Priming of Human Papillomavirus Type 11-Specific Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses in College-Aged Women with a Virus-Like Particle Vaccine
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In this study, we evaluated the potency of a human papillomavirus (HPV) virus-like particle (VLP)-based vaccine at generating HPV type 11 (HPV-11)-specific cellular and humoral immune responses in seronegative women. The vaccine was administered by intramuscular immunizations at months 0, 2, and 6. A fourth immunization was administered to approximately half of the women at month 12. All vaccine recipients had positive HPV-11 VLP-specific lymphoproliferative responses at month 3 following the second immunization (geometric mean lymphoproliferative stimulation index [SI] = 28.4; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 16.9 to 48.0) and HPV-11 VLP-specific antibody titers following the first immunization at month 1 (geometric mean antibody titer = 53.9 milli-Merck units/ml, 95% CI, 34.8 to 83.7). In contrast, lymphoproliferative and antibody titer responses were never detected in the participants who received placebo. Relatively homogeneous lymphoproliferative responses were observed in all vaccinated women. The mean lymphoproliferative SI of the vaccinated group over the first 12 months of the study was 7.6-fold greater than that of the placebo group following the initial immunization. The cellular immune responses generated by VLP immunization were both Th1 and Th2, since peripheral blood mononuclear cells from vaccinees, but not placebo recipients, secreted interleukin 2 (IL-2), IL-5, and gamma interferon (IFN-γ) in response to in vitro stimulation with HPV-11 VLP. The proliferation-based SI was moderately correlated with IFN-γ production and significantly correlated with IL-2 production after the third immunization (P = 0.078 and 0.002, respectively). The robust lymphoproliferative responses were specific for HPV-11, since SIs generated against bovine papillomavirus and HPV-16 VLPs were not generally observed and when detected were similar pre- and postimmunization.

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) are small double-stranded DNA viruses that infect cutaneous and mucosal epithelial cells and cause benign and malignant hyperproliferative lesions, such as genital warts and cervical cancer (56). Condylomata acuminatum (genital warts) is the most commonly diagnosed sexually transmitted viral disease in the United States (29), and approximately 95% of genital warts are caused by infection with the low-risk HPV types 6 and 11 (HPV-6 and -11) (5, 16). Although genital warts do not have a propensity for malignant transformation, they are a cause of great psychosocial morbidity. Since all available therapies are associated with high rates of recurrence, the development of a vaccine to prevent the occurrence of HPV-6 and -11-induced lesions is needed. The present study was designed to evaluate the immunogenicity of a virus-like particle (VLP)-based HPV-11 vaccine in a phase I human trial.

While early clinical studies of HPV VLP-based vaccines are presently under way, little is understood about the immune responses generated in vaccine recipients and the specific types of cellular immunity that will be required for long-term protection are unknown. Based on the pathogenesis of HPV infection and disease, two main strategies have been proposed for the development of a successful HPV vaccine. The first strategy is to prime neutralizing antibodies, preferentially at the mucosal (and cutaneous) sites, so that infection of epithelial cells can be prevented. A second strategy is to elicit HPV-specific T cells, as virus-specific T cells have been shown to be important for effectively controlling and eradicating numerous viral infections (26, 33, 34, 41, 46).

When expressed in bacteria or eukaryotic cells, the papillomavirus capsid protein L1, alone or in combination with L2, autoassembles to form intact VLPs that morphologically and antigenically resemble native virion (17). Immunization of animals with various papillomavirus VLP-based vaccines has been shown to elicit high antibody titer (4, 22, 23, 27, 32, 52) and durable T-cell responses (10, 31, 37, 40). The presence of vaccine-induced neutralizing antibodies was shown to correlate with complete protection against viral challenge in the cotton-tail rabbit papillomavirus rabbit model (4, 22), the canine oral papillomavirus dog model (52), and the bovine papillomavirus (BPV) cow model (23, 27).

