A Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus That Lacks the E Gene Is Attenuated In Vitro and In Vivo
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A deletion mutant of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) has been engineered by deleting the structural E gene in an infectious cDNA clone that was constructed as a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). The recombinant virus lacking the E gene (rSARS-CoV-ΔE) was rescued in Vero E6 cells. The recovered deletion mutant grew in Vero E6, Huh-7, and CaCo-2 cells to titers 20- to 200-fold lower than the recombinant wild-type virus, respectively, indicating that although the E protein has an effect on growth, it is not essential for virus replication. No differences in virion stability under a wide range of pH and temperature were detected between the deletion mutant and recombinant wild-type viruses. Although both viruses showed the same morphology by electron microscopy, the process of morphogenesis seemed to be less efficient with the defective virus than with the recombinant wild-type one. The rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus replicated to titers 100- to 1,000-fold lower than the recombinant wild-type virus in the upper and lower respiratory tract of hamsters, and the lower viral load was accompanied by less inflammation in the lungs of hamsters infected with rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus than with the recombinant wild-type virus. Therefore, the SARS-CoV that lacks the E gene is attenuated in hamsters, might be a safer research tool, and may be a good candidate for the development of a live attenuated SARS-CoV vaccine.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is a respiratory disease characterized by an atypical pneumonia, caused by a novel coronavirus (CoV) (13, 15, 27, 28, 35, 41, 45). The disease was reported for the first time in Guangdong Province, China, at the end of 2002, and spread to 32 countries in the course of a few months. After July 2003, only 4 community-acquired SARS cases were reported in China, but there have been at least as many reports of laboratory-acquired infections, with secondary spread in one case. Efficacious therapy is not available for this life-threatening disease that caused a mortality of about 10% in the epidemic of 2002 to 2003. Therefore, it is of interest to engineer attenuated SARS-CoVs as safer research tools.

SARS-CoV is an enveloped virus of the Coronaviridae family, group 2, and has a single-stranded, positive-sense 29.7-kb RNA genome (18, 48). Coronaviruses replicate in the cell cytoplasm and encode a nested set of mRNA molecules of different sizes. Viral genome expression begins with the translation of two large polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, including the viral replicase genes (50). Expression of the open reading frame (ORF) 1ab involves a ribosomal frameshifting into the −1 frame just upstream of the ORF 1a translation termination codon (4). The pp1a and pp1ab polyproteins are processed by viral proteinases to yield functional components of the membrane-bound replicase complex (59). The replicase complex is involved in genome replication and transcription of subgenomic mRNAs (sgmRNAs), encoding structural proteins, such as the spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N), and a set of nonstructural proteins, whose sequence and number differ between the different species of coronavirus (47). In the case of SARS-CoV, ORFs 3a and 7a encode additional structural proteins (22, 25, 46). Among human CoVs (HCoVs), such as HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, SARS-CoV, HCoV-NL63, and Hong Kong University 1-CoV, SARS-CoV causes the most severe disease (52, 54).

The virion envelope of CoVs contains at least three structural proteins, S, E, and M, embedded in the membrane. SARS-CoV has an additional structural membrane protein, 3a (25, 46). CoV M and E proteins are key factors for virus assembly and budding (6–8, 11, 14, 30). In fact, expression of these proteins in cell lines results in the production of virus-like particles (VLPs) (3, 8, 23, 53). In the case of SARS-CoV, there are conflicting reports on the proteins necessary for the formation of VLPs. Some reports describe the requirement of E and M for the efficient assembly of pseudoparticles in insect cells (21, 36), whereas others suggest that E protein is not essential for SARS-CoV-like particle formation in mammalian cells and propose that M and N proteins play a major role in morphogenesis (23).

