Primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) or body cavity-based lymphoma is a rapidly progressive disease often arising in the context of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/AIDS, but it is also seen in HIV-negative transplant recipients and in the elderly. PEL exists as a fluid tumor of B-cell origin within the serous cavities of the body. Current multidrug chemotherapies against PEL, such as CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin [Dox], vincristine, and prednisone) target proliferating cells and typically include a DNA-damaging agent such as doxorubicin. These therapies are initially successful (64). However, the immunocompromised status of PEL patients makes them highly susceptible to the myelosuppressive side effects of high-dose chemotherapy regimens. As a result, the median survival time for those diagnosed with PEL is typically less than 3 months (1, 12), and there exists an urgent need for novel therapies against PEL.

All PEL tumor cells are infected with Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) (13, 49). KSHV is a member of the gamma-herpesviruses and was first isolated in the AIDS-associated malignancy Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) (15). KSHV remains largely latent in PEL and expresses only a limited set of genes, including Kaposin/K12, v-cyclin/orf72, the latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA)/ORF73, v-FLIP/ORF71, and the viral micro-RNAs (19, 26) (8, 23, 51, 53, 58, 59). PEL are dependent upon KSHV for survival, as loss of the KSHV genome results in cell death (30). These results suggest that one or more KSHV oncogenes are essential for PEL survival. Following the precedence of the small DNA tumor viruses such as simian virus 40 and human papilloma virus, KSHV too was hypothesized to contain viral genes that curb p53 function and thereby mediate viral oncogenesis.

p53 has been deemed the most frequently mutated gene in human cancer, with the majority of alterations localizing to the protein’s DNA binding domain (reviewed in reference 32). In response to DNA-damaging chemotherapeutics, p53 is activated and functions as a sequence-specific transcription factor for a well-defined set of p53-responsive promoters. This in turn leads to a variety of cellular outcomes, including cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (reviewed in reference 69). p53 stability is tightly regulated by the ubiquitin ligase activity of the human Mdm2 homologue, Hdm2 (reviewed in reference 34). Overexpression of Hdm2 is frequently noted in human cancers and provides a mechanism to inactivate p53 epigenetically (45). The Mdm2-p53 binding site is well defined (44), and recently novel compounds, such as Nutlin-3, that inhibit the Hdm2-p53 interaction have been proposed as therapeutics for tumors maintaining wild-type p53 status (33, 68). Nutlin-3, unlike doxorubicin, does not induce cellular DNA damage, thus allowing for the direct assessment of p53 function independent of any other effects that may be induced by DNA-damaging drugs. Neither the efficacy of Nutlin-3 for PEL treatment nor the functional status of p53 in the context of KSHV-positive tumor cells has been previously studied.

To examine p53 functionally in KSHV-associated cancers, we employed the largest set of patient-derived PEL lines published to date. As previously reported for clinical PEL and KS biopsies (14, 38, 46), we found that p53 mutations were infrequent in PEL even after continued passage in culture (two of seven lines). The wild-type p53 genotype translated into a wild-type p53 phenotype, as PEL cells responded to physiologically relevant levels of doxorubicin. PEL treatment with doxorubicin led to p53 phosphorylation, activation of downstream target genes, and subsequent cell cycle arrest, consistent with an intact p53 signaling pathway. Moreover, PEL lines re-

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and materials. PEL cells and cell culture conditions were previously described (Table 1) (2, 5, 7, 10, 13, 29, 42). Doxorubicin and Nutlin-3 (racemic) were obtained from Sigma and diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Pifithrin-μ was obtained from Calbiochem and diluted in DMSO.

p53 sequencing. cDNA was prepared from all PEL cell lines using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN), 100 U of Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies), and random hexanucleotide primers (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The resulting cDNA was used to PCR amplify two regions of the p53 DNA binding domain spanning amino acids (aa) 126 to 224 and aa 225 to 331. The primers were as follows: forward, 5′/H11032 AG-3′; reverse, 5′/H11032 AG-3′; aa 225 to 331 forward, 5′/H11032 AG-3′; aa 126 to 224 forward, 5′/H11032 AG-3′; aa 225 to 331.

