Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1-Derived Lentivirus Vectors Pseudotyped with Envelope Glycoproteins Derived from Ross River Virus and Semliki Forest Virus
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Lentivirus vectors are becoming increasingly popular tools in the search for efficient gene delivery systems. A major advantage is their apparent ability to transduce genes into nondividing target cells (34). The lentivirus human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-derived lentivirus vectors. Both RRV and SFV glycoproteins considerably expand the host range of the lentivirus vector, and vectors can be efficiently concentrated by ultracentrifugation. A systematic analysis comparing the alphaviral glycoproteins to the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) revealed that lentivirus vectors incorporate RRV glycoproteins with an efficiency comparable to that of VSV-G. Both pseudotypes have comparable physical titers, but infectious titers with the RRV pseudotype are lower than with VSV-G. Incorporation of SFV glycoproteins into lentivirus vector is less efficient, leading to decreased physical and infectious titers. The transduction rates with VSV-G-, RRV-, and SFV-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors into adherent cell lines can be significantly increased by using a combination of Polybrene and plates coated with CH-296 recombinant fibronectin fragments. Together, our data suggest that RRV and SFV glycoproteins might be suitable as alternatives to VSV-G for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors.

Ross River virus (RRV) and Semliki Forest virus (SFV) are two alphaviruses that have a high degree of amino acid homology, as well as a very broad host range. We show here that envelope glycoproteins derived from both viruses can pseudotype human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-derived lentivirus vectors. Both RRV and SFV glycoproteins considerably expand the host range of the lentivirus vector, and vectors can be efficiently concentrated by ultracentrifugation. A systematic analysis comparing the alphaviral glycoproteins to the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) revealed that lentivirus vectors incorporate RRV glycoproteins with an efficiency comparable to that of VSV-G. Both pseudotypes have comparable physical titers, but infectious titers with the RRV pseudotype are lower than with VSV-G. Incorporation of SFV glycoproteins into lentivirus vector is less efficient, leading to decreased physical and infectious titers. The transduction rates with VSV-G-, RRV-, and SFV-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors into adherent cell lines can be significantly increased by using a combination of Polybrene and plates coated with CH-296 recombinant fibronectin fragments. Together, our data suggest that RRV and SFV glycoproteins might be suitable as alternatives to VSV-G for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors.

Both RRV and SFV glycoproteins considerably expand the host range of the lentivirus vector, and vectors can be efficiently concentrated by ultracentrifugation. A systematic analysis comparing the alphaviral glycoproteins to the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) revealed that lentivirus vectors incorporate RRV glycoproteins with an efficiency comparable to that of VSV-G. Both pseudotypes have comparable physical titers, but infectious titers with the RRV pseudotype are lower than with VSV-G. Incorporation of SFV glycoproteins into lentivirus vector is less efficient, leading to decreased physical and infectious titers. The transduction rates with VSV-G-, RRV-, and SFV-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors into adherent cell lines can be significantly increased by using a combination of Polybrene and plates coated with CH-296 recombinant fibronectin fragments. Together, our data suggest that RRV and SFV glycoproteins might be suitable as alternatives to VSV-G for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors.
and SFV glycoproteins can be incorporated into HIV-1-derived lentivirus vectors and form infectious pseudotypes. The RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors have an expanded host range with comparable affinities for cells as the VSV-G-pseudotyped lentivirus vector. HIV vector pseudotyped by the glycoprotein of either alphavirus can be concentrated by ultracentrifugation. In addition, we conducted a comparative study of the efficiency of incorporation of the VSV, RRV, and SFV glycoproteins into lentivirus vector particles. Our findings indicate that RRV glycoproteins might be an attractive alternative to the commonly used VSV-G protein for developing safe and efficient pseudotyped lentivirus vectors for clinical gene-transfer applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. The human embryonic fibroblast cell lines 293T and 293, the human fibrosarcoma cell line HT-1080, MRC-5 (human lung fibroblast), and HeLa (human cervical carcinoma), K562 (human myeloid progenitor), BeWo (human choriocarcinoma), HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma), Vero (African green monkey kidney epithelial), and NIH 3T3 (mouse fibroblasts) cells were all obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection and maintained in D-10 medium (Dulbecco modified Eagle medium [Gibco-BRL], Grand Island, N.J.) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (HyClone, Logan, Utah), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco-BRL), and 100 U of penicillin and 100 μg of streptomycin (Gibco-BRL) ml.^−1.