The CoV E protein is present in virions in low copy number as a transmembrane protein (30, 34, 42). E protein contains a short (7 to 9 amino acid) hydrophilic amino terminus region and a 21- to 29-amino-acid hydrophobic region, followed by a...
hydrophilic carboxy terminus (6, 7). The SARS-CoV E protein contains an unusually short, palindromic transmembrane helical hairpin predicted around a previously unidentified pseudo-center of symmetry (2, 26). This hairpin possibly modifies lipid bilayers by increasing their curvature and likely plays a pivotal role in viral budding.

CoV E proteins share several characteristics with proteins of other viruses that function as ion channels, having a highly hydrophobic domain that forms at least one amphipathic α-helix that oligomerizes to form a putative ion-conductive pore in membranes (51). SARS-CoV and murine hepatitis virus (MHV) E proteins alter membrane permeability (31–33). Furthermore, HCoV-229E, MHV, SARS-CoV, and infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) E proteins form ion channels that are more permeable to monovalent cations than to monovalent anions (55, 56). The transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) E protein is essential for the production of recombinant infectious viruses (9, 39). In contrast, MHV E protein is critical but not essential for virus replication because an MHV mutant in which the E gene has been deleted grows in cell culture to titers that are at least 3 orders of magnitude lower than recombinant wild-type viruses (30).

In this article, we report the generation of a recombinant SARS-CoV (rSARS-CoV) mutant in which expression of the E gene was abolished in a SARS-CoV cDNA clone that was assembled as a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). Although maximal virus titers reached by this defective virus were lower than those of the recombinant wild-type virus, the ΔE virus infected different cell lines, indicating that E protein is important but not essential for SARS-CoV replication. This rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus was attenuated in vivo, making it a safer research tool and a promising vaccine candidate.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Virus.** An infectious cDNA clone of the Urbani strain of SARS-CoV has been constructed in our laboratory and assembled as a BAC (1). SARS-CoV RNA was kindly provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. All work with infectious viruses and infected animals was performed in biosafety level 3 facilities by personnel wearing positive-pressure air-purifying respirators (HEPA AirMate; 3M, Saint Paul, MN).

**Cells.** The African green monkey kidney-derived Vero E6 cells were kindly provided by R. Bartenschlager (Department of Molecular Virology, University of Heidelberg, Germany) (16, 20, 37). In all cases, Vero E6 cells grown to 90% confluence in 12.5-cm² flasks were infected at an MOI of 1. At 24 h postinfection, cells were washed three times with PBS, incubated with Cy5-conjugated anti-human immunoglobulin G (Sham Pharmacia Biotech), and visualized with a motorized Axioskop 2 using Q-Image software. The cells were then permeabilized with 0.2% saponin in blocking solution (PBS, pH 7.4, containing 1% fetal bovine serum) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with a polyclonal antibody specific for N, S (dilution 1:500; Imgenex), and E proteins (dilution 1:2,000; J. Viral. 66:149–154, 2002) of the plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE to generate the plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-DE. Plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE contains the first 7,452 nt of the SARS-CoV genome under the cytomegalovirus promoter and the last 3,683 nt followed by a 25-bp synthetic poly(A), the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme, and the bovine growth hormone termination and polyadenylation sequences to make an accurate 3′ end. Finally, the BamHI-RsrII fragment of pBAC-SARS-CoV64 plasmid, corresponding to nt 26045 to 29783, was exchanged with that of plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-3′-DN-ΔE to generate the plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE.

**Transfection and recovery of infectious viruses from the cDNA clones.** Vero E6 cells grown to 90% confluence in 12.5-cm² flasks were transfected with 6 μg of the plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE or pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-3′ to generate a PCR product from nt 26018 to 26326 of the SARS-CoV genome. The oligonucleotides SARS-26297-VS (5′-GGCTGTTAAAATCCTGAACTCTTCTGAA GG-3′) and SARS-N7373-3R (5′-GGCCCTTGTGGTGGGCC-3′) were used to generate a PCR product spanning nt 26297 to 28853 of the SARS-CoV genome. Both overlapping products were used as templates for PCR amplification using primers SARS-ES-VE and SARS-N7373-3R. The final PCR product was digested with the enzymes KpnI and finally BamHI and cloned into the intermediate BamHI-NheI site of pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-3′-DN-ΔE. Plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-3′-DN-ΔE contains the first 7,452 nt of the SARS-CoV genome under the cytomegalovirus promoter and the last 3,683 nt followed by a 25-bp synthetic poly(A), the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme, and the bovine growth hormone termination and polyadenylation sequences to make an accurate 3′ end. Finally, the BamHI-RsrII fragment of pBAC-SARS-CoV64 plasmid, corresponding to nt 26045 to 29783, was exchanged with that of plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE-3′-DN-ΔE to generate the plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE.

