Molecular and Biological Characterization of Human Monoclonal Antibodies Binding to the Spike and Nucleocapsid Proteins of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
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Human monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) were selected from semisynthetic antibody phage display libraries by using whole irradiated severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (CoV) virions as target. We identified eight human MAbs binding to virus and infected cells, six of which could be mapped to two SARS-CoV structural proteins: the nucleocapsid (N) and spike (S) proteins. Two MAbs reacted with N protein. One of the N protein MAbs recognized a linear epitope conserved between all published human and animal SARS-CoV isolates, and the other bound to a nonlinear N epitope. These two N MAbs did not compete for binding to SARS-CoV. Four MAbs reacted with the S glycoprotein, and three of these MAbs neutralized SARS-CoV in vitro. All three neutralizing anti-S MAbs bound a recombinant S1 fragment comprising residues 318 to 510, a region previously identified as the SARS-CoV S receptor binding domain; the nonneutralizing MAb did not. Two strongly neutralizing anti-S1 MAbs blocked the binding of a recombinant S fragment (residues 1 to 565) to SARS-CoV-susceptible Vero cells completely, whereas a poorly neutralizing S1 MAb blocked binding only partially. The MAb ability to block S1-receptor binding and the level of neutralization of the two strongly neutralizing S1 MAbs correlated with the binding affinity to the S1 domain. Finally, epitope mapping, using recombinant S fragments (residues 318 to 510) containing naturally occurring mutations, revealed the importance of residue N479 for the binding of the most potent neutralizing MAb, CR3014. The complete set of SARS-CoV MAbs described here may be useful for diagnosis, chemoprophylaxis, and therapy of SARS-CoV infection and disease.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) was first identified in 2002 as a newly emerging disease in Guangdong Province, China. The disease, associated with unusual atypical pneumonia, spread in 2003 to over 30 countries worldwide with more than 8,000 reported cases and an estimated 55% mortality among the elderly (9). A virus was isolated from tissues of SARS patients (10, 21, 23, 32) and a SARS-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV), a new member in the family of Coronaviridae, was identified as the causative agent fulfilling Koch's postulates (12).

The clinical course of SARS is highly variable (31) after a relatively short 6- to 10-day incubation period (9). In ca. 20% of the patients, SARS-CoV infection progresses to a stage of respiratory failure requiring ventilation support. Overall, 10% of the patients, ca. 6.8% of patients younger and 55% of patients older than 60 years of age (9), die as a consequence of immunopathological lung damage caused by a hyperactive antiviral immune response (29).

Antibodies to SARS-CoV become detectable in patient's serum between days 10 and 15 and correlate with a decline in viral loads. More than 93% of the patients were reported to have seroconverted by day 28 (31). The pattern of SARS-CoV replication and development of a neutralizing immune response observed in experimentally infected mice largely resembles the course of infection in SARS patients. Passive transfer of polyclonal immune serum has been shown to reduce pulmonary virus titers in this mouse model of SARS-CoV infection (37). Therefore, immunoprophylaxis of SARS-CoV infection with antibodies might be a viable SARS control strategy.

The S1 domain of other previously characterized CoV S proteins harbors the binding sites for CoV neutralizing antibodies (3, 4, 13, 22). Shortly after identification of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a natural receptor for SARS-CoV infectivity (25), the putative ACE2 receptor-binding site was first narrowed down to a region between residues 303 to 537 (43) and later to residues 318 to 510 (42) within the S1 domain (residues 1 to 672) of the S protein (42). Sui et al. selected the first human monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) to the SARS-CoV S protein by antibody phage display by using a fragment corresponding to the S1 domain (38). One of these S1 MAbs was capable of neutralizing SARS-CoV infectivity by blocking the association with ACE2 (38). Recent evidence
suggested the presence of antigenic determinants in the S1 (46), as well as in the S2 domain of the S protein (44). We set out to isolate MAbs by antibody phage display selections by using whole SARS-CoV virions, which not only allows for the selection selected of neutralizing MAbs against S but also for MAbs against other structural viral proteins. The SARS-CoV neutralizing capacity of one of the isolated MAbs, CR3014 was recently established (39). We demonstrated that CR3014 reduced replication of SARS-CoV in the lungs of infected ferrets, abolished shedding of SARS-CoV in pharyngeal secretions, and completely prevented the development of virus-induced macroscopic lung pathology.

