Evidence for Protection against Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Chimpanzees by Immunization with Replicating Recombinant Vaccinia Virus
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Given the failures of nonreplicating vaccines against chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, we hypothesized that a replicating viral vector may provide protective immunity. Four chimpanzees were immunized transdermally twice with recombinant vaccinia viruses (rVV) expressing HCV genes. After challenge with 24 50% chimpanzee infective doses of homologous HCV, the two control animals that had received only the parental VV developed chronic HCV infection. All four immunized animals resolved HCV infection. The difference in the rate of chronicity between the immunized and the control animals was close to statistical significance (P = 0.067). Immunized animals developed vigorous gamma interferon enzyme-linked immunospot responses and moderate proliferative responses. To investigate cross-genotype protection, the immunized recovered chimpanzees were challenged with a pool of six major HCV genotypes. During the acute phase after the multigenotype challenge, all animals had high-titer viremia in which genotype 4 dominated (87%), followed by genotype 5 (13%). However, after fluctuating low-level viremia, the viremia finally turned negative or persisted at very low levels. This study suggests the potential efficacy of replicating recombinant vaccinia virus-based immunization against chronic HCV infection.

Nearly half a billion people, 1 in 12 of the world’s population, are infected with hepatitis B or C viruses, resulting in about 1.5 million deaths each year (3). While hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection accounts for a heavy burden of chronic liver disease, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma, vaccines are not yet available. A candidate HCV vaccine was developed by investigators at Chiron Corporation in 1994 (13). This vaccine, composed of recombinant HCV E1E2 proteins, produced in cell culture, protected a high proportion of chimpanzees against development of acute infection after challenge with small quantities of homologous genotype HCV; however, it failed to uniformly prevent chronic infections. Furthermore, it failed to protect against a heterologous subtype challenge (26). Immunity induced by this candidate vaccine appeared to depend on induction of an antibody response, which was extremely short lived (13), suggesting that immunity would be of limited duration. Puig et al. (50) also evaluated E1E2 protein immunization and found that this induced a delay in virus replication but did not prevent chronic infection.

As it is now considered probable that cell-mediated immunity is necessary for the control of chronic HCV infection (52, 63), recent candidate HCV vaccines have been designed to induce cell-mediated immunity. These include vaccines using DNA-based immunization (21–23, 29, 30, 35–38, 68, 72), DNA priming followed by HCV protein boosting (53, 59, 70), DNA priming followed by recombinant avipoxvirus (43, 44), recombinant modified vaccinia virus (rVV) Ankara (54), or recombinant adeno-virus boosting (40, 46, 69), recombinant adenovirus priming and DNA boosting (20), recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara (1) or adenoviruses (39, 67), recombinant baculovirus derived virus-like particles (17, 31), hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg)-HCV recombinants (41), peptides (56), and peptides incorporated in lysosomes (18). Although most of these candidate vaccines have produced humoral and cell-mediated immune responses, all except one of these studies (17) failed to completely prevent chronic infections, in the relatively few studies in which immunization and challenge of chimpanzees was carried out.

VV has many advantages as a vector for immunization, such as stimulation of long-lasting humoral and cell-mediated immunity after a single injection, low cost, heat stability, and lack of requirement for needles and syringes for administration (19). The latter is important for elimination of the risk of transmission of blood-borne viruses in the developing world. The fact that up to 25 kb of foreign DNA can be stably inserted into the VV genome without impairing its replication (58) provides a major opportunity for polyvalent immunization.
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The use of rVV to immunize against multiple pathogens was first reported by Perkus et al. (48), who introduced genes for HBV, herpes simplex virus, and influenza virus into a single VV. As a proof-of-concept study, we selected a highly replicating vaccinia virus vector which induces vigorous T-cell responses and investigated its immunogenicity and protective efficacy against chronic HCV infection. In the present study we used recombinant HCV-vaccinia virus (rVV-HCV) encoding HCV core, E1, E2, p7, NS2, and NS3.

