The Impact of a Boosting Immunogen on the Differentiation of Secondary Memory CD8+ T Cells
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While recent studies have demonstrated that secondary CD8+ T cells develop into effector-memory cells, the impact of particular vaccine regimens on the elicitation of these cells remains poorly defined. In the present study we evaluated the effect of three different immunogens—recombinant vaccinia, recombinant adenovirus, and plasmid DNA—on the generation of memory cellular immune responses. We found that vectors that induce the rapid movement of CD8+ T cells into the memory compartment during a primary immune response also drive a rapid differentiation of these cells into effector-memory CD8+ T cells following a secondary immunization. In contrast, the functional profiles of both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, assessed by measuring antigen-stimulated gamma interferon and interleukin-2 production, were not predominantly shaped by the boosting immunogen. We also demonstrated that the in vivo expression of antigen by recombinant vectors was brief following boosting immunization, suggesting that antigen persistence has a minimal impact on the differentiation of secondary CD8+ T cells. When used in heterologous or in homologous prime-boost combinations, these three vectors generated antigen-specific CD8+ T cells with different phenotypic profiles. Expression of the memory-associated molecule CD27 on effector CD8+ T cells decreased following heterologous but not homologous boosting, resulting in a phenotypic profile similar to that seen on primary CD8+ T cells. These data therefore suggest that the phenotype of secondary CD8+ T cells is determined predominantly by the boosting immunogen whereas the cytokine profile of these cells is shaped by both the priming and boosting immunogens.

As immunization to elicit cellular immune responses is being studied in the effort to develop a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) vaccine, attention is focusing on the quality of CD8+ T cells generated by various vaccine modalities. Vaccine-elicited memory CD8+ T cells can persist in vivo for a long period of time before they increase in number and acquire effector function on reexposure to cognate antigen (24). Since the quality of vaccine-induced memory CD8+ T cells is likely to influence the expansion and functional changes that the CD8+ T cells undergo in the setting of viral or bacterial challenge, it will be important to understand the quality of memory CD8+ T cells elicited by different vaccine vectors.

There is no single cell surface molecule that identifies memory CD8+ T cells. Memory CD8+ T cells primarily traffic within lymphoid tissues and can be recognized by their expression of the lymph node-homing molecules CD62L and CCR7 (18). Expression of the interleukin-7 (IL-7) receptor α-chain molecule CD127 has also been used to identify long-lived memory cells (12). Huster et al. have suggested that murine CD8+ T cells can be divided into three major subsets based on their expression of the CD62L and CD127 molecules: effector, effector-memory, and central-memory cells (8). Studies have shown that the CD27 molecule is required for the generation and maintenance of long-term T-cell responses, suggesting that the expression of CD27 on CD8+ T cells can contribute to the identification of memory cells (5, 6). CD27 is a T-cell-costimulatory molecule that, following interaction with its ligand CD70, promotes survival of activated T cells by protecting them from apoptosis (6).

The majority of the studies delineating the differentiation of CD8+ T cells into memory cells have been performed on primary CD8+ T cells, and the nature of the CD8+ T cells generated following a secondary immunization is only now being evaluated (9, 14). The vaccine regimens being used for the induction of cell-mediated immune responses most often include both a prime and a boost immunization. The prime-boost regimens that are currently being favored employ heterologous immunogens, since these appear to generate the most robust transgene-specific responses (15, 20). It is not clear, however, how the particular vectors employed in prime-boost immunizations influence the character of the responding secondary T cells. The present study was initiated to evaluate the impact of boosting immunogens on the differentiation of secondary CD8+ T cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies. The antibodies used in this study were directly coupled to fluorescein isothiocyanate, phycoerythrin (PE), allophycocyanin, allophycocyanin-Cy7, peridinin chlorophyl protein-Cy5.5, Alexa Fluor 700, or PE-Cy7. The following monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) were used: anti-CD62L (MEL-14; eBiosciences), anti-CD107a (1D4B; BD Biosciences), anti-CD107b (ABL-93; BD Biosciences), anti-CD8a (53-6.7; BD Biosciences), anti-gamma interferon (IFN-γ) (XM1G1.2; BD Biosciences), anti-IL-2 (JHS6-5H4; BD Biosciences), anti-CD27 (XMG1.2; BD Biosciences), anti-CD45RA (HI1001; BD Biosciences), anti-CD11c (HC10; BD Biosciences), and anti-CD69 (E7; BD Biosciences).
Mice were immunized with the three vectors using the optimal immunodominant epitope of the HIV-1 envelope protein. Ten weeks after the first immunization, mice were boosted with homologous or heterologous combinations of immunogens using the previously mentioned vectors and the same quantity and route of administration used for the priming immunizations; p18-specific CD8+ T cells in the peripheral blood of individual mice were quantitated with an H-2D\textsuperscript{d}/p18 tetramer. Data are presented as the percentages of CD8+ T cells that bind tetramer and represent the means of five mice per group ± SE.