In the present study, we characterized human MAb CR3014 and the other MAbs that were selected against SARS-CoV virions in more detail. We mapped the MAb binding sites within the structural proteins N and S and identified the in vitro neutralizing mechanism of CR3014 responsible for the observed reduced viral replication in vivo.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Virus preparation.** Gamma-irradiated SARS-CoV (Frankfurt 1 strain FM1) (7, 35) used for panning was prepared as follows. Medium from SARS-CoV-infected Vero cells was harvested 3 days postinfection and cleared by centrifugation to remove cell debris. The cleared supernatant was applied on a 25% glycerol cushion, and SARS-CoV was pelleted by centrifugation for 2 h at 25,000 rpm at 4°C in a Beckman SW28 rotor. SARS-CoV was resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)–1 mM EDTA–200 mM NaCl and gamma-irradiated with 45 kGy on dry ice to abolish infectivity.

**Selection of SARS-CoV-binding clones by phage panning.** Single-chain variable antibody fragments (scFv) were selected by using antibody phage display libraries and technology, essentially as described previously (8). Maxisorp Immunotubes (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated overnight at 4°C with gamma-irradiated SARS-CoV virions. To eliminate nonspecific binding, the phage library was first adsorbed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2% nonfat dry milk. Subsequently, phages were incubated with SARS-CoV in the presence of 0.05% Tween 20 for 2 h at room temperature or at 37°C. Unbound phages were removed by 10 washes with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20, followed by 10 washes with PBS. Bound phages were eluted and used to reinfect *Escherichia coli* XL1-Blue (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.) and reamplified as described previously (27). After each round of selection, phages from individual colonies were tested for binding to SARS-CoV and FBS as a negative control antigen in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

### Human IgG antibody production and purification

The engineering and production of the human immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) MAbs was essentially performed as described previously (2). The variable regions of scFv were recloned into separate vectors for IgG1 heavy- and light-chain expression. Variable heavy (VH)- and light (VL)-chain regions from each scFv were PCR amplified by using specific primers to append restriction sites and restore complete human framework. IgG1 MAbs were expressed as described previously (2). Subsequently, the harvested supernatants were purified on protein A columns, followed by buffer exchange in PBS over size exclusion columns.

### Immunofluorescence

Reactivity with SARS-CoV-infected cells by the human IgG1 MAbs was assessed by indirect immunofluorescence according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Euroimmun AG, Lubeck, Germany).

### Expression of N and soluble truncated S glycoproteins

DNA encoding for the N protein was amplified from total random hexamer cDNA prepared from the SARS-CoV FM1 isolate by using the oligonucleotide primers KpnINCFor 5’-CTTGGTACCCGACCATGTCATTGAAATGACC-3’ and XbalINCRev 5’-GTCTCTAGATGCTCTAGAAGGATCCAGCAGC-3’ and cloned as a KpnI-XbaI fragment in pAdapt/myc-HisA, a modified pAdapt vector that adds a C-terminal myc and His tag to the protein. The cDNA encoding the complete FM1 S protein was optimized for optimal expression by Geneart (Regensburg, Germany), followed by cloning in the pAdapt vector (17). DNA encoding for the N-terminal 365 amino acids of the S protein (S565) was cloned as a KpnI-BamHI fragment in pAdapt/myc-HisC. A fragment corresponding to residues 318 to 510 of S was amplified by using the oligonucleotide primers EcoRI-spikeFor318 (5’-CCTTGGAATTCTCCATGTCCTGGCCTCAGATTCCACAC-3’) and Xbal-spikeRev510 (5’-GAAGGGCCCTTCTGAGACGGTGTTGGCAGG-3’). The resulting fragment was digested with EcoRI and XbaI and cloned into phVAT20/myc-HisA to yield pHVAT20/myc-HisA S318-510. In this vector expression of fragment S318-510 fused to the HAVT20 leader sequence was under control of the human, full-length, immediate-early cytomegalovirus promoter. S and N constructs were transfected in human 293T cells for transient protein expression. Soluble N protein was recovered by lysis of the transfected cells in 150 mM NaCl–1% NP-40–0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)–0.5% deoxycholate–50 mM Tris (pH 8), whereas fragments S565 and S318-510 were purified from culture supernatant by using Ni-NTA (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