**RNA analysis by RT-PCR.** Total RNA from Vero E6-infected cells was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions and used for reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analysis of S, E, and N gene mRNA transcription. Reactions were performed at 42°C for 1 h using Moloney leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Ambion) and the antisense primers SARS-N5619-RS (5′-CATGAGTCAGTATGAGCC-3′), complementary to nt 594 to 613 of S gene; SARS-E231-RS (5′-TATACAGAGAGATCGAAGGTTT-3′), complementary to nt 208 to 231 of E gene; and URB-28630-RS (5′-TGCATTCTCCTGCGTAAAGG-3′), complementary to nt 511 to 532 of N gene. The cDNAs were amplified by PCR using the virus sense primer URB-29-VS (5′-GCAAACAAAATGATCTTCTC-3′), spanning nucleotides 29 to 50 of the SARS-CoV leader sequence, and the reverse primers described for the RT reactions. The RT-PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gels.

Total RNA from rSARS-CoV- and rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected Vero E6 cells was extracted at 7 h postinfection as described above. This RNA was used as a template for quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of sgRNA transcription. Reactions were performed at 50°C using the Thermoscript retrotranscriptase (Invitrogen) and the reverse primers indicated in Table 1. The cDNAs were amplified by PCR using one SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) and the oligonucleotides described in Table 1. For each mRNA, a primer hybridizing in the leader sequence and another primer hybridizing in each coding sequence were used. For the genomic RNA, primers hybridizing near the 5′ end of the replicase were used. All of the primers were designed by using the Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems).

**Western blotting.** Cell lysates were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane with a Bio-Rad mini protein II electroblotting apparatus at 150 mA for 2 h in 25 mM Tris–192 mM glycine buffer, pH 8.3, containing 20% methanol. Membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% dried skim milk in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) and incubated with polyclonal antibodies specific for N, S (dilution 1:500; Immunex), and E proteins (dilution 1:2,000; kindly provided by Shun Shuo, Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Singapore). Bound antibody was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Cappel) and the ECL detection system (Amer sham Pharmacia Biotech).

**Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy.** Subconfluent Vero E6 cells grown in 9-cm² slide flasks were infected at an MOI of 1. At 24 h postinfection, cells were washed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were then permeabilized with 0.2% saponin in blocking solution (PBS, pH 7.4, containing 10% fetal bovine serum) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with a polyclonal SARS-CoV-specific antibody, kindly provided by Anlong Xu (Zhongshan University, Guangzhou, China) for 90 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed three times with PBS, incubated with Cy5-conjugated anti-human im...
TABLE 1. Primers used for checking the expression of viral sgmRNAs by quantitative RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sgmRNA</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’–3’)</th>
<th>Length (nt)</th>
<th>PCR product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Q-SARS-1931-VS</td>
<td>ACCANCAATTCTGATTTCGA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Q-SARS-2015-RS</td>
<td>ATGGCGCTCGACAAGCAGTTAT</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Q-SARS-VS</td>
<td>CAACCTGATCTCITGTAGATCTTT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Q-SARS-VS</td>
<td>TACCAAGGAAAGCGCAAACA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Q-NsgSARS-VS</td>
<td>GATGAAACGTAATGCGAGGAGA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Q-NsgSARS-VS</td>
<td>AAGCCCAACCAACTCGATTC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Q-NsgSARS-VS</td>
<td>AGTTGTTTAAGCTCCTCAACGGTAA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Q-NsgSARS-VS</td>
<td>GCAGACGCTCTCAATTTTGAATAGAA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pathohistologic examination of lungs from infected hamsters. Isoflurane-anesthetized Golden Syrian hamsters (40 days of age) were inoculated intranasally with 10³ TCID₅₀ rSARS-CoV (1 hamster/day) or rSARS-CoV-ΔE (2 hamsters/day) in a 100-μl total volume. Hamsters were sacrificed 2 and 5 days after infection; lungs were inflated with 10% neutral buffered formalin for 3 days, routinely processed and embedded in paraffin subsequently. Histopathologic examination was performed by using hematoxylin- and cosin-stained sections.

