Herpes Simplex Virus Tegument Protein US11 Interacts with Conventional Kinesin Heavy Chain
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Little is known about the mechanisms of transport of neurotropic herpesviruses, such as herpes simplex virus (HSV), varicella-zoster virus, and pseudorabies virus, within neurons. For these viruses, which replicate in the nucleus, anterograde transport from the cell body of dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons to the axon terminus occurs over long distances. In the case of HSV, unenveloped nucleocapsids in human DRG neurons cocultured with autologous skin were observed by immunoelectron microscopy to colocalize with conventional ubiquitous kinesin, a microtubule-dependent motor protein, in the cell body and axon during anterograde axonal transport. Subsequently, four candidate kinesin-binding structural HSV proteins were identified (VP5, VP16, VP22, and US11) using oligohistidine-tagged human ubiquitous kinesin heavy chain (uKHC) as bait. Of these viral proteins, a direct interaction between uKHC and US11 was identified. In vitro studies identified residues 867 to 894 as the US11-binding site in uKHC located within the proposed heptad repeat cargo-binding domain of uKHC. In addition, the uKHC-binding site in US11 maps to the C-terminal RNA-binding domain. US11 is consistently cotransported with kinetics similar to those of the capsid protein VP5 into the axons of dissociated rat neurons, unlike the other tegument proteins VP16 and VP22. These observations suggest a major role for the uKHC-US11 interaction in anterograde transport of unenveloped HSV nucleocapsids in axons.

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) consists of four structural components: a DNA core enclosed in a capsid, a layer of proteins designated tegument, and a lipid envelope studded with virally encoded glycoproteins. In humans, HSV enters via cells lining mucous membranes and then infects the termini of dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons innervating the portal of entry into the body. From there, HSV is transported retrogradely in axons to the cell body, where it becomes latent. Reactivation is followed by anterograde transport into skin or mucous membranes of the same dermatome involved in the initial infection. Reactivation of HSV from latency during a patient’s lifetime may be frequent, resulting in symptomatic disease or, more commonly, unrecognized lesions and asymptomatic shedding (30).

Early work showed that retrograde axonal transport of unenveloped HSV nucleocapsids in rat neurons utilizes microtubules (17). Recently, it has been reported that transport within cells in culture probably involves the microtubule-dependent motor protein dynein and possibly the HSV tegument protein UL34 (41, 46).

Previously, we have used a two-chamber system to examine the mechanism of anterograde transport of HSV from infected DRG neurons in the central chamber along axonal fascicles to autologous epidermal explants in the outer chamber. The velocity of viral transport (0.6 μm/s) indicated a mechanism based on fast anterograde transport (28). Using freeze-substitution transmission immunoelectron microscopy, we have shown that HSV is transported as unenveloped nucleocapsids coated with tegument proteins and separately from glycoproteins, which are transported in vesicles (13).

Separate axonal transport of HSV structural components was supported by experiments with nocodazole, an inhibitor of microtubule polymerization, and brefeldin A (BFA), which inhibits transport through the Golgi apparatus. The transport of all three viral components—nucleocapsid, tegument, and glycoproteins—was inhibited by nocodazole, indicating their dependence on microtubule-associated transport. However, BFA inhibited glycoprotein transport but not nucleocapsids, confirming that each is transported along a separate pathway and indicating the close association of HSV glycoproteins with Golgi membranes (23).

Fast anterograde axonal transport of another alphaherpesvirus, pseudorabies virus (PRV), has also been observed in dissociated chick DRG neurons (40). Recently, transport of glycoproteins, but not capsid proteins, into axons has been shown to depend on a type II integral membrane viral protein, US9, which is conserved in all alphaherpesviruses, including HSV (2, 3, 42). Deletion of this protein prevents transport of glycoproteins. These results also confirm the separate pathways of anterograde transport of nucleocapsids and glycoprotein in alphaherpesviruses.

The motor protein candidates for anterograde viral trans-
port should therefore be those involved in microtubule-dependent fast axonal anterograde transport of organelles. These include the conventional kinesins (ubiquitous and neuronal) (12, 24, 25, 36) and the kinesin-related protein KIF3 (16, 44).