**RESULTS**

Kinetics of CD8+ T-cell responses following homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunization. rVac, replication-defective rAd, and plasmid DNA expressing various antigens have been used in many vaccine studies for elicitation of antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell responses. We sought to assess the magnitudes and kinetics of the CD8+ T-cell responses induced by these vectors against a common antigen, the p18 immunodominant epitope of the HIV-1 envelope protein. Mice were immunized with the three vectors using the optimal route of immunization for each vector. Priming mice with rVac or rAd generated similar percentages of p18-specific CD8+ T cells (−8%) that declined to a steady-state level of 4% (Fig. 1). Immunization with plasmid DNA generated a lower magnitude p18-specific CD8+ T-cell response (~1%), and this cell population declined gradually over time to 0.4%. We next boosted the mice with both homologous and heterologous vaccine constructs. As expected, boosting the mice generated higher percentages of p18-specific CD8+ T cells than were seen after the priming immunization. Boosting the mice with rVac in heterologous prime-boost vector combinations re-
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sulted in considerably larger p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses than were generated using rAd as a boosting immuno-
gen. Interestingly, the magnitudes of the p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses elicited in rAd-primed mice following heter-
ologous boosting immunization were considerably lower than the levels found after homologous prime-boost immunization with rAd. These data therefore indicate that both the nature of the vector and the order in which it is delivered in the setting of a prime-boost immunization shape the magnitude of the antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells.

rVac, rAd, and plasmid DNA induce memory CD8\(^+\) T-cell subpopulations with different kinetics. Antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells can be divided into three functionally distinct cell sub-
populations on the basis of their expression of cell surface molecules: effector (CD62L\(^lo\) CD127\(^lo\)), effector-memory (CD62L\(^hi\) CD127\(^hi\)), and central-memory (CD62L\(^hi\) CD127\(^hi\)) cells (Fig. 2). Using this phenotyping paradigm, we next eval-
uated the differentiation of the different memory CD8\(^+\) T-cell subpopulations in the immunized mice. Mice primed with rVac generated a large population of effector p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells at the time of the peak immune response that differenti-
atated rapidly to effector-memory, and to a lesser extent, to central-memory cells (Fig. 3). Using this phenomenology, the secondary p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells differentiated mainly to effector and effector-memory cells following the boosting immunization. However, each vector induced a different distribution of memory p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell subpopulations following the priming immunization.

