Evasion of Cellular Antiviral Responses by Human Cytomegalovirus TRS1 and IRS1
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During infection with human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), cellular protein synthesis continues even as viral proteins are being synthesized in abundance. Thus, HCMV may have a mechanism for counteracting host cell antiviral pathways that act by shutting off translation. Consistent with this view, HCMV infection of human fibroblasts rescues the replication of a vaccinia virus mutant lacking the double-stranded RNA-binding protein gene E3L (VVΔE3L). HCMV also prevents the phosphorylation of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF-2α, the activation of RNase L, and the shut off of viral and cellular protein synthesis that otherwise result from VVΔE3L infection. To identify the HCMV gene(s) responsible for these effects, we prepared a library of VVΔE3L recombinants containing HCMV genomic fragments. By infecting nonpermissive cells with this library and screening for VV gene expression and replication, we isolated a virus containing a 2.8-kb HCMV fragment that rescues replication of VVΔE3L. The fragment comprises the 3′ end of the JIS open reading frame through the entire TRS1 gene. Analyses of additional VVΔE3L recombinants revealed that the protein encoded by TRS1, pTRS1, as well as the closely related IRS1 gene, rescues VVΔE3L replication and prevent the shut off of protein synthesis, the phosphorylation of eIF-2α, and activation of RNase L. These results demonstrate that TRS1 and IRS1 are able to counteract critical host cell antiviral response pathways.

Among the cellular responses to viral infections are at least two interferon-induced, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-activated pathways that are designed to shut off protein synthesis and thereby limit viral replication (reviewed in references 30 and 35). Activation of the protein kinase R (PKR) results in phosphorylation of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF-2α, which in turn inhibits translation initiation. Oligoadenylate synthetases (OAS) activate RNase L, resulting in degradation of rRNA and mRNAs and thereby limiting the synthesis of both viral and cellular proteins.

Because viral replication requires protein synthesis, many viruses contain genes that counteract these pathways. Some of these genes encode inhibitory RNAs, such as VA1 RNA of adenovirus, while others encode proteins that interfere with various steps in the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways (30, 35). Several viruses contain two or more genes that prevent the shut off of protein synthesis. For example, vaccinia virus (VV) produces a dsRNA-binding protein, pE3L, as well as a PKR pseudosubstrate, pK3L (19). Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) contains U3L, which encodes a dsRNA-binding protein as well as γ34.5, the product of which stimulates the dephosphorylation of eIF-2α phosphate (18, 27).

Preventing activation of these antiviral response pathways is critical for viral pathogenesis. VV lacking E3L (VVΔE3L) exhibits markedly reduced virulence compared to that of wild-type (WT) VV in animal models (5, 39). An HSV-1 mutant lacking the γ34.5 gene exhibits reduced virulence in WT mice, but it is virulent in PKR-null mice, highlighting the specificity of γ34.5 for countering the PKR-mediated response (26).

Previously, we reported that human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infection rescues replication and late gene expression of VVΔE3L and prevents the shutoff of protein synthesis, the phosphorylation of eIF-2α, and the activation of RNase L that otherwise result from VVΔE3L infection of human fibroblasts (HFF) (8). These observations suggested that HCMV has one or more genes that are able to block the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways. Here we report that TRS1 and the closely related IRS1 gene can each rescue VVΔE3L replication, maintain active protein synthesis, reduce the phosphorylation of eIF-2α, and block OAS/RNase L activation. Thus, HCMV has at least two genes that function in blocking key interferon-induced dsRNA-activated antiviral pathways.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and virus. HF and BHK cells were maintained at 37°C and in a 5% CO2 atmosphere in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% NuSerum (Collaborative Biomedical), penicillin-streptomycin (100 U/ml), and 2 mM l-glutamine. VV Copenhagen strain (VC2) (38) and VVΔE3L (2), both obtained from Bertram Jacobs (Arizona State University), were propagated and titered in BHK cells. Growth and titration of VV stocks were carried out in BHK cells essentially as has been described (13), except that virus stocks were partially purified after cell lysis by centrifugation through a 36% sucrose cushion prior to resuspension in 1 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), aliquoting, and storage at −70°C. β-Galactosidase (β-Gal) activity in infected cells was measured by a fluorometric substrate cleavage assay as has been described (3).