Construction of glycoprotein expression plasmids. Comparable glycoprotein expression plasmids, which differ only in the coding regions, were constructed as follows. To construct the target plasmid pCI-DestB, the Gateway destination module (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions by using as a transfer vector either pRRLsin-CMV-GFP (13.2 μg; Cell Genesys) or pcDNA-HIV-CS-CGW (18 μg [gift from Margaret Kielian, Albert Einstein College of Medicine]).

Vector production. Lentivirus vectors were produced by transient transfection of 293T cells. A total of 5 × 10^6 cells were seeded in 75-cm^2 tissue culture flasks 24 h before transfection in D-10 medium. Cells were refed with fresh D-10 medium 24 h before transfection in D-10 medium. Cells were refed with fresh D-10 medium 24 h before transfection. Transfection was performed by calcium phosphate precipitation method (Profection kit; Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RESULTS

RRV and SFV glycoproteins pseudotype lentivirus vectors. To determine whether the glycoproteins from the alphaviruses RRV and SFV would pseudotype lentivirus vectors, we produced vector by transient transfection and compared the transduction efficiencies of RRV and SFV-pseudotyped particles to those of particles pseudotyped with VSV-G. The initial experiments utilized the pRRLsin-CMV-GFP transfer vector, which...
expresses the GFP; gene transfer in target cells can therefore be assessed by flow cytometric analysis. The plasmid used for VSV-G expression, pMD.G, has been used extensively for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors. The plasmids for RRV and SFV glycoprotein expression, called pRRV-E2E1A and pSFV-E2E1A, respectively, express the alphaviral glycoproteins as a polyprotein that is cleaved to form the E3, E2, 6k, and E1 proteins (41, 43). The plasmids are shown in Fig. 1A. Both the RRV and the SFV glycoproteins have been shown to successfully pseudotype oncoretroviruses and were therefore selected for use in our initial attempts to pseudotype lentivirus vectors (41, 44). In the initial evaluation, vector particles were generated by using an identical amount of glycoprotein expression plasmid with a constant amount of lentiviral packaging (pMDLg and pRSV/Rev) and transfer vector plasmid (pRRLsin-CMV-GFP). Transient transfection was performed by using calcium phosphate transfection, and vector-containing supernatants were harvested after 48 h.

As shown in Table 1, supernatant harvested from transfections containing the RRV and SFV glycoproteins demonstrates that alphavirus glycoproteins can pseudotype HIV-1-based lentivirus vectors, although the transduction titers of both pseudotypes were below that obtained by using the VSV glycoprotein. As a control, transient transfections were performed with packaging and transfer vectors without the addition of glycoprotein expression plasmid. In this case, there was no transduction of 293 cells, confirming that the alphavirus glycoproteins were responsible for gene transfer. Transduction was also confirmed by using real-time PCR to detect vector sequences in genomic DNA of transduced cells by using our previously published technique (data not shown) (39).

RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors display transduction properties similar to VSV-G pseudotypes. Lentivirus vectors pseudotyped with the VSV glycoprotein transduce a broader target cell population in comparison to the limited cell populations susceptible to wild-type HIV envelope. To determine whether the RRV and SFV glycoproteins would also allow lentivirus vectors to transduce a variety of cell types, vector preparations were generated by transient transfection and incubated with eight cell lines. Prior to transduction the titers of the vector preparations were determined on 293 cells, and the amount of vector used to transduce the eight cell lines was normalized to a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.2 (one infectious vector particle per five target cells). At this MOI, most transduced cells contain no more than one vector integrant, facilitating an estimate of gene transfer by flow cytometric analysis.

There was little difference in the susceptibility of a particular cell line to transduction by VSV, RRV, or SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped GFP vectors (Fig. 2). There was considerable

---

**TABLE 1. Infectious titers of VSV, RRV, and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprotein</th>
<th>Mean infectious titer (TU/ml) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSV (pMD.G)</td>
<td>(1.51 ± 1.47) × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV (pRRV-E2E1A)</td>
<td>(1.67 ± 0.23) × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFV (pSFV-E2E1A)</td>
<td>(2.23 ± 1.88) × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Influenza titers were determined on 293 cells. Values represent the means of the results of three independent experiments.