### Construction of variant S318-510 fragments

To investigate whether anti-S MAbs recognize the S protein of the currently known human SARS-CoV strain, recombinant S fragments harboring the different amino acid substitutions as shown in Table 1 were generated. The amino acid substitutions were introduced in the pHVAT20/myc-HisA S318-510 vector by using the QuickChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Mutagenic oligonucleotide primers were designed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To exclude the introduction of additional mutations in the plasmid outside the gene of interest, the mutated (592-bp EcoRI-XbaI) fragment was recloned in EcoRI-XbaI-cut pHVAT20/myc-HisA. The resulting plasmids were transfected into 293T cells for transient protein expression as described above.

### Target identification with ELISA

ELISAs with captured S and N fragments were performed as follows. Microtiter plates were coated overnight with 5 μg of anti-myc antibody (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) in 50 mM bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6). After being washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20, the wells were blocked for 1 h in 1% nonfat dry milk, followed by incubation of the myc-tagged S and N fragments, followed by the addition of various concentrations of human IgG1 MAbs or horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-His6 (Roche) for 1 h each at room temperature. Bound human IgG was detected by HRP-conjugated mouse anti-human IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and further developed with O-phenylenediamine substrate (Sigma FAST OPD, Sigma). The reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.1 M H2SO4, and the absorbance was measured at 492 nm.

### Competition ELISA

Using a setup similar to that described above, competition ELISAs were performed. Captured SARS-CoV or S565 fragment was incubated with nonsaturating amounts of biotinylated IgG in the presence or absence of competing IgG. Bound biotinylated IgG was detected with strepta-
vidin-conjugated HRP (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, Calif.) and developed as described above.

**Epitope mapping.** Two sets of 2,740 overlapping 15-mer linear and looped peptides were synthesized on the basis of all open reading frames encoded by the SARS-CoV Urbani viral genome except for the replicase protein (Pepscan Systems, Lelystad, The Netherlands). Peptides were coupled to a solid support, and epitope mapping of the IgGs was performed by using the Pepscan method described previously (14, 15, 34). The covalently linked peptides were incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 μg of IgG/ml in PBS containing 5% horse serum and 5% ovalbumin, and bound antibody was detected.

**Electron microscopy.** Immunoelectron microscopy (immuno-EM) of SARS-CoV-infected Vero cells was performed essentially as described previously (1). Bound MAbs were detected by incubation with anti-hu-IgG–5-nm gold conjugate (Biotrend, Cologne, Germany) and developed as described above.

**Flow cytometry analysis.** Spike (S)-transfected 293T cells were incubated with human IgGs at a concentration of 10 μg/ml for 1 h on ice. Cells were washed three times and incubated for 45 min with biotinylated goat anti-human IgG, followed by a 10-min incubation with streptavidin-conjugated phycoerythrin (Caltag, South San Francisco, Calif.), and then analyzed on a FACSCalibur with CELLQuest Pro software (Becton Dickinson).

Vero cells expressing ACE2 were incubated for 1 h at 4°C with saturating concentrations of the S565 fragment in the presence or absence of 0.5 μM IgG. After three washes, bound S565 fragment was detected using biotinylated anti-myc antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, Calif.) and streptavidin-conjugated phycoerythrin. All incubations and washes were performed at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin.