Construction of recombination plasmids and HCMV(Towne) genomic libraries. Our initial VV recombinant vector was derived from pSC11 (6) by first removing the Escherichia coli lacZ gene by digesting with XhoI, blunting with Klenow fragment, and then digesting with ClaI. pEQ853 resulted from insertion into these sites of an AflI-to-ClaI fragment containing the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-Puro cassette from pEGFP-Puro (1). Insertion of the BamHI restriction enzyme site by BamHI digestion, blunting, and religation. To remove an EcoRI site and an upstream AUG codon, the PCR product containing the 7.5K and 11K VV promoters, resulting from amplification of pEQ853 with primers
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ACCGTTAGCTCGAGGTATAGCACAGAAAAAAATG and GCC ATAGCTACAGAATCCCCGGAGCTCGTG, was digested with BamHI and XhoI and then reinserted into the same sites of pEQ853. The resulting plasmid, pEQ854, contains unique BamHI and EcoRI sites immediately downstream of the VV 7.5K promoter and, along with a VV11K promoter/EFGP-Puro cassette, and both are flanked by VV thymidine kinase (TK) sequences to allow insertion into the viral genome (Fig. 1).

A later version of our recombination vector, pEQ862, was generated by replacing the 11K VV promoter in pEQ854 with the HCMV immediate-early promoter. The HCMV promoter was derived from pEGFP-Puro by digestion with SpeI and EcoRI, treatment with mung bean nuclease, and religation. A 605-bp AgeI-XhoI fragment from the resulting plasmid was then inserted into pEQ854 that had been digested with AgeI and partially digested with XhoI to remove an ∼111-bp fragment containing the p11K promoter.

An HCMV(Towne) genomic library was constructed by digesting viral DNA with restriction enzymes EcoRI, ApoI, and MfeI, both individually and in each pairwise combination. The fragments were pooled and ligated into pEQ854 at the EcoRI site. The resulting library clones were pooled, and DNA was purified by using a Qiagen plasmid maxi kit. A second library was constructed by inserting HCMV genomic fragments digested with BamHI, BclI, and BglII, both individually and in pairwise combination, into the BamHI site of pEQ854. pEQ855, which contains the VV 7.5K gene, was constructed by PCR amplification of VV 7.5K promoter in opposite orientation to that of the VV 11K promoter and analyzed by Southern blotting and sequencing (34).

For each of the HCMV library constructs, VVΔ3E3L, BHK cells in 60-mm-diameter dishes were infected with VVΔ3E3L (multiplicity of infection [MOI], 0.1), and at 4 h postinfection (hpi) the cells were transfected with 4 μg of the library DNA by using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen) as specified in Materials and Methods. After 72 hpi, the cells were collected and freeze-thawed three times, and half of the lysate was used to infect a 150-mm-diameter dish of VVΔ3E3L-permissive HF. After 72 hpi, these cells were harvested, and 1/5 of the resulting lysate was used to infect HF cells in a fresh 150-mm-diameter dish. Cells were again harvested at 72 hpi, and dilutions of the resulting lysate were plated on 100-mm dishes of HF. A single plaque arising from this passage was plaque purified three times in HF prior to further analyses. The resulting recombinant, VVVCL1, was analyzed by Southern blotting and sequencing (34).

Other recombinant viruses were made by VVΔ3E3L infection, followed by plasmid transfection of BHK cells and then selection in HF as described above. Subsequently, recombinant viruses were plaque purified three times in HF cells prior to further analysis. Recombinant VVs that did not replicate in HF were identified and purified by passage of the infection-transfection progeny through BHK cells. One of two duplicate plaque lifts on nitrocellulose filters, used to infect BHK cells, and subjected to plaque lifts two more times prior to growth and analysis. Recombinants VVs were named according to the names of the pEQ vector used in their construction. For example, VVeq855 was made by the recombination of pEQ855 into VVΔ3E3L.

**DNA analysis.** HCMV(Towne) cosmid DNA was isolated from *E. coli* (22). HCMV DNA was purified from infected HF lysates, and VV DNA was purified from cyttoplasmic extracts (14). The DNAs were digested with the indicated restriction enzymes, separated on 0.7% agarose gels, blotted to nitrocellulose, and analyzed by Southern blotting. Probes consisted of VVVCL1 genomic DNA or cloned, purified HCMV TRS1 or J1S fragments labeled with [32P]dCTP by random priming.