There is clinical evidence that cellular immune responses play an important role in the outcome of HPV infection and disease (25, 48). Specifically, infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells have been observed in spontaneously regressing warts (9, 21, 36). In addition, the prevalence of HPV-associated lesions...
is increased in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients (28) and transplant recipients (18, 45), both of whom are known to have impaired cell-mediated immunity. In this study, we measured HPV-11 VLP vaccine priming of humoral and cellular immune responses in seronegative, HPV DNA-negative, college-aged women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants. Fifty-five college-aged women (ages, 18 to 25 years) attending the University of New Mexico (UNM) were enrolled in a phase I HPV-11 VLP vaccine study between 30 March and 30 June 1998. These participants represent a subset of women enrolled in a multisite trial conducted at Indiana University and UNM. The vaccine study was designed as a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Doses of 10, 20, 50, and 100 µg of VLP vaccine were administered in 0.5-ml intramuscular injections over 6 months in which three immunizations were given at months 0, 2, and 6. Half of consenting participants received a fourth immunization at month 12. Eligibility criteria for study participants required that all volunteers be in general good health and have no history of genital warts or abnormal cervical cytology. Additionally, screening, women were ineligible for the vaccine study if they demonstrated positive results for HPV-6 or -11 or any of four agonalitides if they or testing positive for HPV-6 or -11 antibodies (6).

Of the 55 participants enrolled at UNM, 30 individuals consented to donate blood for the studies presented here. Only participants in the 50- or 100-µg dose groups were evaluated for HPV-11 VLP-specific cellular immune responses over the 36-month trial. Six women who were not part of the vaccine study and who reported no previous sexual intercourse (i.e., virginal) were also included in this study. These women were considered a reference control group when the specificity of the vaccine-induced proliferative response was assessed (age range, 17 to 26 years; median age, 22 years). Informed written consent was obtained from all women before participation in the study. Laboratory assays were performed with no knowledge of the placebo or vaccine status of the individual participants. This study was approved by the UNM School of Medicine Human Research Review Committee.

HPV-11 VLP vaccine. The HPV-11 VLP vaccine, consisting of the HPV-11 L1 protein only, was produced in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (32), and VLPs were adsorbed to aluminum hydroxypophosphate adjuvant. The VLP was highly purified (>98%) as assessed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and colloidal Coomassie staining. The placebo consisted of aluminum hydroxypophosphate adjuvant alone.

Specimen collection. Before the receipt of vaccine or clinical examination, blood was collected into Vacutainer Cell Preparation Tubes containing sodium citrate (Becton Dickinson, Bridgeport, N.J.) and was centrifuged at 1,600 rpm for 10 min. Serum was collected and stored at −80°C until used. Whole-blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were washed twice prior to being resuspended at 10 × 10^6 cells/ml in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Grand Island, N.Y.) containing 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) and 1% human AB serum (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, N.J.).

Cytokine detection. PBMCs were plated in 96-well round-bottomed wells at 2 × 10^5 and 5 × 10^5 cells/well in four or six replicates. Cells were cultured in the absence or presence of 5.0 µg of HPV-11 VLP/ml or with 0.75 µg of phytomagglutinin (PHA; Murex Diagnostics Limited, Dartford, England) ml. Stimulation of PBMCs in four or six replicates with 0.05 µg of yeast lyste/ml was included in these assays to monitor possible T-cell priming by any potential contamination of yeast protein following VLP purification. After 5 days of culture, plates were pulsed with 1.0 µCi of [3H]thymidine per well, and cells were harvested 6 to 8 h later. For type-specific analyses 5.0 µg of the following antigen preparations per ml was used in proliferation assays: BPV and HPV-16 VLP (VLP-16 [bac]) produced by recombinant baculovirus (DynCorp, Rockville, Md.) and HPV-16 VLP (VLP-16 [yeast]) produced in yeast as well as 0.05 µg of baculovirus and yeast extracts per ml. Lymphoproliferation results were presented as a stimulation index (SI) and were calculated as the geometric mean of counts per minute of cells cultured in the presence of VLP divided by the geometric mean of cells cultured in the absence of VLP antigen (culture media alone). An SI of 5.0 or greater was scored positive. All positive responses had a change in counts per minute of ±1,000 (calculated as the experimental counts per minute minus the background counts per minute; data not shown).