After challenge with homologous HCV, all four immunized animals resolved the infection after acute-phase viremia, with a 1.3-log reduced peak viral load (PVL) compared to the control animals, both of which developed chronic infection. For evaluation of cross-protective efficacy, the protected animals were then challenged with a pool of HCV strains representing all of the six major genotypes. High-level acute-phase viral replication was seen in all animals. Heterologous genotypes 4 and 5 replicated predominantly during the early phase but were eventually replaced by genotype 1a during the late phase at low levels. Two of these animals became negative and one was on the borderline after 60 weeks, while one animal showed persisting viremia with a very low titer. We conclude that replicating rVV represents a promising vector for HCV immunization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chimpanzees. All chimpanzees were housed in groups in spacious outdoor cages at Vilab II at the Liberian Institute for Biomedical Research. Six HBV- and HCV-naïve chimpanzees were selected for the experiment, based on the absence of anti-HBsAg and anti-HCV antibodies, HCV viremia, and HCV-specific gamma interferon (IFN-γ) enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) responses.

Control animals. Recalculation of the dilution revealed that only an estimated 2.5 × 10^5 cells/ml were delivered to each chimpanzee as determined by the Sigma calorimetric method.

Vectors for immunization. rVVs (WR strain L-variant) used for immunizations were prepared by Marion Perkus at Virogenetics Inc., using methods which have been described elsewhere (47). All vectors were diluted in RPMI 1640, 10% fetal Research, and the New York Blood Center’s approved IACUC assurance is on file with the Office for Protection from Research Risks of the National Institutes of Health.

Vectors for immunization. rVVs (WR strain L-variant) were used for immunizations were prepared by Marion Perkus at Virogenetics Inc., using methods which have been described elsewhere (47). All vectors were diluted in RPMI 1640, 10% fetal calf serum to 10^8 PFU/ml and flash-frozen. They were hand carried to Liberia on dry ice and stored in liquid N2. Controls received parental vaccinia virus WR strain. rVV-HCV contained stock vP1451, expressing HCV genotype 1b capsid, E1, E2, P7, NS2, and NS3 genes (C-N3), at 10^8 PFU/ml. The HBV genes were derived from an adw strain.

Viral replication was seen in all animals. Heterologous genotypes 4 and 5 replicated predominantly during the early phase but were eventually replaced by genotype 1a during the late phase at low levels. Two of these animals became negative and one was on the borderline after 60 weeks, while one animal showed persisting viremia with a very low titer. We conclude that replicating rVV represents a promising vector for HCV immunization.
IFN-γ ELISPOT assay. The ELISPOT assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions in the IFN-γ ELISPOT kit with modifications (MAbTECH, Cincinnati, OH), as previously described (69). Briefly, $3 \times 10^5$ PBLs were plated onto a 96-well plate in triplicate and were stimulated with recombinant HCV core (1b; aa 1 to 133) (69), E2 (1a; aa 383 to 745; Austral Biologicals, San Ramon, CA), or NS3 (1b; aa 1205 to 1615) (69) protein for HCV-specific responses at $3 \mu g/ml$ for 40 h. Phytohemagglutinin (Difco/Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) and recombinant superoxide dismutase (SOD; kindly provided by M. Houghton, Chiron) were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. The number of IFN-γ-secreting cells (ISCs) was enumerated using an ELISPOT image analyzer and KS ELISPOT 4.2 software (Axioplan 2 imaging; Zeiss, Germany). Antigen-specific ISCs were determined by subtraction from ISCs on culture with SOD. The average number of ISCs observed with SOD was $19 \pm 22$ (standard deviation) per well. The number of ISCs was represented as ISCs/10$^6$ PBLs.

Proliferation assay. Briefly, $2 \times 10^5$ PBLs were plated onto 96-well plates in triplicate and stimulated with recombinant HCV protein, as described above. Phytohemagglutinin and SOD were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After 5 days of stimulation, $[^{3}H]$thymidine-containing growth medium was added and cultures were further incubated for 18 h. Antigen-specific stimulation indices were calculated as follows: (antigen-specific thymidine incorporation)/(thymidine incorporation with SOD).

Statistical analysis. The differences in immune responses and in protection rates between groups were determined with a nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and Fisher’s exact test, respectively.