**Immunoblot analysis.** At the indicated times postinfection, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and then lysed with 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) at 65°C. Cellular and viral DNA was sheared by three to six 30-s pulses in a Bresonic 3 bath sonicator. The protein concentration was determined by fluoroddehyde o-phenalddehyde (Pierce) assay (16), equivalent amounts of the resulting cell lysates were denatured at 95°C for 5 min and separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels, and the proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride transfer membrane by electroblotting. Immunoblot analysis was carried out with monoclonal antibodies specific for pTRS1 and pJ1S obtained from Thomas Shenk (Princeton University) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

**Selection of VVVCL1 and construction of other VVΔ3E3L-based recombinants.** For each of the VVΔ3E3L recombinant library constructs, VVΔ3E3L, BHK cells in 60-mm-diameter dishes were infected with VVΔ3E3L (multiplicity of infection [MOI], 0.1), and at 4 h postinfection (hpi) the cells were transfected with 4 μg of the library DNA by using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen) as specified in Materials and Methods. After 72 hpi, the cells were collected and freeze-thawed three times, and half of the lysate was used to infect a 150-mm-diameter dish of VVΔ3E3L-permissive HF. After 72 hpi, these cells were harvested, and 1/5 of the resulting lysate was used to infect HF cells in a fresh 150-mm-diameter dish. Cells were again harvested at 72 hpi, and dilutions of the resulting lysate were plated on 100-mm dishes of HF. A single plaque arising from this passage was plaque purified three times in HF prior to further analyses. The resulting recombinant, VVVCL1, was analyzed by Southern blotting and sequencing (34).

Other recombinant viruses were made by VVΔ3E3L infection, followed by plasmid transfection of BHK cells and then selection in HF as described above. Subsequently, recombinant viruses were plaque purified three times in HF cells prior to further analysis. Recombinant VVs that did not replicate in HF were identified and purified by passage of the infection-transfection progeny through BHK cells. One of two duplicate plaque lifts on nitrocellulose filters, used to infect BHK cells, and subjected to plaque lifts two more times prior to growth and analysis. Recombinants VVs were named according to the names of the pEQ vector used in their construction. For example, VVeq855 was made by the recombination of pEQ855 into VVΔ3E3L.
University) (33). Anti-phospho-eIF-2α and anti-eIF-2α polyclonal antisera were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., and used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Radiolabeling of virus-infected cells. At 24 h post-VV infection, HF were pulse labeled with Tran[35S]label (50 μCi/ml; ICN) in medium lacking methionine and cysteine for 1 h. The cells were then washed and lysed, and genomic DNA was sheared as described above. The protein concentrations were determined, and equivalent amounts of each lysate were separated on SDS–12% polyacrylamide gels, also as described above. The gels were dried and visualized by autoradiography.

RNA analysis. Whole-cell RNA was harvested by using TRIZol Reagent (Invitrogen) and resolved on 1% formaldehyde gels, followed by blotting to nitrocellulose and Northern blot hybridization with an 18S RNA-specific probe generated by PCR amplification of HF DNA with oligonucleotides TACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAG and TAATGATCCTTCCGCAGGTTC and labeling with [32P]dCTP by random priming.

RESULTS

Isolation of VVCL1, a VVΔE3L recombinant that replicates in HF. In order to identify the HCMV gene or genes that rescue VVΔE3L replication in HF, we prepared a library of VVΔE3L recombinants containing HCMV(Towne) genomic fragments (Fig. 1). We first digested viral DNA with combinations of restriction enzymes and inserted the resulting fragments into either the EcoRI or the BamHI site of a recombinant vector adjacent to a VV 7.5K late promoter. After transfection of the EcoRI site library into VVΔE3L-infected BHK cells and serial passage of the progeny virus through VVΔE3L-nonpermissive HF, we isolated a single recombinant virus, VVCL1. A similar protocol carried out using the BamHI site library yielded no virus that was able to replicate in HF. For comparison in subsequent experiments, we also constructed a virus, designated VVe855, that contained the E3L gene inserted into the TK locus of VVΔE3L. Both VVCL1 and VVe855, like WT VV (VC2), formed plaques in HF, while VVΔE3L did not (Fig. 2).