Immunohistochemistry. A colorimetric indirect immunolocalization phosphate immunohistochemistry method was developed by using a mouse anti-SARS-CoV antibody (49). In brief, 3-μm sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and placed in a DAKO autostainer (DAKO Corporation, Carpinteria, CA). The sections were digested in 0.1 mg/ml Proteinase K (Boehringer-Mannheim Corporation, Indianapolis, IN) and then incubated for 1 h with a hyperimmunized mouse ascitic fluid reactive with SARS-CoV antigen at a 1:1,000 dilution. After incubation, slides were washed and incubated with a biotinylated anti-mouse antibody. Antigen visualization was performed by using a streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase complex followed by naphthol/fast red substrate for colorimetric detection (DAKO Corporation). Sections were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA).

RESULTS

Rescue of a rSARS-CoV mutant defective in the E gene. To study the requirement of the E gene in the viral cycle of SARS-CoV, a cDNA clone with the E gene deleted was constructed as a BAC. The expression of the E protein was abrogated by the introduction of point mutations within the TRS and at the start ATG codon of the E gene (Fig. 1A) (50, 60). The introduced mutations were silent for gene 3b that partially overlaps with the E gene. In addition, to avoid the possibility of genetic reversion of the recombinant virus, a 142-nt segment covering the majority of the E gene was deleted. To maintain the wild-type transcription levels of the M gene, 48 nt upstream of the published TRS of this gene at the 3’ end of the E gene were not altered (50). Vero E6 cells were transfected with plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoV-ΔE or with the parental plasmid pBAC-SARS-CoVV(3), and propagation-competent viruses (rSARS-CoV-ΔE and recombinant wild-type rSARS-CoV) were recovered from both plasmids with relatively high virus titers, even for the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus (>10³ PFU/ml).

The cytopathic effect characterized by the rounding and detach-
ment of the cells induced by the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus was identical to that of the recombinant wild-type virus (Fig. 1B). Nevertheless, smaller plaques were detected with the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus than with the recombinant wild-type virus (Fig. 1B). The virus was amplified, cloned by three rounds of plaque isolation, and passaged twice to generate a virus stock. At the end of all of these steps, which involve eight passages in tissue culture, no sequence differences related to the emergence of revertants were detected.

The rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus was characterized by immunofluorescence, RT-PCR, and Western blotting. Vero E6 cells were infected with the rSARS-CoV-ΔE or recombinant wild-type virus, and at 20 h postinfection, cells were fixed and analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy with convalescent-phase serum obtained from a SARS patient (Fig. 2A). The pattern of immunofluorescence was similar for the two viruses and, in both cases, cell membrane and cytoplasmic vesicles were prominently stained.

The synthesis of sgmRNAs was characterized by RT-PCR (Fig. 2B). To amplify viral mRNAs, a forward primer complementary to the leader sequence and reverse primers specific for the S, E, and N genes of SARS-CoV were used. As expected, the 250-bp PCR product from the E gene sgmRNA was not detected in rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells. In addition, PCR products of 1,050 bp in the case of the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus and of 1,200 bp in the case of the recombinant wild-type virus were identified. The sequence of these PCR products matched that of the sgmRNAs starting at the TRS of gene 3 and confirmed that the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus maintained the mutations and deletion introduced to prevent E gene expression. In contrast, no differences in the PCR products derived from the mRNA encoding S and N proteins were detected (Fig. 2B).