* The plasmid used for glycoprotein expression is indicated in parentheses.
variability between the cell lines in the efficiency of lentivirus gene transfer. For the human adherent cell lines tested (HeLa, BeWo, HepG2, and MRC5 cancer cells), the transduction efficiencies of all three pseudotypes were similar to those observed with the 293 cells. Certain cell lines, such as the non-adherent K562 cell line, monkey Vero cells, and the murine NIH 3T3 cell line, showed little evidence of gene transfer at this MOI, regardless of the pseudotype. The variability in gene transfer efficiency among cell lines has been reported for VSV-G, although the mechanisms for this variability are currently unknown (5, 15, 39). Low transduction of Vero cells by VSV-G pseudotyped HIV-1-derived vector has been demonstrated before and is possibly due to an intracellular inhibitor for HIV-1 integration common to Old World monkeys (24).

Conversely, the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter used to drive GFP transgene expression in the present study has been shown to provide only low-level transgene expression in murine cells transduced with lentivirus vectors (25). Thus, the alphavirus glycoproteins expand the host range of the lentivirus vector in a manner similar to VSV-G.

**Increased transduction efficiencies with a combination of Polybrene and CH-296 recombinant fibronectin fragments.** A variety of methods have been used to increase the gene transfer efficiency of oncoretroviruses. Polycations such as Polybrene and protamine sulfate have long been known to increase gene transfer efficiency, presumably by facilitating interaction between the negatively charged vector particles and target cells (8, 9, 45). More recently, colocalization of oncoretroviral particles with target cells by using fibronectin has also been shown to increase gene transfer (33, 46) by binding vector particles via a high-affinity heparin binding site and binding cells via VLA-4 and/or VLA-5 binding sites (20).

To determine whether polycations or fibronectin fragments might increase the transduction efficiency of pseudotyped lentivirus vectors, we transduced cell lines that demonstrated high- and low-level expression of VLA-4 and VLA-5. Using fluorescein isothiocyanate- and phycoerythrin-conjugated antibodies against VLA-4 and VLA-5, respectively, the HT-1080 human fibrosarcoma line was shown to be a high expresser of both antigens, whereas the 293 cell line demonstrated low expression of these antigens (data not shown). HT-1080 and 293 cells were transduced at an MOI of 0.2 for 4 h. The percentage of GFP-expressing cells was analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis at 72 h posttransduction. As shown in Fig. 3A, Polybrene alone significantly increased the gene transfer efficiency in HT-1080 cells for all three pseudotypes ($P < 0.005$). The effect of Polybrene was greater than that of the recombinant fibronectin fragment CH-296, which increased gene transfer only with the VSV-G and RRV pseudotypes ($P < 0.005$). The combination of Polybrene and CH-296 was superior to either agent alone for the RRV- and VSV-G-pseudotyped vectors ($P < 0.05$).

Similarly, in 293 cells the combination of Polybrene and CH-296 was superior to either treatment alone or no treatment (Fig. 3B, $P < 0.05$). In 293 cells, Polybrene only and CH-296 only treatment groups were not significantly different from each other at $P = 0.05$, whereas in HT-1080 cells treatment with Polybrene above led to higher transduction levels than seen with CH-296 alone ($P < 0.001$). For the SFV pseudotype, either Polybrene or fibronectin alone was not significantly better than the control but, together, they enhanced gene transfer.