**Neutralization assay.** SARS-CoV neutralizing activity of scFv and IgG1 MAbs were titrated in an assay that measures neutralization of a known amount of virus. ScFvs and IgGs were screened in serial twofold dilutions in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium containing 5% FBS. A 50-μl aliquot of the scFv and MAb dilutions was mixed with 50 μl of SARS-CoV containing 100 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID50) for scFv and 10, 30, or 100 TCID50 for IgGs and then incubated 1 h at 37°C. The antibody-SARS-CoV mixture was incubated in triplicate into 96-well plates containing an 80% confluent monolayer of Vero cells. The Vero cells were cultured for 5 days at 37°C and monitored for the development of cytopathic effects. The complete absence of cytopathic effect in cell cultures was recorded. A preliminary radioimmunoprecipitation assay performed to identify the SARS-CoV proteins recognized by the isolated MAbs yielded proteins migrating at a molecular weight of 46,000 or 180,000, which most likely represent nucleocapsid (N) or S protein (data not shown). To confirm the antigen recognized by the panel of anti-SARS IgGs, the interaction with N protein, the most abundant viral protein, was evaluated by ELISA. N protein recovered from transfected 293T cells was captured on an ELISA plate, followed by incubation with the anti-SARS IgGs. Figure 4A shows that IgG CR3009 and CR3018 bound specifically to N protein. In order to rank the affinities of the MAbs, a titration of the IgG concentration was performed. Titration of the MAbs showed that CR3009 bound slightly better to N protein than CR3018 (Fig. 4B), which may reflect a difference in affinity. To further explore the antibody binding sites within the N protein, a competition ELISA on immobilized SARS-CoV was performed (Fig. 4C). The results show that binding of biotinylated IgG CR3009 and CR3018 is not or hardly affected in the presence of excess of unlabeled IgG CR3018 and CR3009, respectively. As expected binding of the biotinylated anti-N MAbs is blocked by their unlabeled counterparts but not by anti-S MAb CR3014. This demonstrates that IgG CR3009 and CR3018 do not compete with each other for binding to N protein and most likely recognize different epitopes.
To map the epitopes of CR3009 and CR3018 IgG on the N protein, Pepscan analysis was used. The results obtained with IgG CR3018 and overlapping peptides derived from the N protein are shown in Fig. 4D. IgG CR3018 reacted with a continuous series of linear and looped peptides, starting with the sequences GPQSNQRSAPRTFG and PQSNQRSAPRTFGG, respectively, and both ending with the peptide RSAPRITFGGPTDST, thereby having the minimal sequence RSA PRITFG in common. For IgG CR3009 and all other six IgGs, the N protein Pepscan analysis did not reveal significant binding to any of the N protein-derived peptides (data not shown), which is in agreement with the results from Fig. 4A. Furthermore, it suggests that CR3009 recognizes a nonlinear epitope.

Analysis of N binding by EM. Ultrathin-section EM was used to investigate the binding of MAbs CR3009 and CR3018 to native N in whole virions in more detail. Localization of dense gold-label within SARS-CoV virions was observed when infected Vero cells were stained with CR3009 or CR3018, whereas a control human IgG1 MAb does not induce any label (Fig. 5). The localization of the gold label clearly indicates that N is retained within the virion.