Doxorubicin and Nutlin-3 were added to the cell cultures at an optical density of 570 nm (OD570) was read and normalized to cell density (OD690). All assays were performed in triplicate. Graphs display the average ± standard deviation. Alternatively, cell viability was determined from the 50-μl samples via trypan blue exclusion. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) was calculated using a sigmoidal dose-response fit of the MTT assay results on day 4 and GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software).

Western blotting. Cells were seeded in two flasks each containing 40 ml complete media at a concentration of 2 × 10⁶ cells/ml. The following morning, 0.025 μg/ml doxorubicin was added to both flasks, and 20 ml of one culture was immediately harvested (t = 0 h); Eight, 24, and 48 h postdrug addition, 20 ml of culture was removed and centrifuged, and the cell pellet stored at −80°C. The resulting pellets were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1.0% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], and 50 mM Tris [pH 8.0]) containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 10 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium vanadate, and 60 mM β-glycerophosphate. Lysates were quantified using the Bradford Assay kit (Pierce Inc.), and proteins were separated on SDS–12% polyacrylamide gels. Gels were transferred to Hybond-P (Amersham Biosciences) and probed for the indicated protein products. Primary antisera utilized were the following: p53 phosphoryserine 15 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling), total p53, and Hdm2 dependent manner, which was used as an internal control. The relative level of p53 was determined using ImageJ software (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health). Specifically, p21 band density was first normalized to CDK6 (loading control) and then the quantity was determined relative to 0 h for each cell line.

Flow cytometry. Cells were seeded and treated as described for the Western blotting experiments. At 0, 8, 24, and 48 h postdrug addition, cells were harvested by centrifugation, fixed, and stained as we previously described (20, 52). Cells were analyzed on a Becton-Dickinson FACscan flow cytometer. At least 10,000 cells were analyzed per sample and graphed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.).

Array design and implementation. Quantitative real-time PCR (QPCR) primers were designed using Primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3-3.3.2/www.cgi [57]) according to our standard parameters (47). Where possible, primer sets were chosen to span an intron so as to avoid background signal from any residual genomic DNA (Table 2). Real-time QPCR analysis was conducted as previously published (21, 22). The fold induction was determined for each time point using the following calculation: fold change = 2ΔΔCt, where ΔCt1 and ΔCt2 are the cycle thresholds normalized to that of GAPDH at times 1 and 2, respectively. Data were clustered using ArrayMiner to produce a heat map diagram.

Annexin V flow cytometry. BCBL-1, BCP-1, and BC-3 cells were seeded in duplicate at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/ml in a total of 40 ml. The cultures were immediately treated with either 5 μM Nutlin-3 (racemic) or vehicle (DMSO) alone. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were counted, spun down, and washed three times in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline. Each pellet was resuspended in Annexin V binding buffer (0.1 M Hepes, pH 7.4, 14 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂)
to reach a final concentration of $1 \times 10^7$ cells/ml. Five 100-µl aliquots were generated from each condition, and four were incubated with 5 µl of fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated Annexin V antibody (Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 15 min at room temperature. The remaining sample was used as a control. Flow cytometry was performed on 10,000 cells per sample using a FacScan instrument and analyzed using FloJo software.

**RESULTS**

**p53 (aa 126 to 331) is wild type in PEL based on sequence analysis.** As p53 is rarely mutated in KS, we hypothesized that other KSHV-associated tumor types would retain wild-type p53 status. To test this hypothesis, we examined the genotypes of seven culture-adapted PEL cell lines. This extensive panel of cultures is representative of all cells widely utilized in experimental research today (Table 1). All seven lines were KSHV positive. Two cell lines (BC-1 and JSC-1) were coinfected with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) (10, 13). To determine the mutation status of p53, we employed high-fidelity PCR on PEL-derived cDNA with primers that cover the previously identified hot spot region (aa 126 to 331). The corresponding PCR products were cloned into pBluescript, and at least eight clones were sequenced per cell line. BCBL-1 cells were determined to be heterozygous for the M246I mutation as previously reported (38). BCP-1 cells contained a homozygous insertion at codon 262. All other cell lines contained wild-type p53 sequence. These data demonstrate that p53 mutations were present in ≤30% (two of seven) of PEL, all of which grow permanently in culture and form tumors in nude mice (Table 1 reference 65).

