Protective Efficacy of an AIDS Vaccine, a Single DNA Priming Followed by a Single Booster with a Recombinant Replication-Defective Sendai Virus Vector, in a Macaque AIDS Model
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We previously demonstrated the excellent protective efficacy of DNA priming followed by Gag-expressing Sendai virus (SeV) boosting (DNA prime/SeV-Gag boost vaccine) against a pathogenic simian-human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV89.6PD) infection in macaques. Here we show that we established a practical, safer AIDS vaccine protocol, a single DNA priming followed by a single booster with a recently developed replication-defective F deletion SeV-expressing Gag, and show its protective efficacy against SHIV89.6PD infections.

Virus-specific cellular immune responses play an important role in the control of immunodeficiency virus infections (1, 4, 5, 7, 12, 19, 21, 23). DNA vaccines, recombinant-viral-vector-based vaccines, and their combinations are promising AIDS vaccine methods because of their potential for inducing cellular immune responses. Recently, some of these AIDS vaccines inducing virus-specific cellular immune responses have been reported to prevent AIDS progression in macaque models using pathogenic simian-human immunodeficiency viruses (SHIV) (2, 3, 17, 22, 25).

Members of our laboratory previously reported the potential of a recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector for inducing virus-specific cellular immune responses and the excellent protective efficacy of a vaccine system consisting of DNA priming and a Gag-expressing SeV (SeV-Gag) booster in a macaque AIDS model (9, 17). In a preclinical trial, DNA priming—SeV-Gag booster vaccination induced high levels of virus-specific T cells, the viremia in all the vaccinated macaques was controlled, and the animals were protected from AIDS progression after a pathogenic SHIV (SHIV89.6PD) challenge.

SeV is an enveloped virus with a negative-sense RNA genome. It causes fatal pneumonia in mice, its natural host, but is thought to be nonpathogenic in primates, including humans (6, 20). The recombinant SeV vector system has been shown to induce efficient gene transfer in vitro (10, 11). A recent analysis (8) confirmed that SeV infection is nonpathogenic in macaques and that its transmission between them is inefficient. Intranasal SeV inoculation of macaques induces antigen expression localized in the nasal mucosa and its primary lymph nodes (LN), the submandibular LN and the retropharyngeal LN. Furthermore, a safer replication-defective SeV vector lacking the F gene, F(−)SeV, has recently become available (14).

The DNA prime/SeV-Gag boost regimen reported previously consists of a series of four vaccinations with defective proviral DNA and a single intranasal booster with a replication-competent SeV-Gag (17). In this study, we established a practical, safer DNA prime/SeV boost system, a single DNA priming followed by a single booster with a replication-defective F(−)SeV-expressing simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) Gag protein, F(−)SeV-Gag, for clinical use as an AIDS vaccine.

The DNA priming-boosting regimen, called DNA/ F(−)SeV-Gag version 2, used in this study consists of a single DNA vaccination and an intranasal F(−)SeV-Gag booster at week 6 after the priming. The DNA used for the priming (referred to as CMV-SHIVdEN) was constructed from an env and nef deletion SHIV DNA (SIVGP1 DNA) (17, 24) by replacing the 5′ long terminal repeat region with a cytomegalovirus promoter with an immediate-early enhancer and the 3′ long terminal repeat region with simian virus 40 poly(A). At DNA vaccination, the animals received 5 mg of CMV-SHIVdEN DNA intramuscularly. At the booster vaccination, the animals received 6 × 10⁹ cell-infectious units of F(−)SeV-Gag intranasally. All the animal experiments in this study were performed in accordance with the guidelines for laboratory animals of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases.

We measured virus-specific T-cell levels by flow-cytometric analysis of gamma interferon (IFN-γ) induction after specific stimulation as described previously (13, 17). In brief, lymphocytes were cocultured with autologous herpesvirus papio-immortalized B lymphoblastoid cell lines (26) infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus vector (16) expressing SIV Gag for Gag-specific stimulation. Alternatively, lymphocytes were cocultured with B lymphoblastoid cell lines infected with vesicular stomatitis virus G-pseudotyped SIVGP1 for SHIV-specific stimulation. Gag-specific T-cell levels and SHIV-specific T-
cell levels were calculated by subtracting IFN-\(\gamma\)-positive T-cell frequencies after nonspecific stimulation from those after Gag-specific stimulation and SHIV-specific stimulation, respectively. Values exceeding 0.05% were considered positive.

