Infectious entry by amphotropic as well as ecotropic murine leukemia viruses occurs through an endocytic pathway
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Infectious entry of enveloped viruses is thought to proceed by one of two mechanisms. pH-dependent viruses enter the cells by receptor-mediated endocytosis and are inhibited by transient treatment with agents that prevent acidification of vesicles in the endocytic pathway, while pH-independent viruses are not inhibited by such agents and are thought to enter the cell by direct fusion with the plasma membrane. Nearly all retroviruses, including amphotropic murine leukemia virus (MuLV) and human immunodeficiency virus type I, are classified as pH independent. However, ecotropic MuLV is considered to be a pH-dependent virus. We have examined the infectious entry of ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs and found that they were equally inhibited by NH4Cl and bafilomycin A. These agents inhibited both viruses only partially over the course of the experiments. Agents that block the acidification of endocytic vesicles also arrest vesicular trafficking. Thus, partial inhibition of the MuLVs could be the result of virus inactivation during arrest in this pathway. In support of this contention, we found that the loss of infectivity of the MuLVs during treatment of target cells with the drugs closely corresponded to the loss of activity due to spontaneous inactivation at 37°C in the same period of time. Furthermore, the drugs had no effect on the efficiency of infection under conditions in which the duration of infection was held to a very short period to minimize the effects of spontaneous inactivation. These results indicate that the infectious processes of both ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs were arrested rather than aborted by transient treatment of the cells with the drugs. We also found that infectious viruses were efficiently internalized during treatment. This indicated that the arrest occurred in an intracellular compartment and that the infectious process of both the amphotropic and ecotropic MuLVs very likely involved endocytosis. An important aspect of this study pertains to the interpretation of experiments in which agents that block endocytic acidification inhibit infectivity. As we have found with the MuLVs, inhibition of infectivity may be secondary to the block of endocytic acidification. While this strongly suggests the involvement of an endocytic pathway, it does not necessarily indicate a requirement for an acidic compartment during the infectious process. Likewise, a lack of inhibition during transient treatment with the drugs would not preclude an endocytic pathway for viruses that are stable during the course of the treatment.

Infectious entry of enveloped viruses into target cells proceeds by specific binding of the virus to cellular receptors, followed by fusion of the viral and cellular membranes. The viral envelope protein mediates both receptor specificity and membrane fusion (26, 51). Two distinct pathways of virus entry have been reported. Fusion of the virus with the plasma membrane at extracellular pH, termed pH independent, is exemplified by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) (27, 28, 45), human T-cell leukemia virus (28), the amphotropic murine leukemia virus (MuLV) 4070A (28, 35), and the feline endogenous retrovirus RD114 (28). A second pathway, termed pH dependent, proceeds by receptor-mediated endocytosis and subsequent acidification of endocytic vesicles which is believed to trigger a conformational change in the viral envelope protein that renders it fusogenic. Examples of described pH-dependent viruses are Semliki Forest virus (18), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (28, 51), ecotropic MuLV (MuLV-E) (2, 28, 35), and influenza virus (51). In the case of influenza virus, a prototypical pH-dependent virus, a spring-like conformational change in the hemagglutinin envelope protein at low pH mediates fusion (8).

The most commonly used criterion for pH-dependent entry is the inhibition of viral infection by lysosomotropic weak bases (e.g., NH4Cl, chloroquine, and amantidine) or carboxylic ionophores (e.g., monensin) (1, 2, 14, 17, 18, 29, 35). Lysosomotropic weak bases become protonated within acidic vesicles and then cannot readily diffuse back out of the vesicles. Thus, the bases raise the pH within these vesicles by functioning as a proton sink (11, 30, 36). Carboxylic ionophores facilitate the exchange of protons in acidic vesicles for potassium ions in the cytoplasm, which also results in an elevation of the pH in acidic vesicles (11, 30, 36). Recently, bafilomycin A1 (BFLA1) and concanamycin A have been used to determine the requirement of acidic endosomal compartments for viral entry (16, 40). Both agents are specific and potent inhibitors of the vacuolar H+-ATPase resulting in the inhibition of endosome and lysosome acidification (7, 12). Other, more indirect criteria to distinguish pH-dependent and pH-independent pathways of
entry include the ability of a low-pH pulse to induce fusion of virions bound to cells or vesicles (6, 17, 22, 26, 34), the pH sensitivity of viral envelope protein mediated cell-cell fusion (41, 52), and the ability of mild acid treatment to inactivate extracellular viral particles (28).

