Cdc34p Ubiquitin-Conjugating Enzyme Is a Component of the Tombusvirus Replicase Complex and Ubiquinates p33 Replication Protein
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To identify host proteins interacting with Tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV) replication proteins in a genome-wide scale, we have used a yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) proteome microarray carrying 4,088 purified proteins. This approach led to the identification of 58 yeast proteins that interacted with p33 replication protein. The identified host proteins included protein chaperones, ubiquitin-associated proteins, translation factors, RNA-modifying enzymes, and other proteins with yet-unknown functions. We confirmed that 19 of the identified host proteins bound to p33 in vitro or in a split-ubiquitin-based two-hybrid assay. Further analysis of Cdc34p E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, which is one of the host proteins interacting with p33, revealed that Cdc34p is a novel component of the purified viral replicase. Downregulation of Cdc34p expression in yeast, which supports replication of a TBSV replicon RNA (repRNA), reduced repRNA accumulation and the activity of the tombusvirus replicase by up to fivefold. Overexpression of wild-type Cdc34p, but not that of an E2-defective mutant of Cdc34p, increased repRNA accumulation, suggesting a significant role for the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme function of Cdc34p in TBSV replication. Also, Cdc34p was able to ubiquinate p33 in vitro. In addition, we have shown that p33 becomes ubiquitinated in vivo. We propose that ubiquitination of p33 likely alters its function or affects the recruitment of host factors during TBSV replication.

The host cell plays a major role during the replication of small plus-stranded RNA viruses with limited coding capacity. A yet-unknown number of host proteins, termed host factors, are likely exploited by viruses to facilitate their replication and spread. Indeed, viruses likely coopt or alter many cellular processes, such as translation and RNA and protein degradation, via their coded proteins (1, 27, 29). The host cells also activate antiviral defense mechanisms, such as gene silencing, to destroy viral RNAs (20). Recent systematic genome-wide screens of a single-gene knockout library of yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) conducted with Brome mosaic virus (BMV) (19) and Tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV) (17, 35) revealed that their replication is affected by ~100 mostly unique host genes. Additional genome-wide screens revealed that 32 host factors affected tombusvirus evolution via stimulating or inhibiting RNA recombination (50, 51). However, the above-described genome-wide screens could have missed the identification of those host genes, which are part of multimember gene families with overlapping functions. Therefore, additional screens might lead to the identification of new host genes affecting virus replication.

Genomic RNA of TBSV and the closely related Cucumber necrosis virus (CNV) codes for two replication proteins, termed p33 and p92<sup>mod</sup>, and three additional proteins involved in encapsidation, cell-to-cell movement, and suppression of gene silencing (63). p92<sup>mod</sup> is the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (28, 31, 34, 37), whereas p33 is an essential replication cofactor involved in RNA template selection/recruitment (26, 33, 41) and in the assembly of the viral replicase (38), and it is an integral part of the tombusvirus replicase complex (45, 49). Interestingly, yeast cells expressing tombusvirus p33 and p92<sup>mod</sup> replication proteins can efficiently replicate a TBSV-derived replicon RNA (repRNA), which is a defective interfering (DI) RNA identified in TBSV-infected plants (34, 39). The tombusvirus repRNA serves not only as a template for replication but also as an assembly platform for the viral replicase complex (38, 39). Altogether, yeast serves as a useful model host to study replication and recombination of tombusviruses, allowing the utilization of powerful genomics and proteomics tools developed for yeast.

The p33 replication protein likely interacts with many host proteins that could affect various steps during tombusvirus infections. Host proteins are likely involved in intracellular trafficking of p33 and in posttranslational modification of p33, such as phosphorylation, which has been shown to affect the ability of p33 to bind to the viral RNA (55, 58). To identify those host proteins that interact with the tombusvirus p33 replication cofactor, we took a global approach based on the yeast proteome microarray (66, 67). Previous studies using the yeast proteome microarray have identified numerous yeast proteins involved in protein-protein interactions, lipid binding, DNA binding, and small-substrate binding, thus demonstrating the usefulness of the global analysis approach (14, 15, 56, 66, 67). In addition, a yeast protoarray approach was used successfully to identify many host proteins interacting with a 3′ fragment of the BMV RNA (68). Two of those host proteins were confirmed to play a role during BMV infection in plants.

This work has led to the identification of 58 host proteins that bind to the purified recombinant p33, whereas 11 bound to the unique C-terminal portion of p92<sup>mod</sup>. The identified proteins include helicases, ubiquitin (Ub) ligases and proteases, translation factors, RNA-modifying enzymes, and proteins with unknown functions. Additional data from in vitro...
binding experiments and the split-Ub two-hybrid assay confirmed many of the host protein-p33 interactions. Further detailed work with Cdc34p Ub-conjugating enzyme demonstrated that it is present within the viral replicase complex. Downregulation of Cdc34p decreased the accumulation of TBSV repRNA in yeast and reduced the in vitro activity of the tombusvirus replicase. Ubiquitination of p33 has been performed in vitro with purified Cdc34p, which likely plays a regulatory role in TBSV replication. In addition, we have shown that p33 becomes mono- and biubiquitinated in yeast cells. Overall, the presence of Cdc34p within the tombusvirus replicase validates the usefulness of the protoarray approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast and Escherichia coli plasmids. To study the effect of overexpression of selected yeast proteins on viral RNA replication and recombination, we used the yeast open reading frame (ORF) collection from Open Biosystems. In this yeast ORF collection, each ORF is expressed from 2μ plasmid pgi1805 under the control of the GAL1 promoter and fused to a tandem affinity tag that includes a hemagglutinin tag and the zz domain of protein A at the C terminus. For the replication assay, the parental strain (BY4741) was cotransformed with three separate plasmids: (i) pHisGBK-His33/DI72-CUP1 (coexpressing CNV p33 from the ADH1 promoter and DI72 (+) RNA from the CUP1 promoter), (ii) pGAD-His92-CUP1 (containing the CNV p92\textsuperscript{C33} gene behind the CUP1 promoter), and (iii) one of the individual yeast ORF clones (Open Biosystems) or 2μ plasmid pYES-NT-C (Invitrogen) as a control. For the recombination assay, pHisGBK-His33-CUP1/DI-AL-FP-GAL1 (coexpressing CNV p33 from the CUP1 promoter and DI-AL-FP from the GAL1 promoter) (7) was used together with pGAD-His92-CUP1 and one of the yeast ORF plasmids. For FLAG purification of virus replicase from yeast, the yeast plasmids pGBK-33HF and pGAD-92HF, expressing His\textsubscript{6} and FLAG-tagged p33 and p92, and pGBK-His33 and pGAD-His92, expressing only His\textsubscript{6}-tagged p33 and p92, were described previously (49).

The full-length CDC34 and the regions of CDC34 corresponding to the N-terminal 170-amino-acid (aa) and C-terminal 125-aa sequences were amplified by PCR (the sequences of the primers are available upon request). The PCR products were treated by BamHI and Xhol and ligated into pYES-NT-C or pYC2/CT (a centromeric, low-copy-number plasmid) (Invitrogen) digested with the same enzymes. The PCR products were also cloned into pGEX-2T (Novagen) to construct protein expression plasmids in E. coli. The CDC34 C84S mutation was constructed by use of a QuikChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), changing the Cys95 TGT codon to TCT (serine). The mutated DNA was cloned into pYES-NT-C, pYC2/CT, and pGEX-2T as described above (49).

