Transmembrane Domains of Hepatitis C Virus Envelope Glycoproteins: Residues Involved in E1E2 Heterodimerization and Involvement of These Domains in Virus Entry
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The transmembrane (TM) domains of hepatitis C virus (HCV) envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 have been shown to play multiple roles during the biogenesis of the E1E2 heterodimer. By using alanine scanning insertion mutagenesis within the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins, we have previously shown that the central regions of these domains as well as the N-terminal part of the TM domain of E1 are involved in heterodimerization. Here, we used a tryptophan replacement scan of these regions to identify individual residues that participate in those interactions. Our mutagenesis study identified at least four residues involved in heterodimerization: Gly 354, Gly 358, Lys 370, and Asp 728. Interestingly, Gly 354 and Gly 358 belong to a GXXG oligomerization motif. Our tryptophan mutants were also used to generate retrovirus-based, HCV-pseudotyped particles (HCVpp) in order to analyze the effects of these mutations on virus entry. Surprisingly, two mutants consistently displayed higher infectivity compared to that of the wild type. In contrast, HCVpp infectivity was strongly affected for many mutants, despite normal E1E2 heterodimerization and normal levels of incorporation of HCV glycoproteins into HCVpp. The characterization of some of these HCVpp mutants in the recently developed in vitro fusion assay using fluorescent-labeled liposomes indicated that mutations reducing HCVpp infectivity without altering E1E2 heterodimerization affected the fusion properties of HCV envelope glycoproteins. In conclusion, this mutational analysis identified residues involved in E1E2 heterodimerization and revealed that the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a major role in the fusion properties of these proteins.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an enveloped virus that belongs to the Hepacivirus genus in the Flaviviridae family (34). Its genome encodes a single polyprotein precursor of ~3,010 amino acid residues. HCV polyprotein is synthesized on endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated ribosomes and is cleaved co- and posttranslationally by cellular and viral proteases to yield at least 10 mature products (reviewed in reference 34). The two envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 are released from the polyprotein by signal peptidase cleavages (19).

Due to difficulties in propagating HCV in cell culture, many gaps remain in our understanding of the functions of HCV envelope glycoproteins. A major advance in the investigation of the functions of these proteins was the development of HCV pseudoparticles (HCVpp) consisting of native HCV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 assembled onto retroviral core particles (3, 16, 26). Furthermore, data obtained with HCVpp can now also be confirmed with the help of the recently developed cell culture system that allows efficient amplification of HCV (HCVcc) (33, 56, 58). Studies with HCVpp and HCVcc systems have shown that the envelope glycoprotein complex E1E2 is essential for HCV entry (3, 26, 33, 56, 58). However, detailed analyses of functional domains are still lacking.

After their syntheses, HCV glycoproteins E1 and E2 assemble as a noncovalent heterodimer, which is retained in the ER (15). This glycoprotein complex is the viral component present at the surface of HCV particles, and it is therefore the obvious candidate ligand for a cellular receptor(s) (13). In addition, after endocytosis by a clathrin-mediated pathway (5), HCV envelope glycoproteins are involved in the fusion process between the viral envelope and a membrane of an internal compartment of the host cell (5, 30). This fusion process has been demonstrated to be pH dependent (4, 5, 26, 29, 30, 54).

The transmembrane (TM) domains of HCV glycoproteins exhibit unusual features (41). These domains are less than 30 amino acid residues long and are composed of two hydrophobic stretches separated by a short segment containing one or two fully conserved charged residues (14). A study of the topology of the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins has shown a reorientation of the C termini of these domains, leading to a single membrane-spanning topology (12). Interestingly, studies of HCV envelope glycoproteins with heterologous expression systems have shown that the TM domains of these proteins play a major role in the assembly of the E1E2 heterodimer (42) and its subcellular localization (9, 11). Alanine insertions within the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins have identified the central regions of these domains as well as the N-terminal part of the TM domain of E1 as
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sequences involved in heterodimerization (42). To identify individual residues that participate in those interactions, a tryptophan replacement scan of these regions was carried out. Tryptophan has previously been used to obtain structural information on helix-helix interactions in membrane proteins (6, 51, 52). Indeed, tryptophan has a large, bulky hydrophobic side chain and so should be tolerated at positions that interact with lipids, but not at positions involved in close protein-protein interactions.

