LMP-1’s Transmembrane Domains Encode Multiple Functions Required for LMP-1’s Efficient Signaling
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The latent membrane protein-1 (LMP-1) of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) contributes to the proliferation of infected B lymphocytes by signaling through its binding to cellular signaling molecules. It apparently mimics members of the tumor necrosis factor receptor family, in particular, CD40, by binding a similar set of cellular molecules as does CD40. LMP-1 differs dramatically in its structure from CD40. LMP-1 has six membrane-spanning domains as opposed to CD40’s one. LMP-1 also differs from CD40 in its apparent independence of a ligand for its signaling. We have examined the role of LMP-1’s membrane-spanning domains in its signaling. Their substitution with six membrane-spanning domains from the LMP-2A protein of EBV yields a derivative which neither coimmunoprecipitates with LMP-1 nor signals to increase the activity of NF-κB as does wild-type LMP-1. These observations indicate that LMP-1 has specific sequences in its membrane-spanning domains required for these activities. LMP-1’s first and sixth membrane-spanning domains have multiple leucine residues potentially similar to leucine-heptad motifs that can mediate protein-protein interactions in membranes (Gurevka et al., J. Biol. Chem. 274:9265-9270, 1999). Substitution of seven leucines in LMP-1’s sixth membrane-spanning domain has no effect on its function, whereas similar substitutions in its first membrane-spanning domain yielded a derivative which aggregates as does wild-type LMP-1 but has only 3% of wild-type’s ability to signal through NF-κB. Importantly, this derivative complements a mutant of LMP-1 with wild-type membrane-spanning domains but no carboxy-terminal signaling domain. These findings together indicate that the membrane-spanning domains of LMP-1 contribute multiple functions to its signaling.
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membrane-spanning domains in LMP-1’s signaling, we generated and analyzed mutants that substitute LMP-1’s amino terminus and transmembrane domains with those of LMP-2A’s amino terminus and first six transmembrane domains. LMP-2A is an EBV-encoded protein having 12 membrane-spanning domains which, unlike LMP-1, affects signaling by binding cellular tyrosine kinases and ubiquitin ligases (8, 37). The regions of LMP-2A that we used to replace LMP-1’s amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains have <25% amino acid sequence identity to those of LMP-1 (24, 25). The substitution mutants that replace LMP-1’s transmembrane domain with those of LMP-2A fail to activate NF-κB-mediated transcription efficiently and fail to coimmunoprecipitate with wtLMP-1. These observations demonstrate that there are specific residues in LMP-1’s transmembrane domains required for LMP-1’s activation of NF-κB and aggregation.

Because we found there are specific sequences in LMP-1’s transmembrane domains required for its signaling and aggregation not found in LMP-2A’s, we searched for motifs that mediate protein-protein interactions present in LMP-1’s transmembrane-spanning domains but absent in the first six membrane-spanning domains of LMP-2A. Gurecka et al. (14) have found that proteins which have clusters of leucines within their membrane domains similar to the sequence, LLXXLXLXXLXXL, can self-assemble. They identified LMP-1 of EBV as having this pattern. We found that LMP-1’s first and sixth transmembrane-spanning domains, in particular, contain leucines