Ribonucleocapsid Formation of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus through Molecular Action of the N-Terminal Domain of N Protein

Kumar Singh Saikatendu,† Jeremiah S. Joseph,† Vanitha Subramanian, Benjamin W. Neuman, Michael J. Buchmeier, Raymond C. Stevens, and Peter Kuhn

Department of Cell Biology, 1 Department of Molecular Integrative Neurosciences, 2 and Department of Molecular Biology, 3 The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037

Received 11 October 2006/Accepted 1 December 2006

Conserved among all coronaviruses are four structural proteins: the matrix (M), small envelope (E), and spike (S) proteins that are embedded in the viral membrane and the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (N), which exists in a ribonucleocapsid complex in the lumen. The N-terminal domain of coronaviral N proteins (N-NTD) provides a scaffold for RNA binding, while the C-terminal domain (N-CTD) mainly acts as oligomerization modules during assembly. The C terminus of the N protein anchors it to the viral membrane by associating with M protein. We characterized the structures of N-NTD from severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in two crystal forms, at 1.17 Å (monoclinic) and at 1.85 Å (cubic), respectively, resolved by molecular replacement using the homologous avian infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) structure. Flexible loops in the solution structure of SARS-CoV N-NTD are now shown to be well ordered around the β-sheet core. The functionally important positively charged β-hairpin protrudes out of the core, is oriented similarly to that in the IBV N-NTD, and is involved in crystal packing in the monoclinic form. In the cubic form, the monomers form trimeric units that stack in a helical array. Comparison of crystal packing of SARS-CoV and IBV N-NTDs suggests a common mode of RNA recognition, but they probably associate differently in vivo during the formation of the ribonucleoprotein complex. Electrostatic potential distribution on the surface of homology models of related coronaviral N-NTDs suggests that they use different modes of both RNA recognition and oligomeric assembly, perhaps explaining why their nucleocapsids have different morphologies.

Infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) is initiated by the recognition of ACE-2 receptor on the surface of respiratory epithelial cells by the “spike” glycoprotein present on the viral surface (27, 29, 34). Subsequent progression of infection involves a series of complex, tightly regulated processes that begin by the entry of genomic RNA into the cytosol and culminate with the budding of infectious progeny (14, 15). These mature, fully formed virions are functionally as well as morphologically indistinguishable from their parents and have a quasi-fluid-like, pleomorphic, bilipid envelope whose surface is studded with three main structural transmembrane proteins: the matrix (M), the small envelope (E), and the trimeric spike (S) glycoproteins (16, 40, 54). The envelopes of these particles encase the 3'-most open reading frame, ORF9a (Fig. 1a). Coronaviral N proteins are typically ca. 45 to 50 kDa, very basic (with typical pIs of ~10), prone to aggregate into large homopolymers (16), phosphorylated at multiple sites (3, 50, 58), and extremely labile to proteolytic degradation (39, 57, 61). These characteristics have hindered in vitro structural studies on full-length N. The N-terminal domains of coronaviral N proteins (N-NTDs) typically share about 30 to 40% sequence identity (Fig. 1c). As in most nidoviruses, the full-length SARS-CoV N protein (430 residues) has three main protein domains: an N-terminal RNA-binding domain (i.e., the N-NTD), a poorly structured central serine-rich region that is thought to house the primary sites of phosphorylation (33, 58), and a C-terminal domain (N-CTD [52]) that is mainly involved in oligomerization and self-association (4; Fig. 1b). A few coronaviruses have about 20 residues upstream of the NTD that are rich in serine, glycine, and arginine (SRG motif; Fig. 1b). N protein is also known to undergo sumoylation (28). Several other ancillary functions have been ascribed to coro-
naviral N proteins. In MHV as well as infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), N not only binds to genomic RNA but to the six subgenomic RNAs as well (62). It is involved in cell signaling (19, 20) and is known to interact with several human proteins, including human cyclophilin A (31) and human RNP A1. Anti-N monoclonal antibodies protect mice from lethal coronaviral infection (43). SARS-CoV N is known to elicit a well-defined immunological response, as evidenced by its peptides binding to human lymphocyte antigens with nanomolar affinities (2, 53), which underscores the importance of N as a potential target in neutralizing SARS infection (30). The structure of a highly conserved nine-residue peptide corresponding to the region 362KTFPPTEPK370 has been resolved in complex with a class I major histocompatibility complex molecule (2, 53).