Our mutagenesis study identified residues that play a role in E1E2 heterodimerization. In addition, many mutants were defective in HCVpp entry due mainly to an alteration in their fusion properties. Furthermore, two mutations in E1 led to the production of more infectious HCVpp. Together, these data indicate that the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a major role in virus entry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. HuH-7 human hepatocarcinoma cells (39) and 293T human embryonic kidney cells (293TsA160neo) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) were grown in Dulbecco's modified essential medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

Antibodies. Monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) A4 (anti-E1) (18), H53 (anti-E2) (11), H47 (12), 3/11 (anti-E2; kindly provided by J. McKeating) (23), and R187 (anti-capsid of murine leukemia virus [MLV]) (ATCC CRL1912) were produced in vitro by using a MiniPerm apparatus (Heracrus) as recommended by the manufacturer.

Site-directed mutagenesis. The DNA sequence used to construct the mutants was derived from the H strain (genotype 1a) (24). Plasmids pCMV-E1E2, pCMV-E1, and pCMV-E2-3, expressing HCV envelope glycoproteins, were kindly provided by B. Bartosch and F. L. Cosset (INSERM U758, Lyon, France). Mutations in the transmembrane domain of E1 were introduced into pCMV-E1, a plasmid encoding E1 alone. Mutations in the transmembrane domain of E2 were introduced in the context of pCMV-E1E2, a plasmid expressing E1 and E2 as a polypeptide. The mutations were constructed by sequential PCR steps as described previously (2) using the high-fidelity Deep Vent DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). The mutants were then assembled by a second PCR amplification. The sequences of all the constructs were verified.

Production of HCVpp and infection assays. The production of HCVpp and infection assays have been described previously (3, 43). In this work, we used the Gag-Pol MLV packaging construct containing the MLV gag and pol genes and the MLV-Luc plasmid encoding an MLV-based transfer vector containing a cytomegalovirus-Luc internal transcriptional unit. For E1 mutants, HCV envelope glycoproteins were expressed from two different plasmids, pCMV-E1 and pCMV-E2, whereas for E2 mutants, HCV envelope glycoproteins were expressed from a single plasmid, pCMV-E1E2. In the case of E1 mutants, this should avoid any potential interference with the processing of E1E2 due to the function of reinitiation of translocation present at the C terminus of E1 (19). Pseudotyped particles produced in the absence of envelope proteins were used as controls (Delta env). To analyze the incorporation of HCV envelope glycoproteins into pseudotyped particles, HCVpp were pelleted by centrifugation through a 51,500-based protocol (Euromedex). At 16 h posttransfection, cells were washed and incubated in Dulbecco's modified medium without t-methionine and t-cysteine (Invitrogen) for 20 min. Transfected cells were pulse labeled for 15 min with 100 μCi per ml of Promix ([35S]methionine-cysteine; Amersham) as described previously (18). Cells were washed twice with medium containing a 10-fold excess of methionine and cysteine, followed by a 4-h chase. Cells were lysed with 1 ml of 0.5% Igepal CA-630 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in the presence of 20 mM iodoacetamide, and immunoprecipitations were carried out as previously described (20). Immunoprecipitates were eluted from protein A-Sepharose beads in 25 μl of 4× nonreducing Laemmli sample buffer by heating for 10 min at 70°C and run on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, the gels were treated with sodium salicylate, dried, and exposed to prefliashed Hyperfilm-MP (Amersham) at −80°C.

CD81 pull-down assay. CD81 pull-down experiments were performed as previously described (10). Recombinant fusion proteins containing the large extra- cellular loop of human CD81 fused to glutathione S-transferase (GST) were preadsorbed onto glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). Precipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE (10% polyacrylamide), followed by Western blotting with anti-E2 (3/11) MAb.