ELISPOT assay. The levels of gamma interferon (IFN-γ), interleukin 5 (IL-5), and IL-2 present in the 48-h supernatants of HPV-11 VLP (5.0 µg/ml)-stimulated human PBMCs by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISAs) and HT-2 bioassays. The OptEIA Human IFN-γ and IL-5 sets (PharMingen) were used to detect IFN-γ and IL-5, and the ELISAs were essentially performed per the manufacturer’s protocol. ELISAs were performed in duplicate. Data were analyzed as the mean experimental measurement minus the mean background measurement. IL-2 production in culture supernatant was measured in duplicate in a bioassay with the IL-2-dependent cell line HT-2 (12), and results were presented as SIbR.:

ELISPOT assay. ImmunoSpot P50 plates (Cellular Technologies Ltd., Cleveland, Ohio) were coated with IFN-γ or IL-5 capture antibodies (PharMingen, at 1.5 and 2.0 µg/ml, respectively) in 50 µl of 1× PBS overnight at 4°C. The following day plates were washed three times with PBS and then three times with PBS containing 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween 20 (PBS/T). The plates were then blocked with BSA (10 gliters in PBS/T) (BSA from Sigma) for 2 h at RT. Plates were washed three times with PBS before cells and antigens were added as specified. Cytokine-producing PBMCs from study participants were plated in triplicate at 5 × 10^5 cells/well in a 96-well plate with 5.0 µg of HPV-11 L1 VLP and HPV-16 L1 VLP per ml in the presence of S. cerevisiae and HPV-16 L1 VLP and BPV L1 VLP produced by baculovirus vectors in Trichosar a n. Negative wells containing only medium (10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin in RPMI medium 1640; Gibco) and positive control wells containing PHA were included. Wells containing 0.05 µg of yeast or insect lysate per ml were added as control tests to test any potential expression system contaminants. T-cell subsets were isolated using anti-CD8 antibodies bound to magnetic beads (Dynal, Oslo, Norway) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were cultured at 37°C and 5%
TABLE 1. Immunization scheme for participants of an HPV-11 VLP-based vaccine study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine dose</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
<th>No. who received 3 doses*</th>
<th>No. who received 4 doses*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 μg</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo for 50-μg group</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 μg</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo for 100-μg group</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Vaccine was administered in three or four intramuscular injections at months 0, 2, and 6 or 2, 6, and 12, respectively. VLP was given in a 50- or 100-μg dose in aluminum adjuvant. Placebo recipients received adjuvant alone.

RESULTS

HPV-11 VLP vaccine-induced lymphoproliferation. Cellular immune responses were monitored in 30 participants: 8 women received the 50-μg vaccine dose, 13 received the 100-μg vaccine dose, and 9 received the placebo (Table 1). Geometric mean SIs against the positive control mitogen, PHA, over all study visits were 41.0 (95% CI, 30.7 to 54.8) for placebo and 50.4 (95% CI, 40.9 to 62.3) for vaccine recipients. Prior to vaccination, no study participants had detectable HPV-11 VLP-specific lymphoproliferative responses (SI cutoff of 5.0; Fig. 1, top panel), suggesting that none of these women had been previously exposed to HPV-11. Throughout the duration of the study, HPV-11 VLP-induced SI responses of placebo recipients remained below the assay cutoff. In contrast, positive proliferative T-cell responses against HPV-11 VLP (SI ≥ 5.0) were detected in women who received the VLP immunization (Fig. 1, top panel).

A Wilcoxon nonparametric test of significance at each time point provided no evidence for a difference in SI levels between the 50-μg and 100-μg dose groups; therefore, all analyses were performed on data combined from both dose groups. At 1 month following the initial priming immunization, PBMCs from all but two of the vaccinated women proliferated (SI ≥ 5.0) in response to VLP (Fig. 2, month 1). All vaccine recipients demonstrated robust proliferative T-cell responses following the second and third immunizations, and the mean SI did not change significantly once the first immunization was received (Fig. 2, months 3 and 7, respectively). After the initial immunization, the ratio of between-subject variance to within-subject variance for vaccine recipients was 0.14, indicating that subjects were relatively homogenous in their lymphoproliferative responses. Using the between-subject variance and the vaccine group SI of 17.4, it was estimated that 99% of the subjects had a positive SI within the interval (7.9 to 38.1). For placebo recipients, the mean SI was 2.3 and the ratio of between- to within-subject variance was 0.19 (99% interval for the SI was 1.3 to 4.0). Thus, in the first 12 months of this study the SI responses within the vaccine and placebo groups varied 4.8- and 3.1-fold, respectively, and the mean SI response of the vaccine recipients was 7.6-fold greater than that of the placebo recipients.