FIG. 1. (a) Organization of SARS-CoV genome. Locations of the open reading frames (ORFs) are indicated. The boundaries of the 16 nonstructural proteins (nsp1 to nsp16) that result from proteolytic processing of the replicase polyprotein (PP1ab) by PL-Protease (green) and 3CLpro (black) are marked by vertical lines. (b) Domain organization of coronaviral N proteins. The four domains labeled are as follows: SGRD, serine-glycine-arginine-rich domain; NTD, N-terminal domain; SRD, serine-rich domain; and CTD, C-terminal domain. (c) Multiple sequence alignment of NTD domains. The region for which structural coverage is provided in this study is marked by vertical lines. Hydrophobic residues are shown in yellow. Secondary structures observed for SARS-CoV N-NTD are shown above the alignment as arrows (strand) and cylinders (helix). Positively charged residues that have been implicated in RNA binding are indicated by asterisks above the sequence. The ICTV acronyms used for each viral sequence and their corresponding database accession numbers were as follows: HCoV-229E, human coronavirus 229E (NP_073556); TCoV-NC95, turkey coronavirus NC95 strain (gi 32129798); BCoV-Lun, bovine coronavirus (AAL57313); HEV-VW572, porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (YP_459957); TGEV-Purdue, transmissible gastroenteritis virus Purdue strain (NP_058428); HCoV-NL63 human coronavirus NL63 (YP_003771); PEDV-CV777, porcine epidemic diarrhea virus CV777 strain (NP_598314); FCoV-79-1146, feline coronavirus (YP_239358); SARS-CoV-Tor2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-Tor2 strain (AAP41047); MHV-JHM, murine hepatitis virus JHM strain (YP_209238); HCoV-OC43, human coronavirus OC43 (NP_937954); HCoV-HKU1, human coronavirus HKU-1 (YP_173242); RCoV, Rat coronavirus (AAD33104); HECoV-4408, human enteric coronavirus 4408 (AAQ67202); CCoV, canine coronavirus; ECoV-NC99, equine coronavirus NC99 (Q9DO60); PgCoV, pigeon coronavirus (gi 58416203); PCoV, pulliniosis coronavirus, gi 28460530; and IBV-Beaudette, avian infectious bronchitis virus (NP_040838).
similar domain architecture centered around a five-stranded β-sheet core (11, 21). The C-terminal oligomerization domain of N protein has also been structurally characterized both from SARS-CoV and IBV (4, 21, 60).

