The Poliovirus Replication Machinery Can Escape Inhibition by an Antiviral Drug That Targets a Host Cell Protein
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Viral replication depends on specific interactions with host factors. For example, poliovirus RNA replication requires association with intracellular membranes. Brefeldin A (BFA), which induces a major rearrangement of the cellular secretory apparatus, is a potent inhibitor of poliovirus RNA replication. Most aspects governing the relationship between viral replication complex and the host membranes remain poorly defined. To explore these interactions, we used a genetic approach and isolated BFA-resistant poliovirus variants. Mutations within viral proteins 2C and 3A render poliovirus resistant to BFA. In the absence of BFA, viruses containing either or both of these mutations replicated similarly to wild type. In the presence of BFA, viruses carrying a single mutation in 2C or 3A exhibited an intermediate-growth phenotype, while the double mutant was fully resistant. The viral proteins 2C and 3A have critical roles in both RNA replication and vesicle formation. The identification of BFA resistant mutants may facilitate the identification of cellular membrane-associated proteins necessary for induction of vesicle formation and RNA replication. Importantly, our data underscore the dramatic plasticity of the host-virus interactions required for successful viral replication.

Positive-strand RNA viruses replicate on intracellular membranes. Intriguingly, many of these viruses, including poliovirus, are not enveloped. Presumably, the association with membranes creates an environment that facilitates viral replication. For example, membrane-associated replication may provide an increased local concentration of viral proteins, allow compartmentalization of the different virus replication steps, or avoid triggering cellular double-stranded RNA responses by replication intermediates. In many cases virus replication induces membrane proliferation, vesiculation, and rearrangements. Indeed, poliovirus replication is physically associated with newly formed virus-induced vesicles (4). The source of poliovirus-induced vesicles remains unclear, although endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (3, 45, 40), Golgi (5, 8, 41), and mixed membrane sources (autophagic vesicles) (45) or varied membrane sources (20, 43) have all been suggested. No cellular protein marker has been identified that tightly colocalizes with poliovirus replication complexes (8, 43, 45), although COPII coat proteins appear to colocalize with budding poliovirus-induced vesicles early in infection (40).

Brefeldin A (BFA) strongly inhibits poliovirus RNA replication and the formation of virus-induced vesicles that become the RNA replication complexes (14, 16, 27, 31). BFA blocks the function of cellular protein ADP ribosylation factor 1 (ARF1) (among other ARFs), and ARF1 function is necessary for COPI-coated vesicle formation. BFA inhibits GTP cycling and thus causes the disruption of the Golgi complex (9, 29, 35). Interestingly, BFA does not directly inhibit any poliovirus proteins, since cell types resistant to BFA are capable of supporting poliovirus replication with wild-type kinetics even in the presence of BFA (16). In addition, BFA inhibits poliovirus replication in a cell-free poliovirus replication system (14). In this cell-free system, high concentrations of ARF1 dominant-negative peptides that are capable of inhibiting in vitro host vesicular transport are also able to block poliovirus replication (14). However, because BFA induces a major disruption of the structure and function of the secretory pathway in vivo, the precise mechanism of action in intact cells remains to be elucidated. It is possible that the inhibitory effect of BFA observed in poliovirus replication is the consequence of a pleiotropic effect triggered by the action of BFA on multiple cellular organelles. In addition, it remains intriguing that BFA inhibits poliovirus replication, since in a normal poliovirus infection the Golgi complex is rapidly dispersed (9, 29, 35), the virus does not appear to require Golgi membranes for replication (40, 45), and COPII coat proteins, but not COPI coat proteins, appear to colocalize with budding poliovirus-induced vesicles (40).