We next identified the HCMV fragment present in VVCL1. Southern blot analysis of a set of HCMV cosmids that was carried out by using VVCL1 genomic DNA as a probe revealed bands in lanes containing DNA from cosmids Tn15 and Tn46 (Fig. 3A and B). Shared sequences between these two cosmids include the entire a and c repeats and 13 kb from the middle of the unique short (US) region. The cross-hybridizing band (8 kb) present in all lanes corresponds to the cosmid backbone. After direct sequencing of VVCL1 DNA was carried out by using a TK right primer, which revealed sequences matching the 3′ end of the TRS1 gene (data not shown), we examined VV DNA by Southern blot hybridization using TRS1 and J1S probes (Fig. 3C). Both probes identified bands in VVCL1 but not in VC2, VVΔE3L, or VVe855. HCMV lanes in these blots showed several bands, consistent with various terminal and junctional fragments expected to result from isomerization of the HCMV genome and amplification of the a sequence. Finally, we cloned a ~10-kb HindIII fragment from VVCL1 containing the HCMV sequences along with the flanking EGFP-Puro cassette and sequenced the HCMV fragment present at the EcoRI site. This 2.8-kb fragment was 92% identical to nucleotides 227038 through 229768 of the HCMV-AD169 genome (GenBank accession number NC_001347) (29), including 160 bp that align with the 3′ end of the J1S ORF present in HCMV(AD169) (7) followed by 217 bp that included the putative TRS1 promoter, the entire 2.4-kb TRS1 ORF, and ~42 bp downstream. The J1S sequence is poorly
conserved among HCMV strains (7, 36), and a recent reevaluation suggests that it may not be a bona fide coding ORF (12).

The protein encoded by TRS1 rescues VVΔE3L replication. Additional experiments verified that VVCL1 replicated much more efficiently than did VVΔE3L. In single-step growth experiments, VVCL1 produced ~60-fold more virus than did VVΔE3L (Fig. 4B). Titers of VVCL1 were 100-fold lower than those of VC2 but were only fivefold lower than those of VVeq855. Since VVΔE3L and its derivatives contain a lacZ gene that is under the control of a late VV promoter, moni-

FIG. 3. Southern blot analyses of VVCL1. (A) The HCMV genome contains unique long (UL) and short (US) segments flanked by inverted repeats (ab, b’a’c’, ca). The locations of the HCMV cosmid inserts and of the EcoRI/Apol fragment present in VVCL1 are shown. (B) DNAs from HCMV and from HCMV cosmids were digested with EcoRI and XhoI, separated electrophoretically, stained with ethidium bromide (top), and then hybridized with 32P-labeled VVCL1 DNA. Autoradiography (bottom) identified specific bands in Tn46 and Tn15 (*). (C) DNA from HCMV or from the indicated VV was digested with HindIII and then viewed by ethidium bromide staining (top) and hybridized with TRS1 (middle) and J1S (bottom) probes. VCL1 and HCMV, but none of the other VVs, contained TRS1 and IRS1 sequences. Molecular size markers (in kilobases) are indicated.
toring β-Gal provides a measure of VV late gene expression (8). VVCL1 and VVeq855 expressed 50- to 100-fold-higher levels of β-Gal than did VVΔE3L (Fig. 4C). VC2 does not have the lacZ cassette and so could not be compared to the VVΔE3L-derived recombinants by using this assay. Surprisingly, neither VVCL1 nor VVeq855 expressed detectable levels of EGFP, even though they contained an EGFP-Puro cassette. We used a slightly modified recombination vector, pEQ862, to construct the viruses described below. Despite confirmation of the EGFP-Puro cassette structure and observations of high levels of EGFP expression from both recombination vectors (pEQ854 and pEQ862) in transient-infection-transfection experiments, none of our recombinant viruses expressed EGFP at a level detectable by fluorescence microscopy (data not shown). Although we cannot explain the lack of EGFP expression, we were able to construct and plaque purify the viruses by selection in HF and by plaque hybridization (as described in Materials and Methods), and we were able to verify their genomic structures by Southern blot hybridizations (data not shown).

In order to confirm that the 2.8-kb insert rather than some adventitious second site mutation arising during the selection of VVCL1 was responsible for the rescue of VVΔE3L, we introduced the entire 2.8-kb fragment present in VVCL1 back into VVΔE3L. The resulting virus, VVeq880, recapitulated the phenotype of VVCL1 in that it replicated more efficiently and produced more β-Gal than did VVΔE3L (Fig. 4). Thus, the 2.8-kb HCMV segment in VVCL1 most likely contains a gene or genes that can substitute for E3L during VV replication in HF.