To construct the expression vector for the glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion, we amplified the yeast Ub sequence with PCR using primers 2227 (GGCGCGGATCCATGCAGATTTTCGTCAAGACTTTG) and 2228 (GGCGGCTGCAGTCAATGGTGATGGTGATGATGACCGGT). The obtained PCR products were digested with BamHI and XhoI and cloned into pGEX-2T at the BamHI/XhoI sites.

Yeast strains and culturing. Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains BY4741 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ2 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0) and InvSc1 were obtained from Invitrogen. The CDC34 strain (pCdc34::Kan\textsuperscript{Tet07} TATA URA3-CMV-ITA MATa his3-1 leu2-3,112 met15-0) with the tetratraitable yeast Tet promotor from the Hughes collection (yTHC) was obtained from Open Biosystems. Yeast cultures were grown at 29°C in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) or defined synthetic medium (SC) supplemented with appropriate amino acids and 2% glucose or 2% galactose as a carbon source. Yeast transformation was done by transformation yeast with an SdaI-truncated ura3 gene in the CDC34 yTHC strain was mutated by PCR (the sequences of the primers are available upon request). The PCR product was digested with BamHI and XhoI and cloned into pGEX-2T at the BamHI/XhoI sites.

Expression and purification of recombinant tombusvirus replication proteins. The sequence of a v5 epitope tag was added at the C terminus of TBSV p33 in plasmid pMAL-p33 (44) by use of PCR with p33-specific primers 788 (GAGG GATCCGAGGACATTCAAGAAGATT) and 1621 (GGCGTCTAGATGGAC ACCCGAGGAGCTCCGTGAG) and v5-specific primers 1619 (GGCGTCTAGAGGGCCCTTGAAGGTAGC) and 1620 (GGCGTCTAGACATGGT)

GATTGGTATGATGACCGGT). The obtained PCR products were digested with BamHI-XbaI and with XbaI-PstI, respectively. The two PCR products were cloned simultaneously into BamHI-PstI-digested pMAL-c2 vector (NEB). The obtained plasmid was used to express the maltose binding protein (MBP)-p33\textsuperscript{v5} fusion protein in E. coli (44, 46), followed by the addition of 100 μg of RNase A to the bacterial pellet prior to resuspending in 50 mM HEPEs, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl. Affinity purification was performed on maltose binding resin in 50 mM HEPEs and 100 mM NaCl according to the manufacturer’s instructions (NEB). The MBP tag of the MBP-p33\textsuperscript{v5} fusion protein was cleaved off with 1 μl factor Xa at 4°C in 1× protoarray buffer plus 0.5 M NaCl and 0.5% Triton X-100 (46). The final concentration of p33-v5 was 0.7 μg/μl. The v5 epitope tag was added to the C terminus of pMAL-p33N82 and pMAL-p92C (Fig. 1A) (43) by using a strategy as described above for pMAL-p33.

Biotinylation of p33 for protoarray analysis. Twenty microliters of the af- finity-purified MBP-p33\textsuperscript{C33} (2.5 μg/μl) (44) in 50 mM HEPEs, pH 7.4, and 100 mM NaCl was biotinylated by the addition of 1.6 μl of 5 nmol/μl biotin-sulfo- succinimidyl ester sodium salt and 2 μl of 1 M NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, pH 8.4. The biotin-ylation reaction was performed at room temperature for 60 min, followed by

FIG. 1. Yeast protoarray approach to identify host proteins interacting with TBSV replication proteins. (A) Schematic representation of the overlapping TBSV p33 and p92\textsuperscript{C33} replication proteins and their derivatives expressed in E. coli. TMD, predicted transmembrane domains; RPR, arginine-proline-rich RNA-binding region; RDRP, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; S1 and S2, p33:p33 interaction subdomains. Note that the sequence in the N-terminal region in p92\textsuperscript{C33} is identical with the p33 sequence due to the gene expression strategy of tombusviruses. (B) Identification of host proteins binding to p33 and a truncated p33 (termed p33C) (panel A) replication protein based on the protoarray. The whole chip contains 4,088 purified yeast proteins in duplicate. For the binding assay, we used E. coli-expressed v5-tagged p33 and biotinylated p33C cleaved from the MBP tag. Two representative subarrays are shown to illustrate the binding of host proteins to p33 but not to p33C (top) or to both p33 and p33C (bottom). Note that the protoarray contains various amounts of yeast proteins (the actual values are supplied by Invitrogen), and this information was used to calculate the relative binding of each host protein to p33/ p33C (Table 1).
cleaning through a gel filtration minicolumn (exclusion limit, 6,000 Da). The final protein concentration was 0.5 μg/μl. The quality of the protein biotinylation was assessed by Western blotting using streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate and a fluorescent substrate, CDP-Star, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen).

**Yeast protoarray analysis.** The protein array slide (Invitrogen) was blocked for 1 h at 4°C in the blocking buffer (1× phosphate-buffered saline, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1% Tween 20). Then, 120 μl of the p33-v5 probe (50 ng/μl) was amplified from the yeast genomic DNA with primers 1779 (CGCGGAATT/C and 2182 (GTCGCTGACTTACGATAGGCGTGGTTG) and cloned into SdaI/NcoI-digested pGAD-H (40), creating pGAD-BT2-N-His3. pPR3-N and pPR3-C (Dualsystems) were modified by the addition of a synthetic polylinker. To do that, Cnv3 was amplified from pGBK-His3 by use of primers 2181 (GTGGGATCCGACATTCAGATCTGGGCAGATGAAAGGATG) and 2182 (GTCGCTGACTTACGATAGGCGTGGTTG) and cloned into pPR3-N-C (Dualsystems) to generate pPR3-C-p33. Alternatively, the Cnv product was digested with BamHI and SalI and ligated into similarly digested pPR3-N (Dualsystems) to generate pPR3-N-p33. The Cnv product was ligated into pPR3-N-C (Dualsystems) to generate pPR3-C-p33 and pPR3-C-p33 were digested with XmaI and gel purified to excise the portion containing p33 and religated to create pPR-N-RE and pPR-C-RE. Host genes were amplified by PCR using specific primers and cloned into pPR-N-RE or pPR-C-RE in frame with the hemagglutinin-NubG cassette. Yeast strain NMY51 [MATa his3Δ200 trp1-901 leu2-3,112 ade2 lys2::(exaop) HIS3 ura3::(exaop) leu2::(exaop) ade2::(exaop) GAL4, Dualsystems] was transformed with pGAD-BT2-N-His3 and pPR-N-RE (NubG) or one of the pPR host gene constructs and plated onto Trp/-Leu - minimal medium plates. Transformed colonies were picked with a loop, resuspended in water, and streaked onto Trp/-Leu /His /Ade plates to test for p33-host protein interactions.