We then assessed whether a boosting immunization with these three vectors would result in a different distribution of memory subpopulations in secondary p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells. In contrast to what was observed following the priming immunization, the secondary p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells differentiated mainly to effector and effector-memory cells following the boosting immunization. However, each vector induced CD8\(^+\) T cells with a unique differentiation profile. When rVac was used to boost the mice, these cells underwent a rapid decrease in effector and an acquisition of effector-memory phenotypic markers (Fig. 3). In mice primed and boosted with plasmid DNA, the p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells differentiated to an effector-memory phenotype at an intermediate rate. The generation of effector-memory cells was slowest in mice boosted with rAd. Thus, our findings indicate that while sec-
ondary CD8\(^+\) T cells differentiate mainly to effector-memory T cells, the kinetics of this differentiation is shaped by the sec-
ondary immunogen. The priming vector seems to have minimal
impact on this differentiation process. In addition, the impact of a particular vector on the differentiation of the secondary CD8\(^+\) T cells can be predicted based on how this vector mod-
ifies the primary differentiation of the CD8\(^+\) T cells.
Kinetics of expression of CD27 differ on effector, effector-memory, and central-memory CD8⁺ T-cell subsets. Several studies have demonstrated an association between the expression of the costimulatory molecule CD27 on antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells and the generation and long-term maintenance of that immune cell population. We therefore assessed the expression of CD27 on the effector, effector-memory, and central-memory populations of the vaccine-elicited p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 2B). After a priming immunization with each of the vectors, all the central-memory p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells always expressed the CD27 molecule, whereas the expression of CD27 by the effector and effector-memory p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells declined over time (Fig. 4). Moreover, the level of expression of CD27 on these effector and effector-memory cell populations varied significantly between the different groups of vector-immunized mice. Interestingly, despite these variations in the expression level of CD27, the rate of decline of CD27 expression on the effector-memory cells in each group of immunized mice was comparable to the rate of decline of CD27 expression on the effector cell population. These data are consistent with the evidence that, following priming immunization, each vector generates p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells with a unique differentiation profile. In addition, the parallel rate of decline of CD27 expression on the effector and effector-memory cells seen following immunization with each vector suggests that the rate of maturation of the p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells is constant but unique for each vector.

We next evaluated the expression of the CD27 molecule on the different memory CD8⁺ T-cell subsets following a boosting immunization. While the expression of CD27 decreased over time both on the effector and effector-memory cells in the primed mice, the expression of CD27 increased on the effector-memory cells and remained constant on the effector cell population in the mice boosted with the homologous vectors. We also observed differences in the expression kinetics of CD27 between the groups of immunized mice, with higher levels of CD27 on secondary p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells in rVac-boosted animals (Fig. 4). Although both homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunization induced similar patterns of differentiation of p18-specific CD8⁺ T cells to effector and effector-memory cells based on expression of CD62L and CD127, the expression profile of CD27 on the secondary CD8⁺ T cells was different using these two immunization strategies. Expression of CD27 on the secondary effector CD8⁺ T-cell subsets decreased over time in mice boosted with a heterologous but not homologous vector. Moreover, we observed a parallel acquisition of CD27 expression by the secondary effector-memory and central-memory CD8⁺ T-cell populations, an expression pattern that was not seen following priming or a homologous prime-boost immunization. These data therefore demonstrate that the CD8⁺ T cells elicited by the boosting immunogen have different memory qualities than the cells generated by the same immunogen when it is used as a priming vector. We also show that even as
a boosting immunogen, each vector induced memory CD8+ T cells with a unique differentiation profile. Importantly, the analysis of CD27 expression on the different memory subsets reveals that homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunizations generate secondary CD8+ T cells that differ in their state of differentiation.

**Kinetics of transgene expression in vivo by rVac, rAd, and plasmid DNA expressing the luciferase protein.** The unique biology of each vector might influence the level and duration of transgene expression. Because the persistence of antigen may affect the maturation of the CD8+ T cells into memory cells, we evaluated the expression profiles of the luciferase protein in mice primed and then boosted with rVac, rAd, and plasmid DNA expressing the luciferase gene. We first analyzed the level of antigen expression following the priming immunization. Intraperitoneal inoculation of mice with rVac resulted in high-level expression of the luciferase protein, which peaked at day 4 and was gone by day 10 (Fig. 5A and B). Immunization with plasmid DNA also generated high levels of luciferase expression, but the peak of expression was observed on day 14. The luciferase expression following DNA immunization was localized to the injection site in the muscles and persisted for more than 80 days after priming (data not shown). Mice immunized with rAd developed the lowest luciferase expression, peaking on day 7 and persisting until approximately 40 days after injection (data not shown).