We next constructed additional VVΔE3L-based recombinants in order to determine which genetic element within the 2.8-kb insert in VVCL1 was responsible for rescuing VVΔE3L replication. VVeq883 contains the TRS1 promoter region and ORF but lacks the J1S segment, while VVeq906 contains the J1S segment and the TRS1 promoter region but not the TRS1 ORF (Fig. 4A). Immunoblot assays confirmed that VVeq883 expressed the TRS1-encoded protein, pTRS1, while VVeq906 did not (Fig. 5). VVeq883, but not VVeq906, replicated efficiently and produced high levels of β-Gal (Fig. 4), indicating that the TRS1 gene rather than the J1S segment contained the sequences required for rescue of VVΔE3L. The ~10-fold higher titers and β-Gal expression resulting from VVeq883 compared to that of VVCL1 and VVeq880 might be due to an inhibitory effect of the J1S fragment on expression of pTRS1.

FIG. 4. Replication of VV in HF. (A) Recombinant VV viruses containing the 7.5K promoter driving expression of the E3L gene or of the indicated fragments from HCMV, including a portion of the J1S ORF, an intercistronic region (stippled), and the TRS1 or IRS1 ORF were constructed as described in Materials and Methods. VVeq880 contains the same HCMV insert as VVCL1, but they were made independently and used different recombination plasmids. Compared to VVeq904, VVeq919 contains a single-nucleotide insertion at the start of the TRS1 ORF. (B and C) HF were mock infected or infected with the indicated VV (MOI, 3). At 24 hpi (panel B), viral titers of freeze-thaw lysates were measured by plaque assays on BHK cells, and β-Gal activities (panel C) were measured as described in Materials and Methods. Means ± standard deviations of results for triplicate samples are shown.
Consistent with this possibility, VVEq883 appeared to express more pTRS1 than did VVEq880 (Fig. 5).

We next constructed the virus VVEq904, which contained only the TRS1 ORF without the intercistronic and TRS1 promoter sequences. In this virus, the TRS1 ORF is expected to be under the transcriptional control of only the VV 7.5K promoter. VVEq904 replicated efficiently and expressed high levels of β-Gal, similar to VVEq883 (Fig. 4). Thus, the TRS1 ORF, but neither the JIS segment nor the intercistronic region between the JIS and TRS1 ORFs, was necessary to rescue VVΔE3L replication and late gene expression.

In other viral systems, RNAs and proteins have been identified that block the PKR pathway. To assess whether rescue of VVΔE3L required pTRS1 or only the TRS1 transcript, we constructed another recombinant, VVEq919, that contained the TRS1 fragment but with a single extra nucleotide inserted into the second codon of the TRS1 ORF. This insertion was designed to eliminate production of pTRS1 with minimal alteration of the mRNA structure. Instead of pTRS1, translation of this mRNA would be expected to produce a 72-amino-acid peptide from an alternative reading frame. We detected no full-length or truncated pTRS1 in cells infected with VVEq919 by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 5A). VVEq919 replicated to a very limited extent and produced low levels of β-Gal in HF, as was true for VVΔE3L (Fig. 4). This result strongly suggests that the protein product of TRS1, not its transcript, is responsible for the rescue of VVΔE3L.

**IRS1 also complements VVΔE3L replication.** Because TRS1 is partially encoded within the c repeats that flank the U5 region of the HCMV genome (Fig. 3), a second viral gene product, pIRS1, is highly related. In HCMV(AD169), the TRS1 and IRS1 ORFs are identical over the 5'-proximal 549 codons, corresponding to ~2/3 of the corresponding proteins, except for a single nucleotide difference reported to be at codon 190 (7). To investigate whether IRS1 can rescue VVΔE3L replication, we constructed a VVΔE3L-based recombinant virus containing the entire IRS1 ORF. We confirmed by immunoblot assay (Fig. 5B) that this virus, VVEq905, expressed pIRS1. In a single-step growth experiment, VVEq905 replicated to approximately the same level and expressed similar levels of β-Gal as did the recombinants that express pTRS1 (Fig. 4). These results identify IRS1 as a second HCMV gene that is able to complement the replication defect of VVΔE3L.