potentially similar to these leucine-heptad motifs. To test whether these clusters of leucines are required for LMP-1’s signaling, we generated derivatives that changed seven of the leucines to alanines in the first, sixth or both the first and sixth transmembrane-spanning domains of LMP-1. The mutants with substitutions in the first membrane-spanning domain, SubLZLMP-1 (substituted in putative leucine zippers 1 and 6) and SubLZLMP-1 (substituted in putative leucine zipper 1) fail to activate NF-κB efficiently. Surprisingly, SubLZLMP-1 coimmunoprecipitates with wtLMP-1, and differentially tagged derivatives of it colocalize in cells and colocalize with wtLMP-1 in cells. Importantly, the signaling defect in SubLZLMP-1 can be complemented with a derivative of LMP-1 that lacks its carboxy-terminal signaling domain but has wild-type membrane-spanning domains. These observations indicate that LMP-1’s amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains contribute a function other than aggregation that is required to support LMP-1’s efficient signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. Cell line 293, a human embryonic kidney cell line, was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (CRL 1573) and grown in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were supplied by the American Type Culture Collection (CRL 1573) and grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium supplemented with 200 U per ml of penicillin and 200 μg of streptomycin/ml and all cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Construction of recombinant DNAs. The wild-type LMP-1 was constructed by moving the LMP-1 cDNA from the B958 strain of EBV into PG5 (Stratagene). LMPGFP and LMPRFP are derivatives of LMP-1 that fuse enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) or red fluorescent protein (RFP) to the carboxy terminus of LMP-1. The following were constructed by replacing the indicated regions of LMP-1 with products derived by PCR or with oligonucleotides. NL2LMP-1 is a derivative of wild-type LMP-1 and was constructed by replacing nucleotides encoding amino acids (aa) 1 to 25 of LMP-1 with the nucleotides encoding aa 1 to 125 of LMP-2A from the B958 strain of EBV. NL1LMP-1 is a derivative of wild-type LMP-1 and was constructed by replacing nucleotides encoding aa 25 to 180 of LMP-1 with the nucleotides encoding aa 126 to 280 of LMP-2A. LMP-1/GFP is a derivative of wild-type LMP-1 and was constructed by replacing nucleotides encoding aa 1 to 180 of LMP-1 with the nucleotides encoding aa 1 to 180 of LMP-2A. HA-LMP-1, with a hemagglutinin (HA) epitope (YPYDVPDYA) substituted in its amino terminus. SubLZLMP-1 is a derivative of LMP-1 and was constructed by replacing the nucleotides encoding leucines 29, 30, 32, 33, 36, 37, and 40 with those encoding alanines. SubLZ1LMP-1 is a derivative of LMP-1 and was constructed by replacing the nucleotides encoding leucines 167, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, and 178 with those encoding alanines. SubLZ6LMP-1 combines the substitutions in SubLZ1LMP-1 and SubLZ6LMP-1. HASubLZLMPAC and SubLZLMPAC-GFP are derivatives of SubLZLMP-1 that substitute two EE (EYMPMEV) epitopes or EGFP, respectively, for the C terminus of LMP-1 (aa 190 to 386). SubLZMFPFP and SubLZMFPFP are derivatives of SubLZLMP-1 with EGFP or DsRed fused to C terminus of LMP-1. HALMPAC-GFP is a derivative of LMP-1 that substitutes EGFP for the C terminus of LMP-1 (aa 190 to 386). HASubLZLMPAC and HALMPAC-GFP each have a HA epitope (YPYDVPDYA) inserted between LMP-1’s residues 2 and 3.