PEL growth is effectively limited by doxorubicin. The current standard of care for PEL treatment includes chemotherapeutics such as anthracyclines (e.g., doxorubicin, daunorubicin, and mitoxantrone). These agents function through DNA intercalation and oxidative radical formation to induce the p53-dependent DNA damage pathway (reviewed in reference 28). Since p53 was wild type by sequence in PEL, we assessed the ability of doxorubicin (Dox) to limit cellular viability. Each cell line was seeded at equal density in 12-well dishes, and Dox and/or vehicle (water) was added to the culture at the indicated dosages. At designated times thereafter, a sample was removed from each culture and the cellular viability was assessed using an MTT assay. All treatments were performed in triplicate wells, and results of the MTT assays directly paralleled those obtained by trypan blue exclusion counts (data not shown). The dosages of doxorubicin utilized (0.001 to 0.075 g/ml) were clinically relevant, as patient serum concentrations of the drug have been reported to be as high as 11 g/ml following administration at 60 to 70 mg/m² (6). As seen in Fig. 1, PEL lines harboring the wild-type p53 allele (BC-3, VG-1, BCBLM, BC-1, and JSC-1) were susceptible to Dox (complete response at 0.01 to 0.025 g/ml). A higher tolerance for Dox was observed in an allele-specific, dose-dependent manner in both BCP-1 and BCBLM-1 cells. Significantly, BCP-1 proliferation continued in the presence of 0.075 µg/ml Dox. Utilizing data from day 4, wherein the optimal growth differential was ob-

**FIG. 1. PEL lines are sensitive to doxorubicin.** (A to G) PEL-derived cell lines were seeded in triplicate at $2 \times 10^5$ cells/ml. The indicated dosage of doxorubicin (in micrograms/milliliter) and/or vehicle (double-distilled H₂O) was added to each sample. Twenty-four hours postseeding and treatment, a sample was removed and cell survival was scored by MTT assay. Similar MTT measurements were performed every 24 h as indicated. Raw MTT numbers were normalized to the average reading of the untreated sample at day 1. Data shown represent three independent points, with error bars indicating the standard deviations. WT, wild type.
served for all lines, the IC_{50} was calculated for each cell line. Doxorubicin susceptibility directly correlated with p53 status (Table 1). These results demonstrate that PEL lines containing the wild-type p53 genotype also exhibit a wild-type p53 phenotype as measured by the cell response to the DNA-damaging agent Dox.

**p53 mediates DNA damage-induced growth arrest in PEL.** To assess whether Dox treatment resulted in growth arrest, cell cycle distribution was determined by propidium iodide (PI) incorporation. PEL lines were seeded at equal density and treated with an inhibitory concentration of Dox (0.025 μg/ml), and samples were analyzed at 0, 8, 24, or 48 h following treatment. As seen in Fig. 2, all PEL lines treated with Dox demonstrated marked arrest at the G1/S and G2/M checkpoints, indicative of a p53-mediated DNA damage response. By 48 h, the G2/M population of cells harboring wild-type p53 had increased dramatically (between 14 and 38%). In contrast, accumulation of BCP-1 and BCBL-1 cells, which contain a mutant p53 allele, in G2/M was significantly reduced (less than 10% increase). Analysis of the sub-G1 population by this method revealed little apoptotic induction in any of the cell lines within the time period examined. This observation may be explained by the relatively slow growth rate of the PEL lines in culture and/or a molecular mechanism that delays apoptosis. Together, these data support the growth analyses performed in Fig. 1 and demonstrate that DNA damage in p53 wild-type PEL lines resulted in cell cycle arrest.