To analyze whether the deletion of the E protein gene alters the ratios of viral sgmRNAs, the expression of all viral genes was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. To amplify viral sgmRNAs, two primers specific for each mRNA were used, one hybridized in the leader sequence, and the other in the coding sequence of each gene (Table 1). The amount of the sgmRNAs was normalized to the genomic RNA. No changes at all, or changes within twofold, which were considered nonsignificant, were detected between the relative amounts of homologous sgmRNA in rSARS-CoV- and rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells (data not shown), suggesting that the deletion of gene E has little influence in the expression of the other sgmRNAs.

The absence of the E protein was also demonstrated by Western blot analysis. Protein extracts of Vero E6 cells infected with the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus contained S and N proteins but no E protein. Western blot analysis using antibodies against S and N proteins revealed a 170-kDa band and a double band of approximately 46 kDa, respectively. In contrast, Western blot analysis using a specific E protein antibody failed to detect a band of approximately 10 kDa, corresponding to E protein that was observed in the case of rSARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cell extracts (Fig. 2C). Collectively, these
data indicate that the rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) has the predicted genome structure and gene expression patterns.

To test whether the phenotypic differences between the rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) and the recombinant wild-type (WT) viruses were due solely to the E gene deletion and not to unknown changes introduced inadvertently during the engineering of the cDNA, a wild-type virus was reconstituted by replacing the mutated E gene with the wild-type E gene in the pBAC-SARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) construct. As expected, the rescued virus was identical to the wild-type virus in terms of plaque morphology, growth kinetics, and mRNA and protein patterns (data not shown). These results strongly suggested that the behavior of the rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) mutant was due to the deletion of the E gene and not to any accompanying undesired mutations located elsewhere in the genome.

**Growth kinetics of rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\).** Growth kinetics in Vero E6 cells of the rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) and recombinant wild-type viruses showed similar profiles (Fig. 3A). Cytopathic effect in Vero E6 cells was detected at 24 h postinfection. No delay in

---

**FIG. 2.** Characterization of defective virus proteins and mRNAs. Vero E6 cells were mock infected (M) or infected with the rSARS-CoV-\(\Delta E\) (\(\Delta E\)) or the recombinant wild-type (WT) viruses. (A) Viral protein expression was analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence 24 h postinfection using a SARS-CoV-specific human polyclonal antibody followed by Cy5-labeled goat anti-human antibody. (B) Viral mRNA expression was analyzed by RT-PCR using the oligonucleotides specific for sgmRNAs of S, E, and N genes. (C) Western blot analysis of infected cell lysates using S, N, and E protein-specific polyclonal antibodies followed by peroxidase-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody.
was no lag in the release of the defective viruses. These data indicate that although the E protein has a significant effect on SARS-CoV growth, it is not essential for SARS-CoV replication in cell culture.

**Stability of rSARS-CoV-ΔE.** To analyze whether the E protein influences the stability of SARS-CoV, the effect of temperature and pH on virus infectivity was analyzed. To this end, the recombinant wild-type and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses were incubated at temperatures ranging from 4°C to 80°C for 30 min. Both viruses showed similar inactivation profiles, with a reduction of 10³-fold after incubation at 60°C. Heating at 80°C or higher temperatures led to no residual virus infectivity (<20 PFU/ml) (Fig. 4A). Incubation of recombinant wild-type and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses at different pHs for 30 min showed that both viruses were stable from pH 5 to 9 (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that the E protein has little influence on the stability of the virions under the different temperatures and pHs tested.