**Optimized production of lentivirus pseudotypes.** Despite the structural differences between the alphavirus glycoproteins and VSV-G, our initial observations demonstrate similarities
in their ability to transduce a variety of cell lines and their gene transfer potential in the presence of polycations and CH-296. The major difference we observed related to the titer of vector produced by transient transfection (Table 1). To evaluate this further, the concentration of glycoprotein plasmid used in vector production was analyzed. Our initial experiments utilized concentrations of glycoprotein, transfer, and packaging plasmids optimized for production of VSV-G-pseudotyped vector. It is known that efficient incorporation of heterologous proteins, specifically SFV glycoproteins, into oncoretrovirus vector particles is only possible when the heterologous proteins are expressed at high densities at the cell surface (44). To determine whether the lower titers observed for RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors were due to suboptimal concentrations of glycoprotein expression plasmids, transient transfections were performed by using a range of glycoprotein plasmid dilutions. Varying the amount of VSV, RRV, and SFV glycoprotein plasmids between 0.2 and 7.6 pmol (corresponding to a 0.3- to 10-fold change in the original plasmid amounts) did not significantly improve vector titer. We found that infectious titers of all three pseudotyped vectors were fairly constant, except at the higher plasmid concentrations when the titers markedly decreased (Fig. 4). Titers of RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors at all glycoprotein plasmid dilutions were always lower than the titers of the VSV-G pseudotype. Therefore, the amount of glycoprotein plasmid used in transient-transfection assays was not a major factor in the different titers observed with the various alphaviral glycoproteins.

Another potential variable that may influence vector production is the level of glycoprotein expression. In our initial experiments, VSV-G was expressed from the plasmid pMD.G, which contains a β-globin intron that is thought to increase RNA stability and thereby protein expression (4). The RRV and SFV glycoproteins were expressed from the pcDNA3.1-Zeo(+) backbone that does not contain this intron (41). To standardize the vector backbone and regulatory elements driving glycoprotein expression, the VSV, RRV, and SFV glycoprotein expression cassettes were subcloned into the high-copy plasmid backbone pCI-DestB (Fig. 1b). The rabbit β-globin intron and the poly(A) addition signal from the VSV-G expression vector pMD.G were used in all three constructs. We expected that the inclusion of the β-globin intron would result in significantly higher expression levels for RRV and SFV glycoproteins. Surprisingly, infectious titers for all three pseudotyped vectors dropped compared to titers obtained with the original plasmid backbones (compare the results in Table 2 with those in Table 1). Since all three pseudotypes had lower

FIG. 3. Effect of Polybrene and the fibronectin fragment CH-296 on transduction of cells low or high in expression of VLA-4 and VLA-5 cell adhesion markers. HT-1080 cells (high expresser) (A) and 293 cells (low expresser) (B) were each transduced with VSV-, RRV-, and SFV-glycoprotein pseudotyped lentivirus vectors at an MOI of 0.2 by using various combinations of Polybrene and CH-296. Transductions were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean.
titers, this suggests that the pCI backbone was responsible for the modestly lower titers. Interestingly, the RRV titers decreased less than VSV-G, suggesting that the original plasmid constructs may have played a partial role in the titer differences observed. Therefore, we believe that the standardization of plasmid backbone and regulatory elements is important, and the pCI-based glycoprotein expression plasmids were utilized in subsequent experiments.

To offset the decrease in titer in the pCI-based plasmid constructs, we investigated the use of a modified transfer vector (pHIV-CS-CGW) that contains the central polypurine tract of HIV and the woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional regulatory element (48, 49). For the three pseudotyped vectors, the addition of these regulatory elements to the transfer vector led to titers that were up to 10-fold higher (Table 2, right column). This transfer plasmid was therefore used in subsequent experiments.

Incorporation of glycoproteins into the lentivirus vector. After we determined that the glycoprotein plasmid constructs and their concentration did not play a major role in the titer differences observed, we assessed the efficiency of glycoprotein incorporation into vector particles and the release of particles into the supernatant. Transient transfection of 293T cells was performed and, after 48 h, the amounts of virus glycoproteins were analyzed in vector supernatants and in producer cell lysates. Western blot analysis was performed by using monoclonal antibodies for the VSV glycoprotein, the RRV E1 glycoprotein, and a polyclonal antibody for the SFV glycoproteins. Glycoproteins were detected in both cell lysates and in the vector-particle-containing supernatant medium (Fig. 5). To compare the amount of glycoprotein detected with the number of potential viral particles in the test material, the lower portion of the blot (less than 30 kDa) was probed with a monoclonal antibody specific for the HIV-1 p24 capsid protein. Strikingly, levels of p24 capsid protein were significantly decreased in supernatants of SFV-pseudotyped vector productions compared to the corresponding cell lysate (Fig. 5C). This difference was confirmed by an additional immunoblot analysis of the original vector preparation and analysis of an independently generated preparation of pseudotyped vectors (data not shown).