Coimmunoprecipitation. A total of 5 × 10⁵ 293 cells were transfected with expression plasmids for LMP-1 and its derivatives via calcium phosphate precipitation (13). The amount of plasmid DNA transfected was varied to normalize expression levels of different derivatives of LMP-1. At 48 h after transfection, the cells were lysed in 500 μl of 1× radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 150 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitors). Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Then, 100 μl of lysate was saved and used to detect the level of each protein in the lysate. Next, 400 μl of lysate was precleared with 50 μl of 50% slurry of protein A-agarose and immunoprecipitated for 2 h at 4°C with 100 μl of anti-LMP-1 antiserum or anti-LMP-1 monoclonal antibodies csi1 to csi4. The samples were washed four times with 1× RIPA and boiled for 5 min in 50 μl of 1× sample buffer (1% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol, 100 mM dithiothreitol). The samples were separated by electrophoresis through a SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, probed with either mouse anti-HA-HA1:1,000, rabbit anti-LMP-1 at 1:2,000, or rabbit anti-GFP at 1:2,500 and the appropriate, secondary antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase or labeled with ³⁵S. SDS-PAGE and quantitative Western blot analysis. The GSTLMP-1 fusion protein used to quantify the number of molecules of LMP-1, contains LMP-1’s C terminus, the epitopes for the anti-LMP-1 antiserum, and was described previously (31). LMP-1, its derivatives, and GSTLMP-1 were resolved by electrophoresis through a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Proteins resolved by SDS-PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose and blocked with Biotto (1% nonfat dry milk and 0.05% Tween 20 in phosphate-buffered saline) for 20 min. Blots were probed with affinity-purified polyclonal anti-LMP-1 antiserum that recognizes epitopes in the first and second transmembrane-spanning domains of LMP-1 at 1:2,000 dilution of LMP-1 at a 1:2,000 dilution. The blots were reacted with the corresponding anti-rabbit antibodies (Kirkegaard Perry) conjugated to biotin at a 1:2,000 dilution and ³⁵S-labeled streptavidin (Amersham) at a 1:10,000 dilution (0.5 μCi per blot). Alternatively, for detection with alkaline phosphatase, the secondary anti-rabbit antibody was conjugated with alkaline phosphatase. The blots were probed for 45 min with each antibody and with streptavidin at room temperature. The blots were then washed once with Biotto for 10 min at room temperature and exposed to a phosphorimager screen (Molecular Dynamics). The level of protein expression was quantified using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics).

Assay for NF-κB activity. The assay for NF-κB activity was described previously (28) with a few modifications. In short, 50 to 80% confluent 10-cm or six-well dishes of 293 cells were transfected via calcium phosphate precipitation. The precipitate was made as a 1 ml of slurry and all of it was used for 1× of a six-well dish. One milliliter of precipitate contains 50 ng of a NF-κB-luciferase reporter which contained four copies of an NF-κB responsive element upstream of luciferase (pLuc242), 20 ng of an expression vector for renilla luciferase (TKR; Promega), and/or μg of an expression plasmid for EGFP or RFP. The DNA was brought up to a concentration of 30 μg/ml with an empty vector and expression vectors encoding LMP-1 or its derivatives. At 48 h after transfection the cells were harvested. The 10-cm dishes were split, and half the cells were used for SDS-PAGE and/or Western analysis. Approximately, 10³ cells from the 10-cm dish or one well of a six-well dish was lysed in passive lysis buffer (Promega) and assayed for light emission on a luminometer. The luminometer was adjusted so that the background level was 0. Cloning, sequencing, and the substitution of leucines with levels of renilla, GFP, or RFP, and the fold induction refers to the fold induction over the empty vector alone.
FIG. 1. LMP-1 and its derivatives with substitutions in its amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains were tested for their ability to activate NF-κB-mediated transcription. (A) Quantitative Western blots were performed to measure the levels of expression of LMP-1 (aa 1 to 386 [depicted in black]), NL2ALMP-1 (LMP-1’s N terminus substituted with LMP-2A’s N terminus [depicted by gray dashed lines]), NL1ALMP-1 (LMP-1’s six transmembrane domain, including its intracellular and extracellular loops substituted with LMP-2A’s first six transmembrane domains, including the intracellular and extracellular loops [depicted by gray dashed lines]), and LMP-2ALMP-1 (LMP-1’s N terminus and transmembrane domains substituted with LMP-2A’s N terminus and transmembrane domain, including its intracellular and extracellular loops [depicted by gray dashed lines]) after the vectors encoding them and one for GFP were introduced into 293 cells. A total of 10^5 GFP-positive cells transfected with the indicated amount of expression vector for each expression plasmid was lysed and separated electrophoretically by SDS-PAGE. The samples were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and then probed with a rabbit anti-LMP-1 antibody, a secondary biotinylated goat anti-rabbit antibody, and 35S-labeled streptavidin. The samples were visualized and quantified by phosphorimage analysis. The number of molecules of LMP-1 and its derivatives were calculated from known amounts of GSTLMP-1 assayed on the same blot. (B) The stimulation of NF-κB activity in 293 cells transfected with the amount of expression vectors indicated for LMP-1, NL1LMP-1, NL2ALMP-1, and LMP-2ALMP-1 was measured with an NF-κB responsive luciferase reporter. All transfections were normalized to renilla luciferase levels or to the number of GFP-positive cells. The expression of each protein relative to that of wtLMP-1 is shown and was determined from Fig. 1A. The fold activation of firefly luciferase over cells transfected with pSG5 alone is shown. The relative light units (RLUs) in these experiments varied from $5.0 \times 10^3$ to $2.0 \times 10^5$ in cells transfected with empty vector and up to $3.0 \times 10^6$ in the presence of expression vectors for LMP-1 or its derivatives. Dividing the fold activation by the relative level of expression for LMP-1 and each of its derivatives gives the fold activation on a per molecule basis for each of the proteins tested. The data represent the average ± the standard deviation for three separate experiments with two measurements each.