To date, MuLV-E and mouse mammary tumor virus are the only mammalian retroviruses classified as pH dependent. The latter is considered pH dependent on the basis of fusion of cells induced by moderately low pH (pH 5 to 5.5) (42). In the case of MuLV-E, this classification is based on a partial inhibition of infection, where approximately 20% of the infectivity remains following treatment of target cells with NH4Cl (2, 28, 35). In contrast, other pH-dependent viruses, such as VSV, exhibit nearly complete inhibition of infection upon treatment with NH4Cl (27, 28). MuLV-E is also unique among pH-dependent viruses in that host cell entry of bound particles cannot be facilitated by a low-pH pulse and cell-free virions have not been observed to be inactivated by exposure to moderately low pH (pH 5) (28, 35). Other mammalian retroviruses, including amphotropic MuLV (MuLV-A) and HIV, are classified as pH-independent viruses and are thought to infect cells by directly fusing to the plasma membrane. However, MuLV-A infectivity appears to exhibit some sensitivity to NH4Cl (ca. 15 to 20%) (28, 35), and reports of HIV sensitivity to NH4Cl range from 0% (24) to 95% (27, 28).

In this report we have reexamined the distinction between the infectious entry pathways of MuLV-E and MuLV-A. Our data indicate that the two MuLV types employ similar receptor-mediated endocytic pathways for infection. Furthermore, inhibition by agents that prevent acidification of the endocytic pathway is the result of spontaneous inactivation of the viruses and does not necessarily indicate a requirement for an acidic compartment during the infectious process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses, cell lines, and viral vector production. Plasmid pM-MuLV-K, which contains a complete genome of the ecotropic Moloney MuLV (M-MuLV), was obtained from A. Dusty Miller (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Wash.). M-MuLV was harvested from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with the plasmid. A plasmid containing the complete genome of the amphotropic MuLV 4070A (4070A 11RC) was obtained from Genetic Therapy Inc., Gaithersburg, Md. MuLV 4070A was harvested from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with this plasmid. A plasmid containing the complete genome of the amphotropic MuLV (MuLV-A) was obtained from A. Kingsman, University of Oxford), and pVSV-G. Plasmid pHIT60 contains the M-MuLV Gag and Pol proteins from the CMV promoter and possesses an SV40 origin of replication. Plasmid pHIT112 contains a retroviral vector carrying the nGal gene driven by the CMV promoter and also possesses the SV40 origin of replication. Plasmid pVSV-G contains the gene encoding VSV-G driven by the CMV promoter. Virions were harvested from 293T/17 cells 72 h after transfection with the three plasmids.

Vector assays. Target cells for all assays were NIH 3T3 cells. Cells were seeded onto 60-mm-diameter dishes (Corning) at 1.2 × 10^6 cells per dish 18 to 20 h before the addition of viral supernatants. Three to six replica dishes were infected for each determination in all experiments. After infections under various conditions were allowed to proceed for 5 days and developed for detection of foci of transduced cells. The substrates 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal; Dianova Scientific) and nitroblue tetrazolium-5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate (NBT-BCIP; Boehringer Mannheim) were used to stain for nGAL and AP foci, respectively. For X-Gal staining, cells monolayers were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing calcium and magnesium (PBS plus Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}; Irvine Scientific, Irvine, Calif.) and then fixed in dishes for approximately 10 min with 0.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma). Cells were then washed with PBS without Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and 2 ml of X-Gal solution (5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferriyanide, 2 mM MgCl_2, and 1 ml of X-Gal/ml in PBS without Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}) was added to each dish. Cells were incubated at 37°C for approximately 24 h for development of blue foci. AP staining, done by the method of Fields-Berry et al. (14), was the same as nGal staining up to and including the glutaraldehyde fixation. Following fixation, cells were washed with PBS plus Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and incubated in an oven at 60°C for 10 min in order to reduce background staining from NIH 3T3 cells. Cells were then rehydrated for 10 min at room temperature with AP buffer (100 mM Tris-HClpH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl_2), incubated with 1 to 2 ml of a 1:50 dilution of NBT-BCIP stock solution (Roche Molecular Biochemicals catalog no. 1 681 451) in AP buffer, and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 2 to 24 h for the development of purple foci. For the development of foci in mixed virus assays in which staining for both nGAL and AP foci was required, the cells were first treated and developed for nGAL as described above. After development of the blue nGAL foci, the dishes were rinsed with PBS plus Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and incubated in an oven at 60°C for 10 min. Thereafter, the cells were treated as described above for the development of purple AP foci. Both AP and nGAL foci were counted on a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope using a Nikon ×4 objective under bright-field lighting.