**Replicase purification.** Yeast SC1 strain transformed with expression plasmids for His6- and FLAG-tagged p33 and p92 and DI72 were grown at 29°C until reaching an optical density at 600 nm of 0.8 to 1.0. Replicase purification was performed as described earlier with modification (49). Briefly, 200 mg of yeast cells was broken in TG buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 10% glycerol, 15 mM MgCl2, and 0.5 mM DTT, followed by addition of 0.5 M NaCl and 0.1% NP-40) and then resuspended in 1 ml TG buffer with 0.5 M NaCl, 1% NP-40, and 0.1% Np-40. Cells were sonicated for 2 min at 21,000 g. The protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford, and 0.5 mg of each sample was used for the binding reactions. The binding reactions were performed for 5 min at 4°C in the blocking buffer (1× phosphate-buffered saline, 1.0% bovine serum albumin, 1% Tween 20, and a fluorescent substrate, CDP-Star, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen).
mixture was incubated for 1 h at 4°C with rotation and 5 min at 37°C to solubilize membranes, followed by centrifugation at 21,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant containing the solubilized membrane proteins was loaded onto columns containing 25 μl of anti-FLAG M2 agarose from mouse (Sigma) (equilibrated in TG buffer plus 0.5 M NaCl and 1% NP-40) and incubated for 2 h at 4°C with rotation. Columns were drained and filled with 1 ml cold washing buffer g, above 1,000; c, between 350 and 1,000; b, between 150 and 350; a, below 150.

TABLE 1. The names and functions of yeast proteins bound to TBSV p33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Binding of corresponding protein to&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Protein function&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALA1</td>
<td>+ + - - - -</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic alanyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARP8</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>Nuclear actin-related protein involved in chromatin remodeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRF1</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td>Component of mRNP complexes associated with polyribosomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEU21</td>
<td>+ - - - - -</td>
<td>Component of SSU processome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC34</td>
<td>++ - ++ ++ ++</td>
<td>Ub-conjugating enzyme or E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR48</td>
<td>++ + ++ + + + +</td>
<td>DNA damage-responsive protein; expression is increased in response to heat shock stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBF1*</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>Translation elongation factor 1 beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELF1*</td>
<td>++ - ++ ++</td>
<td>A conserved zinc finger containing transcription elongation factor; deletion inhibits BMV gene expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMIF2</td>
<td>+ - - - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERβ1</td>
<td>++ + + + + + +</td>
<td>Protein required for maturation of the 25S and 5.8S ribosomal RNAs; constituent of 66S preribosomal particles; homologous to mammalian Bop1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERR2</td>
<td>++ + + - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function; has similarity to enolases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX2</td>
<td>++ + + + + + +</td>
<td>Multifunctional enzyme of the peroxisomal fatty acid-beta-oxidation pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMP90</td>
<td>++ + + - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIM3</td>
<td>++ - - - - -</td>
<td>Subunit of the heterohexameric coenzyme A synthetase complex, which binds specifically to cytosolic chaperonin and transfers target proteins to it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPH1</td>
<td>++ - - - - -</td>
<td>Glycerol synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSV2*</td>
<td>+ + ++ ++ ++</td>
<td>Glycogen synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBS1*</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>GTP binding protein with sequence similarity to the elongation factor class of G proteins, EF-1alpha and Sup35p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOR2</td>
<td>+ - - - - -</td>
<td>di-Glycerol-3-phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI3</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function; a possible role in assembly of the ribosomal large subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISN1</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>IMP-specific 5’ nucleotidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JWI1*</td>
<td>++ + - - + + +</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLI1*</td>
<td>++ - ++ ++ ++</td>
<td>Protein that may function as a coactivator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLI2</td>
<td>++ + + - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function, contains a J-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAM13</td>
<td>++ - + - -</td>
<td>Acridine orange, a member of the mitochondrial matrix involved in oxidative phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP1*</td>
<td>++ - - ++ -</td>
<td>Protein that interacts with mitotic cyclin Clb2p: required for the regulation of microtubule dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP2</td>
<td>++ - - - - -</td>
<td>Protein disulfide isomerase, multifunctional protein resident in the endoplasmic reticulum lumen; essential for the formation of disulfide bonds in secretory and cell surface proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP3</td>
<td>++ - - - - -</td>
<td>Similarity to phosducins, which are GTPase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP2</td>
<td>+ - - - - -</td>
<td>PCNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYC1</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>Pyruvate carboxylase isoform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCR6</td>
<td>- - - - - -</td>
<td>Subunit 6 of the ubiquinol cytochrome-c reductase complex; highly acidic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIB2</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>DRAP deamminase: cytoplasmic RNA pseudouridylase synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPL8A*</td>
<td>++ - ++ ++ ++ ++</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein L4 of the large (60S) ribosomal subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS5</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>Ub-protein ligase involved in Ub-mediated protein degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTT106</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASS10</td>
<td>++ + - ++ ++</td>
<td>Component of the SSU processome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHO1</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td>Transmembrane osmosensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM1*</td>
<td>++ - + - + +</td>
<td>Protein that binds G4 quadruplex and purine motif triple nucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT16*</td>
<td>++ + + ++ ++</td>
<td>Pol II transcription elongation factor activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFI1*</td>
<td>++ - - - -</td>
<td>Translation initiation factor eIF4A; identical to Tif2p; DEAd/DH-box RNA helicase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFI1F</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>Translation initiation factor eIF1A; essential protein that forms a complex with Sui1p (eIF1) and the 40S ribosomal subunit and scans for the start codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM71</td>
<td>++ - + + + +</td>
<td>Mitochondrial outer membrane protein; minor component of the TOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM1*</td>
<td>++ + + ++ ++</td>
<td>RNA methyltransferase; localizes to both nucleus and mitochondrion to produce the modified base N2,N2-dimethylguanosine in tRNAs in both compartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRZ1</td>
<td>++ + - -</td>
<td>RNAase Z; involved in RNA processing; has two putative nucleotide triphosphate-binding motifs (P-loop) and a conserved histidine motif; homolog of the human candidate prostate cancer susceptibility gene ELC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSB2</td>
<td>++ - + + +</td>
<td>Protein with a potential role in pre-rRNA processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBA1</td>
<td>+ + - - -</td>
<td>Ub-activating enzyme involved in Ub-mediated protein degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBP19*</td>
<td>++ - + + +</td>
<td>UBP that deubiquitinates Ub-protein moieties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBP15*</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td>UBP that may play a role in Ub precursor processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URN1</td>
<td>++ + + + +</td>
<td>Pre-mRNA splicing factor associated with the U2-U5-U6 snRNPs, the RES complex, and the Prp19-associated complex (NTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCR016W</td>
<td>++ - + + ++ ++</td>
<td>Unknown; involved in ribosome biogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR161W</td>
<td>++ - + ++ -</td>
<td>Known; involved in ribosome biogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YGL242C</td>
<td>++ - + + ++</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YGR017W</td>
<td>++ + + - -</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YGR027W</td>
<td>++ + + + ++</td>
<td>Rerotransposon TYA Gag gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHL013C/COTU2</td>
<td>++ + - - -</td>
<td>Member of the OTU superfamily of predicted cysteine proteases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHR099C</td>
<td>+ - + + -</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLR125W</td>
<td>+ - + + -</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOR521C</td>
<td>+ + - - -</td>
<td>Thiol-ol water transferase activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Genes correspond to proteins binding specifically to various regions of TBSV p33 and p92. Boldface data indicate yeast proteins that interacted with recombinant p33C in an in vitro protein-binding assay (Fig. 2). Host proteins that interacted with p33 in the split-Ub assay (Fig. 3) are marked with asterisks.

** Binding of the yeast protein to p33, p33N (the N-terminal 82-aa region), p33C, and p92C was calculated according to the following formula: signal/amount of the selected protein on the array) × 10,000. Relative binding values are indicated as follows: +++++, above 1,000; ++, between 350 and 1000; +, between 150 and 350; –, below 150. The values were derived from repeated experiments.