**Assembly of rSARS-CoV-ΔE.** E protein has been implicated in virus morphogenesis (14, 30). Therefore, the assembly of rSARS-CoV and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses was studied by electron microscopy (Fig. 5). The number of the intracellular mature virions present in the cytoplasm was lower in cells infected with rSARS-CoV-ΔE than with the recombinant wild-type virus (Fig. 5A). This observation is consistent with the lower virus titer reached by the defective virus. Coronavirus assembly by budding into the lumen of Golgi complexes (38). Accordingly, the sites of nucleocapsid invagination into the lumen of the Golgi complexes were analyzed. The number of mature
FIG. 5. Ultrastructural analysis of rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected Vero E6 cells. Vero E6 cells were infected at a MOI of 1 with rSARS-CoV and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses, and at 24 h postinfection, the cells were processed for electron microscopy of ultrathin sections. (A) Cytoplasm of an infected cell filled with swollen Golgi sacs containing virus particles (dark arrows). (B) Cytoplasm of infected cells showing the sites of budding of the nucleocapsid into the lumen of swollen Golgi sacs (thick dark arrows). Dense material in the cytoplasm of SARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells is indicated with dark arrows. (C) Mature virus particles found in swollen Golgi sacs that appeared as large vacuoles (dark arrows). Pictures representing rSARS-CoV-infected cells or rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells are displayed on the left and right sides, respectively. Bars, 2 μm (A) and 200 nm (B and C).
virions in these sites was lower in the case of rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells than in the recombinant wild-type virus-infected cells, and an increase of dense material in the cytoplasm of rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus-infected cells was observed, probably corresponding to aberrant virions (Fig. 5B). Virus particles were seen in vesicles either as single particles (data not shown) or as groups of viruses in enlarged vesicles (Fig. 5C). In this case, the number of mature virions was considerably higher in the rSARS-CoV-infected cells than in rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells. In addition, vesicles observed in rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells contained dense, granular material interspersed between the virions that could correspond to aborted viral assembly processes. Overall, these data suggest that the E protein has an important role in assembly.

**SARS-CoV virion morphology.** The potential influence of E protein deletion on virion morphology was studied by electron microscopic evaluation of ultrathin sections of infected cells and concentrated negative-stained viruses. The extracellular virion morphology observed in ultrathin sections by electron microscopy was similar for rSARS-CoV-ΔE and recombinant...
E viruses showed particles surrounded by club-shaped projections, indicating that E protein expression apparently has little influence on virion morphology (Fig. 6B). Examination of more than 300 wild-type virions showed that about 10% and 50% of the virions had an amorphous structure in the parental and deletion mutant viruses, respectively. In addition, 20% of the defective virions appeared aggregated, whereas virus association was minimal in the wild-type virus. These data suggest that the defective virus was more sensitive to mechanical shearing forces.

Replication of rSARS-CoV-ΔE in the respiratory tract of hamsters. The in vivo growth of rSARS-CoV and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses was determined by infecting Golden Syrian hamsters (44). The animals were sacrificed at 2, 5, and 8 days postinfection. Viral titers in the lungs (A) and nasal turbinates (B) were determined in Vero E6 cell monolayers. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney U statistical method was used for ascertaining the significance of observed differences. Statistical significance was indicated by asterisks (P value < 0.05). The dotted line indicates the lower limit of detection.

A recombinant SARS-CoV that lacks the E gene was engineered using a BAC. The rescued virus was attenuated in vitro and in the hamster model. The E gene was previously shown to be a nonessential gene for the group 2 MHV coronavirus (30), although elimination of this gene reduced virus growth in cell culture more than 1,000-fold. In contrast, for the group 1 TGEV coronavirus, expression of the E gene product was essential for virus release and spread. Release and spread of the TGEV-ΔE virus was restored by providing E protein in trans (9, 39), leading to the generation of efficient replication-competent, propagation-deficient virus vectors.

To abolish E gene expression and examine the role of E protein in SARS-CoV propagation, a plasmid encoding a SARS-CoV with a deleted E gene was generated. Interestingly, viable viruses were recovered in Vero E6 cells with a relatively high titer (>10^5 PFU/ml), indicating that rSARS-CoV E protein is not essential for virus replication in cell culture. In this respect, SARS-CoV behaves as MHV, the other group 2 coronavirus studied, although rSARS-CoV-ΔE grows to a considerably higher titer. The differential behavior of ΔE mutant viruses from different coronavirus groups may indicate basic differences in virion assembly or life cycles among the different groups.