Conventional kinesin is a heterotetramer consisting of two identical heavy and two identical light chains (1). The heavy chain has a three-domain structure consisting of an N-terminal motor domain, highly conserved among the kinesin superfamily; a central stalk domain containing heptad repeats; and a C-terminal tail domain (24, 25). KIF3 consists of two noniden-

FIG. 1. Transmission immunoelectron micrographs of human fetal axons labeled with 5- and 10-nm-diameter immunogold particles recognizing HSV major capsid protein VP5 and the stalk of human uKHC, respectively. Short arrows, 5-nm-diameter gold particles; arrowheads, 10-nm-diameter gold particles. Bars, 200 nm (A and C) and 100 nm (B). (A) Clustering of immunogold label for uKHC around axonal vesicles (long arrows) and diffuse labeling of other axons. Labeling with preimmune sera produced only sparse background label (data not shown). (B) Colocalization of immunogold labels against uKHC and VP5 over a dense unenveloped viral particle in an axon. The long arrow indicates the position of an axonlema. (C) Extracellular virus labeled only with anti-VP5. In the upper left corner is an axon with diffuse 10-nm immunolabel against uKHC. There is no immunolabel for uKHC over extracellular virus, with only sparse background label outside the axons.

VOL. 76, 2002 KINESIN-US11 INTERACTION 3283
tional heavy chains and an associated accessory protein, KAP3. Like conventional kinesin, the heavy chains have an N-termini
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grown in Luria broth with 100 μg of ampicillin/ml to mid-log phase at 37°C prior
to induction of recombinant protein expression with 0.1 mM isopropyl-
β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 3 h at 37°C. Typically, 100 ml of induced bacterial
cultures was harvested by centrifugation at 4°C (2,500 × g for 10 min). The cell
pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of lysis buffer (phosphate-buffered saline, 5 mM
dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 μg of leupeptin/ml, 0.1%
vol/vol Triton X-100) and then lysed by sonication. The soluble fraction was
harvested as described above. For this binding assay, bacterial lysates containing
untagged recombinant US11 fragments (expressed from the pET-28a vector as
described above) were resuspended in the same lysis buffer as the GST fusion
proteins. Binding and washing were carried out as described above using gluta-
thione-Sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia). The beads were washed with
phosphate-buffered saline lysis buffer (without protease inhibitors) prior to elu-
tion by boiling the beads in SDS-PAGE sample buffer.

RESULTS

Colocalization of kinesin and HSV. The colocalization of kinesin and HSV-1 nucleocapsids was examined in fetal human neurons using the DRG neuron-epidermal explant two-cham-
er model system as previously described (13). Anterograde axonal transport of HSV-1 (CW1) (13, 23) was visualized using freeze-substitution transmission immunoelectron microscopy. Dual immunogold labeling (5- and 10-nm-diameter gold particles) of axons was performed with antibodies to uKHC and to the HSV-1 major capsid protein VP5. Anti-uKHC was shown to be specific in immunoblots for human uKHC by comparing
reactivity with the immunizing antigen against bacterial lysates containing oligohistidine-tagged neuronal KHC408-1032 (data not shown).

Anti-uKHC showed diffuse labeling throughout human axons, with clusters of immunolabel for uKHC observed around electron-dense axonal vesicles (Fig. 1A). Only sparse background label was found extra-axonally. With preimmune sera, only sparse background immunolabel was present on sections and there was no labeling of axonal vesicles (data not shown). Immunolabels for both uKHC and VP5 were found in and around unenveloped HSV-1 nucleocapsids within axons (Fig. 1B). Five nucleocapsids immunolabeled for VP5 were identified in over 400 sections examined. All five were colabeled for uKHC. The anti-VP5 antibody was specific, with no labeling of axons in mock-infected cultures (references 13 and 23 and data not shown). Only VP5 (not kinesin immunolabel) was present on extracellular virus (Fig. 1C). The immunolabeling for uKHC over intra-axonal virus (but not extra-axonal virus) was as intense as that around axonal vesicles, strongly suggesting that kinesin is involved in the anterograde axonal transport of HSV nucleocapsids. Therefore, tegument or outer capsid proteins are candidates for binding to conventional ubiquitous kinesin.

In vitro identification of kinesin-binding viral proteins. Fragments of human uKHC tagged at the N terminus with an oligohistidine sequence were used as bait to identify kinesin-binding HSV-1 proteins. In this study, they are designated KHCstalk (amino acid residues 555 to 772) and KHCstalk/tail (residues 771 to 963) (Fig. 2). KHCstalk/tail contains the putative organelle-binding site (15, 37, 38) and has recently been shown to bind the organelle receptor kinectin (27). Therefore, it was most likely that an HSV protein would bind to this region of uKHC as well, and KHCstalk should serve as a negative control for the binding experiments.