We next asked how the immune response generated by each vector following the priming immunization affects transgene expression after boosting. Boosting the mice with the same vector used for the priming immunization resulted in low expression levels of luciferase that were no longer detectable 2 weeks after boosting (Fig. 5B). Nevertheless, after both the priming and homologous boosting immunizations, expression of luciferase was highest in plasmid DNA-immunized mice, moderate in rVac-immunized mice, and lowest in rAd-immunized mice. Boosting DNA-primed mice with rVac only minimally affected the kinetics of luciferase expression by rVac (Fig. 5B) but significantly shortened the duration of luciferase expression in mice boosted with rAd. Priming with rAd moderately reduced the expression of luciferase following boosting immunization with rVac; however, expression of luciferase by rAd was almost completely abrogated in mice primed with rVac. These findings demonstrate that immune responses generated by priming with a recombinant vector modulate the expression of the transgene of a recombinant vector following a boosting immunization.

**Functional analysis of the CD8+ and CD4+ T cells generated by rVac, rAd, and plasmid DNA immunogens.** Differentiation into memory cells should also be associated with the generation of specific patterns of effector molecules by the antigen-specific CD8+ T cells. We therefore first assessed the functions of the Env-specific CD8+ T cells elicited by the
vectors when they were used as priming immunogens. Mice were immunized with rVac, rAd, or plasmid DNA expressing the HIV envelope protein, and both at the time of the peak immune response (days 7, 12, and 14, respectively) and 10 weeks following that first immunization (preboost), splenocytes were exposed to the p18 peptide for 6 h, stained with selected MAbs, and analyzed by flow cytometry. The p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells elicited by all these vectors produced IFN-\(\gamma\)/H9253, with the highest production by cells of the rVac-immunized mice (Fig. 6A). Ten weeks after the priming immunization, the frequency of p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells producing IFN-\(\gamma\)/H9253 increased in rVac- and plasmid DNA-immunized mice to levels significantly higher than those in mice immunized with rAd. The p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells elicited by all these vaccine modalities produced IL-2 at the time of the peak immune response. However, as was observed with IFN-\(\gamma\), over time higher frequencies of p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells producing IL-2 were seen in mice inoculated with rVac and plasmid DNA than in rAd-immunized mice. In contrast to IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-2 production, expression of the degranulation-associated molecules CD107a and CD107b by p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells was comparable in all groups of vaccinated mice.

To assess the Env-specific CD4\(^+\)/H11001 T-cell responses generated by the different vaccine modalities, we exposed splenocytes of the immunized mice to a pool of 47 overlapping peptides spanning the HIV-1 gp120 protein, permeabilized the cells, and then stained the cells with MAbs. At the time of the peak immune response, only the rVac- and plasmid DNA-immunized mice had Env-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells that produced IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-2 (Fig. 6B). Ten weeks later, however, while the Env-specific CD4\(^+\)/H11001 T cells from mice immunized with all three vectors produced IFN-\(\gamma\), only cells from rVac-immunized mice were able to produce IL-2. These functional data are consistent with the phenotypic analysis of the vaccine-elicited p18-specific CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells showing the rapid generation of memory cells in rVac- and plasmid DNA-immunized mice. Our findings also indicate that immunization with rVac induced sustained production of IL-2 by the CD4\(^+\)/H11001 T cells.

**Functional analysis of vaccine-elicited Env-specific CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T-cell responses following heterologous and homologous immunizations.** We next sought to assess the functional profile of the Env-specific T cells elicited by the recombinant vectors when they were used as boosting immunogens. We limited our analysis to rVac and rAd since plasmid DNA is used for priming rather than boosting an immune response. Splenocytes were collected at the time of peak immune responses, exposed to the p18 peptide for 6 h, stained with selected MAbs, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Production of IFN-\(\gamma\) by the secondary CD8\(^+\)/H11001 T cells was seen following homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunizations using the different vectors. The dotted line represents the level of background luminescence. RLU, relative light units.
primed with rVac and boosted with rAd (rVac/rAd). This was also observed for IL-2 production by p18-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells. In fact, production of IL-2 by those cells was higher when the mice were boosted with rAd than with rVac, after both homologous and heterologous immunization. No significant differences were found in CD107a and CD107b expression on epitope-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells between the groups of immunized mice.