In the present study, we report the isolation of polioviruses resistant to BFA that replicate to high titers even in the presence of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml. The virus is able to replicate in the presence of BFA in at least two different cell types. We identified the determinants of BFA resistance within 2C and 3A. Interestingly, the resistant virus appears to induce poliovirus vesicles and produce classic dispersion of the Golgi apparatus both in the presence and in the absence of BFA. These results demonstrate that poliovirus can replicate in cells where the secretory function has been completely disrupted via a large rearrangement of membranous compartments. Thus, our data suggest that BFA inhibits a specific step in poliovirus replication, perhaps involving the direct interaction of 2C and 3A viral proteins with the cellular factor ARF1.
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BREFELDIN A-RESISTANT POLIOVIRUS

FIG. 1. BFA specifically inhibits poliovirus RNA replication. (A) Poliovirus growth curve with or without BFA. HeLa cells were infected with wild-type poliovirus at an MOI of 5 PFU/cell in the absence (■) or presence (●) of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml. Virus was harvested from parallel wells at the time points indicated. Titers of <10⁸ PFU/ml are shown at 10⁵ PFU/ml. (B) Poliovirus replicon translation and replication with or without BFA. Poliovirus replicon (FLuc) RNA has the capsid-coding sequence replaced by the luciferase gene. In the absence of replication, luciferase levels in the presence or absence of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml are shown in cells transfected with wild-type FLuc (■) or mutant FLuc-3Dpol238A (●). (C) Titration of BFA inhibition of poliovirus. HeLa cells were infected at an MOI of 0.001 and incubated with the indicated concentration of BFA (μg/ml). Virus was harvested, and titers were determined at 48 h postinfection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. HeLa S3 cells were used in all experiments (unless 293T cells are indicated) and grown under conditions previously described (12). BFA was obtained from Sigma, and stock solutions were 2.0 mg/ml in 70% ethanol. Starting poliovirus wild-type stock was a Mahoney stock derived from pMoRA (also called pMo rib+polyAlong) (11, 26), which was derived from the pXpA plasmid (38). One-step growth curves (46) and plaque assays (12) were done as described previously.

Replicons. Replicon RNA was produced by in vitro transcription of linearized plasmids—pRLucRA wild-type plasmid (13, 26) or the pRLuc-3Dpol238A derivative (23)—by using T7 RNA polymerase as described previously (12). Next, 10 μg of each viral RNA transcript was electroporated into 1.2 × 10⁶ HeLa cells, cells were incubated in complete medium at 37°C until 100% lysis was observed. Four control plates were always included: an uninfected plate with no drug, an uninfected plate with BFA, a passaged poliovirus-infected plate without BFA, and a wild-type poliovirus stock-infected plate with BFA. Poliovirus was passaged once in 0.1 μg of BFA/ml to get the P₁ stock. Lysis of the P₁ plate was observed after 2 days, with a viral titer of 10⁸ PFU/ml. The P₁ stock was then passaged in 0.2 μg of BFA/ml to obtain a P₂ stock. Lysis of the P₂ plate was observed after 3 days, with a titer of 5 × 10⁶ PFU/ml. The P₂ stock was then passaged in 0.4 μg of BFA/ml to obtain a P₃ stock. Lysis of the P₃ plate was observed after 2 days, with a titer of 5 × 10⁷ PFU/ml. The P₃ stock was then passaged in 0.6 μg of BFA/ml to obtain a P₄ stock. Lysis of the P₄ plate was observed after 2 days, with a titer of 1.2 × 10⁸ PFU/ml. The P₄ stock was then passaged once in 1.0 μg of BFA/ml to obtain a P₅ stock. Lysis of the P₅ plate was observed after 2 days, with a titer of 5 × 10⁹ PFU/ml.