In the present study, we report the crystallographic characterization of SARS-CoV N-NTD spanning residues 47 to 175 in two crystal forms that have been solved at 1.17 Å and 1.85 Å, respectively. The structures have been phased by molecular replacement using the IBV homolog. Comparison of the two crystal forms versus the solution conformation of this domain (residues 45 to 181 [19]) and comparison with the two published IBV N-NTD structures (residues 29 to 160 [21]) shows several commonalities, as well as many subtle structural differences. The crystal packing noticed in the cubic form of SARS-CoV N-NTD and in the C2 lattice of its IBV homolog suggests that the two viruses probably employ different modes of oligomeric self-association during the RNP core formation. Modeling studies on this domain from related coronaviruses suggest that not only is the assembly of RNA around the helical N protein polymer likely to be different but the manner in which the N proteins recognize RNA is likely to be different as well. These observations might explain why the fully packaged nucleocapsids of different nidoviruses often exhibit different morphologies as observed in cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM) studies (15).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cloning, expression, and purification. Multiple constructs were designed covering different regions of ORF9a from Tor2 strain of SARS-CoV as part of the structural and functional proteomics of SARS-CoV (FSPS) project (https://sars.cripps.edu). Domain boundaries were arrived at based on secondary structure predictions, earlier observations made in the literature regarding proteolytic susceptibility, and sequence conservation characteristics. The sequence of the construct reported here corresponds to the N-terminal domain that covers residues 47 to 175 of the ORF9a gene (NP_828858.1; gi:29836503). The gene was amplified by PCR from genomic cDNA of the SARS-CoV Tor2 strain using Taq polymerase and primer pairs encoding the predicted 5′ and 3′ ends (forward, 5′-ATGCCAATATCTGCCTGTTAGGCGGCGCCGGCCCTA-3′; reverse, 5′-CTCTGCTGTAAGGACCTTTGCGCCGGCCGCTTA-3′). The PCR product was cloned into plasmid pH11 that encodes an N-terminal purification tag (MGS5KHIHIIHH). Protein was expressed from a sequence verified clone in 2×YT media. Bacteria were lysed by sonication in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) containing two Roche protease inhibitor tablets and 0.5 mg of lysozyme. After ultracentrifugation at 45,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, the soluble fraction was applied on a metal chelate column (Talon resin charged with cobalt; Clontech), washed in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.8)—300 mM NaCl—10% glycerol—5 mM imidazole, and eluted with 25 mM Tris (pH 7.8)—15 mM NaCl—150 mM imidazole. The resultant protein was further purified using anion-exchange chromatography on Poros HQ column with elution buffer containing 25 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 1 M NaCl. The pure fractions of the protein were pooled, and buffer was exchanged into crystallization buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.8], 150 mM NaCl) and concentrated by ultrafiltration to a final concentration of 1.8 mM. It was either flash frozen or used immediately for crystallization trials.

Crystallization and data collection. Crystals were grown by the nano-volume sitting-drop method. Typically, 100 nl of protein was mixed with 100 nl of well solution. Monoclinic crystals grew in solution containing 0.2 M sodium bromide, 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.5), and 25% polyethylene glycol 2000 MME. The crystal that was used for data collection contained BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyolphosphate) as an additive. Cubic crystal form grew in 40% methyl pen- tanol and 0.1 M Tris (pH 8.0), typically within 2 weeks. These were cryoprotected in a solution containing mother liquor and 15% glycerol and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Crystal screening and data collection were done by using the BLU-ICE (36) interface at the remote facility at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Labora-
tory Beamline-1.1.1, and all diffraction data were processed using HKL2000 (41).

Phasing and refinement. Initial phases for the monoclinic crystal form were obtained by molecular replacement using a full-atom model of the corresponding domain of the IBV nucleocapsid (PDBId 2BTL) by using the program Phaser (44) with data from 20.0 to 3.0 Å. Rigid body refinement using Refmac5 revealed a clearly interpretable electron density map. Both phases and the model were further improved by one round of automated model building cycle followed by a solvent atom search in Arp/wARP (25). The resulting model was improved by subsequent rounds of manual model building in Coot (9) alternated with re-

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Structure of the SARS-CoV N-NTD. The structure of N-NTD (residues 47 to 175) was determined in monoclinic and cubic forms at 1.17 Å and 1.85 Å, respectively. As anticipated, SARS-CoV N-NTD, with its single-domain β-sheet core (with the exception of a single short 310 helix) and large loops on the outside (Fig. 2a), is similar in overall topology and surface electrostatic profiles (Fig. 2b) to both its solution structure (19) but also were present in the functionally