To further characterize the vector particles that are generated after transient transfection, the physical titer of vector particles was compared to the infectious titers. Quantitative RT-PCR amplification of vector RNA was performed to estimate the number of vector genomes per ml of vector supernatant (Table 3). It was observed that the amount of vector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprotein</th>
<th>Mean infectious titer (TU/ml) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VSV (pCI-MD.G)</strong></td>
<td>(4.6 ± 1.6) × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RRV (pCI-RRV)</strong></td>
<td>(9 ± 3) × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFV (pCI-SFV)</strong></td>
<td>(8 ± 6) × 10^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Infectious titers were determined on 293 cells. Values represent the means of the results of three independent experiments.

* The plasmid used for glycoprotein expression is indicated in parentheses.
RNA was similar between VSV and RRV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vector preparations. This suggests that the lower infectious titers observed with RRV glycoprotein-pseudotyped particles results from their decreased capacity to transduce target cells. Physical titers for SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped particles were 10-fold lower than those for VSV-G-pseudotyped particles, which suggests that the lower infectious titer observed when SFV glycoprotein- and VSV-G-pseudotyped particles (almost 100-fold) are compared is due both to lower vector production and decreased ability to transduce target cells.

The relatively large difference between the infectious titer and physical titer is consistent with previously reported results and probably derives from the large number of defective particles generated during the production process (39). The RNA titers were consistent with physical titers calculated by using the p24 ELISA assay (Table 3). Together, these results suggest that differential incorporation of the respective glycoproteins into lentivirus vectors, as well as excess or virus free envelope which can serve as defective interfering particles, may contribute to the observed titer differences between VSV-G-, RRV-, and SFV-pseudotyped vectors.

**RRV and SFV-glycoprotein pseudotyped vectors are stable during ultraconcentration.** In addition to the broad target cell tropism conveyed by VSV-G, the ability of this glycoprotein to survive ultracentrifugation permits the concentration of vector. Sharkey et al. have shown that RRV-pseudotyped MuLV vectors are stable during ultracentrifugation, suggesting that concentration may also be possible with HIV-1-based lentivirus vectors (41). Lentivirus vector supernatants containing VSV, RRV, and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped GFP vectors were subjected to ultracentrifugation, as described in Materials and Methods. The RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors can be successfully concentrated ~90-fold (Table 4); this is similar to the concentration achievable with VSV-G-pseudotyped vectors (5). This concentration is accomplished with relatively little loss of infectious particles (yields of 79 and 83% for the RRV and SFV pseudotypes, respectively). These results demonstrate that each of the alphavirus glycoproteins is stable during ultracentrifugation and permit efficient concentration of vector particles pseudotyped with them. For RRV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors, ultracentrifugation can generate titers suitable for in vivo use or other applications requiring highly concentrated material.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we demonstrate that glycoproteins derived from the alphaviruses RRV and SFV can pseudotype lentivirus vectors derived from HIV-1. Our data show that incorporation of either RRV or SFV glycoproteins into pseudotyped lentiviral particles can render them capable of infecting a range of human cell types. The particles are also stable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprotein</th>
<th>Mean p24&lt;sub&gt; gag&lt;/sub&gt; level (ng/ml) ± SD</th>
<th>Mean RNA level (RNA molecules/ml) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSV (pCI-MD.G)</td>
<td>327 ± 85</td>
<td>(1.35 ± 0.63) × 10&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV (pCI-RRV)</td>
<td>308 ± 8</td>
<td>(1.40 ± 0.49) × 10&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFV (pCI-SFV)</td>
<td>147 ± 2</td>
<td>(2.50 ± 0.53) × 10&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Values represent the means of the results of three independent experiments.
<sup>b</sup> The plasmid used for glycoprotein expression is indicated in parentheses.
TABLE 4. Concentrations of pseudotyped lentivirus vectors as determined by ultracentrifugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycoprotein*</th>
<th>Mean titer (TU/ml) ± SD</th>
<th>Mean yield (%) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV (pCI-MD.G)</td>
<td>(4.4 ± 1.5) × 10^6</td>
<td>(1.8 ± 1.0) × 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRV (pCI-RRV)</td>
<td>(7.1 ± 1.5) × 10^6</td>
<td>(5.2 ± 1.4) × 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFV (pCI-SFV)</td>
<td>(4.7 ± 0.1) × 10^8</td>
<td>(5.6 ± 4.0) × 10^8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Initial and final titers were determined before and after ultracentrifugation respectively. Values represent the means of the results of four independent experiments. Yield is the percentage of vector remaining after centrifugation.