In the first experiment, we examined the distribution of the F(\(-\))SeV-Gag vector after the booster. Two cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis), C97-018 and C94-030, received the DNA prime/F(\(-\))SeV-Gag boost vaccine. Neither of them showed apparent clinical symptoms. Both of them were euthanized 2 weeks after the booster. We extracted RNA from the cells prepared from each tissue taken at autopsy and examined F(\(-\))SeV-Gag distribution by detection of SIV\(\text{gag}\) after nested reverse transcription-PCR amplification as previously described (8, 24). The vector was detected in the nasal mucosa, the tonsil, the submandibular LN, and the retropharyngeal LN but was undetectable in other lymphoid tissues, including peripheral LN (Table 1). Reverse transcription-PCR with SeV\(\text{N}\)-specific primers showed similar results (data not shown). These results indicate that F(\(-\))SeV-Gag distribution was restricted to the nasal mucosa and its primary lymphoid tissues.

Flow-cytometric analysis of Gag-specific IFN-\(\gamma\) induction in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) at the autopsy showed efficient Gag-specific T-cell induction in both of the vaccinated macaques. Then we examined the distribution of Gag-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells after the booster. While Gag-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells were detected in all the analyzed tissues (the nasal mucosa, the tonsil, the retropharyngeal LN, and the axillary LN), the levels in the nasal mucosa and the tonsil harboring the vector were higher than those in the axillary LN and PBMC (Fig. 1).

In the second experiment, we evaluated the protective efficacy of the DNA/F(\(-\))SeV-Gag version 2 system in a SHIV model. Three rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta), R00-020, R00-023, and R00-024, were vaccinated for the challenge experiment. None of them showed apparent clinical symptoms after the vaccination. At week 6, just before the F(\(-\))SeV-Gag booster, SHIV-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells were undetectable in all three animals and significant levels of SHIV-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells were detected in one animal (R00-023) but not in the others. At week 7, 1 week after the booster, all the macaques showed high levels of SHIV-specific CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells, T cells. The lower panels show representative dot plots of IFN-\(\gamma\) induction in CD8\(^+\) T cells after Gag-specific stimulation. Dot plots gated on CD3\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) lymphocytes of the tonsil (left) and the retropharyngeal LN (right) in macaque C97-018 are shown. Ax LN, axillary LN; RP LN, retropharyngeal LN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macaque</th>
<th>Nasal</th>
<th>Tonsils</th>
<th>SM LN</th>
<th>RP LN</th>
<th>Thymus</th>
<th>MC LN</th>
<th>Ax LN</th>
<th>Ing LN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C97-018</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C94-030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*“Positive” indicates that the gag sequence was detected in 10\(^6\) lymphocytes by nested RT-PCR. “Negative” indicates that the gag sequence was undetectable in 10\(^6\) lymphocytes by nested RT-PCR. ND, not determined; nasal, nasal mucosa; SM LN, submandibular LN; RP LN, retropharyngeal LN; MC LN, mesenchymal LN; Ax LN, axillary LN; Ing LN, inguinal LN.
indicating efficient expansion of the number of SHIV-specific T cells as a result of the F(−)SeV-Gag booster (Fig. 2A). The levels peaked at around 1 week after the booster. Macaques R00-020 and R00-023 maintained detectable levels of SHIV-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells as well as CD4\(^+\) T cells until challenge at week 19, about 3 months after the booster. Macaque R00-024 also maintained SHIV-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells until challenge, but its SHIV-specific CD8\(^+\)-T-cell level declined to a marginal level before challenge.