We next analyzed the Env-specific CD4\(^+\) T-cell responses in these mice. The splenocytes were exposed to a pool of 47 overlapping peptides spanning the HIV-1 gp120 protein for 6 h and then stained with MAbs specific for various cytokines. Mice that received heterologous boost with rVac or rAd generated a higher frequency of IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-2 responses than mice receiving homologous boosting immunizations (Fig. 7B). However, in contrast to the robust production of IL-2 and IFN-\(\gamma\) by Env-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells in the DNA/rVac-immunized mice, the production of cytokines by the CD4\(^+\) T cells was relatively low in the DNA/rAd-immunized mice. These findings demonstrate that although heterologous immunization enhanced the quantity of antigen-specific immune responses, the type and sequence of the vectors used in this immunization had significant impact on the function of the elicited CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study we investigated the kinetics of differentiation of secondary CD8\(^+\) T cells following heterologous and homologous prime-boost immunization using the vectors...
that have proven most efficient to date in eliciting cellular immune responses. The rationale for this work was to employ vectors that elicit different memory CD8⁺ T-cell responses following priming immunization and to evaluate how the choice of vector impacts vaccine-elicited secondary immune responses. While both the priming and boosting vectors influenced the magnitude of the secondary CD8⁺ T-cell response, the ultimate differentiation of these cells into memory cells was exclusively shaped by the second immunogen. In addition, the vector administered second also influenced the rate of differentiation of secondary CD8⁺ T cells into effector-memory cells.

The variation observed in the differentiation of the primary CD8⁺ T cells generated by each of the three recombinant vector immunogens may in part be explained by the kinetics of transgene expression in the immunized mice. Antigen load influences the stimulatory signals provided to T cells by antigen-presenting cells and subsequently influences the magnitude of the elicited immune response. In addition, the duration of antigen expression was found to modulate the rate of differentiation of memory CD8⁺ T cells, as this process begins only after most of the antigen is cleared (1). Consistent with this paradigm, rVac expressed its transgene for the shortest period of time following the priming immunization and induced memory T cells most rapidly. However, the present study suggests that the levels of antigen expression after the boosting immunization have a negligible impact on the differentiation of secondary CD8⁺ T cells, since the duration of antigen expression by all the vectors was brief and since differences in the rate of differentiation of the secondary CD8⁺ T cells were still observed. The finding that rVac induces a more rapid differentiation of secondary memory CD8⁺ T cells than rAd suggests that it might be possible to predict how a particular immunogen will influence the secondary immune response by evaluating how it shapes a primary T-cell response.

Other factors also appear to influence the evolution of cellular immune memory, since the plasmid DNA expressed antigen longer than rAd following the priming immunization but induced memory CD8⁺ T-cell differentiation more rapidly in the vaccinated mice. Early inflammatory signals delivered by the vector to the immune system have been reported to enhance antigen-presenting activity and control the rate of mem-