**TRS1 and IRS1 prevent translational shutoff as well as PKR and RNase L activation by VVΔE3L.** VVΔE3L infection of most cell types shuts off overall protein synthesis, at least in part, as a result of activation of the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways (19). In HF, prior infection with HCMV blocks each of these effects of VVΔE3L (8). To determine whether the TRS1 and IRS1 genes inhibit these translational effects of VVΔE3L infection, we monitored overall protein synthesis as well as the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways in cells that were infected with various VV recombinants.

To assess protein synthesis, we metabolically labeled proteins at 24 hpi. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and autoradiography revealed the expected marked reduction in protein synthesis in cells infected with VVΔE3L compared to those infected with VC2 (Fig. 6). Cells infected with VVEq880 and VVEq904 (expressing pTRS1) and with VVEq905 (expressing pIRS1) synthesized proteins nearly as efficiently as those infected with VC2. The observation that VVEq904 and VVEq905 expressed two- to fourfold more β-Gal than did VVEq880 (Fig. 4C), despite similarities in overall protein synthesis, may be due to the fact that the β-Gal assay measures accumulation over 24 h rather than measuring syn-
thesis during a 1-h pulse at 24 hpi. Regardless, protein synthesis was greatly inhibited in cells infected with the TRS1 frameshift mutant VVe8091, similar to results with VVΔE3L, indicating that pTRS1 is required to rescue the protein synthetic defect of VVΔE3L.

We examined the PKR pathway by immunoblot assays of total and phosphorylated eIF-2α. Cells infected with VV/H9251 E3L recombinant viruses. HF were mock infected or infected with the indicated virus (MOI, 3). Cell lysates were prepared after 24 h, separated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblot assay using antibody specific for phosphorylated eIF-2α or total eIF-2α. Molecular size markers (in kilodaltons) are indicated to the left of each panel.
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**FIG. 7.** Phosphorylation of eIF-2α after infection with the VVΔE3L recombinant viruses. HF were mock infected or infected with the indicated virus (MOI, 3). Cell lysates were prepared after 24 h, separated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblot assay using antibody specific for phosphorylated eIF-2α or total eIF-2α. Molecular size markers (in kilodaltons) are indicated to the left of each panel.

VVΔE3L infection. Thus, expression of pTRS1 and pIRS1 reduces RNase L activity during infection by VVΔE3L.

**DISCUSSION**

Many viruses, including members of the α and γ subfamilies of the Herpesviridae, contain genes designed to prevent the shutoff of protein synthesis that otherwise results from activation of the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways (30, 31, 35). Little is known about whether members of the betaherpesvirus subfamily also interfere with these pathways. The finding that HCMV infection complements VVΔE3L replication and that it prevents eIF-2α phosphorylation, RNase L activation, and the shutoff of protein synthesis in cells coinfected with VVΔE3L suggested that HCMV has one or more genes capable of interfering with these cellular antiviral responses (8).

Here we report the identification of TRS1 and IRS1 as two such HCMV genes.

Our previous observation that HCMV, but not UV-inactivated HCMV, rescued VVΔE3L replication indicated that complementation requires de novo protein synthesis after HCMV infection (8). Since ganciclovir treatment did not abolish the effect, we hypothesized that the responsible gene was most likely an immediate-early or early gene. pTRS1 and pIRS1 are in fact synthesized from immediate-early times onward throughout infection. However, they are also present in virions (32). A possible explanation for the failure of UV-inactivated HCMV to rescue VVΔE3L in our previous studies is that too little TRS1 and IRS1 protein might be delivered to cells when an MOI of 3 PFU/cell was used, or perhaps they...
persist for too short a time to rescue VV\AE3L. Rather, de novo synthesis of these proteins may be necessary to prevent shut off of protein synthesis during the time period from 24 to 48 h post-HCMV infection, during which we monitored VV\AE3L replication (8).

TRSI and IRS1 counteract all the effects of VV\AE3L that we examined. The frameshift mutant of TRSI behaved most similarly to VV\AE3L, supporting the conclusion that pTRS1 (or pIRS1), rather than just the transcript, is responsible for complementing the deletion of E3L. However, we did detect minor but reproducible differences in protein synthesis and RNase L activity in cells that were infected with the TRSI frameshift recombinant compared to those observed for VV\AE3L (Fig. 6 and 8). Ribosomal frameshifting, leaky scanning, or reinitiation could result in expression of a low level of full-length or truncated but active pTRS1. Studies of HSV-1 revealed that a γ34.5 gene nonsense mutant, unlike a deletion mutant, rescued protein synthesis in some cells, suggesting that a low but functionally significant level of full-length or truncated protein was being produced (9). Thus, although we did not detect any TRSI protein by immunoblot assay (Fig. 5), even a low level of pTRS1 expression might account for the observed slight rescue of protein synthesis and inhibition of RNase L by VVeq919.