Kinetics of HPV-11 VLP-specific antibody and lymphoproliferative responses following vaccination. Prior to immunization, none of the participants had a detectable HPV-11-specific antibody titer (cutoff value of 10 mMU/ml). Similarly, the HPV-11 antibody titers assessed by cRIA for the placebo group were never above 10 mMU/ml (Fig. 1, bottom panel). HPV-11 VLP-specific, immunization-dependent cRIA titers were observed immediately following the first priming immunization, and significant titers (≥200 mMU/ml) were achieved in most patients following the third immunization (geometric mean titer = 1,052.7 mMU/ml; 95% CI, 656.5 to 1,688.0). These cRIA titers correlated well with neutralization of HPV-11 virions as measured by an athymic mouse xenograft model (6); 63 of 69 (91.3%) postimmunization serum specimens with cRIA titers of ≥200 mMU/ml were 100% neutralizing when tested with this assay. In contrast to the absolute SI measurements that remained relatively constant following vaccination, an increase in antibody titer was observed following consecutive immunizations (Fig. 2). No association was observed between the magnitude of antibody titers and SI in the vaccine group. While humoral and cellular immune responses were not correlated within each individual, an obvious similarity was apparent in the global responses of the B- and T-cell populations to vaccination over time with a gradual decline in the absolute values of antibody titer and SIs 24 to 30 months following the last vaccine administration (Fig. 3).

Immunoglobulin isotype and subclass responses to HPV-11 VLP vaccination. The sera of 40 study participants (n = 10 placebo and n = 30 vaccine recipients) were analyzed at month 7, 1 month following three immunizations, for HPV-11 VLP-specific IgM, IgA, total IgG, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, and IgE. Results are presented in Table 2. Twenty-nine of 30 (96.7%) sera from women receiving the vaccine (cRIA titers > 10 mMU/ml) were seropositive for total IgG antibodies, and 25 of
30 (83%) were seropositive for IgA subclass antibodies. Total IgG seropositive responses were of the IgG1 isotype, and over half (17 of 30) of the vaccine recipient sera tested were also seropositive for IgG2 isotype antibodies. In addition, HPV-11 VLP-specific IgG3 and IgG4 antibodies were detected in the majority of sera tested, 86.7 and 100%, respectively. HPV-11 VLP-specific IgM antibodies were also detected in 5 of 30 (16.7%) vaccine recipients. No IgE was detected in either vaccine or placebo recipients. Minimal HPV-11 VLP-specific subclass or isotype immunoglobulins were detected in sera from women receiving placebo; two placebo recipients were seropositive for IgA, and a third placebo recipient was seropositive for IgG2. It should be noted that the one vaccine recipient who was seronegative for both total IgG and IgG1 when assayed at the 1:31,250 dilution was seropositive when tested at the 1:250 dilution (data not shown). At this lower

![Graphs showing lymphoproliferative responses and antibody titers in HPV-11 VLP vaccine participants.](image-url)
dilution, none of the placebo recipients were seropositive for either total IgG or IgG1; the dilution was raised, however, in order to measure other vaccine recipient responses in the linear range of the assay.

Antigen specificity of HPV-11 VLP-lymphoproliferative responses. Lymphoproliferative responses against the yeast lysate control were uncommon and were observed in both vaccine and placebo recipients alike over the duration of the 36-month study (data not shown). When yeast lysate responses were used as the denominator in the SI calculations (rather than culture media alone), the comparison of vaccine to placebo responses was not changed; therefore, these analyses are not presented. It is likely that most responses to yeast lysate resulted from prior exposure to yeast rather than proliferation of PBMCs that was specific for yeast proteins potentially contaminating the VLP vaccine preparation.

We further measured the HPV type specificity of the vaccine-induced response by using multiple papillomavirus VLPs to stimulate PBMCs from 12 vaccine recipients (at baseline and 1 month following the last immunization [month 7 or 13]) and from six women with no previous sexual history (virginal women). HPV-16 VLPs produced by both recombinant baculovirus and yeast expression systems were tested, as were BPV1 VLPs produced by a baculovirus expression system. Yeast lysate and baculovirus extract were also included in these type specificity experiments as controls to measure lymphoproliferative responses to potential VLP expression system contaminants. As previously observed, yeast-activated SIs were occasionally measured, but baculovirus extract was never stimulatory in any of the virginal women or in the vaccine study participants at baseline or after complete immunization (Fig. 4). In the six virginal women tested, HPV-11, BPV, and HPV-16 VLPs generally did not activate PBMCs. Responses to BPV were greatest in the group of virginal women, although a mean SI of 2.4 and 95% CI (1.0 to 5.4) were below the assay cutoff.