FIG. 7. Analysis of Env-specific CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T-cell function after homologous or heterologous boosting immunization. Groups of mice were primed with rVac (2 × 10⁷ PFU), rAd (2 × 10⁷ particles), or DNA (50 μg) (V, A, and D, respectively) and 10 weeks later were boosted with both homologous and heterologous combinations of immunogens using the noted vectors and the same quantity and route of administration used for the priming immunization. Splenocytes were harvested after the boosting immunization and cultured for 6 h in the presence of medium alone (Med.) or p18 peptide (2 μg/ml) (A), or cultured for 6 h in the presence of a pool of 47 overlapping peptides spanning the HIV-1 IIIB gp120 protein (2 μg/ml) (B). Data are presented as the percentages of tetramer-positive CD8⁺ or Env peptide-reacting CD4⁺ T cells staining positively for IFN-γ, IL-2, or CD107a and CD107b and represent the means of five mice per group ± SE. *, P < 0.001 (production of cytokines by CD4⁺ T cells following heterologous versus homologous prime-boost with rVac or rAd); #, P < 0.01 (production of cytokines by CD8⁺ T cells generated after rVac/rAd immunization compared to the other groups).
ory CD8+ T-cell development (3, 4). It is likely that inflammatory signals also modulate the kinetics of differentiation of the secondary CD8+ T cells as well, since the differentiation of CD8+ T cells induced by the vectors was comparable in both the primary and secondary immune responses.

Differentiation of CD8+ T cells could also be modulated by the help provided by CD4+ T cells (10, 22). The rapid CD8+ T-cell differentiation seen in the DNA-primed mice that occurred despite the persistent expression of vaccine antigen might be explained by CD4+ T-cell help. CD8+ T cells have been reported to reverse activation-induced nonresponsiveness of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells through their secretion of IL-2 (13, 16). Indeed, IL-2 production by vaccine-elicited CD4+ T cells was seen in plasmid DNA-immunized mice, while no significant IL-2 production by CD4+ T cells was observed in mice primed with rAd. Nevertheless, the ultimate impact of these CD4+ T-cell responses on the quality of the vaccine-elicited secondary CD8+ T cells is unclear. CD4+ T-cell help has been shown to be important for the formation and maintenance of memory CD8+ T cells following priming (11, 22). CD4+ T cells may therefore contribute to the rapid generation of effector-memory CD8+ T cells elicited following boosting with rVac, since rVac is a potent inducer of CD4+ T-cell responses. However, the differentiation of effector-memory cells seen after DNA/rVac or rAd/rVac immunization was similar to that seen after rVac/rVac immunization, despite the considerable difference in the levels of the CD4+ T-cell responses generated by these different immunization regimens. This finding suggests that CD4 help may not play a major role in the differentiation of secondary CD8+ T cells or that low-level production of IFN-γ and IL-2 by CD4+ T cells might be sufficient to provide help to secondary CD8+ T cells.

The robust expansion of secondary CD8+ T cells observed following heterologous boosting immunization with rVac is most likely explained by the absence of anti-vector immunity in these mice (19). This possibility is consistent with the higher expression of transgene seen in these mice than in the rVac/rVac-immunized mice. However, enhanced secondary CD8+ T-cell immune responses were not observed in mice receiving heterologous boost with rAd, and transgene expression was also reduced compared to the mice that received rAd/rAd immunization. It is possible that the low level of expression of transgene seen in rVac/rAd-immunized mice is due to the high level of effector-memory CD8+ T-cell responses generated in mice primed with rVac. Effector-memory CD8+ T cells might mediate a rapid clearance of cells expressing the antigen, resulting in reduced antigen-presenting activity and limited secondary responses (18, 24). This explanation, however, could not clarify the moderate secondary CD8+ T-cell responses observed in DNA/rAd-immunized mice, as the effector-memory population generated in DNA-primed mice was comparable to that seen in mice primed with rAd. Nevertheless, the prolonged expression of antigen following DNA immunization might result in large numbers of effector and effector-memory cells in the muscle (1). Expression of the secondary antigen following the subsequent intramuscular immunization with rAd might be reduced by the presence of these effector cells. Interestingly, it has been suggested that greater CD8+ T-cell responses can be achieved by priming and boosting the immune response using immunogens that employ alternate antigen presentation pathways (i.e., direct priming followed by cross-priming and vice versa) (17). It is possible that rVac, but not rAd, utilized a different antigen presentation pathway than that used by plasmid DNA. These findings suggest that the differentiation state of the primary CD8+ T cells may have a critical influence on the expression of antigen by the boosting immunogen.