**TABLE 1. Data collection and refinement statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Monoclinic</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>P21</td>
<td>1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit cell (Å), angle (°)</strong></td>
<td>a = 46.57, b = 90, c = 46.44, β = 94.7</td>
<td>a = 110.01, b = 110.01, c = 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelength (Å)</strong></td>
<td>0.9793</td>
<td>0.9797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution range (Å)</strong></td>
<td>40–1.17 (1.21–1.17)</td>
<td>40.0–1.85 (1.89–1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total no. of observations</strong></td>
<td>351,234</td>
<td>73,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unique no. of reflections</strong></td>
<td>40,016 (2,882)</td>
<td>18,018 (1,337)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness score (%)</strong></td>
<td>97.4 (99.7)</td>
<td>99.78 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rmerge (%)</strong></td>
<td>3.8 (3.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean I (e/Å²)</strong></td>
<td>22.19 (2.25)</td>
<td>29.33 (1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I/σ(I)</strong></td>
<td>0.059 (0.526)</td>
<td>0.068 (0.446)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rmerge = Σ|Fo-Fc|/ΣFo** where Fo and Fc are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively. Five percent of randomly chosen reflections were used to calculate Rmerge. Values in parentheses are for data corresponding to the outermost reflection shell.

**Protein structure accession numbers.** The structure factors and coordinates of SARS-CoV N-NTD in the two crystal forms have been deposited in PDB under accession numbers 2OFZ (monoclinic form) and 2OG3 (cubic form), respect-
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FIG. 2. (a) Structural representation of N-NTD monomer. The structure is colored from the N terminus (blue) to the C terminus (red). (b) Distribution of electrostatic potential on the surface of N-NTD. The potential distribution was calculated by using APBS module in Pymol (6). The
values range from $-5 \text{kT}$ (red) to 0 (white) and to $+5 \text{kT}$ (blue), where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature. The orientation of the molecule is about 180° rotation along y axis of panel a. (c) The crystal structure of the monoclinic form of SARS-CoV N-NTD over the average coordinates of the NMR structure of the same domain as reported by Huang et al. (19). The four regions along the polypeptide that differ the most between the two structures are indicated by L1 to L4. Loop L1 is colored cyan for the NMR structure and blue for the crystal structure. (d) Stereo diagram showing the Ca trace of superimposed structures of SARS-CoV N-NTD and IBV N-NTD. The cubic and monoclinic forms of SARS-CoV N-NTD are shown in green and blue, respectively, while the structure from IBV is traced in red.
structure. Nonetheless, the possibility that this helical arrangement might be of physiological relevance cannot be ignored in light of the observation of a similar tubular mode of crystal packing highlighted in the IBV N-NTD structure by Jayaram et al. (21).

**Modeling of related coronaviral N-NTDs.** The two crystal forms each of N-NTD from SARS-CoV reported here and the two forms of IBV N-NTDs (11, 21) allowed us to generate homology models of N-NTDs of related coronaviruses with high accuracy. The distribution of the electrostatic potential on

---

**FIG. 3.** Crystal packing in the two crystal forms of SARS-CoV N-NTD. (a) Side-on view of three crystallographically related monomers showing stacking interactions in the monoclinic form. (b) Larger end-on view of the same crystal showing two primary modes of packing between the β-sheet cores (green and blue monomers on the left) and the protruding hairpins of adjacent monomers (yellow and brown monomers in the middle). (c and d) Three symmetry related monomers viewed along a threefold axis of the cubic crystal form (c) and a zoomed-out stereo view showing one turn along the helical axis of the cubic form (d). Equivalent trimers are labeled A, B, and C and colored green, red, and blue.
the surfaces of these models is shown in Fig. 4. Although these models retain a similar overall organization of $\beta$-strands within the core, the models markedly differ in their surface charge distribution patterns, despite having short stretches of locally conserved sequences with similar electrostatic sequence profiles. While speculative, it is likely that the RNA interacting residues and, therefore, the mode of interaction in these coronaviruses, is likely to be different compared to SARS-CoV (group II) and IBV (group III). This is not surprising given that RNP core itself is packaged differently among the various morphologically distinct nidoviruses (reviewed in reference 15). For example, in transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), a group I coronavirus, cryoEM studies of detergent-treated virions clearly show that the RNP cores are organized as almost regular icosahedrons (47). This is also true for arteriviruses, which have icosahedral RNPs of ca. 20 to 30 nm (58). Roniviruses, on the other hand, encase a rod-like RNP core within elongated lipidic envelopes (48). Finally, nucleocapsids of toro- and coronaviruses (17, 46) exhibit rather disperse fluid-like structures with a beaded appearance.