His-KHC fragments attached to nickel-activated beads were incubated with a lysate of 35S-labeled mock-infected or HSV-1-infected HEp-2 cells. The eluted complexes were separated by SDS-PAGE before transfer to nitrocellulose, followed by autoradiography (Fig. 3A). This process revealed five labeled proteins from HSV-1-infected cells coeluting with KHCstalk/tail (Fig. 3A). Of the five bands designated a through e, bands c (Fig. 3A), d (Fig. 3A and B), and e (Fig. 3B) appeared to also coelute with KHCstalk (Fig. 3). All except band c were subsequently confirmed to be HSV-1 proteins by immunoblotting the same samples with anti-HSV-1 (Fig. 3B). Band c may be a cellular protein or a viral protein that is not detected or is poorly detected by anti-HSV-1. Using electrophoretic mobility as a starting point, appropriate antibodies were chosen to identify the four viral proteins (a, b, d, and e) which preferentially bind to KHCstalk/tail. Immunoblotting identified band a as the major capsid protein VP5 (150 kDa) and bands b, d, and e as the tegument proteins VP16 (65 kDa), VP22 (38 kDa), and US11 (21 kDa), respectively (Fig. 3C). Similar amounts of His-KHCstalk and His-KHCstalk/tail were present in these experiments (Fig. 3D).

Previous findings have strongly suggested that anterograde axonal transport of HSV-1 nucleocapsids is dependent on tegument proteins which surround the nucleocapsid during transport (23). Therefore, access of kinesin to nucleocapsid VP5 in axons is unlikely. The tegument proteins VP16 and VP22 are
also unlikely candidates, as transport of VP16 and VP22, but not VP5 or unenveloped nucleocapsids, into most axons is inhibited by BFA (23) (see data below). On this basis, US11 was considered the most likely viral protein to be directly interacting with uKHC. To establish whether US11 bound directly to uKHC, untagged US11 was expressed in E. coli. The addition of untagged US11 to His-KHC showed that US11 binds specifically to KHCstalk/tail (Fig. 4A, lower blot). This also shows that the binding of US11 to uKHC can occur in the absence of other viral proteins, although it may well be modulated in vivo by viral or cellular factors. A complex of uKHC and KLC was generated by preincubating KHCstalk/tail with untagged KLC (Fig. 4A, upper blot) as described previously (8). This kinesin heavy-light chain complex also binds US11, though at a decreased level compared with heavy chain alone (Fig. 4A, lower blot). The reduction in US11 binding is probably due to steric effects and not competitive inhibition, since the binding sites in KHCstalk/tail for KLC (residues 771 to 813) (8) and US11 (Fig. 5A) do not overlap.

In contrast, untagged VP16 expressed in E. coli does not bind directly to either KHCstalk or KHCstalk/tail (Fig. 4B). The presence of VP16 and VP22 coeluting with KHCstalk/tail can be explained by the observation that these proteins also coelute in pull-down experiments when His-US11 is used as bait (Fig. 4C). VP5 could not be detected in the same experiment. Recombinant VP16 (in the absence of other viral proteins) also coelutes with KHCstalk/tail, which suggests that VP16 binds directly to US11 (Fig. 4C). Therefore, in the pull-down experiments with KHCstalk/tail, the primary interaction occurs between uKHC and US11, while secondary interactions occur among US11, VP16, and VP22. A direct interaction between uKHC and either VP5 or VP22 was not tested and cannot yet be excluded.

**Locations of regions within US11 and uKHC which interact.** A series of uKHC fragments tagged at the N terminus with oligohistidine were constructed to further delineate the US11-binding site in uKHC (Fig. 5A). Untagged recombinant US11 was added to these uKHC fragments immobilized on nickel-activated beads. Subsequent elution and visualization by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting revealed that US11 binds to fragments 855 to 963, 867 to 963, and 555 to 894 (amino acid numbering) of uKHC (Fig. 5B). No US11 binding was detected with uKHC fragments 555 to 854, 555 to 866, and 895 to 963 (Fig. 5B). These results indicate that the US11-binding site in uKHC maps to residues 867 to 894 (Fig. 5A). This region, which contains four contiguous heptad repeats (Fig. 5C), is highly conserved in human uKHC (Fig. 5D). The presence of heptad repeats was determined using the COILS server (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/COILS_form.html), which employs the algorithm of Lupas et al. (19).  
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**Fig. 5. Identification of the US11-binding site in uKHC.** (A) Summary of US11 binding to fragments of uKHC expressed in bacteria with an oligohistidine N-terminal tag. a.a., amino acids. (B) Immunoblot of protein complexes eluted from nickel-activated beads and run on SDS–14% PAGE. Detection was with mouse monoclonal anti-US11. (C) Amino acid sequence of US11-binding site in human uKHC (residues 867 to 894) with repeating heptad motif labeled a to g. The presence of heptad repeats was determined using the COILS server (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/COILS_form.html), which employs the algorithm of Lupas et al. (19). (D) Eluates from the blot in panel B were run on SDS–14% PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to detect His-KHC fragments. The position of KHC895-963 is indicated by an arrow.
located within the predicted cargo-binding domain of KHC (37). This putative cargo-binding domain (residues 833 to 900) has previously been shown to interact with the endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein kinectin (27). The presence of uKHC fusion proteins in eluates from nickel-activated beads was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining (Fig. 5D). Even though fragment 895 to 963 was barely detectable, making direct binding of US11 difficult to assess, it was considered not essential for US11 binding, since fragment 555 to 894 (region 895 to 963 truncated) readily binds US11 (Fig. 5B).