** Documented functions of the yeast proteins are based on the Saccharomyces Genome Database at http://www.yeastgenome.org; SSU, small ribosomal subunit; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; Pol II, polymerase II; TOM, translocase of outer membrane; OTU, ovarian tumor-like; IMP, inosine 5’-monophosphate.
remove excess liquid and proteins were eluted with 50 μl of SDS-PAGE loading buffer without β-mercaptoethanol at 85°C. Columns were centrifuged for a short period to recover the proteins, and then 2.5 μl of β-mercaptoethanol was added to each sample and samples were boiled for 5 min and subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 antibody from mouse (1:5,000 dilution; Sigma) and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse (1:5,000 dilution; Sigma) and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:10,000 dilution; Bethyl) and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:10,000) followed by Nitro Blue Tetrazolium-BCIP detection.

**RESULTS**

**Yeast protoarray-based identification of host proteins that bind to the tombusvirus p33 replication protein.** The viral replication proteins likely interact with many host proteins to facilitate viral replication. Also, some host proteins could interact with and inhibit the function of the viral replication proteins as an antiviral mechanism. To determine host proteins interacting with the viral replication proteins on a proteome-wide scale, we have utilized a protoarray-based approach with purified host proteins. Since TBSV repRNA replicates efficiently in yeast (34, 39), we took advantage of the yeast proteome microarray carrying 4,088 purified yeast proteins (~70% coverage of all the yeast genes). To probe the yeast proteome microarray for the identification of host proteins binding to the tombusvirus p33 replication cofactor protein, we purified recombinant p33 fused to MBP from *E. coli* by use of affinity chromatography as described previously (44). After purification, the N-terminal MBP fusion was cleaved off from p33 by use of factor Xa protease. Importantly, p33 also carried a C-terminal v5 tag to facilitate its detection. The highly purified p33 preparation was applied (in the presence of an excess amount of bovine serum albumin as a nonspecific competitor) onto the yeast protoarray to promote protein-protein interactions, followed by thorough washing. The bound p33 was detected via v5-specific antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor dye and visualized with a microchip scanner (Fig. 1B). It is worth noting that the v5 tag in p33 could interfere with binding to host proteins and/or the binding of a host protein to this region of p33 could block the detection of the v5 tag on the array.

We found that 58 host proteins bound to the recombinant p33 efficiently and reliably under the in vitro conditions employed (see Materials and Methods). Among these host proteins, there are 3 protein chaperones (Gim3p, Jjj1p, and Jjj3p), 5 proteins involved in protein ubiquitination (Cdc34p, Rsp5p, Uba1p, Ubp10p, and Ubp15p), 6 translation factors involved in mRNA translation (Bfr1p, Efb1p, Hbs1p, Rpl8Ap, Tif1p, and Tif11p), and 10 proteins involved in RNA processing and metabolism (Ala1p, Bud21p, Erbl, Rib2p, Sas10p, Sm1p, Tmr1p, Trz1p, Tsr2p, and Urn1p) (Table 1). Additional proteins are known to be involved in various cellular processes and the functions of nine proteins are not yet defined (Table 1).

To obtain further insight into p33-host protein interactions, we also performed similar binding experiments with purified recombinant p33N82 (v5 tagged), which contains only the N-terminal 82 aa of p33, as well as with p33C (Fig. 1A) with defined RNA-binding and protein interaction domains (43–45) by use of the yeast protoarray. However, for p33C, we used biotin labeling followed by detection via streptavidin conjugated with Alexa Fluor dye and visualization with a microchip scanner. Also, because p33C is more soluble than full-length p33, which carries long hydrophobic stretches (Fig. 1A), we could use p33C at a concentration ~10 times higher than that used for p33 to increase the sensitivity in the protoarray experiments (see Materials and Methods). Interestingly, 29 host proteins (50% of the 58 bound to the full-length p33 on the chip) bound to p33C, and 12 (21%) bound to p33N82, whereas 8 (14%) bound to both p33C and p33N82 (Table 1). The remaining nine (15%) host proteins did not bind to p33N82 and p33C, suggesting that this group of proteins might bind to the hydrophobic central domain in p33, which is missing from both p33N82 and p33C (Fig. 1A).

**Yeast protoarray-based identification of host proteins that bind to the unique C-terminal fragment of replication protein p92pol.** To identify host proteins binding specifically to the unique, nonoverlapping portion of p92pol, we also performed protoarray-based binding experiments with purified recombinant p92C (v5 tagged), which contains the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase motifs in the unique C-terminal segment of p92pol (Fig. 1A). We found that 21 host proteins bound both to p33 and to p92C (Table 1), whereas 11 host proteins bound only to p92C (Table 2) and not to p33. The list includes an RNA helicase (Dbp3p), a methylase (Dot1p), an aminopeptidase (Map1p), an RNA-binding protein (Npl3p), and a translation factor (Te2p) (Table 2). Thus, the protoarray approach

### Table 2. The names and functions of yeast proteins bound to TBSV p92C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Binding of corresponding protein to p92C</th>
<th>Protein function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBP3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase of the DEAD-box family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT1</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Nucleosomal histone H3-Lys79 methylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Methionine aminopeptidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOB1</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Essential nuclear protein involved in proteasome maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL3</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>RNA-binding protein that carries poly(A)^* mRNA from the nucleus into the cytoplasm; phosphorylation by Sky1p in the cytoplasm may promote release of mRNAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Involved in response to xenobiotic stimulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEF2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Translational elongation factor EF-1 alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTP7</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Component of the SSU processome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPS66</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic protein involved in vacuolar protein sorting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKL023W</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOR309C</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Genes corresponding to proteins binding specifically to p92C but not to p33.

+ Binding of the yeast protein to p92C was calculated according to the following formula: (signal – background signal/amount of the selected protein on the array) × 10,000. Relative binding values are indicated as follows: +++, ++++, above 1,000; +, between 350 and 1000; +, between 150 and 350.

Documented functions of the yeast proteins are based on the Saccharomyces Genome Database at http://www.yeastgenome.org/. SSU, small ribosomal subunit.
allowed the identification of host proteins that selectively bound to p33 or the nonoverlapping portion of p92pol in vitro.

Interaction of recombinant p33 with selected yeast proteins in vitro. Since the protoarray approach might be prone to identify false positives (i.e., proteins that interact with the viral p33 only on the chip under the conditions applied), we used additional approaches to confirm that the recombinant p33 could bind to the identified host proteins as found in the above-described protoarray experiments. To this end, we performed protein pulldown experiments with purified recombinant p33C and 16 host proteins chosen based on their intriguing functions in yeast. For the protein pulldown experiments, yeast lysates containing soluble proteins prepared from yeast overexpressing one of the 16 GST-His6-tagged host proteins were applied to columns containing immobilized MBP-p33C fusion protein. After elution of the bound proteins from the column, we analyzed whether the particular host protein was present in the eluted fraction by using Western blotting (Fig. 2). Similarly prepared recombinant MBP bound to beads was the negative control to exclude nonspecific binders. These experiments are thus complementary to the protoarray experiments, which had the yeast proteins fixed to a solid surface, while the p33C was the probe protein present in solution.