E proteins from different coronavirus groups have divergent channel selectivity. HCoV-229E group 1 coronavirus E protein shows a preference for K^+ ions, whereas the group 2 MHV and SARS-CoV E proteins, as well as the group 3 coronavirus, IBV E protein, have a greater preference for Na^+ ions (55). Furthermore, it has been shown that E proteins from group 2, bovine coronavirus, and SARS-CoV, as well as the group 3 IBV E protein, can substitute for the E protein of MHV and enhance replication of recombinant MHV. In contrast, the group 1 TGEV protein could not substitute for the MHV E protein in recombinant viruses (35a).

The requirement of E protein in the formation of coronavi-
FIG. 8. Lung pathology caused by rSARS-CoV and rSARS-CoV-ΔE infection in hamsters. Hamsters were inoculated with 10^7 TCID_{50} of rSARS-CoV or rSARS-CoV-ΔE. Animals were sacrificed 2 days after infection, and lungs were inflated with and fixed in 10% formalin and processed for histopathological examination and immunohistochemistry. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a lung section shows prominent mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates in peribronchial, interstitial, and alveolar spaces. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a lung section shows scant mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates. (C) Immunohistochemical staining of viral antigens in bronchiolar epithelial cells and alveolar pneumocytes. (D) Scattered immunohistochemical staining of viral antigens in bronchiolar epithelial cells and alveolar pneumocytes. Panels A and C show lung tissues from rSARS-CoV-infected animals. Panels B and D show lung tissues from rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected animals. Bar, 100 μm.
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rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected animals. Bar, 100 μm.

FIG. 8. Lung pathology caused by rSARS-CoV and rSARS-CoV-ΔE infection in hamsters. Hamsters were inoculated with 10^7 TCID_{50} of rSARS-CoV or rSARS-CoV-ΔE. Animals were sacrificed 2 days after infection, and lungs were inflated with and fixed in 10% formalin and processed for histopathological examination and immunohistochemistry. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a lung section shows prominent mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates in peribronchial, interstitial, and alveolar spaces. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of a lung section shows scant mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates. (C) Immunohistochemical staining of viral antigens in bronchiolar epithelial cells and alveolar pneumocytes. (D) Scattered immunohistochemical staining of viral antigens in bronchiolar epithelial cells and alveolar pneumocytes. Panels A and C show lung tissues from rSARS-CoV-infected animals. Panels B and D show lung tissues from rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected animals. Bar, 100 μm.

The titers of rSARS-CoV-ΔE in Vero E6, Huh-7, and CaCo-2 cells were lower than those of the recombinant wild-type virus. No significant differences in inactivation were observed between the recombinant wild-type and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses treated at different temperatures and pHs, suggesting that the differences observed in the growth kinetics were due to limitations other than altered virus stability. In agreement with previous reports on SARS-CoV stability, both the recombinant wild-type and rSARS-CoV-ΔE viruses were stable at pHs ranging from 5 to 9 (10). SARS-CoV seems to be more stable than TGEV at different pHs, as it has been reported that incubating TGEV to pH 5 and 9 leads to a decrease 200-fold (39).

Electron microscopy of infected cells showed that the production of rSARS-CoV-ΔE was lower than that of the recombinant wild-type virus and that the proportion of dense granular material was higher in the rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells than in rSARS-CoV-infected cells. This dense material might correspond to aberrant assembly processes leading to immature virions, as could be expected if E protein is implicated as a structural component or as a protein that plays a role in virus assembly and budding. The role of E protein in assembly, as a scaffold protein, and budding could be postulated based on the abundance of the protein in infected cells and the low copy number (~20 copies per virion) of this protein in mature virus particles (17, 30, 34, 42). This observation has also been made for the M2 protein of influenza virus, a viral protein functioning as an ion channel that has been implicated in viral assembly (58). Additional studies are needed to determine the specific steps that are affected during SARS-CoV assembly and morphogenesis in the absence of E protein.