Characterization of antibodies binding S protein. The interaction of the IgGs with S protein, the major protein in the viral envelope, was evaluated. Binding of the IgGs to the full-length S protein on 293T cells was first investigated by flow cytometry. This analysis showed that IgGs CR3006, CR3013, CR3014, and CR3015 specifically bound S-protein-transfected cells (Fig. 6A). To further localize the binding site of these IgGs within the S protein, binding to a recombinant soluble fragment encompassing S protein residues 1 to 565 (S565) was tested. Within the S protein binding panel, all IgGs except CR3015 bound to fragment S565 (Fig. 6A). Recently, Wong et al. (42) attributed the binding site of the ACE2 receptor to a region comprising amino acid residues 318 to 510 within the S protein. To further map the binding site of the MAbs, binding to a recombinant fragment comprising residues 318 to 510 was evaluated. Figure 6A shows that only CR3006, CR3013, and CR3014 were able to bind the S318-510 fragment. As shown in Fig. 6B, IgG CR3014 appears to bind S565 with a higher affinity than IgGs CR3006 and CR3013. At the scFv/phage level it had already been observed that clones CR3013 and CR3014 compete for binding to the SARS-CoV (data not shown). In addition, sequence analysis revealed that the VH and VL genes of CR3013 and CR3014 are highly homologous, varying in only three residues in the CDR3 of the VH domain (Fig. 2). To confirm these findings and to study the antibody binding sites in more detail, a competition ELISA on immobilized S565 fragment was performed (Fig. 6C). This analysis revealed that MAb CR3006 competed with all MAbs. MAb CR3013 efficiently competed with unlabeled CR3013 and CR3014, but to a lesser extent with CR3006 and MAb CR3014 only efficiently competed with unlabeled CR3014. Together, this demonstrates that MAbs CR3006, CR3013, and CR3014 recognize the same or overlapping epitope, but with different affinities.

We next evaluated whether MAbs CR3006, CR3013, and CR3014 were capable of binding the S protein of other human SARS-CoV isolates. Alignment of residues 318 to 510 of the S protein of 114 human SARS-CoV isolates, which have been published in GenBank, revealed eight different S sequences (Table 1) that were not identical to the same region of the FM1 S protein, which was used in the present study. The eight sequences were expressed as recombinant S318-510 fragments, and the binding of MAbs CR3006, CR3013, and CR3014 to
these variant S318-510 fragments was evaluated. As shown in
Fig. 7A, no binding of CR3006 to variants 5 and 7 was ob-
served, which indicates a possible contribution of amino acid
residues Y442 and F360, L472, D480, or T487 to the epitope of
CR3006. All variant S318-510 fragments were recognized by
CR3013 and CR3014. However, binding of CR3013 and
CR3014 to variant 6 appears to be reduced compared to the
other variants. To further investigate the epitope of CR3014,
binding to variant 6 was evaluated quantitatively. When nor-
malized for binding to FM1 S318-510, CR3014 showed signif-
icantly reduced reactivity with variant 6 (Fig. 7B), which carries
an N479S substitution.

Pepscan analysis with the anti-S IgGs did not identify any
linear S epitopes (data not shown). For IgG CR3001 and
CR3002 no significant binding to S or N protein was observed,
nor did Pepscan analysis of 2 sets of 2,740 linear and looped
peptides covering the complete viral proteome except replicase
reveal any recognition sites. This indicates that these IgGs are
directed toward conformational epitopes on SARS-CoV-re-
lated proteins other than the N and S proteins tested here or
replicase.

**Inhibition of binding of S565 to Vero cells.** To investigate
interference of binding of the S1 domain to Vero cells express-
ing the ACE2 receptor, the natural receptor for SARS-CoV,
by IgG CR3014, we performed a flow cytometric inhibition
assay. As shown in Fig. 8A, preincubation of fragment S565 in
the presence of 0.5 μM IgG CR3014 resulted in complete loss of S565 binding to Vero cells, whereas in the presence of anti-N IgG CR3018, S565-receptor binding remained unaffected (Fig. 8B). Interestingly, IgG CR3006 only partially prevented binding of the S565 fragment to Vero cells (Fig. 8C).