**The p53 signaling cascade is fully functional in response to doxorubicin.** To further evaluate p53 function in PEL, we examined the ability of upstream DNA damage sensors such as ATM to activate and initiate p53 signaling in Dox-treated cells. Specifically, PEL lines were seeded at equal density, treated with an inhibitory concentration of Dox (0.025 μg/ml), and sampled at 0, 8, 24, or 48 h following treatment. Cell pellets were lysed, and equal protein concentrations were run on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The resulting gels were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed as indicated. p53 phosphorylation on serine 15 is an indicator of upstream DNA damage signaling (3, 9) and was readily induced as early as 8 h post-Dox treatment (Fig. 3). Interestingly, BCP-1 cells showed constitutive, elevated levels of phosphoserine 15. However, p21 was not induced, suggesting that the S262 insertion leads to a defect in downstream signaling. All cell lines containing wild-type p53 displayed marked induction of the p53 target gene, p21 (cip1/ waf-1) (24). These data are quantified in Fig. 3B. CDK6 served as a loading control and remained constant across all samples and all time points. All cells containing at least one wild-type copy of p53 responded to drug treatment, whereas the BCP-1 cell line (homozygous mutant) showed no elevation of p53 signaling as measured by p21 induction following Dox treat-
ment. These data demonstrate that both upstream and downstream p53-dependent signaling was functional in p53 wild-type PEL irrespective of the presence of KSHV.

Functional p53 is required to mediate DNA damage-induced inhibition of PEL growth. To test the hypothesis that activation of p53 is necessary to mediate cell cycle arrest in response to DNA-damaging agents, we conducted Dox treatment in the presence of the p53 inhibitor pifithrin α (p-fifty three inhibitor α [PIFα]) (43). BC-3 (wild type/wild type) cells were pretreated overnight with PIFα or vehicle. Dox (0.025 μg/ml) and/or vehicle was then added, and cell viability was assessed by MTT assays. Figure 4A shows our results graphed as the average fold growth increase relative to the untreated sample on day 1. PIFα-treated, Dox-exposed BC-3 cells ceased to proliferate. PIFα or vehicle alone had no effect. These data imply that p53 is required to inhibit cellular proliferation following Dox treatment of PEL. Figure 4B shows the relative growth retardation as a percent of vehicle control (black bars) for a set of four PEL cell lines at 72 h posttreatment. PIFα alone (gray bar) had no or minor toxicity. Dox (striped bars) reduced cell growth significantly in the wild-type BC-3 and BC-1 cells, less in the heterozygous p53 BCBL-1 cells, and not at all in the homozygous p53 BCP-1 cells. PIFα (open bars) counteracted the effect of Dox in the wild-type p53 BC-3 and BC-1 cells but not the p53 mutant cells. In the p53 heterozygous BCBL-1, PIFα seemed to augment Dox, while in the p53 homozygous mutant p53 BCP-1 cells PIFα had no effect.

Activation of p53 is sufficient to inhibit PEL growth independent of DNA damage. To test the hypothesis that activation
of p53 is sufficient to mediate the cell cycle arrest in PEL, we used a recently discovered chemical activator of p53, Nutlin-3. Nutlins are selective compounds which target the Hdm2-p53 interaction to prevent p53 degradation (67, 68). To test the efficacy of Nutlin-3 in PEL, we conducted cell viability assays. Specifically, we seeded our panel of cells at equal density and added racemic Nutlin-3. Next, cell viability was determined through trypan blue exclusion counts. Nutlin-3 significantly reduced cell proliferation in the majority of PEL lines harboring wild-type p53 (VG-1, BCLM, JSC-1, and BC-1) at low dosage (2.5 μM) (Fig. 5). BC-3 cells displayed resistance to low-dose Nutlin-3 but ceased proliferation readily at a 5 μM dosage. Growth inhibition by Nutlin-3 was compromised at low doses in PEL cells harboring a mutant p53 allele (BCP-1 and BCBL-1). As expected, DG75 B-cell lymphoma cells which harbored mutant p53 (17), but neither KSHV nor EBV, were completely resistant to Nutlin-3. The p53 dependence of Nutlin-3 was even more striking when we used Annexin V positivity as a measure for cell death (Fig. 5I). Thus, Nutlin-3 response follows p53 status in a gene-dose-dependent manner, i.e., the susceptibility to Nutlin increased by the following relationship: homozygous mutant p53 (BCP-1 and S262/S262) < heterozygous mutant p53 (BCBL-1 and M246I) < homozygous wild-type p53 PEL. This validates Nutlin-3 as a p53-dependent treatment alternative for PEL. Moreover, use of Nutlin-3 in these studies demonstrates that p53 activation, independent of DNA damage, is sufficient to cause cell cycle arrest in PEL.