Fusion assays. The lipid mixing assay was described previously by Lavillette et al. (30). Liposomes were large unilamellar vesicles (100 nm) consisting of phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol (egg yolk phosphatidylcholine, 99% pure, and cholesterol; Sigma). Briefly, R18-labeled liposomes were obtained by mixing R18 (octadecyl rhodamine B chloride; Molecular Probes) and lipids as ethanol and chloroform solutions, respectively (5 mol% R18, final concentration). After the evaporation of solvents under a stream of nitrogen, liposomes were prepared by extrusion over polycarbonate filters in PBS at pH 7.4. Lipid mixing between HCVpp and liposomes was monitored as the dequenching of R18 as a function of time. R18-labeled liposomes (final lipid concentration 15 μM) were added to a 37°C-stabilized cuvette containing HCVpp in PBS, pH 7.4. After temperature equilibration and a decrease in pH to 5, fusion kinetics were recorded on an SLM Aminco 8000 spectrophotometer over a 30-min time period, with excitation set at 560 nm and emission set at 590 nm. Maximal R18 dequenching was measured after the addition of 0.1% Triton X-100 (final concentration, vol/vol) to the suspension.

RESULTS

Identification of TM residues involved in heterodimerization. To identify individual residues that participate in E1E2 interactions, a tryptophan replacement scan of these regions was carried out. Tryptophan has a large bulky hydrophobic side chain and so should be tolerated at positions that interact with lipids, but not at positions involved in close protein-protein interactions (6, 51, 52). The TM domains of E1 and E2 are present at the C termini of these proteins (Fig. 1). The N-terminal amino acids of these domains have not been precisely determined, but it has been predicted that they could start at positions 353 and 718 for E1 and E2, respectively (9, 11). A series of mutated proteins were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis in the TM domain of E1 or E2, in the regions previously identified as being involved in heterodimer formation (Fig. 1). The mutations in E2 were introduced in the context of an E1E2 polypeptide. To avoid any potential interference with the processing of E1E2 due to the function of reinitiation of translocation present at the C terminus of E1 (19), mutations in the TM domain of E1 were introduced in the context of a plasmid encoding E1 alone. For these mutants, the assembly of E1E2 was therefore analyzed by cotransfecting cells with two separate plasmids encoding E1 and E2.

The ability of the mutants to form a noncovalent E1E2 complex was analyzed by immunoprecipitation with a conformation-sensitive, E2-specific MAb (H53) that has been shown to specifically precipitate E2 as well as the native E1E2 complex (11, 21). Cells expressing mutated E1E2 polypeptides were
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FIG. 1. Presentation of the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins. (A) Schematic representation of the HCV polyprotein in the region comprising the envelope glycoproteins. The TM domains of E1 and E2 as well as the signal peptide of E1 (sp) are indicated by black boxes. Numbers indicate the positions of the last residues of C, E1, and E2. (B) Summary of the effect of alanine insertion (square symbols) on E1E2 heterodimerization. The effects of alanine insertion (square symbols below TM-E1 and TM-E2 sequences) were deduced from Fig. 2 and 3 of an article by Op De Beeck et al. (see reference 42) for TM-E1 and TM-E2, respectively. The intensity of the inhibition of E1E2 heterodimerization was color coded as follows: black, 90% inhibition; white squares, 30% to 70% inhibition; dark gray, 30% to 60% inhibition; white ovals, 60% inhibition; dark gray, 70 to 90% inhibition; light gray, 70 to 90% inhibition; white squares, <30% inhibition. The mutated residues in this study are indicated by ovals above the TM-E1 and TM-E2 sequences (42). The intensity of the inhibition of E1E2 heterodimerization was color coded as follows: black, >90% inhibition; dark gray, 70 to 90% inhibition; light gray, 30 to 70% inhibition; white squares, <30% inhibition. The mutated residues in this study are indicated by ovals above the TM-E1 and TM-E2 sequences and the intensity of the inhibition of E1E2 heterodimerization is color-coded as follows: black, >60% inhibition; dark gray, 30 to 60% inhibition; white squares, <30% inhibition. The putative TM sequences are boxed. (C) Schematic representation of TM-E1 and TM-E2 as helical structures. The shapes of predicted transmembrane helices are schematically indicated; the dotted section indicates the glycine-rich N-terminal part of TM-E1, which is assumed to be helical (42). The boxed areas underline the main residues deduced to be involved in E1E2 heterodimerization from an alanine insertion study (42). Residues that were shown to be essential for E1E2 heterodimerization by substitution mutations (this study) are highlighted by oval boxes.

pulse labeled for 10 min and chased for 4 h. These conditions have been shown to be appropriate to detect the peak of heterodimer formation (15). To evaluate the level of expression of E1, a control Western blot analysis, with a conformation-insensitive anti-E1 MAb (A4), was performed. E1 expression was found to be constant whatever the tryptophan replacement (data not shown). Since MAb H53 is E2 specific and because H53 epitope can be formed independently of E1 (11), the amount of E1 coprecipitated by MAb H53 is a good indicator of the assembly of the noncovalent heterodimer. To evaluate the percentage of heterodimerization, E1/E2 ratios were measured for each mutant and compared to the ratio obtained with wild-type (WT) proteins.