**In vitro neutralizing activity of antibodies to SARS-CoV.** A primary SARS-CoV neutralization assay with Vero cells was performed to determine which MAbs possess neutralizing properties. Of the scFv tested, CR3013 and CR3014 readily neutralized SARS-CoV strains FM1 (Fig. 9). Neutralization by CR3013 and CR3014 IgG was investigated in more detail by using various amounts of a different SARS-CoV isolate HKU-39849, obtained from a patient who died of SARS (12, 23). Serial twofold dilutions of CR3013, CR3014, and control IgG starting at 300 nM were incubated in the presence of 10, 30, and 100 TCID$_{50}$ of SARS-CoV for 1 h at 37°C prior to incubation with Vero cells. Reformattting of scFv to IgG resulted in a two- to fivefold-increased neutralizing potency for CR3013 and CR3014. Complete protection from infectivity of 100 TCID$_{50}$ was reached at 170 nM for CR3013 and 42 nM for CR3014 (Fig. 9). The lower concentration of CR3014 required to completely prevent infectivity indicates that IgG CR3014 is more potent than CR3013, in accordance with its superior binding capacity. CR3006 did not show neutralizing capacity at the normal IgG dilution range; however, subsequent neutralization assays revealed that CR3006 was capable of neutralizing SARS-CoV but only at high concentrations in the micromolar range (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

We describe here the characterization of eight different fully human IgGs directed to SARS-CoV that were isolated from semisynthetic human antibody libraries. Since complete SARS-CoV virions, rather than a single recombinant protein or fragment thereof, were used as antigen for selections, MAbs against different proteins in their natural conformation were isolated. Target identification revealed that two MAbs reacted with the N protein, and EM performed with both MAbs enabled us to visualize the presence of N protein within virions produced by SARS-CoV-infected Vero cells.

The epitopes of these noncompeting MAbs, CR3009 and CR3018, were investigated in more detail by using Pepscan analysis. Through this approach, the minimal binding site of CR3018 was mapped to residues 11 to 19 of the N protein, which corresponds to the sequence RSAPRITFG. Interestingly, this linear epitope is conserved in the N protein sequence of all published human SARS-CoV and animal SARS-CoV-like isolates but is absent in other members of the family of Coronaviridae. Assessment of antigenic peptides derived from SARS-CoV structural proteins revealed that 9 of 31 sera from SARS patients tested reacted with a peptide composed of residues 1 to 23 of N protein (41). This indicates that a significant proportion of the SARS patients develops antibodies to N protein, which are directed to an epitope similar to that recognized by CR3018. Future studies should reveal the level of sequence homology between human MAbs isolated from the antibody repertoire of patients with SARS and antibody CR3018, which was isolated from a semisynthetic scFv phage display library. Epitope mapping of MAb CR3009 was unsuccessful, presumably because CR3009 recognizes a nonlinear epitope. Besides a large number of linear epitopes (16, 41), the N protein contains two major conformational epitopes recognized by the sera of SARS patients (5). These characteristics of both CR3009 and CR3018 could be exploited in a diagnostic test specific for SARS-CoV.

At present, solid proof of SARS-CoV infection is provided after isolation of the virus from a clinical specimen, a confirmed positive PCR for SARS-CoV or detection of antibody seroconversion. Virus isolation is time-consuming, and PCR requires technical equipment, which is not available in every local hospital. In the majority of the patients, seroconversion is only detectable from the second or third week after disease onset (24, 26, 31), making this late and retrospective diagnostic tool ineffective for quarantine measures. Furthermore, antibodies to SARS-CoV or related viruses have already been detected in blood samples taken from healthy individuals 2 years before the most recent SARS outbreak (30, 45). Taken together, these findings emphasize the need for an instant and more accurate laboratory test for the early diagnosis of SARS. MAbs that specifically detect SARS-CoV proteins may therefore greatly facilitate the development of a SARS-CoV-specific immunoassay.