HPV-11-specific responses were not detected at baseline in vaccine study participants (geometric mean SI, 1.91; 95% CI, 1.42 to 2.6), but after the last immunization, significant increases from baseline measurements were observed only in response to HPV-11 VLP, geometric mean SI, 6.6 (95% CI 3.7 to 11.6); \( P = 0.001 \). In contrast, vaccine-independent baseline responses against HPV-16 and BPV VLPs produced by recombinant baculovirus were measured in vaccine study participants (geometric mean SI, 4.3 and 7.9; and 95% CI, 2.8 to 6.4 and 5.3 to 12.0, respectively). Mean SI values against BPV remained

![FIG. 2. HPV-11 VLP-specific antibody titer versus SI. Measurements of humoral and cellular immunity are presented at baseline (month 0) and after first, second, and third immunizations (months 1, 3, and 7, respectively) in vaccine and placebo recipients. Lymphoproliferation results are presented as SI, and antibody data are presented in mMU per milliliter.](http://jvi.asm.org/36/4/7836/fig2.html)
positive in the vaccinated group following the last immunization, while responses against HPV-16 VLP produced by baculovirus dropped below the assay cutoff. These data demonstrate that type-specific proliferative responses were induced by HPV-11 VLP vaccination.

**HPV-11 VLP-specific immune responses and cytokine production primed by vaccination.** Having shown that HPV-11 VLP-specific cellular and humoral immune responses were induced by vaccination, we next investigated whether the VLP-based vaccine elicited a Th1 or Th2 (IFN-γ or IL-5) cytokine pattern of response. PBMCs from both vaccine and placebo recipients were tested by ELISA for their capacity to produce IL-2, IL-5, and IFN-γ in response to 48 h of restimulation with HPV-11 VLP. Both IFN-γ and IL-5 were produced in vitro by vaccine but not placebo recipients (Table 3). IL-2 production was more readily detectable in the vaccine versus the placebo group, but there were no statistically significant differences in levels detected. IFN-γ production by vaccine recipients compared to that by placebo recipients was significantly different at three of four time points measured (P = 0.036, 0.000, 0.051, and 0.005 after first, second, third, and fourth immunizations, respectively [Table 3]), and IL-5 production was significantly greater in the vaccine group following the third and fourth immunizations (P = 0.009 and 0.027, respectively [Table 3]).

The observed cytokine secretion patterns detected by ELISA were supported by ELISPOT analyses of both IFN-γ and IL-5 production by PBMCs from vaccine recipients at month 0 and months 7 through 13. IFN-γ production was detected following the last immunization with a mean of 148.9 spots per million PBMCs (median, 58.3); no IFN-γ was detected at baseline (mean, 2.1; median, 0.0). This frequency of HPV-11 VLP-specific PBMCs represents approximately 0.015% of the total HPV-11 VLP-stimulated cells or 15 antigen-specific cells per 100,000 cultured PBMCs. Similar to what was observed in the ELISA results, IL-5 responses were detectable but at lower levels than those of IFN-γ. The mean value for IL-5 produced after the last immunization was 73.2 spots/million PBMCs (median, 21.7); no IL-5 was detected at baseline (mean, 8.2; median, 0.8). ELISPOT assays were also performed with CD8-depleted and CD8-enhanced T-cell subsets at 1 month following the last immunization. These experiments demonstrated that the T-cell subpopulation depleted of CD8+ T cells was the predominant population with detectable IFN-γ and IL-5 production (mean and median val-
ues of spots per million CD8<sup>+</sup>-depleted lymphocytes were 392.2 and 271.7 and 57.0 and 26.7, respectively. When calculated as a percentage of the CD8<sup>+</sup>-depleted cultures, these frequencies represent 0.039% of the stimulated cultures or approximately 40 antigen-specific cells per 100,000 CD8<sup>+</sup>-depleted lymphocytes. Minimal IFN-γ was produced by the CD8<sup>+</sup>-enriched T-cell cultures; mean and median values of spots per million CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were 28.0 and 0.0, respectively, and no IL-5 was produced.