The rescue of VV\AE3L replication by TRSI and IRS1 likely depends at least in part on their ability to prevent activation of the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways, thereby enabling continued protein synthesis. VV\AE3L grows better in PKR-null, RNase L-null mouse fibroblasts than in WT fibroblasts, supporting the conclusion that the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways are key E3L targets (39). However, VV\AE3L does not replicate as efficiently as WT VV in PKR-null, RNase L-null cells. One possible explanation for these observations is that other effects of E3L, such as blocking activation of interferon regulatory factor 3, may also be important for VV replication (39). The fact that recombinants containing TRSI or IRS1 replicate as well as does VVeq855, in which E3L is also expressed from the TK locus, suggests that TRSI and IRS1 have the same activities necessary for VV replication in HF as does E3L. However, elucidation of the biochemical mechanism by which TRSI and IRS1 function will be needed to clarify whether TRSI and IRS1 affect all or only some of the same cellular pathways as does E3L.

The effects of pTRS1 and pIRS1 reported here suggest that they have direct effects on the host cell translational machinery. Both proteins localize primarily to the cytoplasm, at least at late times of infection (33). Their ability to substitute for E3L protein (a known dsRNA-binding protein) in preventing eIF-2a phosphorylation and RNase L activation would be explained by dsRNA-binding activity, although they do not contain any known dsRNA-binding motifs (15, 24). Other studies have suggested that pTRS1 and pIRS1 act as transcriptional regulators of gene expression. They stimulate reporter gene expression in transient-transfection assays, and an alternative IRS1 transcript produces a truncated protein that acts as a repressor in transfection assays (23, 33, 37). Although it is possible that pTRS1 and pIRS1 rescue VV\AE3L indirectly by activating transcription of a cellular gene that in turn blocks the PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways, VV replication shuts off expression of most cellular genes (17). Moreover, the effects of pTRS1 and pIRS1 in transient-transfection studies do not exclude the possibility that they act at the translational level. Their stimulatory effects on reporter gene expression might be due to enhanced translation of the reporter gene mRNA itself, as has been reported for the adenovirus VAI RNA and VV E3L and K3L genes (10, 11, 21). It is also possible that TRSI and IRS participate in both transcriptional and translational control of gene expression.

Since both TRSI and IRS1 complement VV\AE3L, the identical amino-terminal 2/3 of these genes most likely contains the domain responsible for these effects. If so, then the deletion of both genes may be necessary to detect inhibition of protein synthesis in HCMV-infected cells. HCMV mutants have been constructed that contain single deletions of all of IRS1 or of the carboxy terminus of TRSI or of IRS1 (4, 20). Unlike the IRS1 mutants, which have no replication defect in HF, the TRSI C-terminal mutant produces ~200-fold less virus than does WT HCMV, apparently due to a packaging defect. Since this phenotype occurs in a virus that expresses pIRS1 and may also express the amino-terminal 2/3 of pTRS1, we suspect that the packaging defect is unrelated to the ability of pTRS1 to complement VV\AE3L. These observations support the hypothesis that pTRS1 has multiple distinct functions.

Our strategy for identifying HCMV genes that can block the PKR and OAS pathways took advantage of the well-characterized VV mutant VV\AE3L, a virus that is interferon sensitive and avirulent and that replicates poorly in most cultured cells (5, 8, 19). Previous studies showing that genes from other viruses, including the NSP4 gene from group C rotavirus and the S4 gene from reovirus, rescue VV\AE3L replication suggested that this approach might be feasible (2, 25). However, we isolated only one recombinant, VVCL1, containing TRSI and did not identify the IRS1 gene which, as we discovered later, also complements VV\AE3L. Therefore, technical limitations in this method may have limited our ability to detect other HCMV genes that rescue VV\AE3L. For example, TRSI and IRS1 may be members of a gene family (7, 28), other members of which might also participate in the preservation of protein synthetic capacity during infection. Given the importance of genes that counteract the cellular PKR and OAS/RNase L pathways in other viral systems, TRSI, IRS1, and possibly other HCMV genes that counter these host cell responses are likely to be critical determinants of HCMV pathogenesis.
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