As expected, GST control bound to neither MBP nor p33C (Fig. 2, lanes 15 and 16). In contrast, 14 host proteins, including Arp8p, Iwr1p, Tif1p, Tif11p, Gsy2p (not shown), Cdc34p, Hbs1p, Rpl8Ap, Nap1p, Spt16p, Jjj1p, Sas10p, Elf1p, and Stm1p bound to p33C-MBP much more efficiently than to MBP (Fig. 2), confirming that these host proteins can interact with the C-terminal domain of p33 in vitro. The binding of Trm1p to p33C-MBP was only about twice as efficient as that to MBP (Fig. 2, lanes 33 and 34). Smk1p mid-sporulation-specific mitogen-activated protein kinase, which bound weakly to p33 on the protoarray (not shown), did not interact with p33C in vitro (Fig. 2, lanes 27 and 28). Overall, data on 16 yeast proteins from the protein pulldown experiments are mostly in agreement with the protoarray experiments, demonstrating efficient interactions between p33 and the selected yeast proteins under in vitro conditions. The major difference in the results from the protoarray and protein pulldown experiments is that Tif1p did not bind to p33C (only to the full-length p33) in the protoarray (Table 1), while it did bind efficiently to p33C based on protein pulldown experiments (Fig. 2, lanes 5 and 6).

In vivo interaction between identified host proteins and the tombusvirus p33 based on the split-Ub two-hybrid assay. To confirm that the identified p33-host protein interactions can also take place on subcellular membrane surfaces within yeast cells, where p33 is localized (22, 33), we used the split-ubiquitin yeast two-hybrid assay. This assay is based on the ability of the N-terminal (NubG) and C-terminal (Cub) halves of Ub to reconstitute a functional protein (9, 10). When NubG and Cub, both fused separately to interacting proteins, are brought into close proximity and reconstitute a functional Ub protein, cleavage by endogenous Ub-specific proteases (UBPs) leads to the release of an artificial transcription factor, LexA-VP16, fused to Cub. This allows the activation of LexA-driven HIS3 and ADE2 expression in the nucleus. In summary, the split-Ub system, unlike the original yeast two-hybrid system, does not require interacting proteins to be localized to the nucleus, allowing an analysis of protein interactions on the cytosolic surfaces of membranes, which are the natural subcellular locations of the membrane-bound p33 protein (22, 33).

The split-Ub assay with 19 of the identified host proteins revealed that Rpl8Ap, Arp8p, Ubp15p, Elf1p, Nap1p, Tif11p,
Ubp10p, Gsy2p, Tif1p, and Stm1p interacted with the membrane-bound p33 when used as N-terminal (N-term) fusions. Heat shock protein 70 (SSA1) was used as a positive control because it is known to interact with p33 (49). The number of colonies formed reflects the strength of protein-protein interaction. Those host factors that had positive interactions with p33 are boxed in black, whereas those with weak interactions are shown in gray boxes. Negative interactors are in boldface on a plain background.

Note that the split-Ub two-hybrid assay is based on the ability of N-terminal (Nub) and C-terminal (Cub) halves of Ub to reconstitute a functional protein. A single-amino-acid mutation in Nub (NubG) reduces its affinity for Cub. However, when NubG and Cub are fused to interacting proteins they are brought to close proximity and reconstitute a functional Ub protein, which is cleaved by endogenous UBPs. This cleavage releases an artificial transcription factor, LexA-VP16, which is fused to Cub, allowing the activation of LexA-driven HIS3 and ADE2 genes in the nucleus.

Unlike the yeast two-hybrid system, the split-Ub system does not require interacting proteins to be localized to the nucleus, allowing the analysis of protein interactions involving membrane-bound proteins in their natural cell location. (B) Sequences of those host proteins from panel A that interacted weakly or did not interact with p33 were fused to the C terminus (C-term) of NubG and tested for their interactions with p33 as described in the legend to panel A.

FIG. 3. Confirmation of host protein-p33 interactions via the split-Ub two-hybrid assay. (A) The full-length sequences of 19 host proteins were fused to NubG as N-terminal (N-term) fusions. Heat shock protein 70 (SSA1) was used as a positive control because it is known to interact with p33 (49). The number of colonies formed reflects the strength of protein-protein interaction. Those host factors that had positive interactions with p33 are boxed in black, whereas those with weak interactions are shown in gray boxes. Negative interactors are in boldface on a plain background.

Effect of overexpression of selected host proteins on TBSV repRNA replication in yeast. To test if the host proteins that interacted with p33 could affect tombusvirus RNA replication, we took advantage of the previously developed efficient tombusvirus replication system in yeast (34, 35) with some modifications. First, we separately overexpressed 44 of the identified host proteins from the galactose-inducible GAL1 promoter (12) in yeast cells for 20 h. Subsequently, we launched TBSV repRNA replication from the CUP1 promoter in the same cells. Comparable amounts of yeast cells were harvested 24 h...
later, followed by Northern blotting to measure the level of TBSV repRNA produced. We used rRNA as a loading control for the normalization of data on repRNA accumulation in yeast. The accumulation level of repRNA in yeast carrying pYES plasmid, which expresses only a short peptide, was taken as 100% (Fig. 4). As an additional control, we overexpressed a pseudogene (APT2) which has no enzymatic activity when expressed (2) and failed to interact with p33 based on the protoarray experiments (not shown). Overexpression of Apt2p led to 79% ± 9% repRNA accumulation compared with that seen for yeast carrying the pYES control (Fig. 4). This suggests that protein overexpression in general might reduce the ability of yeast cells to support repRNA accumulation under the protein overexpression condition. Based on the pYES and APT2 controls, we considered the overexpression of a protein to be inhibitory if it significantly reduced repRNA accumulation below 70% and stimulatory if it increased repRNA accumulation significantly, i.e., above 130% (Fig. 4).

These protein overexpression experiments revealed that two of the host proteins tested affected repRNA accumulation dramatically (Fig. 4). These were Hbs1p translation factor and Cdc34p E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme, which increased repRNA levels by 3.5- and ~2.2-fold, respectively. An additional five host proteins, namely, the Jjj1p cochaperone, the Pol30p proliferation cell nuclear antigen transcription factor, the Arp8p actin-related protein, the Trz1p RNA-processing protein, increased repRNA accumulation by 40 to 60% compared to what was seen for yeast carrying the pYES expression vector (Fig. 4). In contrast, overexpression of Ddr48p, a DNA damage-responsive protein, led to a 63% ± 5% reduction in repRNA accumulation in yeast (Fig. 4), which is only slightly (but significantly) less than the inhibitory effect of the Apt2p control. Overexpression of the remaining 36 host proteins affected repRNA accumulation by less than 40% (Fig. 4). Overall, the above-described experiments demonstrated that ~18% of the 44 host proteins tested could affect tombusvirus repRNA accumulation when overexpressed in yeast. We could not exclude the possibility that several of the overexpressed tagged proteins are not fully functional under the expression conditions.

**Effect of overexpression of selected host proteins on TBSV RNA recombination in yeast.** The effect on tombusvirus RNA recombination was tested for 44 of the identified host proteins that interacted with p33 by taking advantage of the previously developed tombusvirus recombination system in yeast (51) with some modifications. The recombination assay was similar to the above-described replication assay, except that it was based on a highly recombinogenic repRNA termed DI-AU-FP, which contains an AU-rich recombination hot spot (51, 54). In this assay, recombination takes place between two molecules of DI-AU-FP RNAs (50, 51). The accumulation of the recombinant RNAs (recRNAs) was estimated using Northern blotting (Fig. 5). Importantly, we calculated the ratio of recRNA to repRNA (DI-AU-FP), which is more informative about recombination than recRNA levels alone (50, 51). Among the 44 host proteins tested, 4 affected TBSV RNA recombination dramatically by enhancing the ratio of recRNA by ~8- to 12-fold (Fig. 5). Overexpression of other host proteins tested had lesser effects on TBSV recRNA accumulation (not shown).