Nutlin efficacy directly correlates with Hdm2 status (50). To examine whether increased Hdm2 expression levels can explain the robust response of PEL to Nutlin, we first examined Hdm2 mRNA levels in PEL using data from Klein et al. (40), which represents the transcriptional profile of over 60 B-cell tumors. Hdm2 mRNA levels served as a class prediction marker for PEL, clearly separating PEL samples from all others in the study (Fig. 5J, top panel). In fact, the predictive value of Hdm2 mRNA for the classification of PEL was as high as that of a previously validated marker, the vitamin D receptor (36). To verify Hdm2 protein expression we performed Western blotting analysis. Hdm2 levels were high and relatively consistent across all PEL lines (Fig. 5K), with the exception of BCBL-1 cells, which showed lower Hdm2 levels (Fig. 5L, lane 7). In sum, intact p53 signaling as well as elevated levels of Hdm2 contribute to the marked sensitivity of PEL to Nutlin-3.

Previous reports demonstrate that the induction of p53 target genes in response to Nutlin-3 treatment is tumor type dependent (16, 41, 60, 67). To test the hypothesis that the p53 transcriptional response is consistent across multiple PEL tumors, we developed a quantitative real-time QPCR array for 14 experimentally validated p53 target genes (Table 2). PEL were treated with 5 μM Nutlin-3 (an inhibitory concentration for all cell lines), and cells were harvested 0, 8, 24, and 48 h thereafter. RNA was isolated, reverse transcribed, and subjected to real-time QPCR. All PEL lines harboring the wild-type p53 allele showed induction of p53 target genes in response to Nutlin-3, which peaked at 8 h (Fig. 5M). By contrast, target gene induction was limited in the p53 mutant BCP-1 cells. Neither nontemplate control nor reverse transcriptase-omitting reactions generated PCR products as determined by gel electrophoresis and melting curve analysis (data not shown). These findings suggest that the p53 status of the BCP-1 line (S262/S262) limits p53 transcriptional capabilities. The observation that BCBL-1 cells robustly induce all p53 target genes is consistent with the previously reported phenotype of the M246I mutation (56) and indicates that BCBL-1 cells may have developed apoptotic resistance through additional mutations. Together, these findings show that p53 signaling is fully functional in PEL, engaging multiple pathways to mediate the therapeutic effects of Nutlin-3 and DNA-damaging agents.

DISCUSSION

DNA-damaging chemotherapeutic regimens are clinically efficacious for the KSHV-associated malignancies KS and PEL. Typically, the tumor response to chemotherapy is dependent upon functional p53. However, an in-depth analysis of
p53 function in PEL has been missing, because multiple proteins of the PEL-associated human tumor virus KSHV were shown to inhibit p53 in ectopic expression experiments (27, 31, 48, 55, 61, 62), thus asserting the dogma that all DNA tumor viruses inactivate p53. If this were true, the presence of KSHV would severely limit the treatment options for PEL and other KSHV-associated cancers. PEL should not be responsive to CHOP, which is in contrast to the clinical experience (64). To test the hypothesis that p53 is fully functional in PEL despite the presence of viral oncogenes, we assessed p53 status and function in response to the prototypical DNA-damaging agent doxorubicin. Analyzing the most extensive panel of PEL lines published to date, we find that, similar to KS (38), p53 mutations are rarely detected in PEL (Table 1). DNA damage-induced p53 activation is intact in PEL harboring wild-type p53, as evidenced by target gene induction and cell cycle arrest following treatment with clinically relevant doses of doxorubicin (Fig. 1, 2, and 3). These data imply that in PEL the p53 status dictates the response to chemotherapeutics regardless of KSHV viral latent proteins.