Cloning and plasmids. RNA of potential BFA-resistant viruses was obtained from infected HeLa cells by using Qiagen RNaseasy. RNA was reverse transcribed with Superscript II RT, and poliovirus genome fragments were amplified by PCR with high-fidelity PfuTurbo polymerase (Stratagene) in standard conditions for 30 cycles. The entire genome (positions 20 to 7425) of clone bfa3 was sequenced directly from the PCR products by using BigDye terminator cycle sequencing, and the electropherograms were analyzed with DNASTar as described previously (13). Other clones were sequenced across the full length of genes 2C and 3A. The bfa3 double point mutation (4361A/5190T) was cloned into a full-length poliovirus plasmid clone by digesting amplified PCR product with NheI and BglII and ligating the fragment (consisting of the poliovirus genome from positions 2470 to 5601) into a NheI-BglII-digested pMoRA plasmid. The resulting plasmid...
RESULTS

Effects of BFA on poliovirus replication. Poliovirus growth is completely inhibited by BFA (Fig. 1A) (27, 31). To further confirm this result, we examined the effect of BFA on poliovirus RNA replication by using a poliovirus replicon (Fluc). FLuc is a full-length poliovirus genome containing the luciferase gene instead of the poliovirus capsid genes (26). Transfection of HeLa cells with FLuc replicon RNA results in the production of a polyprotein containing luciferase that is processed by the viral 2A protease to liberate active luciferase, and the replicon translates and replicates comparably to wild-type poliovirus (1). The initial luciferase activity observed between 0 and 1 h corresponds to direct translation of the input RNA (Fig. 1B). Under normal conditions, a 10,000-fold increase in FLuc luciferase activity is observed between 2 and 6 h due to rapid viral RNA replication (Fig. 1B). BFA specifically inhibits RNA replication and has no effect on poliovirus translation (Fig. 1B), a finding in agreement with previous studies (31) (see also Fig. 4C). As a control for translation of the input transfected RNA, we included a mutant poliovirus replicon, FLuc-3D<sup>pol</sup>238A, with an inactive polymerase (23). The input FLuc-3D<sup>pol</sup>238A RNA translates comparably to wild-type FLuc after transfection, but FLuc-3D<sup>pol</sup>238A is completely defective for RNA replication (23). Thus, BFA does not affect poliovirus translation, since FLuc in the presence of BFA produced luciferase at levels comparable to the untreated FLuc-3D<sup>pol</sup>238A mutant (Fig. 1B).

Isolation of BFA-resistant viruses. To isolate BFA-resistant poliovirus mutants, we first titrated the concentration of BFA necessary to inhibit poliovirus in HeLa cells. BFA concentrations of 0.1 µg/ml are sufficient to inhibit poliovirus production ≥99%, a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml inhibits poliovirus production 10<sup>4</sup>-fold, and a concentration of 2.0 µg/ml completely inhibits poliovirus production with a >10<sup>7</sup>-fold reduction in titer (Fig. 1C). The concentrations of BFA necessary to inhibit poliovirus replication were consistent with the concentrations of BFA necessary to disrupt the Golgi complexes in a population of HeLa cells (data not shown).

We reasoned that resistance to BFA might require multiple adaptive mutations in the poliovirus genome. Low concentrations of BFA may provide sufficient selective pressure to promote the emergence of partially resistant viruses, and the partially resistant viruses could possibly then evolve into fully drug-resistant strains upon selection in the presence of high concentrations of BFA (>0.5 µg/ml). Poliovirus was therefore passaged once in 0.1 µg of BFA/ml (P<sub>1</sub>), once in 0.2 µg of BFA/ml (P<sub>2</sub>), and once in 0.4 µg of BFA/ml (P<sub>3</sub>), once in 0.6 µg of BFA/ml (P<sub>4</sub>) and then once in 1.0 µg of BFA/ml (P<sub>5</sub>). The titer of the fifth passage virus was 5 × 10<sup>9</sup> PFU/ml, a value well above the background level of 10<sup>2</sup> PFU of virus/ml expected in a concentration of 1.0 µg of BFA/ml.