For E1 mutants, a decrease in heterodimer formation was observed when Gly 354, Gly 358, or Lys 370 was replaced by a tryptophan (Fig. 2A). Only ca. 35 to 45% of E1E2 heterodimers were detected for these mutants compared to that for wild-type proteins. In addition, the mutation of the residues located immediately downstream of positions 354, 358, and 370 (V355, I359, and V371, respectively) also had some effect on E1E2 heterodimerization, suggesting that they might also participate in the contact with the TM domain of E2. Indeed, these mutants exhibited 50 to 65% of E1E2 heterodimers relative to wild-type proteins. Interestingly, our structural model predicts that Gly 354 and Gly 358 are located on the same side of the TM domain of E1 (42), suggesting that these residues together would contribute to a continuous region involved in contact with the TM domain of E2. It is worth noting that when both Gly 354 and Gly 358 were mutated, a further reduction in E1E2 heterodimerization was observed (Fig. 2A). Indeed, heterodimerization was reduced to approximately 20% for the double mutant relative to ca. 35 and 45% for the G354 and G358 mutants, respectively. We cannot exclude that the residual heterodimerization is due to interaction between ectodomains (17). The observation that the mutation of the Lys 370 altered heterodimerization is in agreement with previously reported data showing that charged residues within the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a role in E1E2 heterodimerization (14). Together, these results indicate that Gly 354, Gly 358, and Lys 370 play major roles in E1E2 heterodimerization.

For E2 mutants, a strong reduction in heterodimer formation was observed when Asp 728 was replaced by a tryptophan (Fig. 2B). Indeed, less than 20% of E1E2 heterodimers were detected for this mutant. These data are in agreement with previously reported data showing that Asp 728 plays a major role in E1E2 heterodimerization (7). In addition, mutations of the residues located at positions upstream (726 and 727) and downstream (729) of Asp 728 also led to impaired E1E2 heterodimerization. Approximately 45% of E1E2 heterodimers were detected for these mutants compared to that for wild-type proteins. This suggests that residues close to Asp 728 might also contribute to E1E2 heterodimerization through their interaction with the TM domain of E1.

Altogether, our results point to major roles for Gly 354, Gly 358, and Lys 370 in the TM domain of E1 and for Asp 728 in the TM domain of E2 for E1E2 heterodimerization.

Effect of tryptophan mutagenesis on the infectivity of HCVpp. A major advance in the investigation of the functions of HCV envelope glycoproteins was the development of
HCVpp, consisting of native HCV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 assembled onto retroviral core particles (3, 16, 26). Therefore, to further characterize our tryptophan mutants, we analyzed whether these mutations would affect HCV entry in the context of HCVpp. The effects of the mutations on E1E2 heterodimerization and on HCVpp infectivity are summarized in Table 1. In addition, the incorporation of HCV envelope glycoprotein heterodimers into HCVpp was also determined by analyzing the relative level of incorporation of E1 associated with HCVpp compared to that of wild-type glycoproteins (Fig. 3B, 4B, and 5B). Indeed, since E1 needs to interact with E2 to be efficiently incorporated into HCVpp (47), the level of incorporation of E1 into HCVpp, as measured by Western blotting, is representative of the incorporation of E1E2 heterodimers. It is worth noting that slight differences in the migration of some mutated forms of E1 could be observed. A similar observation has been carried out with alanine insertion mutants (42). It is likely due to abnormal migration due to mutations in a hydrophobic domain.