We corroborated our initial observations by modifying p53 signaling using the well-characterized activator Nutlin-3 (Fig. 5) or the p53 inhibitor pifithrin-alpha (Fig. 4). The phenotypic response to each of these drugs, as well as the p53-dependent transcriptional response, correlated tightly with p53 status, adding that DNA damage-induced p53 signaling is functional. How, then, can we reconcile these data with reports demonstrating disruption of p53 signaling by lytic and latent KSHV viral proteins? KSHV lytic proteins have been shown to inhibit p53 function through coactivator sequestration (Rta/ORF50) (18, 31), repression of ATM-mediated DNA damage signaling (v-IRF) (62), or other unknown mechanisms (K-bZIP) (48). Neither Rta/ORF50, K-bZIP, nor vIRF-1 is expressed during latency in PEL (26). These transcripts are only detectable upon viral reactivation. Herpesvirus reactivation leads to replication of the viral genomic DNA, which is resolved through recombination into unit-length pieces that are packed into the virion. This process generates many DNA double-strand ends, and it is therefore highly plausible that KSHV evolved to inhibit the p53/ATM response during the lytic phase of the viral life cycle.

The KSHV latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA) also binds and inhibits p53 function in reporter assays (27, 71).
Conversely, p53 can inhibit the LANA promoter (37). Unlike the lytic proteins, LANA is constitutively expressed in all PEL cells (19, 23, 66). LANA, like p53, is a relatively “sticky” protein, binding to many partners in vitro. Due to the multitude of LANA binding partners (≥10) and functions (63), it has been considerably more difficult to establish the specificity and functionality of the p53-LANA complex. LANA binds to the histone core components H2A, H2B, and H1, thereby tethering the viral episome to host chromatin (4). This interaction results in the characteristic nuclear speckled pattern observed using LANA-specific monoclonal antibodies (39, 54). It is conceivable that some p53 would be sequestered into these complexes, which also contain Ku70, Ku80, and PARP-1. The results presented here show that the nature of the LANA-p53 complex is such that enough functional p53 is available to mediate PEL cell cycle inhibition in response to DNA damage or to Nutlin-3. In fact, upon Nutlin-3 treatment p53 and LANA occupy distinct, nonoverlapping nuclear compartments (Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

The rarity of p53 mutations observed herein expands upon an earlier report (46), which similarly did not detect p53 mutations in primary PEL biopsies. The p53 mutations in two of the cell lines examined (Table 1) may reflect the origins of the patient samples. BCP-1 cells (p53, S262/S262) were derived from an HIV-negative, 94-year-old man with previous history of both KS and colon cancer (5). This individual was treated for 3 years with prolonged chemotherapy before succumbing to the disease, whereupon the BCP-1 cell line was isolated. Likewise, BCBL-1 (wild type/ M246I) cells were derived from an HIV-positive patient who underwent prior chemotherapeutic treatment with doxorubicin (42). Unlike other primary effusions, which typically fail to grow after a period of 14 days upon explantation in culture, BCBL-1 cells grew rapidly with no discernible lag phase (B. Herndier and D. Ganem, personal communication). BCLM and JSC-1 were isolated prior to therapeutic administration and maintained wild-type p53 function. Thus, long-term PEL culture is compatible with p53 activity, and only prolonged treatment with DNA-damaging agents in vivo appears to select for p53 mutations.