Previous studies have shown that MHV E protein mutants have aberrant morphology (14). In these viruses, clustered charged amino acid-to-alanine mutations were introduced into the E protein. Assuming that charged amino acid residues are more likely located on the protein surface and that these domains often contribute to protein-protein interactions, substitutions of these charged residues with alanine would likely disrupt critical interactions or functions of the mutant E proteins. One proposed role of the E protein is to pinch off the neck of the nascent virion in the budding process. In fact, the SARS-CoV E protein contains a helical hairpin that deforms lipid bilayers by increasing their curvature, providing a molecular role for E protein in viral budding and final virion morphology (2, 26). Nevertheless, our findings would be at variance with such a role as essential for the SARS-CoV-ΔE protein since rSARS-CoV-ΔE showed similar morphology as the recombinant wild-type virus, with spherical symmetry and without ellipsoidal or tubular virion forms. This suggests that the E protein has limited or no impact on the apparent morphology of SARS-CoV released from Vero E6 cells. Nevertheless, it seems prudent at this time not to overinterpret these findings because there may be factors that complement the role of the E protein in virus morphogenesis and final virion structure in progeny virions from rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected Vero E6 cells.

The effect of the deletion of group-specific genes in different coronaviruses has been studied previously. Reports using MHV as a model have shown that deletion of ORFs 4, 5a, 2a, and HE are attenuating in the natural host (12). Similarly, studies deleting ORF 7 of TGEV (40) and ORFs 3abc and 7ab of feline infectious peritonitis virus (19) led to virus attenuation. However, SARS-CoV deletion mutants lacking ORFs 3a, 3b, 6, 7a, and 7b did not significantly influence in vitro and in vivo replication efficiency in the mouse model (57). A significant difference of our work is that we have deleted an structural protein (E) and that, in this study, the hamster model is used to evaluate rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus pathogenicity because it demonstrates similar features present in human cases of SARS-CoV infections, including interstitial pneumonitis and alveolar damage. Although an ideal animal model that completely reproduces human clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified, the hamster model reproduces human clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified, the hamster model reproduces human clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified, the hamster model reproduces human clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified, the hamster model reproduces human clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified, the hamster model reproducible supports SARS-CoV replication in the respiratory tract to a higher titer and for a longer duration than in mice or non-human primates. Virus replication in this model is accompanied by histologic evidence of pneumonitis, and the animals develop viremia and extrapulmonary spread of virus (43, 44). Although overt clinical disease is absent, the hamster model is an excellent model for the evaluation of SARS-CoV infection.
Titers of rSARS-CoV achieved in the respiratory tract of hamsters were similar to those previously reported (44) and were 100- to 1,000-fold higher than titers of the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus, suggesting that this mutant virus is attenuated. In humans, the viral load and the duration of viral replication influence disease outcomes (5, 24). Histopathology examination of lungs from infected hamsters was performed at 2 and 5 days postinfection because it has been shown that pulmonary disease was most notable at these time points. In fact, the amounts of pulmonary inflammation and viral antigen were both less in lungs from rSARS-CoV-ΔE-infected hamsters than in rSARS-CoV-infected animals, indicating that rSARS-CoV-ΔE is attenuated in vivo.

To our knowledge, this is the first study describing an engineered, mutant SARS-CoV that is attenuated in vivo. A reduction of SARS-CoV titers in patients has been associated with a considerable reduction in pathogenicity and an increase in survival rates (5, 24). This attenuated virus will be of interest with a considerable reduction in pathogenicity and an increase in survival rates (5, 24). This attenuated virus will be of interest in the natural host. Virology 2003. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3a protein is a viral structural protein. J. Virol. 79:3182–3186.
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