The characterization of E1 mutants allowed the definition of four infectivity phenotypes (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The first group (group I) contained mutants that were more infectious than was the wild type (A357 and V365). In the second group (group II), infectivity of the mutants was close to that of the wild type (mutants G354 and V355). The third group (group III) contained mutants that were still infectious, but their infectivity was reduced to less than 60% of that of the wild type (mutants L356, I359, A360, Y361, and F362). Finally, the fourth group (group IV) contained mutants that had almost totally lost their infectivity (mutants G358, S363, M364, G366, N367, A369, K370, and V371). These four groups were divided into two subgroups (subgroups a and b) depending on the effect the mutations had on heterodimerization. Mutants of subgroup a had normal levels of heterodimerization, whereas mutants of subgroup b showed reductions in heterodimerization.

Interestingly, the alteration in HCVpp infectivity observed in groups III-b and IV-b correlated with lower levels of incorporation of E1E2 heterodimers into HCVpp, which seems to be due to a decrease in heterodimerization. Indeed, it has been shown that E1 needs to interact with E2 for an efficient incorporation of E1E2 heterodimer into HCVpp (47). Importantly, the alteration in HCVpp infectivity observed in groups III-a and IV-a was not due to a problem of heterodimerization or incorporation into HCVpp, suggesting that these mutations had a direct effect on the entry function of HCV envelope glycoproteins. For mutants of group I, a slight increase in the incorporation of E1 into HCVpp was detected for mutant
A357 (Fig. 3B). In addition, for mutant V365, a slight reduction in the level of particle production was observed, suggesting that after normalization, HCVpp should contain slightly more E1E2 heterodimers for this mutant (Fig. 3B). Whether this small increase in incorporation of HCV envelope glycoproteins into HCVpp is responsible for the increase in infectivity is not clear. Alternatively, these mutations might have a direct effect on the entry functions of HCV envelope glycoproteins. Surprisingly, mutants of group II-b displayed almost normal infectivity, despite some alteration in heterodimerization (Table 1). For such mutants, we cannot exclude the possibility that the reduction in heterodimerization is compensated by an increased efficiency of the entry function of HCV envelope glycoproteins, as was suggested for a mutation affecting glycoprotein N7 of E2 (25).

Despite the involvement of Gly 354 in E1E2 heterodimerization (Fig. 2), its replacement by a tryptophan had only a mild effect on pseudoparticle infectivity (Fig. 3A and Table 1). As discussed above, when both Gly 354 and Gly 358 were mutated, a higher reduction in E1E2 heterodimerization was observed (Fig. 2A). Therefore, we also analyzed the infectivity of pseudoparticles generated for this double mutant. As observed for the single mutant G358, the G354-G358 double mutant was no longer infectious (Fig. 4), indicating that the G358 mutation had a dominant effect on HCVpp infectivity.

E2 mutants could be classified into two groups (groups III-a and IV-b) (Table 1). As for E1, group III contained mutants that were still infectious, but their infectivity was reduced to less than 60% of that of the wild type (mutants L725, R730, V731, and C732) and group IV contained mutants that had almost totally lost their infectivity (mutants L726, A727, D728, and A729) (Fig. 5). The alteration in HCVpp infectivity observed in mutants of group IV-b correlated with a lower level of incorporation of E1E2 heterodimers into HCVpp, which seems to be due to a decrease in heterodimerization (Table 1). As for E1 mutants, the alteration in HCVpp infectivity observed in group III-a was not due to a defect in heterodimerization (Table 1), suggesting that some of these mutations had a direct effect on the entry function of HCV envelope glycoproteins.

Altogether, our data indicate that the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a pivotal role in the entry functions of these proteins.

**Effect of tryptophan mutagenesis on the fusion properties of HCV envelope glycoproteins.** For some mutants, HCVpp infectivity was strongly reduced without displaying any alteration in the assembly of the E1E2 heterodimer. Therefore, we suspected that these mutants would be affected in their binding or fusion properties. The effect of the mutations on the binding properties of HCV envelope glycoproteins is not easy to measure in the context of HCVpp because the initial binding of retroviral particles to cells does not require specific interaction between a viral envelope protein and a receptor (44). However, the interaction between HCV envelope glycoproteins and CD81, a molecule involved in the early steps of HCV entry, can be tested. The binding of mutant HCVpp was analyzed in a GST pull-down assay using GST-CD81-LEL and was found not to be altered for all mutants tested (Fig. 3C and 5C). The fusion activity of some mutants was then tested in the recently developed in vitro fusion assay using fluorescently labeled liposomes and HCVpp (30). For E1, we selected mutants L356, A357, and A360 displaying normal or close to normal E1E2 heterodimerization (Fig. 2A) and normal incorporation of E1 and E2 onto HCVpp (Fig. 3B). For E2, the mutants chosen were L725, L726, and R730 displaying either reduced (L726) or close to normal heterodimer content (L725 and R730) (Fig. 2B) and reduced (L726 and R730) or normal (L725) HCVpp assembly properties (Fig. 5B).