Among the identified proteins, Gsy2p glycogen synthase enhanced the recRNA ratio by 12-fold, the most among the 44 host proteins tested (Fig. 5A). Overexpression of the Arp8p actin-related protein, the Tif11p translation initiation factor eIF1A, and Iwr1p (unknown function) increased the ratio of recRNA to repRNA by 8- to 9.5-fold (Fig. 5A). Because a high amount of p92pol has been shown to increase TBSV recombination (16), we also tested p33 and p92pol levels in yeast overexpressing host proteins. The Western analysis showed no significant increase in p92pol levels in comparison with p33 in the Gsy2p, Arp8p, Tif11p, and Iwr1p overexpression strains (Fig. 5B), suggesting that these host proteins do not affect RNA
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Cdc34p is present within the purified tombusvirus replicase
complex. To further test the functional relevance of the iden-
tified host proteins interacting with p33, we selected Cdc34p
based on its intriguing function as an E2 Ub-conjugating en-
zyme. Moreover, Cdc34p might be present within the tombus-
virus replicase complex, since Cdc34p matches well with an
unidentified yeast protein (termed X factor) with a pI value of
~4 and a molecular mass of ~35 kDa that has been detected
in the highly purified tombusvirus replicase complex via two-
dimensional gel electrophoresis (49). On the other hand, the
other intriguing host protein, Hbs1p, is far less characterized
and its function will be studied in the future.

To test if Cdc34p is present within the highly purified tombus-
virus replicase complex, we performed Western blotting with
Cdc34p-specific antibody by use of a purified preparation of
the detergent-solubilized tombusvirus replicase obtained
from yeast grown at 23°C (optimal for TBSV replication) or
29°C (optimal for protein expression) (Fig. 6). The Flag affin-
ity-purified tombusvirus replicase containing Flag-tagged p33
and p92pol replication proteins (Fig. 6A, lanes 1 and 3) con-
tained the native Cdc34p expressed from its natural chromo-
somal position (Fig. 6B, lanes 1 and 3). Copurification of
Cdc34p with the Flag-tagged replication proteins indicated
that Cdc34p is a component of the membrane-bound replicase
complex. The preparation was Nase treated prior to loading
to the affinity column, suggesting that Cdc34p was likely re-
tained on the Flag column via its binding to the replication
proteins and not via the viral repRNA bound to the replication
proteins. The control Flag-purified preparations from yeast
expressing His6-tagged p33 and p92pol (Fig. 6A, lanes 2 and 4)
lacked these replication proteins as well as Cdc34p (Fig. 6B,
lanes 2 and 4), excluding the possibility that Cdc34p is a con-
taminating protein bound nonspecifically to the beads. There-
fore, we propose that the previously detected X factor in the
tombusvirus replicase complex (49) is Cdc34p, based on its
copurification with the viral replicase (Fig. 6) and its physical
properties (pI of 3.96 and molecular mass of 34 kDa).

Downregulation of the Cdc34p level decreases TBSV
repRNA accumulation in yeast. To test if downregulation of
the Cdc34p level affects TBSV repRNA accumulation, we used
doxycycline-regulatable expression of Cdc34p from its original
chromosomal location (25). Because our preliminary experi-
ments suggested that Cdc34p has a long half-life (not shown),
we downregulated Cdc34p expression 6 h prior to launching
repRNA replication. Indeed, the level of Cdc34p expression
was ~10 times lower in yeast grown in the presence of doxy-
cycline than it was in its absence (Fig. 7B, bottom, lanes 3 and
4 versus 1 and 2). The accumulation of repRNA decreased
~3-fold in yeast grown in the presence of doxycycline (Fig. 7B,
top, lanes 4 to 6), whereas the amount of p33 replication
protein did not change (Fig. 7B, bottom, lanes 1 to 4), dem-
onstrating that Cdc34p is important for tombusvirus replica-
ation.

Downregulation of Cdc34p level decreases the activity of the
tombusvirus replicase. To test if a decreased amount of
Cdc34p could directly affect the activity of the tombusvirus
replicase, we performed in vitro replicase assays with a mem-
brane-enriched fraction derived from yeast. The membrane-
enriched fraction contains the tombusvirus replicase and is
capable of performing TBSV repRNA synthesis in vitro using
the copurified repRNA as a template. To compare similar
amounts of replicase complexes, we adjusted the p33 content

FIG. 5. Effect of overexpression of selected host proteins interacting with p33 replication proteins on recombination by a TBSV repRNA in
yeast. (A) Northern blotting of total RNA obtained from yeast overexpressing zz-tagged yeast proteins as shown. The host proteins were
overexpressed separately from the galactose-inducible GALI promoter 20 h prior to launching the replication of DI-AU TBSV repRNA, a highly
recombinogenic repRNA (7). Then, the yeast culture was grown in the presence of 50 μM copper sulfate at 29°C for 24 h, followed by a 1:10
dilution into the same medium. The recombinant repRNAs were measured in samples obtained from yeast cultures 48 h after the dilution step.
Note that the ratio of recRNA to repRNA was calculated, since that reflects the frequency of recombination more accurately than does the amount
of recRNA, which is dependent on both the frequency of recombination and the amount of the template (original parental repRNA). The ratio
of recRNA to repRNA in the control yeast expressing a short peptide was set to 100%. Each experiment was performed six to eight times.
(B) Western blotting showing the overexpressed zz-tagged host proteins (marked with arrows) and the tombusvirus p33 and p92pol (marked with
asterisks) replication proteins.
The Ub conjugation function of Cdc34p is important for TBSV repRNA replication. Cdc34p has two functional domains: the 170-aa N-terminal UBC Ub conjugation domain conserved in E2s and the unique C-terminal acidic domain involved in protein/substrate binding (18). To test which function/domain of Cdc34p is important for tombusvirus replication, we used Cdc34p mutants in a complementation assay. We found that overexpression of Cdc34-C95S with inactive Ub-conjugating function from a plasmid could not complement TBSV repRNA accumulation in yeast with downregulated Cdc34p expression from the chromosome, whereas the full-length wild-type (WT) Cdc34p could complement repRNA accumulation (Fig. 7B, lanes 16 to 18 versus 10 to 12). Moreover, the N-terminal and C-terminal portions of Cdc34p rendered Cdc34p nonfunctional in a complementation assay (Fig. 7D, lanes 13 to 20), suggesting that both the UBC and the acidic domains of Cdc34p are important during TBSV replication.

In addition, we found a normal level of replicase activity obtained from yeast expressing the WT Cdc34p from a centromeric, low-copy-number plasmid, while Cdc34p was downregulated from the chromosomal location (Fig. 8C, lanes 7 and 8). Thus, the plasmid-born WT Cdc34p can function in the viral replicase. On the other hand, the mutated Cdc34-C95S protein with inactive Ub-conjugating function expressed from a plasmid could not complement the downregulated Cdc34p from the chromosomal location in a replicase assay (Fig. 8C, lanes 11 and 12). These experiments suggest that the Ub conjugation function of Cdc34p is important for the function of the tombusvirus replicase.