The plasmid used for glycoprotein expression is indicated in parentheses.

During ultracentrifugation and can be concentrated efficiently and with high yields. Although the titer of vectors pseudotyped with the SFV glycoproteins was consistently lower than that obtained with those pseudotyped with VSV-G, the titer obtained with RRV glycoprotein-pseudotyped HIV-1 vectors is sufficient to warrant further studies to determine the potential of these pseudotypes for animal and human gene therapy applications.

To date, VSV-G has been the glycoprotein of choice for many investigators developing lentivirus vectors, mainly due to its wide host range, high infectious titers, and stability in ultracentrifugation protocols. On the other hand, prolonged VSV-G expression has been shown to be toxic to cells (5, 37). Therefore, the construction of stable packaging cell lines with VSV-G has been hindered. We show here that RRV and SFV glycoproteins form infectious pseudotypes with HIV-1 lentiviral particles and that they considerably broaden the host range of the lentivirus vector. Previously, SFV glycoproteins have been shown to incorporate into MuLV particles, but the particles were not evaluated for infectivity (44). Our results provide the first estimates of infectious titers of SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped retroviruses. We also add HIV to the list of viruses (specifically, MuLV and FIV) pseudotyped by RRV with infectious titers comparable to those obtained with VSV-G (27, 41). In addition, Sharkey et al. have demonstrated successful construction of a stable packaging cell line for RRV-pseudotyped MuLV vector, suggesting that RRV glycoproteins can be stably expressed on the cell surface for long periods of time, without inducing cell toxicity (41). Thus, our results, as well as previous work, reveal characteristics of the RRV glycoproteins that may make them an attractive alternative to VSV-G for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors.

Alphaviruses occur throughout the world, and several members of this large viral family are known to pose a health risk to humans. RRV is endemic to Australia and is responsible for outbreaks of epidemic polyarthritis in humans (21). SFV has been found mainly in West Africa and there caused fever, headaches, arthralgia, and myalgia (26). Both viruses are transmitted by mosquitoes; the primary vertebrate reservoir of RRV seems to be marsupials and other small mammals (43). Despite their different geographic distribution and pathogenicity, the viruses share extensive amino acid identity: 73% in structural and 78% in nonstructural proteins (7). Nevertheless, our results show significant differences in the ability of their glycoproteins to pseudotype HIV-1-derived lentivirus vectors. Using expression plasmids with identical control elements, the infectious titers of RRV and SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vectors were about 5-fold and 65-fold lower, respectively, than the titers of vectors pseudotyped with VSV-G.

Western blot analysis revealed differing incorporation efficiencies of the VSV versus the alphaviral glycoproteins. There were only moderate differences in the ratios of glycoproteins to p24 capsid protein when we compared VSV-G- and RRV-pseudotyped vector supernatants. Also, vector particles, as measured by p24 and RNA titer assays, were found to be similar. This suggests that the difference in titer observed may relate to factors at the level of the target cell rather than to vector production. Strikingly, we observed a consistent decrease in p24 capsid protein in supernatants of SFV-pseudotyped vector compared to cell lysates. There could be several possible explanations for this. It is possible that SFV glycoproteins do not incorporate efficiently into lentivirus vector particles, leading to decreased budding of infectious vector virus containing the p24 capsid. Instead, SFV glycoproteins may simply be shed into the supernatant medium, similar to VSV-G, which can be secreted into conditioned medium (1). It has been shown that increased levels of the 4070A glycoprotein in the supernatant medium of pseudotyped MuLV vector can interfere with transduction by presumably blocking receptor binding sites, and SFV glycoproteins may potentially inhibit transduction by a similar mechanism (42). Alternatively, expression of SFV glycoproteins may inhibit the processing or transport of p24 capsid proteins to the sites of virus budding, thus preventing efficient vector particle assembly. Lastly, SFV glycoproteins could be sequestered after secretion by binding glycosaminoglycans on the surface of producer cells. Alphaviruses, such as Sindbis virus, that have been exposed to prolonged passage in tissue culture often acquire the ability to attach to cell surface heparan sulfate. In contrast to SFV, the RRV strain used in the present study has a relatively short passage history and has been shown not to bind heparan sulfate unless mutations are introduced within the RRV glycoproteins (6, 22). Taken together, our data indicates that decreased amounts of capsid protein and viral genomic RNA in supernatants of SFV glycoprotein-pseudotyped vector could partly account for its lower infectious titers.