The M246I and S262 mutations identified in these PEL lines were previously reported in other cancers. The M246I mutation (BCBL-1) was initially identified in the H23 lung cancer cell line and was reported to maintain DNA binding to consensus p53 binding elements (56). However, transactivation of nonconsensus elements by p53 M246I was limited, resulting in decreased apoptotic induction. While the Mdm2 promoter (consensus element) was effectively induced by M246I, PIG3 (nonconsensus) transactivation was significantly reduced. This is consistent with the phenotype of BCBL-1 cells, which demonstrated intense induction of p53 target genes following Nutlin-3 treatment yet failed to undergo significant apoptosis (Fig. 5). Far less is known about the p53 S262 insertion mutant. Previously identified in pancreatic cancer (11), a characterization of the mutant has yet to be conducted. Our findings suggest that S262 has little transactivation potential, as few genes were induced in the Nutlin-3 array studies. Additionally, BCP-1 cells (S262/S262) demonstrated a low background level of all p53 transcripts assayed, further implying that this signaling pathway is disrupted (data not shown). Understanding how individual p53 mutations contribute to therapeutic response will be essential to providing individualized PEL therapy.

Structural studies of the p53-Mdm2 interaction have revealed evidence that three key residues (Phe, Trp, and Leu) of p53 bind to a deep cavity on the Mdm2 surface (44). Nutlins represent the most potent and selective inhibitors of this interaction described to date. Nutlins are cis-imidizolizine analogs, capable of penetrating cellular membranes, and thus can be administered orally (68). Nutlin-3 was well tolerated in murine xenograph studies (up to 200 μg/g of body weight twice daily), wherein the growth of p53-positive tumors was inhibited (67). Recent studies implicate Nutlin-3 as a novel therapeutic for B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (16, 41, 60). Interestingly, these previous studies utilized the purified, active Nutlin-3a enantiomer. Herein, we studied the effects of the racemic mixture (containing a 1:1 ratio of active [3a] versus inactive [3b] enantiomer). By comparison, PELs were more sensitive to Nutlin-3 treatment than B-CLL and AML (Fig. 5; 2.5 to 5.0 μM racemic versus 4.7 and 5 μM Nutlin-3a, respectively), perhaps reflecting their phenotypically elevated Hdm2 expression. PELs harboring the wild-type p53 allele exhibited significant apoptosis following Nutlin-3 treatment; however, PEL lines with homozygous, mutant p53 failed to proliferate only at increased doses of drug (Fig. 5). BC-3 cells deviated somewhat from the phenotype of other p53 wild-type cell lines, and further studies are under way to clarify this phenomenon. One possible explanation is that BC-3 cells express a high level of HdmX (unpublished observation). Increased HdmX levels would explain their partial resistance to Nutlin-3, since Nutlin-3 fails to block the p53-HdmX interaction (50). Alternatively, Nutlin-3 may affect other targets than p53 (25, 70). A key finding of this study is that Hdm2 is overexpressed in PEL. Moreover, Hdm2 mRNA levels can be utilized to classify PEL away from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and other B-cell lymphoproliferative diseases (Fig. 5). The underlying mechanism for this phenotype is currently under investigation. Regardless, these data explain the dramatic susceptibility of this tumor type to Nutlin-3.

The use of a novel targeted p53 real-time QPCR array allowed us to molecularly characterize PEL response to Nutlin-3. Activation of the p53 transcriptome following Nutlin-3 addition differs between PEL and other hematological malignancies. In B-CLL, Nutlin-3a activates Mdm2, p21, and PUMA expression but not BAX (16). In AML, Nutlin-3a activates Mdm2, p21, and NOXA but not PUMA or BAX (41). Here we find that in PEL, Nutlin-3 rapidly (<8 h) activates multiple p53 targets, including p21, NOXA, PUMA, and BAX (Fig. 5). Independent of the specific transcriptional targets activated, the cellular outcome, namely growth inhibition and apoptosis, is the same for PEL, B-CLL, and AML. PEL is a rapidly progressing disease, wherein the immunocompromised status of the patient often influences the physician’s ability to administer therapy. Our findings suggest that determination of p53 and Hdm2 status is critical to the assessment of potential therapeutic regimens. KSHV oncogenes did not influence the ability of PEL to respond to DNA-damaging agents. However, they may modulate p53 function at steady-state growth or during KSHV lytic replication. Nutlins and other p53-activat-
ing compounds may prove highly efficacious for the treatment of PEL patients.
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