Microscopy. All microscopy was performed on a Bio-Rad MRC 1024 laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with a mixed gas (argon-krypton) laser operated by 24-bit Lasersharp software, allowing simultaneous display of red and green signals. 293 cells were plated on 18- by-16-mm coverslips and imaged live or fixed as indicated. Cells were fixed with 4% neutral formalin for 20 min at room temperature and mounted on slides with 1 eyedrop full of vectashield (Vector labs). Cells were imaged for GFP and RFP. Where more than one fluorophore was used each was displayed separately and simultaneously merged (Vector labs).

RESULTS

LMP-1’s transmembrane domains contain specific residues required for its efficient activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription. LMP-1 can induce more than a 100-fold activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription when a vector encoding it is introduced into 293 cells (Fig. 1). To test whether specific residues in LMP-1’s amino terminus or transmembrane-spanning domain are required for its activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription, we substituted LMP-1’s amino terminus and transmembrane-spanning domains, including its intracellular and extracellular loops with LMP-2A’s amino terminus and first six transmembrane-spanning domains and its intracellular and extracellular loops (Fig. 1). The amino terminus and transmembrane domains of LMP-1 share less than 25% amino acid sequence identity with the structurally analogous regions of LMP-2A with which they were replaced. LMP-2A affects its signaling by binding cellular tyrosine kinases and ubiquitin ligases through its amino terminus (8, 37). LMP-1 and its derivatives were tested for their ability to activate NF-κB-mediated transcription on a per-molecule basis. The derivative of LMP-1, NL2ALMPl, that substitutes only LMP-1’s amino terminus with that of LMP-2A’s activates similar levels of NF-κB-mediated transcription as does LMP-1 (Fig. 1). Interestingly, the derivatives of LMP-1, NL1LMPl, and LMP-2ALMPl that contain LMP-2A’s first six transmembrane domains accumulated to higher levels in cells than does LMP-1 and activate less than 3% the activity of NF-κB-mediated transcription as does LMP-1 on a per-molecule basis (Fig. 1A and B). There are multiple, possible reasons for the failure of these latter derivatives to signal which include an inappropriate localization, an inability to aggregate, or an inability to associate with necessary factors within the cell. However, their failure to signal efficiently contrasts with LMP-1 and indicates that there are specific residues in LMP-1’s transmembrane-spanning domains or intracellular and extracellular loops that are required for its efficient activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription. We searched for potential protein motifs in the regions substituted in the nonfunctional derivatives of LMP-1 to explain their lack of function. Based on the studies of Gurezka et al. (14), we found clusters of leucines in LMP-1’s first and sixth transmembrane-spanning domains which are similar to potential leucine-heptad motifs known to mediate protein-protein interactions in membranes. To test whether these potential motifs are required for LMP-1’s signaling, we generated derivatives that changed seven of the leucines in these clusters to alanines in the first, sixth or both the first and sixth transmembrane-spanning domains of LMP-1 (Fig. 2A).