RESULTS

Results of challenge with the homologous HCV genotype. As the challenge with 2.5 CID$_{50}$ at 4 weeks after rVV-HCV boost failed to induce viremia in any animals, they were rechallenged with 24 CID$_{50}$ 17 weeks later. Both the controls and the immunized animals then became PCR positive 1 week after challenge. The controls developed a high-titer PVL, averaging 7.32 logs 14 weeks after inoculation (Fig. 1). The titers then declined and stabilized at about 5 logs and remained in this range for the duration of the follow-up (44 weeks). By contrast, the immunized animals reached average PVLs of 6.05 logs at around 2 to 4 weeks after the challenge, after which viral loads rapidly declined, becoming nondetectable in all animals by 28 weeks after challenge. Negativity of HCV viremia at weeks 40 and 44 was confirmed by the high-sensitivity PCR method, which has a detection limit of 1.39 log$_{10}$ copies/ml. The rate of self-limited infection in the immunized group was on the borderline of statistical difference from the control group ($P = 0.067$) and differed from our past experience with the rate of chronicity (17 chronic infections out of 38 infections) in HCV-infected chimpanzees ($P = 0.11$).
To assess the role of HCV-neutralizing antibodies in this protection we determined antibody responses against HCV-bk HVR1, which had shown a strong correlation with PVL \((r = 0.969; P < 0.001)\) and also with neutralizing activity \((r = 0.880; P < 0.01)\) in our previous chimpanzee study (69). As shown in Fig. 2a, chimpanzees 405 and 409 induced detectable anti-HVR1 antibody responses during the vaccination but did not maintain the responses until the time of challenge. The other two vaccinated animals and both control chimpanzees did not show significant levels of response. This result was confirmed by the HCV neutralization assays using HCV-bk pseudovirus particles (Fig. 2b). Both results showed a similar pattern and suggested that there was no significant contribution of neutralizing antibody to this protection. A small discrepancy between the two results may have been due to differences in sample materials, serum, and purified immunoglobulin, which we used in the assays.

For HCV-specific T-cell responses, significant levels of IFN-\(\gamma\) ELISpot responses to NS3 protein were induced in the vaccinated chimpanzees even after priming with PolyVax, and these were maintained after boosting with rVV-HCV (Fig. 3a). Two of the vaccinated chimpanzees (405 and 409) with marginal responses at the time of challenge with 24 CID\(_{50}\) HCV showed anamnestic recall responses after the challenge, while the other vaccinated chimpanzees with vigorous responses on challenge maintained these after challenge. By contrast, control chimpanzees showed marginal levels of responses even after challenge. Overall, vaccinated chimpanzees showed higher responses than control chimpanzees at 4 weeks after challenge \((P < 0.01)\). Similar patterns of T-cell responses to NS3 protein were detected in proliferation assays (Fig. 3b). Modest levels of proliferative response were detected in the vaccinated chimpanzees after priming and after boosting except in chimpanzee 405. Two of them showed an anamnestic recall response after challenge, although the differences between control and vaccinated groups at 4 and 8 weeks after challenge were not statistically significant \((P > 0.05)\).
Results of challenge of immunized chimpanzees with a multigenotype pool. To determine whether the apparent homologous protective immunity extended to heterologous genotypes, we rechallenged the immunized animals 18 months after the homologous genotype 1b (bk) challenge with a pool containing six major HCV genotypes. HCV viremia was determined by using the UGD PCR assay, which detects all eight HCV strains used in this study with equal sensitivity (data not shown). The method was evaluated in parallel with the Roche COBAS HCM-2 genotyping kit. Both methods showed comparable re-
All the chimpanzees showed typical but low PVL (average, 5.8 ± 0.5 logs) and then fluctuating low-level viremia which eventually turned negative except in chimpanzee 414 (Fig. 4). Samples during weeks 52 to 64 from three HCV RNA-negative chimpanzees were reexamined by using high-sensitivity PCR (detection limit, 1.22 log_{10} copies/ml). Chimpanzees 405 and 409 were still negative, and chimpanzee 412 was slightly positive (Fig. 4, inset). In the latter animal, week 60 and 64 plasma samples were likely borderline positive, as we could not isolate PCR fragments for genotyping analysis. Only two chimpanzee plasma samples from chimps 409 and 414 were available at week 102. Chimp 409 remained negative, and chimp 414 maintained a low-level viremia (3.82 logs), respectively (data not shown).