The efficiency of gene transfer for oncoretrovirus and lentivirus vectors has been enhanced by using a variety of agents that promote interactions between target cells and vector particles (8, 9, 45). A number of studies have demonstrated the utility of polycations and fibronectin fragments to increase transduction with mainly amphotropic or gibbon ape leukemia virus-pseudotyped oncoretrovirus vectors (19, 36). Our results demonstrate that when VSV-G-pseudotyped lentivirus vectors are used, both Polybrene and the fibronectin fragment CH-296 alone can significantly increase transduction into adherent cells. These effects were also seen when RRV glycoproteins were used and were less pronounced when SFV glycoproteins were used, suggesting that the alphavirus glycoproteins may differ somewhat in their net charge and their binding affinity for fibronectin. In all cases, the highest transduction was achieved with both Polybrene and CH-296 in combination. This finding contrasts with studies with oncoretroviruses pseudotyped with the amphotropic envelope, which have shown that Polybrene can actually inhibit the effect of fibronectin fragments on transduction (2, 31). Most investigators have reported that fibronectin does not increase gene transfer into
either human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells or human T lymphocytes by VSV-G-pseudotyped lentivirus vector (10, 18, 38, 47), although one study showed increased gene transfer into nonhuman primate CD34+ cells (12). Fibronectin is believed to enhance gene transfer by two mechanisms, (i) the colocalization of target cells with vector particles and (ii) by binding vector particles, thereby increasing their local concentration (19). In our study, the high expression of VLA-4 and VLA-5 did not correlate with higher gene transfer compared to low-expression cells. It may be that the concentrating ability is more important for the pseudotypes tested. Also, VLA-4 and VLA-5 binding sites on fibronectin may be more important for nonadherent cells than the adherent cells used in the present study. Given the variability of benefit from CH-296 reported with lentivirus vectors, it appears that transduction conditions need to be carefully optimized for each pseudotyped vector and target cell.

A recent study using pseudotyped FIV-based lentivirus vectors has demonstrated the ability of RRV glycoproteins to mediate efficient transduction of target cells in vivo (27). When injected into mice, this vector transduced liver cells with greater efficiency and less toxicity than a similar vector pseudotyped with VSV-G. Also, the study demonstrated preferential transduction of neuralgial cells rather than neurons by RRV glycoprotein-pseudotyped FIV in the mouse brain. On the other hand, recombinant SFV vectors have been shown to preferentially transduce neurons in rat hippocampal slice cultures (13, 14). Thus, RRV glycoproteins might be useful for gene transfer into the liver, and both RRV and SFV glycoproteins might have possible applications in the area of neurodegenerative diseases. Recently, we have also noted differences in transduction efficiency of certain target cell populations when we compared VSV-G and alphavirus envelopes. Although VSV-G-pseudotyped HIV vectors can transduce most human hematopoietic cell lines efficiently, RRV and SFV glycoproteins appear to transduce primary and immortalized hematopoietic cells at very low efficiency (C. Kahl et al., Am. Soc. Gene Ther. 6th Annu. Meet., abstr. 90, 2003).

In conclusion, lentivirus vectors pseudotyped with either RRV or SFV glycoproteins can successfully pseudotype HIV-1-derived lentivirus vector and considerably expand its host range. Both are stable enough to permit efficient concentration of vector by ultracentrifugation, and the RRV glycoproteins permit generation of titers sufficient for clinical applications. Since alphavirus glycoproteins can be stably expressed without any visible cytotoxicity, their role in the construction of stable producer cell lines warrants further investigation. Our findings indicate that RRV glycoproteins may serve as an attractive alternative to VSV-G for pseudotyping lentivirus vectors intended for basic and clinical gene transfer applications.
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