Assays with lysosomotropic agents. Cells were incubated for 30 min in 1 ml of medium containing 0.05 μM BFA1 or 50 mM NH4Cl at 37°C in 5% CO2. The medium on each dish was then replaced with a 1-ml aliquot of the viral vector stock mixture containing 8 μg/ml of Polybrene/ml 0.05 μM BFA1, or 50 mM NH4Cl and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for the specified duration. Following the infection period, the solution on each dish was replaced with 1 ml of medium containing 0.05 μM BFA1 or 50 mM NH4Cl and further incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 until the total duration of treatment with NH4Cl reached 4.5 h. The cells were then rinsed with 3 ml of fresh medium, the medium was replaced with 5 ml of fresh medium was added to each dish, and the cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 until they were confluent. In control assays, an equivalent volume of solvent (H2O for NH4Cl or 0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide for BFA1) was added to the dishes in place of the lysosomotropic agent.

Inactivation of cell surface virions and virus entry assays. For the entry experiments, cells were incubated with the vector mixtures for 2 h at 37°C in 5% CO2 in the presence or absence of the lysosomotropic agent. The cells were rinsed with 3 ml of ice-cold PBS plus Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and then incubated with 3 ml of ice-cold citric acid buffer (40 mM citric acid, 10 mM KCl, 135 mM NaCl [pH 3.0]) (48) for 30 s on ice. After aspiration of the citric acid buffer, all dishes were rinsed with 3 ml of fresh medium, the medium was aspirated, and 5 ml of fresh
RESULTS

Infection by MuLV-E is partially inhibited by treatment of target cells with BFLA1 or NH4Cl. The variability of retroviral assays between different experiments is difficult to control and may be affected by differences in growth of the target cells, in cell culture media, or in the retrovirus stocks. To more carefully compare the activities of the viruses, we developed a mixed infection procedure utilizing virions that have packaged vectors containing genes encoding either β-Gal (G1nβgSVNa) or AP (LAPSN). The foci generated by the expression of these vectors are easily distinguishable and allow the assessment of infectivity of different viruses simultaneously in the same infection. In our initial experiments, we observed that transduction mediated by vectors containing VSV-G was nearly completely inhibited after treatment of cells with NH4Cl or BFLA1 (Fig. 1). In contrast, the effect of these treatments on transduction mediated by the MuLV-E SU (surface) protein was less dramatic, with a reduction in titer of 40 to 70% (Fig. 1). These results are in close agreement with previous studies that assessed the inhibition of VSV or MuLV-E by lysosomotropic agents (2, 27, 28, 35). Experiments with BFLA1 were complicated by a toxic effect of the drug that inhibits cell growth (25, 37). NIH 3T3 cell cultures treated with BFLA1 exhibited an initial lag in growth compared to untreated cells. Moreover, infection of the cells up to 4 h after removal of the drug resulted in titers ca. 25% lower than those on untreated cells (data not shown). The data presented for BFLA1 inhibition (Fig. 1 to 3) have been normalized to reflect this observation. The inhibitory effect of BFLA1 on viral infectivity was less pronounced than that of NH4Cl in all of our experiments comparing the agents.

The infectivities of MuLV-E and MuLV-A are correspondingly reduced on cells treated with NH4Cl or BFLA1. Previous studies have concluded that the mechanisms of infectious entry by MuLV-E and MuLV-A differ, with MuLV-E entering the cell through an endocytic route and MuLV-A entering by direct fusion with the plasma membrane. These conclusions were based on studies that assessed the effect of NH4Cl treatment on each virus in separate experiments. MuLV-E and MuLV-A were reported to be inhibited by 80 to 95% and by only 5 to 20%, respectively (2, 28, 35). In the present study, in which both viruses were measured simultaneously, treatment of the target cells with NH4Cl or BFLA1 resulted in substantial decreases in the infectivity of both MuLV types (Fig. 2). We found no statistically significant quantitative difference between the inhibition of ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs by the agents. The effect of NH4Cl on the infectivity of the viruses in different experiments ranged from 55 to 85% inhibition. However, the differences between ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs within each experiment were quite low (0.8 to 10.4%).