SubLZ1LMP-1 and SubLZLMP-1 both contain substitutions of leucines with alanines in LMP-1’s first transmembrane domain and activate NF-κB-mediated transcription inefficiently. SubLZ1LMP-1 and SubLZLMP-1 both accumulate in cells to higher levels than does LMP-1 and activate ca. 3% of the NF-κB activity, as does LMP-1 on a per molecule basis (Fig. 2A and C). SubLZ6LMP-1 accumulates in cells to higher levels than does LMP-1 and activates ca. 3% of LMP-1 levels as does LMP-1 on a per molecule basis. SubLZ1LMP-1 and SubLZLMP-1 both activate NF-κB responsive luciferase reporter as described in legend for Fig. 1A, (C) The stimulation of NF-κB activity in 293 cells transfected with expression vectors for LMP-1, SubLZ1LMP-1, SubLZ6LMP-1, and SubLZLMP-1 was measured with an NF-κB responsive luciferase reporter as described in the legend to Fig. 1B. The RLUs in these experiments varied from $\pm 5.0 \times 10^3$ to $\pm 2.0 \times 10^3$ in cells transfected with empty vector and up to $\pm 3.0 \times 10^3$ in the presence of expression vectors for LMP-1 or its derivatives. Dividing the fold activation by the relative level of expression for LMP-1 and its derivatives gives the fold activation on a per-molecule basis for each of the proteins tested. The data represent the average $\pm$ the standard deviation for three separate experiments with two measurements each.

Failure to aggregate does not explain SubLZLMP-1’s inefficient signaling. One possible explanation for SubLZ1LMP-1’s and SubLZLMP-1’s inefficient signaling would be a failure to aggregate. To test this possibility SubLZLMP-1 was tested for its ability to coimmunoprecipitate with a differently tagged derivative of itself. SubLZLMP-1, C-GFP coimmunoprecipitates with wtLMP-1 and SubLZLMP-1 but not with a derivative of LMP-1 that contains LMP-2A’s first six transmembrane domains, LMP2LMP-1 (Fig. 3A). We also tested whether an HA-tagged derivative of SubLZMP-1 with it carboxy terminus deleted (to delete the epitopes used to immunoprecipitate
LMP-1, HASubLZLMPΔC, coimmunoprecipitates with LMP-1 as well as does an HA-tagged derivative of LMP-1 with its carboxy terminus substituted with GFP, HALMPΔC-GFP. Both HASubLZLMPΔC and HALMPΔC-GFP coimmunoprecipitate with LMP-1 (Fig. 3B). In addition, neither of these derivatives coimmunoprecipitates with a derivative of LMP-1 that has its amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains substituted with LMP-2A’s (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, derivatives of SubLZLMP-1 fused to red and green fluorescent proteins colocalize with each other in cells as does wild-type LMP-1 fused to GFP colocalize with SubLZLMP-1 fused to RFP (Fig. 3C). These experiments demonstrate that the defects in SubLZ1LMP-1’s and SubLZLMP-1’s signaling cannot be explained by their inability to aggregate. This observation has at least two implications. First, LMP-1’s amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains contain other residues than those substituted in SubLZLMP-1 that can mediate LMP-1’s aggregation. Second, a function mediated by LMP-1’s first membrane-spanning domain other than aggregation is required for LMP-1’s efficient activation of NF-κB’s activity.