In addition to N protein MAbs, four MAbs to the S protein

![FIG. 5. Visualization of N protein by ultrathin-section immuno-EM. Gold immunolabeling of N protein in SARS-CoV-infected Vero cells with CR3009 (A), CR3018 (B), or negative control MAb (C) was carried out, followed by incubation with 5-nm-colloidal-gold-conjugated secondary antibody.](http://jvi.asm.org/)
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were isolated, three of which were capable of effectively neutralizing SARS-CoV infectivity in vitro. The epitope of the nonneutralizing antibody, CR3015, is located outside the region comprising residues 1 to 565 and could be located within the S2 domain. Human antibodies binding to different epitopes in the S2 domain protein have been described previously (41, 44). Sui et al. reported previously eight scFv, all directed to the S1 domain (residues 1 to 672), of which only one, 80R, was capable of neutralizing SARS-CoV infectivity (38). This indicates that not all antibodies binding to the S1 domain of the S protein do interfere with the infectivity of SARS-CoV. MAbs CR3006, CR3013, and CR3014 described here compete for binding to the S1 domain with different affinities and neutralize SARS-CoV. However, antibody affinity and neutralizing potency do not necessarily correlate. Traggiai et al. isolated two types of neutralizing MAbs with Epstein-Barr virus transformation. Some MAbs showed neutralizing titers proportional to their degree of binding, whereas others showed low-avidity binding in spite of efficient viral neutralization (40).

We demonstrated that the epitopes of our neutralizing MAbs are located within the previously identified minimal ACE2 receptor-binding region of the S protein; a more precise characterization of the epitope by using Pepscan analysis failed. Most likely, MAb CR3014 recognizes a more complex conformational epitope within the S1 domain that cannot be detected by this technique. This suggests that the MAb CR3014 binding site is different from that of MAb 80R (38), which was shown to remain partially intact under denaturing and reducing conditions. Also, deglycosylation of the S1 domain did not prevent R80 from binding to its epitope. Binding studies with variant recombinant S318-510 fragments revealed that the epitope of CR3014 is conserved in the S proteins of all
human SARS-CoV isolates described in Table 1. Reduced reactivity with a variant S318-510 fragment harboring a N479S substitution suggests a substantial contribution of this residue to binding of CR3014. Residue N479 may either be directly involved in binding of CR3014 by being part of the antibody binding site or, alternatively, contribute to a correct conformation of the antibody binding site. The epitope of CR3006 was completely destroyed by the introduction of naturally occurring amino acid substitutions of residues Y442 or F360, L472, D480, and T487, as are present in two different SARS-CoV isolates. One of these isolates was collected in December 2003 from the last infected patient not related to a laboratory-acquired SARS infection (6). These data illustrate the importance of evaluating the specificity of anti-S MAbs for a wide variety of SARS-CoV isolates.

The development of neutralizing antibodies in patients with SARS is similar to that observed in other acute viral infectious diseases such as hepatitis A (19). The preventive value of IgG against hepatitis A infection was demonstrated as early as 1945 (36), and prevention of rabies after exposure requires the administration of immunoglobulin prepared from hyperimmune sera in combination with vaccine (33). Based on these observations and the successful use of a recombinant MAb against respiratory syncytial virus that prevents disease in high-risk infants (20), immunoprophylaxis of SARS-CoV infection with MAbs might be an option for the control of SARS (18).

To this end, we evaluated whether the neutralizing capacity of CR3014 in vitro can abolish the infectivity of SARS-CoV in ferrets, essentially as described by Emini et al., for infectivity of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) in chimpanzees (11). In this ferret model, infection of the animals via the intratracheal route leads to massive replication of the virus in the lung and the development of pulmonary SARS-CoV-associated lesions accompanied by various degrees of nonlethal clinical disease (28). High virus titers were observed in the lungs of control ferrets on day 4, which dropped at day 7, thereby following the natural course of infection with SARS-CoV. Animals that received a combination of CR3014 and virus had almost undetectable titers of SARS-CoV in their lungs. In a follow-up study, we demonstrated that prophylactic administration of CR3014 at 10 mg/ml reduced replication of SARS-CoV in the lungs of infected ferrets, prevented SARS-CoV-induced macroscopic lung pathology, and abolished the shedding of virus in pharyngeal secretions (39).

Thus, SARS-CoV neutralizing antibodies may be used to prevent infection in people exposed to the SARS-CoV, such as hospital personnel caring for suspected SARS patients, and may also be applied for the early treatment of infected individuals to avoid the onset of severe SARS disease and to lower the chance of spreading the virus to exposed individuals.
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