**Implications for nucleocapsid formation.** Accommodation of the exceptionally large (~29-kb) SARS-CoV genome into newly formed virion spherules approximately 82 to 120 nm size (14, 45) necessitates an extremely well-packed, largely helical, supercoiling of the nucleic acid within the RNP core. Mature virions are thought to have about 50 to 100 copies of spike trimers and ca. 200 to 400 copies of N in the membrane-proximal region arranged in a paracrystalline lattice. Our recent cryoEM study on the supramolecular organization of the structural proteins on the coats of both SARS-CoV and feline-
CoV showed that the RNP appears as punctuate electron-dense features that are clearly associated with M protein and organized as a linear S-M-RNP layer (outside to inside [45]). Our results and those reported by Risceo et al. (47) suggest a two-layered organization wherein thread-like densities project from the inner face of the top S-M layer into the two-dimensionally ordered quasilattice of the RNP layer. If indeed the trimeric helical arrangement of N-NTD seen in the cubic form is one possibly physiologically relevant form of RNP, it is likely to face the other face of the quasilattice. This orientation would enable the terminal residue of the N-CTDs to adhere to M, thus anchoring the two layers. The absence of the structures of the other domains (or that of full-length N protein), especially the presence of the intervening serine-rich domain that is largely unstructured, preclude the development of molecular models that explain the higher-order organization of the RNP.

**Virus assembly and maturation.** Enveloped viruses use one of three main mechanisms of assembly and budding (reviewed in references 14 and 15). Previously published studies have suggested a process that is independent of functional N protein (26, 38, 55). Experiments on tunicamycin-treated infected cells suggests that the role of spike in the budding process is also limited. Instead, assembly and budding of mature virions appear to be largely driven by correct folding and assembly of M and E proteins. Interference with M-N protein interaction has little effect on the correct incorporation of M protein into the envelope in the early stages of assembly leading to morphologically indistinguishable virions. An even less-understood process is viral closure or the pinching-off event (17). Nonetheless, it is becoming increasingly clear from multiple independent studies that an ordered lattice formation of the RNP in the immediate vicinity of the luminal face of virion envelope is integral to coronavirus budding. In SARS, MHV, and TGEV coronaviruses, the predominant forces at play in this region are those between the C-terminal tails of the M and N proteins, with the interacting residues of the M protein coming from its C-terminal luminal domain (residues 194 to 205 in the case of SARS-CoV [12]). Since the last few residues from N-CTD (the last residue being the most important) are thought to play the main anchoring role in the N-M layer, there is increasing consensus that, within the RNP, the CTDs of individual N monomers are oriented such that their C-terminal tails point toward the envelope (45). However, both the positioning and orientation of NTD remains nontrivial because of the fibrous organization of the helical RNP and the complex curved path that a fully assembled RNP traverses within the viral lumen. Further studies on the full-length N protein and complementation studies between the NTD and CTDs of N protein are needed to understand the interplay between these two domains within the N-M layer of coronaviruses.

**Conclusion.** This study describes the high-resolution structures of two crystal forms of the N-terminal RNA-binding domain of SARS-CoV N protein. Structure analysis in the context of ribonucleocapsid assembly of SARS-CoV, IBV, and porcine reproductive and respiratory virus strains hints at both common features and differences in the ribonucleocapsid assembly of these three closely related *Nidovirales* members. The high degree of similarity of SARS-CoV N-NTD with other coronaviral N-NTDs compared to the IBV homolog has allowed the construction of accurate homology models. The lack of conserved electrostatic profiles in the RNA binding groove in these homology models suggests the use of disparate mechanisms for RNA recognition and RNP assembly by different coronaviruses. In conjunction with the structures of N-CTD oligomerization domains, these results are beginning to provide important insights into generic and unique aspects of coronaviral ribonucleocapsid assembly and set the stage for further structural studies on full-length N proteins by cryoEM and related techniques, which would hopefully shed further light on this very important aspect of coronaviral genome assembly and packaging.
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