A derivative of LMP-1 that has its wild-type transmembrane-spanning domains but cannot signal complements the defect in SubLZLMP-1’s activation of NF-κB’s activity and decreases SubLZLMP-1’s level of expression. Derivatives of a variety of oligomeric proteins, e.g., β-galactosidase, can complement each other’s defects if they retain their ability to associate (29). Because SubLZLMP-1 retains its ability to associate with LMP-1, we tested whether a mutant of LMP-1, LMPΔC-GFP, that cannot signal because it has its carboxy-terminal signaling domain replaced with GFP complements the defect in SubLZLMP-1’s activation of NF-κB’s activity. Expression of either LMPΔC-GFP and SubLZLMP-1 alone in
293 cells induces only low levels of NF-κB’s activity (Fig. 4A). However, when SubLZLMP-1 is expressed in cells with increasing amounts of LMP-2A-GFP the combination of both proteins increases the activation of NF-κB’s activity and leads to a decrease in the apparent expression of SubLZLMP-1 (Fig. 4). When 1.0 μg of SubLZLMP-1 is transfected with 100 ng of LMP-2A-GFP, SubLZLMP-1’s ability to activate NF-κB’s activity is nearly restored to that of wtLMP-1’s ability and SubLZLMP-1’s level of expression is decreased by at least one-half (Fig. 4A and B). These findings indicate that SubLZLMP-1 directly or indirectly, perhaps via a cellular protein bridge, associates with LMP-1 and that a function of LMP-1’s transmembrane domains that is defective in SubLZLMP-1 other than aggregation can be complemented by the expression of the wild-type transmembrane-spanning domains of LMP-1.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that LMP-1’s transmembrane-spanning domains contain specific residues required for LMP-1’s
FIG. 3—Continued.
activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription. Derivatives of LMP-1 that contain substitutions of LMP-1’s transmembrane-spanning domains with LMP-2A’s first six transmembrane-spanning domains inefficiently activate NF-κB-mediated transcription. A derivative of LMP-1 with LMP-2A’s membrane-spanning domains fails to associate with wild-type LMP-1 as measured by coimmunoprecipitation. This experiment demonstrates that there are specific residues in LMP-1’s transmembrane domains or intracellular or extracellular loops that are required for its association into a complex with other LMP-1 molecules and is consistent with aggregation being required for LMP-1’s activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription. The residues in LMP-1’s amino terminus only play a minimal role in LMP-1’s activation of NF-κB’s activity since they can be replaced by a structurally analogous domain of LMP-2A’s with only a small effect on LMP-1’s activation of NF-κB’s activity. We have found that LMP-1’s first and sixth membrane-spanning domains have clusters of leucines, each containing a potential leucine-heptad motif, known to mediate interaction of some proteins embedded within the plasma membrane (14). Substitution of seven leucines with alanines in the presumptive leucine-heptad motifs did not affect LMP-1’s ability to coimmunoprecipitate or colocalize in a complex with other derivatives of LMP-1. However, these substitutions in LMP-1’s first transmembrane-spanning domain decrease its induction of NF-κB’s activity and increase its accumulation at steady state. It is mechanistically revealing that a derivative of LMP-1 that has wild-type transmembrane-spanning domains but cannot signal for lack of its carboxy terminus complements the defect in the derivative of LMP-1 having seven leucines substituted with alanines in its first membrane-spanning domain. This complementation both restores efficient activation of NF-κB’s activity and decreases accumulation of the derivative (Fig. 4A and B). These observations are consistent with LMP-1’s amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains contributing one or more functions other than aggregation that are required to support LMP-1’s efficient signaling.