These three macaques were intravenously challenged with 10 50\% tissue culture infective doses (TCID\(_{50}\)) of SHIV89.6PD (15) 13 weeks after the booster. In the control experiment performed previously (17), all four naive control macaques showed acute depletion of peripheral CD4\(^+\) T lymphocytes 2 weeks after the challenge. After the acute phase, the viremia in three of the macaques was not controlled and they developed AIDS and were euthanized within a year. In contrast, all three macaques vaccinated with the DNA/F(−)SeV-Gag version 2 system were protected from acute AIDS progression (Fig. 3). Among them, two macaques, R00-020 and R00-023, were protected from acute CD4\(^+\)-T-cell depletion. Their peak viral loads in plasma were greatly reduced (geometric mean, 5.9 \(\times\) \(10^5\) copies/ml) compared...
to those in the controls (geometric mean, 1.7 × 10^7 copies/ml) and were undetectable at the set point. Acute viremia was not controlled in one macaque (R00-024), and peripheral CD4^+ T cells were lost during the acute phase, but viremia was controlled and CD4^+ T cells had recovered at the set point.

We then examined SHIV-specific T-cell levels after challenge (Fig. 2B). In macaques R00-020 and R00-023, which showed higher levels of protection, SHIV-specific CD8^+ T cells were detectable in PBMC even at week 1 after challenge and more vigorous secondary responses were observed after that. In contrast, SHIV-specific CD8^+ T cells were undetectable at week 1 in macaque R00-024, which showed a lower level of protection, although vigorous secondary responses were observed after that. These results are compatible with those of a previous study, indicating that rapid secondary responses of virus-specific CD8^+ T cells are important for controlling acute viremia (17).

Levels of SHIV-specific CD4^+ T cells were augmented in all the vaccinated macaques at week 1.5 after challenge (Fig. 2B). Both of the macaques in which acute viremia was controlled maintained these levels after that. In macaque R00-024, SHIV-specific CD4^+ T cells became undetectable at week 2, but the levels recovered after that. Thus, control of SHIV infections by the DNA/F(−)SeV-Gag vaccine led to maintenance of virus-specific CD4^+ T cells at the set point.

Recently, several kinds of DNA prime/viral vector boost vaccines have been shown to induce protective efficacy against pathogenic SHIV infections (2, 17, 25). In those studies, DNA vaccinations were performed more than once. In the present study, we evaluated the efficacy of a DNA prime/SeV boost system with minimum numbers of vaccinations in an SHIV model.

Furthermore, this study is the first preclinical trial of a replication-defective F(−)SeV vector as a vaccine tool. In ma-
caines, the vector distribution was localized in the nasal mucosa, its primary LN, and the tonsil after an intranasal F(–)-SeV-Gag booster. The distribution was similar to that with replication-competent SeV-Gag. Gag-specific CD8+ T cells were detected predominantly in the nasal mucosa and the tonsil, suggesting that the vector-derived antigen presentation was predominant in these tissues. In addition to the local induction, the intranasal F(–)-SeV-Gag injection induced Gag-specific CD8+ T cells to be produced systemically. These results indicate that the F(–)-SeV vector is a promising vaccine tool for inducing virus-specific cellular immune responses.

In a previous study (17), members of our laboratory showed that the production of SHIV-specific T cells was efficiently induced in all four macaques vaccinated with the DNA/SeV-Gag version 1 system consisting of a series of four DNA vaccinations and a replication-competent SeV-Gag booster. All of them maintained SHIV-specific CD8+ T cells for more than 3 months until challenge, and acute viremia was controlled without acute CD4+ T-cell depletion after SHIV89.6PD challenge. Two of three macaques vaccinated with the DNA/F(–)-SeV-Gag vector 2 system in this study showed similar levels of protection; acute viremia was controlled without acute CD4+ T-cell depletion, and they were protected from AIDS progression. On the other hand, in the remaining macaque (R00-024) with a marginal level of SHIV-specific CD8+ T cells at challenge, acute viremia was uncontrolled and there was acute CD4+ T-cell depletion. However, even in macaque R00-024, SHIV89.6PD infections were controlled at the set point, CD4 T cells recovered, and the animal was protected from AIDS progression. While two levels of protection were observed, this study indicates that even the DNA/F(–)-SeV-Gag version 2 AIDS vaccine system with a minimum number of vaccinations can induce protective immune responses in a macaque AIDS model.
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