Since these chimpanzees had previously cleared HCV-1b infection, unequal distribution of HCV genotypes was anticipated during the acute phase of multigenotype challenge. Although we observed active HCV replication in all the chimpanzees after multigenotype challenge, 1b-specific protective immunity may have provided differential immune selection pressure on different genotypes and would have resulted in preferential replication of immunologically distant genotypes from 1b. To investigate this hypothesis, we determined genotype distribution by clone-based sequencing of the 5’ untranslated region from very early (2 weeks), mid-phase (8 to 10 weeks), and late-phase (36 to 64 weeks) samples after multigenotype challenge, in which 5 to 20 clones were genotyped. As shown in Table 1, the results revealed that multiple genotypes, including 1a, 1b, 3, 4, and 5, were replicating in the very early phase according to the clonal distribution of genotypes, among which genotype 4 was dominant (70.3%). However, when actual genotype distribution, obtained by normalizing clonal genotype distribution with individual viral load, was taken into consideration, genotype 4 was still predominant (87.4%), followed by genotype 5 (12.6%), and the other genotypes were negligible. This suggested that genotypes 4 and 5 were replicating strongly during the very early phase and that cross-protection for acute infection by these genotypes was inadequate. There were shifts of major genotype from 4 to 2 during the mid-phase and to 1a during the late phase, respectively. Stable maintenance of genotype 1a was observed in chimpanzee 414 from the genotyping results of week 60 and 64 samples. Taken together, these results suggested that there may have been complicated dynamic interactions among different HCV genotypes and host immune responses over time.

**DISCUSSION**

Hepatitis B and C viral infections account for a heavy burden of chronic liver disease in much of the developing world, particularly in Africa and large parts of Asia. To investigate a promising approach to low-cost immunization against these viruses, we attempted to use polyvalent recombinant vaccinia viruses, as was first suggested by Perkus et al. (48). Upon HBV challenge at 4 weeks after a single PolyVax immunization, two of the immunized animals developed no detectable HBV infection, one developed transient low-level viremia, and one reached a high peak viral load for reasons which remain unexplained (data not shown). It is likely that stronger protection would have been observed if prime boosting had been used, as was done for HCV.

The initial HCV challenge contained 2.5 CID_{50} and did not
induce viremia. The animals were therefore rechallenged with 24 CID\textsubscript{50}, which resulted in acute-phase viremia in all animals. It is unlikely that the low-dose challenge was responsible for the protection against chronic infection in the immunized animals, as both controls developed chronic infection after this mild infection. Although low-dose challenge alone was not enough to provide protection, we could not rule out the possibility that combination of our vaccination regimen with low-dose challenge somehow influenced the outcome of HCV infection, possibly by enhancing HCV-specific T-cell responses. This possibility was investigated by IFN-γ ELISPOT and proliferation assays at 6 weeks after low-dose challenge, and a boosting effect was not observed (data not shown). In control chimpanzee 407, we found HCV-specific T-cell responses 2 weeks after parental VV immunization. This chimpanzee may have had a previous subclinical exposure to HCV or may have had cross-reactive T-cell responses to other viral antigens (65).

After challenge with 24 CID\textsubscript{50} all animals rapidly developed viremia. However, peak viral loads in the immunized animals were about 1.3 logs lower than in the controls, indicating that a degree of immunity had been induced. This was further indicated by the fact that none of the four immunized animals, but both controls, developed chronic infection. This difference was statistically on the borderline of significance (\( P = 0.067 \)) and has yet to be confirmed due to the relatively small number of animals used in this study. All of the immunized animals developed relatively strong cell-mediated immune responses after the booster immunization. These were predominantly directed to NS3. Neutralizing antibody responses after the vaccine booster were relatively weak and inconsistent. Thus, our findings are consistent with previous reports indicating that cell-mediated immune responses are critical for prevention of chronic HCV infections (52, 63).

