infectious HCV clone containing Renilla luciferase fused with NSSA viral protein (24). The luciferase activity reflects the level of viral protein translated in HCV-infected cells. Upon treatment with specific siRNA, the hnRNP D protein level was markedly reduced, with no effects on the amount of the negative control protein, actin (Fig. 7C). Expression of viral proteins in hnRNP D siRNA-treated cells was reduced to about 70%, as measured by luciferase activity (Fig. 7B, panel i) or Western blotting of NSSA-Rluc fusion protein and core protein (Fig. 7C, NSSA-Rluc and core). Similar to the replicon system, the viral RNA level in infected cells was marginally reduced in hnRNP D-depleted cells (Fig. 7B, panel ii). These data strongly suggest that hnRNP D participates in HCV proliferation by augmenting IRES activity.

DISCUSSION

Translational initiation through the HCV IRES is unique for eukaryotic mRNA, because only a few canonical translation factors (eIF2, eIF3, eIF5, and eIF5B, but not eIF4E, eIF4A, or eIF4G) are used for activity (40–42). However, a number of noncanonical translation factors (PTB, La, and NSAP1) augment HCV IRES-dependent translation. Here, we report that hnRNP D, an RNA-binding protein, augments HCV IRES activity through binding to the SL II region of the IRES element. Several lines of evidence confirm that hnRNP D is a positive regulator of HCV IRES-dependent translation. First, overexpression of hnRNP D enhanced HCV IRES-dependent translation (Fig. 1B and C). The p45 isoform of hnRNP D displayed the strongest IRES-enhancing activity (Fig. 1B and C). Additionally, hnRNP D specifically activated HCV IRES-dependent translation among the IRES elements examined.
Second, hnRNP D interacted with SL II of the HCV IRES element (Fig. 2). Third, knockdown of hnRNP D with siRNAs inhibited HCV IRES activity monitored using a dicistronic reporter (Fig. 3), HCV replicons (Fig. 5), and an infectious HCV clone (Fig. 7). Fourth, the G5 oligomer that binds to hnRNP D specifically inhibits HCV IRES-dependent translation in vitro (Fig. 4). Finally, a significant amount of HCV replicon RNA was shifted from heavy to light polysomal fractions in hnRNP D knockdown cells (Fig. 6).

We speculate on the mechanism by which hnRNP D augments IRES-dependent translation, based on the molecular properties of hnRNP D. SL II, the binding site of hnRNP D (Fig. 2B, panels i and iv), is related with IRES-dependent translation. Mutations in this region inhibit transition from the 48S to 80S complex (37). This effect may be related with the SL II function mediating eIF2 release by promoting eIF5-induced GTP hydrolysis, which facilitates 80S complex formation (34, 39). Therefore, it is possible that hnRNP D facilitates 60S
joining by inducing the critical bent structure in SL II (34) through its intrinsic property of rearranging nucleic acid chains (12). Alternatively, hnRNP D may enhance HCV IRES-dependent translation through interactions with another protein(s) that promote translational initiation. In this respect, it is worth noting that hnRNP D interacts with NSAP1 (16), enhancing HCV IRES-dependent translation (27). However, synergistic activation of the HCV IRES was not observed when these two proteins were overexpressed together in the cells (data not shown), indicating that these proteins enhance HCV IRES activity independently.

Intriguingly, knockdown of ITAF hnRNP D facilitates the replication of HCV RNA. This finding suggests that hnRNP D is involved in the functional switch from the translational mode of incoming HCV RNA to the replication mode. After the synthesis of viral proteins, including RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (NS5B), genomic viral RNA should serve as a template for the synthesis of negative-strand RNA. The switch from translation to replication should occur properly, because continuous translation of viral mRNA blocks the replication of viral RNA (15). RNA-binding proteins play key roles in the functional switch from translation to replication of poliovirus, which displays a similar life cycle to HCV. Specifically, poliovirus is a positive-sense RNA virus, and its translation is directed by an IRES element at the 5' NTR of viral RNA, such as HCV (38). Poly(rC)-binding protein (PCBP) interactions with domain IV in the poliovirus IRES element enhance IRES activity. Functional switching from translation to replication of viral RNA possibly occurs by changes in PCBP2-binding sites in domain IV to the 5’-terminal cloverleaf of the polioviral 5’ NTR (14, 15). More recent results suggest that proteolytic cleavage of PFB (which binds to the IRES element of polioviral RNA and enhances IRES function) by the virus-encoded proteinase 3Cpro induces functional switching from translation to replication (4). An analogous functional switch may occur during infection of HCV. One possible hypothesis is that hnRNP A1, which displays similar binding specificity to hnRNP D (17, 20) and is required for HCV RNA replication (25), competes with hnRNP D for the same binding site in SL II. In this respect, it is interesting that hnRNP A1 in the nucleus in noninfected cells relocates to the cytoplasm in HCV-infected cells, where translation and replication occur (25). Therefore, the shift from hnRNP D-bound RNA to hnRNP A1 may result in a switch from translation to replication of RNA.

Further investigation of the functions of hnRNP D should improve our understanding of the mechanisms of IRES-dependent translation and replication. Moreover, this improved knowledge may lead to the development of novel therapeutic approaches for the treatment of life-threatening HCV infections.
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