Associations were observed between the ELISA cytokine patterns produced by vaccine recipients and lymphoproliferation or antibody titers. SI levels were moderately correlated

---

**FIG. 4.** Specificity of lymphoproliferative responses: evaluation of multiple papillomavirus antigens. Proliferation assays were performed using multiple papillomavirus VLPs and control lysates of the respective VLP expression systems. Six virginal women and 12 vaccinated women (at month 0 and month 7 or 13) were analyzed for proliferative responses against the following antigen preparations: BPV, HPV-16 VLP prepared in yeast (VLP16 [yeast]), HPV-16 VLP expressed by baculovirus (VLP16 [bac]), HPV-11 VLP, and yeast and baculovirus (Bac) lysates. The bars indicate the 95% CI for the geometric mean SI. Statistical significance is indicated (†) from a comparison of baseline measurements for lymphoproliferation against HPV-11 VLP in vaccine recipients (P<0.001).

**TABLE 3.** Characterization of HPV-11 VLP cytokine responses primed by immunization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine produced</th>
<th>Immunization after which response was measured</th>
<th>No. of participants and cytokine response in placebo group&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>No. of participants and cytokine response in vaccine group</th>
<th>P (Wilcoxon)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=9, 11=67.7, Median=14.0</td>
<td>n=11=198.4, Median=25.5</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=8, 12=233.8, Median=26.0</td>
<td>n=12=253.8, Median=26.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>9, 1.4, 0.0</td>
<td>11, 67.7, 14.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>8, 0.5, 0.0</td>
<td>12, 198.4, 25.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>7, 0.4, 0.0</td>
<td>12, 233.8, 26.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>7, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td>10, 141.9, 12.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>9, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td>11, 1.4, 0.0</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>8, 0.4, 0.0</td>
<td>12, 2.7, 0.5</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>7, 0.3, 0.0</td>
<td>12, 19.3, 6.5</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>7, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td>10, 15.8, 2.5</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>9, 2.4, 2.0</td>
<td>12, 3.0, 2.0</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>8, 1.9, 1.0</td>
<td>12, 4.7, 3.0</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>7, 3.3, 1.0</td>
<td>12, 6.5, 5.5</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>7, 4.3, 5.0</td>
<td>11, 10.5, 3.0</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> n = the number of participants evaluated. Cytokine production by PBMCs from a minimum of 7 placebo recipients and 10 vaccine recipients was examined at all time points. The same individuals plus one or two additional participants were evaluated at various time points.

<sup>b</sup> Production of IFN-γ, picograms per milliliter; IL-5, picograms per milliliter; and IL-2, SI<sub>10</sub>.
with IFN-γ production and were significantly correlated with IL-2 production after the third immunization (Spearman correlation, 0.53; \( P = 0.078 \); and 0.79, \( P = 0.002 \), respectively). IL-2 production was also significantly correlated with antibody titer following the fourth immunization (Spearman correlation, 0.68; \( P = 0.020 \)). Overall, these data demonstrate a Th1/Th2 cytokine response induced by HPV-11 VLP vaccine in seronegative, college-aged women.

**DISCUSSION**

The data presented here demonstrate that a recombinant HPV-11 L1 VLP vaccine could elicit HPV-specific antibody and proliferative T-cell responses in 18- to 25-year-old women not exposed to HPV-11. All vaccine recipients had detectable proliferative responses following the second immunization, while vaccine-dependent antibody responses were observed immediately following the first priming immunization.

The results of this study do not indicate a correlation between the magnitude of antibody titer and proliferative T-cell responses in individual vaccine recipients. While it is thought that antibody production is facilitated by T-helper cells, the study results suggest that the dynamics of B- and T-cell populations within a single person cannot be analyzed in terms of a linear association between antigen-specific antibody secretion and lymphocyte proliferation. An increase in antibody titer was observed following each administration of the vaccine. This contrasted with lymphoproliferative responses that appear to achieve a maximum level of stimulation following initial immunization. Overall, HPV-specific T-cell activity was observed as a discrete proliferative response and there was no trend in average SI after the initial immunization. In other words, once the lymphoproliferative response was primed, the magnitude of that response did not change significantly with subsequent immunizations. This observation is consistent with the present understanding of the maintenance of homeostasis in memory T-cell populations (14) and may be due to a “physiologic limit” of antigen-specific T cells that can be present in a host at any given time. Although there was no significant effect of vaccination on SI levels following the administration of the first immunization, it remains possible that the subsequent immunizations played a role in conferring long-lived proliferative T-cell responses.