Ubiquitination of p33 by Cdc34p in vitro. Since Cdc34p is part of the tombusvirus replicase complex, it also binds to p33 directly and the ubiquitination function of Cdc34p is important for the replicase function (Fig. 8); therefore, we wanted to test if Cdc34p could directly ubiquitinate p33 replication protein in the absence of Skp1p/cullin/F-box (SCF) E3 ligase complex, which is involved in substrate selection during normal cellular functions (48). To this end, we performed an in vitro ubiquitination assay with purified recombinant proteins. The in vitro assay demonstrated that Cdc34p could ubiquitinate a fraction of p33 replication protein, causing ~9- and ~18-kDa shifts in the mobility of p33 (Fig. 9A, lane 1). This shift in mobility is expected if a single Ub or two Ubs are added to p33 (monoubiquitination). The higher-molecular-mass products detected on the gels could represent p33 proteins with multian/or polyubiquitination (Fig. 9A, lane 1). The ubiquitination of p33 by Cdc34p required Uba1p E1 protein, Ub, and ATP, and it did not take place if one of these components was missing in the assay (Fig. 9A, lanes 2 to 5). A similar assay with the purified MBP did not yield ubiquitination of MBP at a detectable level (Fig. 9B, lanes 6 and 7), suggesting that p33 is specifically ubiquitinated by Cdc34p. Moreover, the increase in the molecular mass of p33 was ~35 kDa when we used GST-tagged Ub during a standard in vitro ubiquitination assay (Fig. 9C), confirming that Ub was added to p33 in the in vitro ubiquitination assay. A mutated Cdc34-C95S protein with inactive Ub-conjugating function (Fig. 9C), as well as the N- or C-terminally truncated Cdc34p variants (not shown), could not ubiquitinate p33, supporting the model that the ubiquitination of p33 was a specific feature of Cdc34p.

in the membrane-enriched fractions obtained from yeast cultured with or without doxycycline (17, 38, 39). These in vitro experiments have shown that the tombusvirus replicase obtained from yeast with downregulated Cdc34p 22 h after launching TBSV replication was only 17% as active as the control preparation from yeast grown in the absence of doxycycline (Fig. 8C, lanes 3 and 4 versus 1 and 2). Similarly prepared replicase preparations at earlier time points after launching TBSV replication, such as 6 and 12 h, showed only 73% and 54% decreases (Fig. 8A and B), suggesting that Cdc34p was still more readily available during the formation of the replicase complex at the early time points than at the late time point. Altogether, the in vitro assay demonstrated that Cdc34p is critical for efficient tombusvirus replicase activity.
FIG. 7. The effect of downregulation and overexpression of WT and mutant Cdc34p on TBSV repRNA accumulation in yeast. (A) Schematic representation of the known domains in Cdc34p. The active-site mutation that abolishes the E2 Ub conjugation activity of Cdc34p is shown with a black box. (B) Northern blotting of repRNA accumulation in yeast with downregulated expression of Cdc34p. The native Cdc34p was expressed from its original location but via the doxycycline (Dox)- regulatable Tet expression promoter. The yeast also expressed a short peptide from pYC2 (as a control), the WT Cdc34p, and the C95S active-site mutant of Cdc34p from low-copy-number plasmid pYC2. Yeast was grown in the absence or presence of 10 μg/ml doxycycline as indicated. Doxycycline was added 6 h prior to launching TBSV repRNA replication. The accumulation level of repRNA was measured 22 h after induction using ImageQuant software. rRNA was used as a loading control (see bottom panel). Western blotting results show the levels of Cdc34p and p33 for the above-described yeast samples. (C) Overexpression of Cdc34p increases repRNA accumulation in yeast. (Top) Northern blotting shows the accumulation of TBSV repRNA in yeast overexpressing a short peptide from pYC2 or WT Cdc34p in BY4741; (bottom) Western blotting results show the levels of Cdc34p and p33 in the above-described yeast samples. Further details are as described for panel B. (D) Effect of overexpression of WT or mutated His6-tagged Cdc34p from high-copy-number plasmid pYES on repRNA accumulation in BY4741 yeast. The shown Cdc34p mutants are the E2 site mutant (C95S) and the C-terminally (N170; deletion of aa 171 to 295) and the N-terminally (C125, deletion of aa 1 to 170) truncated versions (Fig. 7A). (Bottom) Western blotting to show the overexpressed His6-tagged Cdc34p mutants. Further details are as described for panel B.
Ubiquitination of the p33 replication protein in yeast. To demonstrate the possible ubiquitination of p33, we FLAG affinity purified His6/FLAG-tagged p33HF from yeast coexpressing Ub tagged with c-Myc from a plasmid. In these experiments, p33HF was solubilized from the membrane fraction, followed by purification and Western blotting to detect the addition of c-Myc–Ub to p33HF via anti-c-Myc antibody. Interestingly, we found mono- and biubiquitinated p33HF based on detection by anti-c-Myc antibody as well as a shift in the molecular mass of p33HF (mono- and biubiquitination cause 8- and 16-kDa increases, respectively) (Fig. 10, lane 2). The identified bands likely represent ubiquitinated p33HF because similar experiments with p33 tagged with FLAG only (termed p33F, which is about 2 kDa smaller than p33HF) resulted in slightly faster-migrating mono- and biubiquitinated p33F (Fig. 10, lane 1). The change in the migration pattern of the purified p33HF versus p33F proteins supports the idea that these bands represent various ubiquitinated p33 and excludes the possibility that they represent ubiquitinated contaminating host proteins. These host proteins could be part of complexes containing p33, since similar proteins were absent from the control samples (Fig. 10, lanes 3 and 6).

To further demonstrate that the identified bands represent ubiquitinated p33HF, we analyzed the same FLAG-purified p33HF or p33F samples by SDS-PAGE/Western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (49). Accordingly, we detected p33-specific bands with ~8- and 16-kDa molecular mass increases (Fig. 10, lanes 4 and 5) that are consistent with mono- and biubiquitinated p33HF or p33F. The mono- and biubiquitinated p33 proteins represented a small fraction (less than 5%) of the total p33, suggesting that not all p33 is ubiquitinated or that the deubiquitination process is rapid in yeast cells.

**DISCUSSION**

To exploit host cells, tombusvirus-coded replication proteins p33 and p92<sup>neo</sup> likely interact with a currently unknown number of host proteins. The interacting host proteins could be recruited and be part of the replicase complex to aid replication, and/or they might facilitate the transport of viral proteins in the cells and/or the assembly of the viral replicase or provide regulatory functions. To catalogue the interacting host proteins, we have taken a proteome-wide approach with a yeast proteoarray carrying 4,088 purified proteins that covers ~70% of yeast proteins. This led to the identification of 58 proteins binding to p33 (Table 1), whereas 11 additional host proteins bound only to p92C and not to p33 (Table 2). Similar to other
false positives, which interact with p33 only under in vitro conditions. A fraction of interactions could be due to many factors, including among others (i) the use of general binding conditions, which are not optimized for individual protein-protein interactions; (ii) the absence of cofactors or membrane surfaces under the in vitro conditions; and (iii) inactive or denatured proteins present on the surface of the chip. Indeed, we did not detect significant binding between inactive or denatured proteins present on the surface of the chip. The in vitro ubiquitination was carried out in reaction mixtures containing 50 nM GST-Uba1p E1-activating enzyme (purified from yeast), 500 nM purified recombinant GST-Cdc34p (purified from E. coli), 100 μM His-Ub, 2 mM ATP, and purified recombinant MBP-p33 (A) or MBP as the negative control (B). The ubiquitination was analyzed by Western blotting using an anti-MBP antibody. The mono- and biubiquitinated p33s are marked with arrows. Note that monoubiquitination introduces an increase of ~9 kDa to the molecular mass of p33. (C) The in vitro ubiquitination of p33 by Cdc34p was carried out as described above except that GST-tagged Ub (GST/Ub) was used, leading to a 35-kDa increase in the size of MBP-p33 (shown as p33) as well as higher-molecular-mass products indicating mono-, bi-, and multiubiquitination of p33. Note that we also tested an ubiquitination-deficient mutant of Cdc34p (Cdc34p C95S).