As clearly shown in Fig. 6A, mutations in the TM domain of E1 that altered HCVpp infectivity affected HCVpp-mediated lipid mixing as well. Indeed, mutants L356 and A360 displayed low and negligible fusogenicity, respectively, compared to the fusion of wild-type HCVpp. The fusogenic properties of mutant A360 were comparable to those observed for our negative control for fusion, the Delta env pseudoparticles devoid of any envelope glycoproteins. Interestingly, mutants L356 and A360 were found to be poorly infectious in our cell infection assays (Fig. 3). The absence of fusogenicity of mutant A360, despite some residual infectivity in HCVpp, suggests that the presence of receptors on the cell surface might slightly help the fusogenicity of HCV envelope glycoproteins. Conversely, mutant A357, displaying a 1.5-fold increase in HCVpp infectivity com-
pared to that of wild-type pseudoparticles (Fig. 3), exhibited a
dramatic increase in HCVpp fusogenicity (Fig. 6A), both in
terms of initial rate and final extent of lipid mixing. This ob-
servation suggests that the increase in HCVpp infectivity ob-
served for mutant A357 is likely due to a better fusogenicity of
the envelope glycoproteins. As for E1 mutants, a similar par-
allel evolution between infectivity and fusion held true for
mutants in the TM of E2 as well (Fig. 6B). However, residual
fusion activity was still observed for HCVpp bearing the L726
mutation in E2 in spite of a complete loss of infectivity (Fig. 5).
Since the fusion assay is based on the use of plain lipid
vesicles, which do not contain cell surface receptors for HCV,
our results indicate that the mutations that reduced HCVpp
infectivity without affecting E1E2 heterodimerization alter the
fusion properties of HCV envelope glycoproteins.

DISCUSSION

The TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins are mul-
tifunctional. Indeed, besides their role as membrane anchor,
they possess a signal of reinitiation of translocation in their
C-terminal half and they are responsible for the ER retention
of E1E2 heterodimer (19). In addition, even if they are prob-
ably not the only determinants for oligomerization (17), they
are essential in E1E2 heterodimerization (42). Here, we iden-
tified individual residues that participate in E1E2 interactions.
Our mutagenesis study identified Gly 354, Gly 358, Lys 370,
and Asp 728 as residues playing a role in E1E2 heterodimer-
ization. Our tryptophan mutants were also analyzed for their
effects on the entry functions of HCV envelope glycoproteins.
Importantly, HCVpp infectivity was strongly affected for many
mutants, despite normal E1E2 heterodimerization and normal levels of incorporation of HCV envelope glycoproteins into the pseudotyped particles. In addition, some mutations were shown to affect the fusion functions of HCV envelope glycoproteins. In conclusion, this mutational analysis identified residues involved in E1E2 heterodimerization and revealed that the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a major role in the fusion properties of these proteins.

Alanine scanning insertion mutagenesis (6, 38) within the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins has previously identified the central regions of these domains as well as the N-terminal part of the TM domain of E1 as sequences involved in heterodimerization (42). This technique has been shown to be a powerful method to detect the dimerization of TM helices. Indeed, the insertion of a single amino acid into a TM helix displaces the residues on the N-terminal side of the insertion by 110° relative to those on the C-terminal side of the insertion, disrupting a helix-helix packing interface involving residues on both sides of the insertion. Two distinct segments of the TM domain of E1 and one of the TM domain of E2 were identified by this technique. Although alanine scanning insertion mutagenesis allowed the identification of segments involved in E1E2 heterodimerization through their TM domains, the very residues implicated in E1E2 interactions were not identified. Here, by tryptophan scanning mutagenesis, we identified at least four residues that are important for E1E2 heterodimerization: Gly 354, Gly 358, Lys 370, and Asp 728. Importantly, the Gly 354 and Gly 358 residues belong to a GXXXG motif. The GXXXG motif has a strong propensity for TM helix interactions (46), as shown, for instance, in glycophorin A (32). Sequence analyses show that the GXXXG motif or GXXXG-like motifs, in which one or both glycine residues are replaced by other small residues, such as alanine or serine, occur more frequently in TM helices than their random expectation (1, 50). Glycine and, to a lesser extent, alanine and serine residues allow very close contact between TM helices. This proximity permits extensive interhelical van der Waals interactions (35). It has to be noted that additional sequence constraints as found in glycine zippers might also be necessary for the oligomerization mediated by the GXXXG motif (28, 37, 48). Although the GXXXG motif has been observed mainly in homooligomerization, its involvement in heterodimerization has also been suggested in some cases (49). In our study of HCV envelope glycoproteins, we confirm that this motif can be involved in heterodimerization.