We then isolated individual virus clones from the P<sub>5</sub> population and assessed their growth in the presence of BFA. HeLa cells were infected at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI: 0.001 PFU/cell) and then incubated for 2 days in the presence or absence of 0.5 µg of BFA/ml. All of the viruses grew similar to the wild type in the absence of drug (Fig. 2A). One viral clone (bfa1) exhibited partial resistance to BFA, incompletely lysing HeLa cells in the presence of drug (Fig. 2A). Several other clones exhibited complete resistance to BFA, rapidly spreading throughout the plate and killing all HeLa cells (Fig. 2A, viruses bfa3 and bfa4).

Location of the BFA-resistant determinants. The genome of BFA-resistant variant bfa3 was completely sequenced, and two point mutations were identified: G→A at nucleotide 4361 and C→T at nucleotide 5190. The G4361A mutation results in an alanine-to-valine change at aa 27 of poliovirus non-structural protein 2C (Fig. 2B). The C5190T mutation results in an aspartate-to-valine change at aa 27 of poliovirus non-structural protein 3A (Fig. 2B). The 2C and 3A genes were sequenced in seven additional viral clones, and six of the clones contained both the 2C and 3A mutations, whereas the one clone exhibiting partial resistance (Fig. 2A, bfa1) contained just the 2C mutation.

To confirm that these nucleotide changes confer the BFA-resistant phenotype, we introduced the point mutations into poliovirus genome molecular clones, producing plasmid constructs pMo4361A, pMo5190T, and pMo4361A/5190T. Mutant viruses were obtained from the plasmids. The three mutant viruses, derived from molecular clones, Mo4361A, Mo5190T, and MoBFA<sup>-</sup>-DB (the double mutant Mo4361A/5190T), were analyzed under one-step growth conditions. All three mutants grew with wild-type kinetics in the absence of drug (Fig. 3). As expected, 2.0 µg of BFA/ml blocked wild-type poliovirus replication (Fig. 3A). In contrast, MoBFA<sup>-</sup>-DB replicated rapidly...
and to high titers ($5 \times 10^8$ PFU/ml) in the presence of 2.0 $\mu$g of BFA/ml (Fig. 3B). Viruses containing the 2C mutation alone (Mo4361A) or the 3A mutation alone (Mo5190T) had an intermediate resistance phenotype, producing $2 \times 10^7$ PFU of virus/ml in the presence of 2.0 $\mu$g of BFA/ml but with slower kinetics than the double mutant virus MoBFA'-DB (Fig. 3C and D). Interestingly, the mutant virus with the single change in 3A, Mo5190T, is substantially more cytopathic in tissue culture under low-MOI conditions than mutant Mo4361A (bfa2 versus bfa1 in Fig. 2A). Mutations in 3A have been associated with changes in cytopathogenicity in other picornaviruses (2, 25, 30, 33), and the phenotype of Mo5190T suggests
that the 3A protein plays a similar role in poliovirus cytopathogenicity. It should also be noted that MoBFAr-DB was capable of forming plaques in the presence of BFA (data not shown).

**Replication of BFA-resistant poliovirus replicons.** We next examined the phenotype of poliovirus replicons carrying BFA resistance determinants. The mutations in 2C and 3A observed in BFA-resistant poliovirus were introduced into FLuc replicon plasmids to generated pFLuc4361A, pFLuc5190T, and pFLucBFA-DB. Replication of the single mutation replicons, as well as the double-mutant replicon, was resistant to BFA inhibition in two different cell lines (HeLa and 293 cells). In the absence of BFA, all three mutants replicated with kinetics similar to those of the wild type (Fig. 4A and B). In the presence of BFA wild-type RNA replication was completely inhibited, whereas the mutants carrying single nucleotide alterations replicated with intermediate kinetics (Fig. 4A and B), a finding consistent with the phenotype observed for the resistant viruses (Fig. 3). The double mutant FLucBFA-DB replicated faster and achieved 5- to 10-fold-higher levels of luciferase production, which closely resembled the level produced by FLucBFA-DB in the absence of BFA (Fig. 4A and B). This result is consistent with the fact that MoBFA'-DB replicated rapidly and to high titers in the presence of BFA (Fig. 3B).