It is not clear if LMP-1’s membrane-spanning domains confer a specific structure to LMP-1 that is required for its signaling. However, given the similarities between LMP-1’s and CD40’s signaling, it seems likely that LMP-1’s membrane-spanning domains do confer such a structure. For instance, some members of the TNFR family are found as preformed trimers on the surface of cells due to their SPAD domain and are activated by a presumably allosteric association of their trimeric ligands (4). Fusion of LMP-1’s amino terminus and transmembrane-spanning domains to the cytoplasmic domains of members of the TNFR family can activate their signaling in the absence of their ligands (12, 15). This finding demonstrates the LMP-1’s amino terminus and transmembrane-spanning domains can substitute for the extracellular ligand binding domain of TNFR members when bound by ligand. One possible explanation for the defect in SubLZLMP-1’s ability to signal is that substitution of the leucines for alanines in LMP-1’s first membrane-spanning domain disrupts LMP-1’s structure and decreases its affinity for TRAFs and TRADD. The SubLZLMP-1 mutant may genetically separate the domain of LMP-1 that aggregates, in a way similar to that of the PLAD domain of some TNFR family members, from its domain that confers a structure on its carboxy terminus in the absence of ligand that is similar to the structure that TNF ligand confers on TNF receptors when they associate with the ligand-binding domain of TNF receptors. A second possible explanation is that the cluster of leucines in LMP-1’s first membrane-span-

FIG. 4. The inefficient activation of NF-κB-mediated transcription induced by SubLZLMP-1 can be complemented to wild-type levels by expression of a plasmid encoding a derivative of LMP-1 with its wild-type amino terminus and membrane-spanning domains but lacking its carboxy terminus. (A) LMPΔC-GFP complements the SubLZLMP-1 derivative and restores its ability to activate NF-κB-mediated transcription efficiently. The stimulation of NF-κB activity in 293 cells transfected with 1.0 μg of vector encoding LMPΔC-GFP alone or 1.0 μg of SubLZLMP-1 and increasing amounts of LMPΔC-GFP was measured with a luciferase reporter. The RLUs in these experiments varied from ~6.0 × 10^3 to up to ~6.0 × 10^4 in cells transfected with empty vector to ~3.0 × 10^4 in the presence of expression vectors for SubLZLMP-1 and LMPΔC-GFP. LMPΔC-GFP, which is expressed from the cytomegalovirus promoter, is likely to inhibit gene expression at concentrations of DNA higher than 100 ng per transfection (31). The data represent the average ± the standard deviation for three separate experiments with two measurements each. (B) Lysates from 293 cells transfected as in panel A were probed with anti-LMP-1 antisera and a secondary alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody to visualize the level of expression of SubLZLMP-1. The level of SubLZLMP-1 decreases when increasing amounts of LMPΔC-GFP are cotransfected. GSTLMP-1 is used to determine the relative level of expression of LMP-1.
ning domain is a leucine zipper which mediates association with a cellular protein required for LMP-1’s signaling.

All of the derivatives of LMP-1 in the present study that fail to signal as efficiently as does wild-type LMP-1 accumulate in cells to higher levels than does wild-type LMP-1. On average, these derivatives accumulate in cells to 10-fold higher levels than does wild-type LMP-1 and activate per molecule 3% of the NF-κB activity as does wild-type LMP-1. The measurements of NF-κB activity have been performed under conditions in which LMP-1 and its derivatives act dose dependently (Fig. 1B, 2C, and 4A), indicating that their activities have not saturated the cells’ signaling machinery. The inefficient signaling of the derivatives of LMP-1, even though they accumulate to higher levels than wild-type LMP-1, indicates that they are likely defective in their ability to assemble a signaling complex. These observations are consistent with LMP-1’s efficient signaling and short-half life being coupled. This proposed coupling would render LMP-1’s signaling similar to that of activated receptors. For instance, EGFR and TNFR-1 are turned over rapidly from the plasma membrane when they are treated with ligand, and their turnover is required for their signaling (33, 34). One tantalizing possibility is that a function of LMP-1’s first transmembrane domain that is in SubLZLMP-1 is required for both signaling and rapid turnover. For instance, LMP-1’s first membrane spanning domain may associate with proteins required for both its targeting to its site for signaling and its rapid turnover. Alternatively, LMP-1’s first membrane-spanning domain may not only be required for LMP-1’s signaling via TRAFs but may facilitate LMP-1’s ubiquitination and turnover. Consistent with this idea TRAFs are not only required for LMP-1’s efficient activation of NF-κB but also are ubiquitin ligases (5). TRAF6 in particular is critical for LMP-1’s signaling (32) and functions as a ubiquitin ligase. A detailed characterization of SubLZLMP-1 will help to elucidate the role of LMP-1’s transmembrane domains in LMP-1’s signaling and turnover and will likely illuminate the intricacies of signaling by members of the TNFR family.
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