The Lys 370 and Asp 728 residues have previously been shown to play a major role in the function of HCV envelope glycoproteins. Indeed, when Lys 370 was mutated together with Asn 368, the ER retention function of the TM domain of E1, as well as heterodimerization, was abolished (14). Simi-
larly, when Asp 728 was mutated together with Arg 730, the ER retention function of the TM domain of E2 and heterodimerization were abolished (14). These mutations in E1 and E2 also affected the signal of reinitiation of translocation present in the C-terminal half of these TM domains. More recently, the mutagenesis in the TM domain of E2 has indicated that of the two charged residues of this domain, Asp 728 plays a major role in E1E2 heterodimerization (7). These observations as well as our tryptophan scanning mutagenesis indicate that, together with the GXXXG motif found in E1, the Lys 370 and Asp 728 residues play a major role in E1E2 heterodimerization. Although the presence of a positive and a negative charge in the TM domain of E1 and E2, respectively, would suggest that heterodimerization might be mediated by an ion pair, this hypothesis has not been confirmed experimentally (7). Due to their peculiar features, a high-resolution structure of the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins in a hydrophobic environment will be necessary to better understand their organization within the membrane.

Some mutations affect the fusion function of HCV envelope glycoproteins without altering E1E2 heterodimerization and incorporation of HCV envelope glycoproteins into HCVpp (e.g., A360 and L725). The TM domains of fusion proteins are essential for their fusion activities. For instance, the hemagglutinin of influenza virus in which the TM and cytosolic domains have been replaced by a glycerylphosphatidylinositol moiety has been shown to lose the ability to mediate complete fusion but retain the ability to promote hemifusion (27, 36). Similarly, alterations in the TM domains of other fusion proteins led to a loss of fusion activity (8, 40, 45, 57). The TM domains of some fusion proteins have been shown to function at a late stage of the fusion process, subsequent to the formation of a hemifusion diaphragm (8, 27, 36). The rearrangement of this unstable reaction intermediate into a fusion pore constitutes a major late step in fusion, and this is likely to be potentiated by the TM domains. However, in the case of HCV envelope glycoproteins, the mutants were blocked at the lipid mixing stage, indicating that hemifusion was also blocked and that the mutations are altering an early step in the fusion process.

Although there is some controversy about the identity of the HCV fusion protein, HCV envelope glycoproteins are supposed to belong to class II fusion proteins based on the fact that HCV belongs to the Flaviviridae family (55). Since class II envelope proteins undergo rearrangements in their oligomeric structures at the budding and entry steps, it is likely that the mutant proteins are blocked in the organization and/or reorganization of their oligomeric form. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possibility that anomalous or uncoordinated protein reorganization takes place, as observed for mutants of the TM domains of the Semliki Forest virus glycoproteins, because Semliki Forest virus also contains a class II fusion protein (53). Unfortunately, due to the high heterogeneity of the envelope glycoproteins associated with HCVpp (22), it is currently not possible to obtain biochemical information on HCV glycoprotein reorganization during the fusion process. In addition, we do not know the density of functional HCV glycoproteins on pseudoparticles that are required for infectivity.

In conclusion, our study shows that the GXXXG motif in the TM domain of E1, together with Lys 370 and Asp 728 residues, is involved in E1E2 heterodimerization. In addition, it also reveals that the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins play a major role in the fusion properties of these proteins. Together, these data underline the essential role played by the TM domains of HCV envelope glycoproteins at the level of particle assembly and virus entry.
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