To investigate whether HPV-11 VLP immunization could prime cross-reactive T-cell responses against other papillomavirus types, we performed lymphoproliferation assays on PBMCs from a subset of study participants and virginal women. Multiple papillomavirus VLP sources, including HPV-16 and BPV VLPs, were used for antigenic stimulation. A statistically significant increase between baseline proliferative responses and those measured 1 month following the third immunization was observed only against the HPV-11 VLP in vaccine recipients. Neither HPV-11 nor HPV-16 VLPs elicited lymphoproliferative responses in PBMCs isolated from virginal women. In both vaccinated and virginal women, BPV VLP most commonly elicited responses independent of the receipt of vaccine. In addition, mean responses against BPV VLP in vaccine participants were higher at baseline than after the receipt of three or four HPV-11 VLP immunizations, indicating that this response was not vaccine induced. Similarly, one of two HPV-16 VLP preparations (i.e., HPV-16 VLP produced in recombinant baculovirus-infected insect cells) elicited vaccine-independent responses in vaccine study participants at baseline, although the mean SI level generated was not above the cutoff for a positive SI value (Fig. 4).

It is possible that some vaccine study participants had previous exposure to HPVs other than types 6 and 11 before their inclusion into this study; therefore, in vitro stimulation of PBMCs by BPV or HPV-16 VLPs may have induced a memory response against a conserved papillomavirus capsid epitope, albeit a putative common T-cell epitope has not been reported (20, 51). In fact, PCR-based HPV-16 DNA analysis of anogenital specimens from vaccine study participants over two years of the study revealed that two of the participants analyzed in these type-specific lymphoproliferation assays had been exposed to HPV-16 (data not shown). Both of these participants demonstrated HPV-16-specific lymphoproliferative memory responses and would therefore account for some of the HPV-16 type-specific proliferation observed.

The lymphoproliferative responses to BPV VLP by PBMCs from virginal women as well as from vaccine study participants pre- and post-HPV-11 VLP vaccination may be due to a general mitogenicity of the VLP structure, as has been suggested (30, 39). Other investigators have observed BPV VLP responses at baseline measurements in study participants of HPV-16 VLP-based vaccine trials (A. Hildesheim and L. Pinto, personal communication). Taken together, these data suggest that, whether due to a commonly recognized putative papillomavirus epitope or a general mitogenic stimulatory effect, use of BPV VLP as a “negative” VLP control appears inappropriate in VLP-based vaccine studies. Nonetheless, the robust increase in HPV-11 VLP lymphoproliferation observed after immunization with minimal vaccine-dependent responses to other VLPs supports the HPV type specificity of the measured proliferative response.

Our data on the type-specific nature of this VLP-based vaccine do not support recent findings by Evans and colleagues who reported cross-reactive cytokine and lymphoproliferative responses against HPV-6 and -16 following vaccination with HPV-11 VLP (13). However, baseline measurements in vaccine recipients were high in the study by Evans et al. This may have been a consequence of previous exposure to other HPVs, given that study exclusion criteria were limited to a history of abnormal Pap smears and seropositivity to HPV-11 by ELISA only. HPV-6 and HPV-11 have 93% amino acid identity in the L1 protein yet do not share conformationally dependent neutralizing epitopes (7); thus, it is not surprising that cross-type proliferative responses were not observed in the screening and ELISA evaluations. This may support future efforts to include both HPV-6 and HPV-11 in HPV VLP vaccines targeting low-risk HPV types.

Th1 and Th2 cytokines were detected in response to HPV-11 L1 VLP in vitro restimulation in all vaccine recipients and in none of the placebo recipients tested. In general, the quantitative responses of IFN-γ (picograms/milliliter) and IL-2 (per SI(1×10^−2)) measured in vaccine recipients were similar to or exceeded previously reported levels of these cytokines detected after mitogenic (8), E6/E7 peptide (53), or VLP (11) restimulation in women naturally infected with HPV-16. SI5 against HPV-11 VLP were also higher than what is generally measured
in naturally infected individuals (48), suggesting that HPV VLP vaccination primes stronger cellular immune responses than natural HPV infection. Although IL-2 production was greater in the immunized group versus the placebo group following the second immunization, the differences were not statistically significant. It is possible that levels of IL-2 detected in the vaccine recipient group were low due to IL-2 uptake by VLP-stimulated PBMCs during 48 h of cell culture. While some significant associations between cytokine production, lymphoproliferation, and antibody titer were observed, these relationships were not consistently observed over time. This may be the result of the small number of participants evaluated in this study. Nonetheless, the observed correlations support the Th1/Th2 cytokine pattern measured among vaccinated participants.