Translation efficiencies of wild-type and mutant FLuc RNAs were evaluated by measuring the luciferase activity produced after transfection of RNA into HeLa cells in the presence of guanidinium HCl, a specific inhibitor of poliovirus RNA replication (Fig. 4C). Thus, in these experiments luciferase activity was produced only by the input RNA. As expected, the mutations in 2C and 3A do not affect viral translation (Fig. 4C). We conclude that the observed mutations in 2C and 3A allow poliovirus replicons to replicate in the presence of BFA without affecting translation. These data are consistent with the idea that the major effect of BFA on the virus is inhibition of RNA replication. The BFA' mutations in 2C and 3A appear to release that block.

**Cell biology of BFA-resistant viruses.** Given that MoBFA'-DB grew to high titers both in the presence or absence of BFA and that BFA disrupt the Golgi apparatus, we examined whether MoBFA'-DB is able to induce dispersion of the Golgi apparatus. Poliovirus infection normally causes a rapid dispersion of the Golgi via an unknown mechanism (10). We sought to determine...
whether the development of BFA resistance would alter this phenotype in MoBFA'-DB-infected cells under normal conditions. We inspected Golgi complexes in wild-type and MoBFA'-DB-infected cells and observed no difference; both viruses caused a rapid dispersion of the Golgi (Fig. 5).

Poliovirus replication occurs on membranous vesicles, and 2C and 3A are both membrane-associated proteins that are essential components of the poliovirus replication complex (44, 47). BFA appears to prevent the formation of the poliovirus-induced vesicles necessary for replication (31). We therefore analyzed, by deconvolution confocal microscopy, the intracellular distribution of poliovirus replication complexes in cells infected with wild-type or MoBFA'-DB viruses. Immunofluorescent staining for poliovirus protein 2C is a standard marker for poliovirus replication complexes (4, 8, 21). The 2C protein is a multifunctional replication protein with ATPase activity and a domain homologous to the DEAD-family helicases (24, 37). 2C staining is seen on ER early in infection, but the 2C staining becomes more dispersed later in infection (4). As the infection progresses, poliovirus replication vesicles accumulate, and punctate 2C staining is observed throughout the cell (8, 21). The 2C staining was comparable in both wild-type and MoBFA'-DB virus-infected cells in the absence of BFA (Fig. 6). At 7 h postinfection we observed 2C distribution throughout the cell and no costaining with the ER marker (calnexin). This indicates that under normal growth conditions MoBFA'-DB proteins 2C and 3A traffic, form vesicles, and replicate poliovirus RNA on vesicles in a similar fashion to wild-type virus.

No 2C staining was seen in wild-type virus-infected cells in the presence of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml because replication is completely inhibited and translation of the input RNA does not proceed.

FIG. 4. Kinetic analysis of BFA-resistant replicons. (A) HeLa cells or (B) 293 cells were transfected with Fluc replicon RNAs corresponding to wild type (WT) or those carrying mutations that confer resistance to BFA (Mo4361A, Mo5190T, or MoBFA'-DB). Transfections were performed in the absence (solid symbols) or presence (open symbols) of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points, and the luciferase activity was determined as described in Materials and Methods. (C) Transfections were carried out in the presence of guanidinium HCl to determine luciferase production in the absence of RNA replication. Luciferase was determined at 1 and 6 h posttransfection.
not produce sufficient 2C to be detected (data not shown). In contrast, high levels of 2C expression accumulated in MoBFA'-DB-infected cells in the presence of BFA, since BFA has only minor effects on MoBFA'-DB replication (Fig. 6). Notably, the 2C staining was punctate, similar to that of wild-type virus infection in the absence of BFA. Furthermore, for mutant and wild-type in the absence of BFA, 2C staining did not colocalize with the ER (red staining, calnexin). We therefore conclude that, even though the Golgi apparatus relocates into the ER in the presence of BFA, the mutant replication complexes do not accumulate in the ER and, at least at this level of resolution, these complexes appear to assemble morphologically “normal” poliovirus replication vesicles.