The identification of many host proteins binding to p33 makes the characterization of their interactions with p33 protein challenging. A fraction of interactions could be due to false positives, which interact with p33 only under in vitro conditions. However, we performed additional in vitro binding and split-Ub two-hybrid assays for ~20 host proteins, which further demonstrated interaction between the given host protein and p33. Overall, these data support the model that p33 might interact with a relatively large number of host proteins in infected cells.

Comparison of the set of host proteins interacting with p33 with the host genes identified during genome-wide screens of deletion/downregulatable gene libraries (17, 35, 50, 51) revealed only two common hits: BUD21 and GPH1. This could be due to redundant functions provided by many host proteins during TBSV replication, as demonstrated for the heat shock protein 70 chaperone family and the TDH2 and TDH3 genes coding for GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase), which are required for TBSV replication (49, 62). Therefore, it is likely that multiple, noncomplementary genomics- and proteomics-based screens will be needed to identify all the host genes affecting TBSV replication.

Many of the identified host proteins binding to p33 were translation factors, ribosomal proteins, and RNA-binding proteins. These host proteins could affect/regulate the activity of p33, which is important for the recruitment of the TBSV RNA into replication and the assembly of the viral replicase complex (33, 39, 41, 45) and possibly for the rescue of the viral RNA from translation to allow the viral RNA to enter replication. Similar host proteins, including translation factors, ribosomal proteins, and RNA-binding proteins, have also been identified during genome-wide screens (17, 35, 50, 51), suggesting that
these factors play critical, though somewhat redundant, roles in TBSV replication.

**Interaction of p33 with Ub-specific proteins.** A major group of host proteins that bound to p33 are involved in the Ub pathway of protein modification/degradation. These proteins include E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme (CDC34), a Ub protein ligase (RSP5), a Ub-activating enzyme (UBA1), and two UBPs (UBP10 and UBP15). In addition, Ub-associated factors, such as the RAD6 E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme, the BRE1 Ub protein ligase, protein monoubiquitination-involved LGE1, the DOA4 deubiquitination enzyme, UBP3, and the BRE5 Ub protease cofactor, have been identified during genome-wide screens (17, 35, 50, 51). The identification of many host proteins involved in the Ub pathway that affected TBSV replication/recombination suggests that ubiquitination plays a critical role in TBSV replication.

The binding of p33 with Ub-specific proteins suggests that p33 might be modified posttranslationally by ubiquitination. Posttranslational modification of host proteins by the highly conserved 76-aa Ub regulates many cellular processes, including immune response, signal transduction, transcription, protein trafficking, and autophagy. Four types of enzymes are involved in protein ubiquitination: E1 is involved in Ub activation, E2s (Ub-conjugating enzymes) function in Ub transfer to the proteins, and E3s are involved in substrate selection, while de-ubiquitination enzymes, which remove the Ub from the proteins, are the fourth type of enzyme. Viruses are known to take advantage of the ubiquitination pathway (6, 52, 53, 60). Accordingly, ubiquitination and deubiquitination (3, 21, 59) of viral proteins are major mechanisms regulating protein stability and function in infections with DNA and RNA viruses (13, 23, 24, 30, 32, 42, 61, 65). For plus-stranded RNA viruses, ubiquitination has been documented in the cases of hepatitis C virus, coxsackievirus, and coronaviruses (5, 47, 59, 64), and a host Ub gene sequence was found in bovine viral diarrhea virus genomic RNA via RNA recombination (4). Interaction with a Ub-like protein was found to enhance the ubiquitination and degradation of hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (11). In spite of intensive efforts, the roles of ubiquitination in plus-stranded RNA replication and infections are currently poorly characterized.

**Cdc34p Ub-conjugating enzyme affects replicase activity and is a component of the tombusvirus replicase complex.** An important finding from the protoarray approach is the identification of Cdc34p-p33 interaction, which was also confirmed in in vitro binding experiments (Fig. 2). Also, Cdc34p has been shown to be part of the tombusvirus replicase complex based on copurification experiments (Fig. 6). Moreover, Cdc34p matches well with a previously detected protein in a two-dimensional gel of the double-affinity-purified replicase complex based on its rare features (pI, ~4; molecular mass, 34 kDa), but its amount was too little for mass spectrometry-based identification (49). Overall, the identification of Cdc34p within the tombusvirus replicase complex validates the usefulness of the protoarray approach.

Cdc34p, also called Ubc3p, is an essential protein involved in many cellular processes and is present in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus. Its known activities include cell cycle regulation, maintenance of cell wall integrity, and roles in mitotic spindle function and in the degradation of cyclin-dependent kinase (40, 48). The best-characterized interaction of Cdc34p is with the SCF(Cdc4) E3 Ub ligase, which ubiquitinitates several host proteins in vivo (40, 48). However, ubiquitination of p33 did not require SCF(Cdc4) E3 Ub ligase in vitro, and recombinant Cdc34p was able to perform this reaction in the absence of an E3 ligase (Fig. 9). Interestingly, RAD6 (UBC2) E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme, which is similar to the CDC34 enzyme, has also been shown to affect TBSV replication in yeast (35). Thus, it is possible that more than one E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme are involved in TBSV replication.

The relevance of Cdc34p-p33 interaction is supported by the findings that the downregulation of Cdc34p decreased TBSV repRNA accumulation by 3-fold, whereas the overexpression of Cdc34p led to an ~2-fold increase in repRNA levels. Therefore, Cdc34p might have a regulatory function in the replicase complex. This function could be due at least in part to the ability of Cdc34p to ubiquitinate p33, since a mutant of Cdc34p inactive in ubiquitination could not complement the downregulated Cdc34p to support TBSV accumulation and increase the activity of the tombusvirus replicase (Fig. 7 and 8). It is also possible that Cdc34p might affect TBSV replication through modulating the levels/activities of other host factors.

We found that p33 is mono-, bi-, and polyubiquitinated in vitro, which could modify the function of p33. Importantly, we have also detected mono- and biubiquitinated p33 in yeast cells (Fig. 10), suggesting that the ubiquitination of p33 also takes place in intact cells. It is possible that p33 ubiquitination plays a role in the recruitment of host factors such as Vps23p (D. Barajas and P. D. Nagy, unpublished data), which binds to ubiquitinated membrane proteins and it also affects TBSV repRNA replication (35).

**Usefulness of the protoarray approach in the identification of host proteins.** In addition to the above-described data on Cdc34p, future works will likely establish the roles of other host proteins interacting with p33 replication proteins in TBSV replication. It is worth noting that the presented data are likely an underestimation of the number of host proteins binding to p33 and p92wt. This is due to the presence of some possibly inactive purified proteins on the array, the lack of optimization of binding conditions for each protein, or the lack of host protein complexes on the array. In addition, the protoarray contains only 70% of the known/predicted number of proteins expressed in yeast. Regardless of these limitations, the protoarray approach could provide a rapid tool for the identification or confirmation of p33 binding host proteins.
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