The analysis of CD27 expression on subpopulations of p18-specific CD8+ T cells after a primary immunization revealed a distinct expression pattern associated with each vaccine vector. However, a general trend of decreasing CD27 expression over time on both the effector and effector-memory T cells was observed in mice receiving each of the vaccine modalities. The decrease in CD27 expression by each of these cell subpopulations is likely due to the maturation of the effector and effector-memory pools of CD27hi CD8+ T cells rather than simply their loss of CD27 expression. The loss of CD27 expression has been linked to terminal differentiation and death of CD8+ T cells (2, 7), yet we found that the percentage of p18-specific CD8+ T cells remained relatively constant over time in the vaccinated mice. The expression of CD27 on the secondary CD8+ T-cell subpopulations is consistent with recent studies showing that these cells predominantly differentiate into effector-memory cells (9). Interestingly, however, we observed that

### Table 1. Summary of vector-associated differences in the secondary T-cell responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization (prime/boost)</th>
<th>Antigen expression (RLU)</th>
<th>CD8+ T-cell response (%)</th>
<th>CD4+ T-cell response (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetramer^a</td>
<td>E/EM^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rVac</td>
<td>8 × 10^7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rAd</td>
<td>5 × 10^5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>10^6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/DNA</td>
<td>7 × 10^6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rAd/rAd</td>
<td>5 × 10^4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rVac/rVac</td>
<td>6 × 10^3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/Ad</td>
<td>2 × 10^3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA/rAdV</td>
<td>8 × 10^7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rAd/rVacV</td>
<td>2 × 10^7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rVac/rAd</td>
<td>2 × 10^4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55/30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a As determined in vivo by IVIS. RLU, relative light units.

^b Results are the percentages of the highest tetramer-positive response following immunization.

^c Percentages of effector (E) and effector-memory (EM) subsets at ~10 weeks postimmunization.

The impaired CD8+ T-cell responses in mice primed with rAd might be reduced by the presence of these effector cells. Interestingly, it has been suggested that greater CD8+ T-cell responses can be achieved by priming and boosting the immune response using immunogens that employ alternate antigen presentation pathways (i.e., direct priming followed by cross-priming and vice versa) (17). It is possible that rVac, but not rAd, utilized a different antigen presentation pathway than that used by plasmid DNA. These findings suggest that the differentiation state of the primary CD8+ T cells may have a critical influence on the expression of antigen by the boosting immunogen.
the effector CD8+ T cells generated by heterologous, but not by homologous, prime-boost immunization had a CD27 expression profile that was similar to that seen on this cell subset after priming. This suggests that heterologous prime-boost immunization confers on the secondary CD8+ T cells qualities of primary T cells. The present study also demonstrates an increase in CD27 expression on the central-memory CD8+ T-cell subsets following heterologous immunization, while CD27 expression on this cell subset was constant in mice receiving a homologous prime-boost immunization. In fact, this increase in CD27 expression was parallel to that seen on the effector-memory cells generated in the same mice. The reason for this phenomenon is not clear yet, but it supports the notion that heterologous and homologous prime-boost immunizations result in qualitatively different antigen-specific CD8+ T cells.

The combination of vectors selected to prime and boost the immune response also influenced the function of the secondary T-cell responses. As shown previously, higher levels of IFN-γ and IL-2 were produced by vaccine-elicited CD4+ T cells following heterologous rather than homologous prime-boost immunizations (15, 20). However, the combination of prime-boost vectors largely regulates the level of this cytokine production. Production of IFN-γ and IL-2 by the secondary CD8+ T cells varied less than the CD4+ T-cell responses, and the only combination of vectors that produced considerably higher levels of these cytokines was rVac/cAd. This finding suggests that, in contrast to the observation that the phenotype profile of the secondary CD8+ T cells is shaped for the most part by the boosting vector, the functional capabilities of the cells are modulated by both the priming and boosting immunogens. Finally, the data presented in this study (summarized in Table 1) suggest that secondary CD8+ T cells have distinct differentiation and functional profiles that are determined by the vectors used in the specific immunization regimen.
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