**DISCUSSION**

We have identified a BFA-resistant poliovirus that rapidly replicates to high titers even in the presence of 2.0 μg of BFA/ml. The identification of BFA resistance mutations in 2C and 3A is quite intriguing. Poliovirus proteins 2C and 3A are major components of the poliovirus replication complex (8, 21, 43, 47). When expressed individually they are each membrane associated (10, 17), and 2C is capable of inducing vesicle formation (10, 19a). Coexpression of 2C and 3A is sufficient to produce vesicles similar in appearance to the poliovirus-induced vesicles seen in infected cells (45). 3A is responsible for blocking the secretory pathway (15). Recently, it was shown that a rhinovirus 16 variant, adapted to efficient replication in mouse cells, has alterations in protein 2C (25a). This observation suggests that changes in the interaction of 2C with cellular factors could determine species and cellular tropism. Thus, the functions of 2C and 3A have been the subject of extensive investigation; however, although several activities have been described, the precise roles of these two multifunctional proteins remain unclear. It is possible that the BFA resistance mutants described here will facilitate the identification of cellular membrane-associated proteins that interact with 2C and 3A and that are necessary for induction of vesicle formation and/or RNA replication.

**Mechanism of resistance to BFA.** Since (i) the 2C and 3A proteins are both required for the formation of poliovirus replication complex-like vesicles, (ii) substitutions observed in
the BFA' mutants are conservative amino acid changes, and (iii) the BFA-resistant mutant viruses appear to replicate normally in the absence of BFA, we propose the following hypothetical model to explain our findings: the BFA' mutations observed permit a more promiscuous interaction of the virus replication machinery with alternative components of the intracellular membranes. Thus, even though the mutant 2C and 3A proteins may still interact with and recruit ARF1 into the replication complex, the mutations allow interaction with other ARF1-like proteins that functionally replace ARF1 and assist the virus in the replication process in the presence of BFA. There are several highly homologous ARF-like proteins described in human cells (i.e., ARF1 to ARF5); therefore, any of these other ARF1 homologs may take the functional role of ARF1 in the presence of BFA.

However, it is still unclear what the precise relationship is between poliovirus replication complexes and the canonical vesicles of the secretory apparatus. Although COPII, but not COPI, coat proteins appear to be involved in the formation of poliovirus replication complex (19a, 40), BFA specifically targets COPI formation and, since point mutations can overcome this block, this observation suggests that the COPI vesicular trafficking system also participates in the poliovirus replication complex, perhaps via ARF1 but independent of COPI coats.

Antivirus drug development targeting cellular proteins involved in viral replication. Although much effort has been devoted to developing antiviral drugs, very few are effective. One of the major problems is that viruses rapidly mutate into drug-resistant variants. For most known antiviral drugs capable of completely inhibiting their target virus (>99% inhibition of virus production), the virus can become fully resistant to the drug with a single point mutation (7, 22, 28). Human immunodeficiency virus is well known for its astonishing genetic flexibility, and other viruses are comparably flexible (18, 34).

In general, antiviral drugs have been developed to target viral components or enzymes. However, because RNA viruses have stringent restrictions on their genetic coding capacity, they carry only the most essential replication components in their genomes and rely on a large set of cellular proteins to accomplish their full cycle of replication. It has therefore been proposed that targeting antiviral drugs at cellular proteins necessary for virus replication could avoid the problem of drug resistance because the virus could not become resistant to a drug that targets a cellular protein (32, 42). Our data suggest that this is an erroneous assumption. We discovered that poliovirus could become completely resistant to BFA by acquiring only two point mutations. This exceptional plasticity in the host-virus interactions may be a central feature of the virus’s long-term survival strategy.
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