Binding of uKHC to US11 fragments was ascertained using full-length US11 (residues 1 to 161), an N-terminal fragment of US11 (N-US11; residues 1 to 84), and a C-terminal fragment of US11 (C-US11; residues 84 to 161). Untagged recombinant US11 was added to GST-KHC (fragment 555 to 963, which contains the US11-binding site) or GST-KLC immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads. Complexes formed on beads were eluted by boiling and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Two antibodies were employed to detect US11 fragments. Immunoblotting with a mouse monoclonal anti-US11 antibody, which recognizes an epitope in the N terminus of US11 (32), showed that full-length US11, but not N-US11, binds to uKHC (Fig. 6A). As expected, C-US11 was not detected in lysates with this antibody (Fig. 6A). Immunoblotting with a rabbit polyclonal antibody, which recognizes the C-terminal 11 amino acids of US11 (20), showed that both full-length US11 and C-US11 bind to uKHC (Fig. 6B). This antibody detects only full-length US11 and C-US11 in bacterial lysates (Fig. 6B).

KLC appears not to be directly involved in binding US11, as no binding of US11 to GST-KLC was detected (Fig. 6A and B). KHC binding to other cargo, such as vesicles (38) and the receptor kinectin (27), also occurs in the absence of KLC. The presence of GST-KHC and GST-KLC in binding experiments was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining of boiled glutathione-Sepharose beads (Fig. 6C).

Distribution and kinetics of US11 and other HSV proteins in dissociated rat neurons. The appearance and distribution of structural HSV protein antigens from the tegument (US11) and nucleocapsid (VP5) and their anterograde transport into axons of dissociated rat neonatal DRG was followed by fixation at serial times of 6, 10, 12, 17, and 24 h. The distribution of HSV antigens was visualized by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy as previously described (23). First, US11 antigen from HSV-1 (CW1 clinical strain) (13, 23) was transported anterogradely into the distal axon (a criterion for its proposed role in anterograde axonal transport) (Fig. 7A) from the cytoplasm of the cell body (Fig. 7B). In neurons infected with wt HSV-1, VP5 antigen was diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm of the cell body at 10 to 12 h and then transported throughout the axon from 17 to 24 h (Fig. 7C), as previously described (23). The kinetics of transport of US11 and VP5 from wt HSV-1 into axons were similar, with both antigens being present in axons from 17 to 24 h, consistent with colocalization of US11 with nucleocapsids (Fig. 7A and C). The distribution and kinetics of gC in the cell body and axons were similar to those previously reported (23), with gC present in axons from 13 to 24 h postinfection (data not shown). Incubation of HSV-infected neurons with BFA from 3 to 24 h postinfection differentially inhibited anterograde transport of tegument proteins into axons. As previously described, BFA completely inhibited transport of gC, but not VP5, into axons. Transport of VP16 and VP22 into axons was only observed (at reduced intensity) in 20 to 30% of infected neurons, i.e., in 70 to 80% of infected neurons, no VP16 or VP22 was

FIG. 6. Identification of the uKHC-binding site in US11. Protein complexes were eluted from glutathione-Sepharose beads and run on SDS–14% PAGE. (A) Immunoblotting with mouse monoclonal anti-US11 detected full-length US11 bound only to GST-KHC. (B) Immunoblotting with rabbit polyclonal anti-US11 detected only C-US11 bound to GST-KHC (upper blot). Overexposure of the blot also detects full-length US11 bound to GST-KHC (lower blot). (C) Eluates from glutathione-Sepharose beads were run on SDS–14% PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to detect GST fusion proteins.
observed in the axons (23). However, BFA had no effect on US11 compared to reduced axonal transport of VP16 (Fig. 7D and E). Therefore, in the presence of BFA, VP5 and US11, but not VP16 or VP22, were cotransported into the majority of axons.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have shown the molecular motor conventional ubiquitous kinesin is cotransported with HSV nucleocapsids in the axons of human fetal neurons. Furthermore, a
direct interaction between uKHC and the HSV-1 tegument protein US11 was identified in vitro.