We previously reported that convalescent chimpanzees resist chronic infection when rechallenged with homologous but not heterologous genotypes (49). This suggested that an HCV vaccine derived from only one genotype might not provide cross-protection against heterologous genotypes. However, a degree of cross-genotype protection has also been reported by others (32). When the immunized animals were rechallenged with a pool containing six major genotypes, PVL (5.79 logs) reached titers similar to those seen in secondary infections rather than in primary infection. Upon homologous genotype 1b (bk) challenge, we observed PVLs of 7.32 and 6.05 logs in others (32). When the immunized animals were rechallenged with a pool containing six major genotypes, PVL (5.79 logs) reached titers similar to those seen in secondary infections rather than in primary infection. Upon homologous genotype 1b (bk) challenge, we observed PVLs of 7.32 and 6.05 logs in the control and immunized animals, respectively. This suggested partial controlling immunity to acute infection by heterologous genotypes. This speculation was supported by the multiple fluctuations of viremia at low levels, which implicate a dynamic interaction between host immune surveillance and viral escape. Alternatively, it is also possible that simultaneous replication of multiple genotypes may result in lower levels of acute-phase viremia than challenge with a single genotype, due to competition among different genotypes.

During the late phase, a very low titer or undetectable viremia was observed in three of the immunized animals, although one had clearly detectable viremia of 3.82 logs up to 102 weeks...
after multigenotype challenge. This may correspond to the "occult HCV" infections recently reported by Carreno et al. (11, 45). It is not known whether these infections are really chronic and long-lasting or whether these will eventually resolve. It is also not clear whether such low-level infections are clinically significant. Unfortunately, the animals in our study were released to retirement islands; thus, we were not able to follow them longer.

To gain an insight regarding the correlation of T-cell immunity with protection, we were interested in the immunogenicity compared with previous chimpanzee studies that had achieved different rates of protection. Notably, the current recombinant vaccinia virus-induced immunogenicity was no higher than that of other studies (17, 20, 54, 69). Due to many variables that affect the results of cell-mediated immunological assays, our previous study was considered ideal for the comparison, because only two of six vaccinated chimpanzees were protected and results were obtained under similar experimental conditions (69). Unexpectedly, there was no significant difference in immunogenicity as determined by IFN-γ ELISPOT and proliferation assays, and the previous study induced even higher immunogenicity than the current one, which suggests that results under the current T-cell assay conditions may not completely represent protective immunity. There are at least three possibilities to explain the discrepancy, and these are not mutually exclusive. First, current immunological assays may not directly represent in vivo T-cell immunity. Stimulation with exogenous antigen to detect IFN-γ-secreting cells may far exceed the concentrations which occur in vivo, and thus T-cell responses may be overestimated (7). Second, other types of HCV-specific T cells that are multifunctional or do not exhibit readouts in conventional T-cell assays, such as cytokine production or proliferation, may affect the protection. Lastly, there may be other types of host responses than antibody and T-cell responses that better correlate with the outcome of HCV infection, as suggested from the discordance between acute-phase control of HCV replication and chronic protection (54).

The genotype shifting from 4 to eventually 1a may also result from a significant difference in the biological behavior of the different genotypes, a combined consequence of immune escape and viral fitness. As well-documented in previous reports for T-cell dysfunction during persistent lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (66, 71) and HCV infection (2, 8, 10, 24, 60, 62), continued HCV replication might have resulted in dysfunction of preexisting HCV-specific T cells. As the degree of T-cell dysfunction increases, which results in a decrease of immune selection pressure, so does the contribution of virological factors on genotype distribution, such as "fitness cost." Genotype 1 has been reported to replicate more efficiently (9), be more pathogenic (16, 25, 57), and be IFN resistant (15, 42) and thus is considered to have greater "fitness" than other genotypes in HCV-infected patients (33). This may explain why genotype 1a was eventually fixed in multigenotype-challenged chimpanzee 414. This phenomenon is likely to correspond to viral evolution of diverse quasispecies in humans, but with much broader diversity, in which both escape from host immune responses and fitness to viral replication determine dominant quasispecies (14, 51).

It has yet to be determined why genotype 4 was predominant during the very early phase. It is possible that sequence differences in critical T-cell epitopes (12, 64) or in flanking regions (55) may prevent successful recognition of genotypes by 1b-specific T cells.

We conclude that the use of replicating recombinant VV vectors provides protection against highly variable viruses, such as HCV. The WR strain of vaccinia virus is clearly too virulent and thus is considered to have greater "fitness" than other vectors for use in human immunization, particularly in immunosuppressed individuals. Thus, we are now investigating the use of highly attenuated VV strains, which we hope will retain immunogenicity and protectivity, as vectors for human use.
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