In our study, immunoglobulin isotype and subclass responses to HPV-11 VLP vaccination demonstrated the generation of both Th1 and Th2 responses in over half of women immunized with HPV-11 VLP. These data corroborate a similar response observed in our cytokine and ELISPOT studies. Our results differ from those in the work previously published by Harro et al., which examined the immunoglobulin isotypes induced by vaccination with an HPV-16 VLP produced from baculovirus (19). All vaccine recipients immunized with HPV-16 VLP (with or without aluminum adjuvant) were seropositive for HPV-16 VLP-specific IgG1 antibodies and minimal IgG2, IgG3, or IgG4 antibodies were detected 1 month following the first immunization. Similar frequencies of IgA were detected in women immunized with both HPV-11 and HPV-16 VLP vaccines, but IgM was more common in recipients of the HPV-16 vaccine, a likely consequence of the primary immune response generated immediately following vaccination. Several aspects of vaccine study design and the technologies employed may account for the differences in our results. We examined immune responses at month 7 after the vaccinees had received three doses of the HPV-11 VLP vaccine, whereas Harro et al. tested sera 1 month after the first vaccination. This may explain why we saw fewer individuals who had a detectable IgM response. This difference in the sampling time points may also explain why we were able to detect IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4 response in a higher percentage of individuals than that observed in the HPV-16 VLP vaccine study. The MAbs may have different affinities for the various immunoglobulin isotypes targeted and were obtained from different sources for the two studies. Lastly, our assay employed the use of Luminex microspheres and fluorescent detection technology as a readout, compared to a colorimetric detection used in an ELISA. The liquid phase kinetics of these microsphere-based binding assays, the increased sensitivity of fluorescence-based technology, and the increased precision afforded by analyzing multiple microspheres per well may account for the apparent increased sensitivity of the Luminex isotyping assay. Thus, the overall differences observed between our study and that reported by Harro et al. may be attributed to the laboratory technologies applied, the difference in HPV type-specific VLP selected for immunization, the vaccine formulation and doses administered, and the time point chosen for serologic measurement.

Although aluminum hydroxyphosphate is not known to be a potent cytotoxic-T-lymphocyte (CTL)-inducing adjuvant, immunization of VLPs without adjuvant has been shown to induce cell-mediated immune responses (10, 31, 37, 40, 55). Recent observations of strong and long-lived CTL responses using a human immunodeficiency virus-gag VLP (37) and Th1 delayed-type hypersensitivity responses following HPV-6 VLP (55), both in the absence of adjuvant, have been reported. In contrast, HPV-11 L1-specific CTLs were only weakly detectable by bulk CTL assays in our study after the fourth immunization in two of nine vaccine recipients monitored (data not shown). Our observations in humans corroborate the weak and infrequent CTLs measured in chimpanzees with similar HPV VLP vaccines adsorbed to aluminum hydroxyphosphate (38).

Detection of CTLs using techniques such as major histocompatibility complex tetramers and ELISPOT analysis have been reported to be more sensitive than bulk CTL assays (1, 15, 42, 49) and may provide a more accurate assessment of HPV-specific CD8+ T-cell responses. Towards this aim we performed ELISPOT experiments on a subset of vaccine participants. The measurable IFN-γ cytokine response was generally restricted to the CD4+ population of T cells. It is possible that the addition of aluminum adjuvant to the HPV-11 VLP preparation interferes with the inherent ability of VLPs alone to prime a Th1-directed CTL response (10, 31, 37, 40, 44, 55).

Limited clinical investigations of human subjects with genital warts have revealed wart-infiltrating HPV-specific T cells to be modulators of disease outcome (2, 9, 16, 21, 36). More extensive evidence exists that virus-specific cellular immune responses are protective against HPV-16 persistence and oncogenic development (3, 8, 11, 24, 35, 47, 53), but the role of CD4+ and CD8+ activity in natural host protection remains uncertain. Although the generation of virus-specific cell-mediated immune responses appears to be beneficial for effective protection against chronic viral infections (33, 34, 43, 46), future work is needed to determine the respective role and relative contribution of HPV-specific host B and T cells in terms of protection against HPV-induced lesions. Similarly, the characterization of antibody, lymphoproliferative, and cytokine immune responses induced in patients by vaccination such as those presented here are potentially key to understanding the long-term efficacy of HPV VLP-based vaccines.
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