US11 appears to be a multifunctional protein. It is a structural protein proposed to be part of the tegument of HSV (32). In addition, US11 has RNA-binding activity, stably associates with 60S ribosomal subunits, has a role in posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression, and localizes to the nucleoli (6, 7, 20, 33, 34). The amino half of US11 is required for transactivation of gene expression (35). The RNA-binding properties of US11 are dependent on the 20 to 24 RXP repeats in the carboxy half of the protein (31). This domain, as shown in this study, also contains the uKHC-binding site. This polyproline domain may acquire a type II helix configuration, with arginine residues on one face to interact with negatively charged phosphates of RNA (31, 35). The other faces of the helix are either hydrophobic (proline repeats) or a mixture of hydrophobic, uncharged, and acidic side chains and presumably provide specificity to the binding of RNA (31) and the heptad repeat US11-binding site in uKHC.

The US11 protein is well conserved between HSV-1 and -2 (63% homology), with the greater variation at the N terminus. There are no US11 homologues in other neurotropic herpesviruses, such as varicella-zoster virus or PRV, suggesting they may use different proteins for anterograde axonal transport. However, there are precedents within the herpesvirus family for similar functions on different proteins (e.g., entry into cells via gD in HSV (29) and via gE-gf and gb in varicella-zoster virus (11)).

Initial findings from a pull-down experiment with US11 suggest that it also interacts with one or both of the structural HSV proteins VP16 and VP22. Furthermore, a direct interaction between US11 and VP16 in the absence of other viral proteins was demonstrated. This US11-VP16 interaction may represent an important structural interaction for US11 in the tegument of the virus and may play a regulatory role in infected cells. VP16 has also been shown to bind to other tegument proteins, including vhs (39) and VP22 (9), and it has been shown to be a component of viral tegument protein-glycoprotein complexes (48). The presence of VP22 in pull-down experiments with US11 would likely be a result of its interaction with VP16 rather than a direct interaction with US11. This is supported by work in progress using a US11 deletion mutant in the pull-down experiments, where both the 20- (US11) and 40-kDa (VP22) bands are lost in comparison to the parental strain (R. J. Diefenbach, M. Miranda-Sakseca, E. Diefenbach, and A. L. Cunningham, unpublished results). The result that VP5 appears to coelute with uKHC and not US11 suggests that, like US11, it might bind directly to uKHC. Whether VP5 has a role in axonal transport requires further investigation, but as anterograde axonal transport of nucleocapsids occurs in the presence of a tegument protein coat, this seems likely to prevent access of VP5 to uKHC (13, 23, 47). Related studies of the interaction of dynein with HSV-1 UL34 also identified VP5 coeluting with dynein. The presence of VP5 was deemed to be either nonspecific or the result of a secondary interaction with either UL34 or UL31 (45, 46).

The presence of US11 in the tegument (32) and the similar kinetics of anterograde transport of US11 and nucleocapsid VP5 are consistent with the hypothesis that the observed US11-uKHC interaction has a major role in mediating anterograde transport of nucleocapsids into and along axons. US11 is dispensable for growth in a cell in culture (18), and US11 null mutants spread and are virulent on inoculation into the central nervous system and establish latency in mice (22). Final confirmation of this hypothesis will require completion of studies with a series of US11 deletion mutants and rescuants in a DRG culture system and ultimately studies in an animal model system.

Recent reports of anterograde transport of PRV show bursts of very rapid transport (>5 μm/s), variation in velocity with a Gaussian distribution, and frequent reversal of direction (40). These findings suggest a complex interaction among microtubules, molecular motors, and neurotropic herpesviruses during anterograde transport. Therefore, at least one anterograde (kinesin) and one retrograde (dynein) motor are probably operative (although two anterograde motors with similar transport velocities could be involved). Our data suggest that the uKHC-US11 interaction is likely to have a major role in anterograde transport and represent the first report of a direct molecular interaction between a herpesvirus protein and a neuronal molecular motor. However, there may be other interactions between HSV proteins and other kinesins which could provide synergy or redundancy.

This study also raises many questions about the mechanisms of tegument formation, the location of US11 in the tegument, and homologous interactions mediating the transport of other neurotropic viruses. Inhibition of the interactions between kinesins and HSV by peptides and/or peptidomimetic analogues could provide a new strategy for antiviral treatment for this and other neurotropic viruses (43). Incorporation of specific deletions of the kinesin-interacting regions of HSV proteins into attenuated live HSV vaccine candidates could also be useful to prevent clinical recrudescence.
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