Inhibition of MuLV-A and MuLV-E by lysosomotropic agents is inversely proportional to the duration of the infection and parallels the stability of the viruses. Studies of the effects of lysosomotropic agents on viral infectivity involve infection during a transient treatment with the drug. Typically, the cells are exposed to the agent before infection with the virus, during exposure to the virus, and for a period of time after infection. In most cases, the lysosomotropic agent is present 3 to 4 h after the initiation of infection. Given the incomplete inhibition that we observed with the MuLVs, it seemed possible that inhibition of viral infectivity by lysoso-
Our results indicated that NH₄Cl and BFLA1 had little inhibitory effect during a 5-min infection time, even though total exposure of the cells to the drugs was the same as for the 2- and 4-h infections. Thus, the inhibition observed during treatment with NH₄Cl or BFLA1 was ultimately the result of a loss of infectivity of the virions over the time of the assay rather than an effect of the drugs on the cells.

The stabilities (half-lives) of ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs have been reported to be in the range of 2 to 8 h at 37°C (3, 4, 39), coincidentally the same range as the duration of treatment with the lysosomotropic agents in most reported experiments. We examined the spontaneous inactivation of the viruses in medium without the addition of the drugs as well as in the presence of NH₄Cl or BFLA1. In medium or in medium containing BFLA1, about 45 to 60% of the infectivity remained after 4 h at 37°C (Fig. 4A and B). However, viruses incubated at 37°C in the presence of 50 mM NH₄Cl retained only about 20 to 35% of their infectivity (Fig. 4C). The extents of loss of infectivity of the ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs were similar to one another under all conditions tested. The results closely paralleled those for cells infected for various time intervals in the presence of the drugs (Fig. 3). Thus, the loss of activity due to spontaneous inactivation of ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs may completely account for the loss of infectivity observed in the presence of lysosomotropic agents. With that consideration, the lower stability of the MuLVs in the presence of NH₄Cl is quite consistent with our observation that NH₄Cl inhibits infectivity to a greater extent than BFLA1 (Fig. 1 to 3).

It was of interest to determine if the inhibition by lysosomotropic agents on infectivity mediated by VSV-G could be accounted for by inactivation during the course of treatment. We found that inhibition of the vector mediated by VSV-G was also inversely related to the time of infection (Fig. 5). During a 4-h infection in the presence of NH₄Cl, transduction by the vector was nearly completely inhibited. However, inhibition was only 80% during a 2-h infection and less than 40% during a 5-min infection. Experiments examining the spontaneous inactivation of the vector in NH₄Cl indicated that 80 to 85% of its activity was lost after incubation for 2 h at 37°C and nearly all activity was gone after 4 h (Fig. 5). Similar results were obtained in the presence of BFLA1 (data not shown). Spontaneous inactivation of the VSV-G vector was also determined in medium without the addition of drugs. In each case, the assays were done as mixed infections with an MuLV-E vector as an internal control. After 2 h at 37°C, the average transduction activity remaining for the MuLV-E vector in these experiments was 80.8% ± 2.4% (standard error of the mean [SEM]) while the average transduction activity remaining for the VSV-G vector was 18.2% ± 2.1% (SEM). These results indicated that transduction mediated by VSV-G was much more labile than that mediated by the MuLV SU proteins. Thus, much of the loss of infectivity of this vector in the presence of lysosomotropic agents could be attributed to spontaneous inactivation, similar to the case for MulVs, even though entry mediated by VSV-G likely requires an acidic compartment for entry (51, 52).

![Graph showing duration of infection and inhibition of MuLV-E and MuLV-A by BFLA1 (A) or NH₄Cl (B).](http://jvi.asm.org/)
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Infectious ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs are internalized during treatment with NH4Cl. The results presented above suggested that lysosomotropic agents inhibited the progression of the infectious process only during the course of treatment and that upon removal of the drug, the infection proceeded for viruses that had not been inactivated through spontaneous or other degradative processes. Thus, the inhibition of infectivity of both amphotropic and ecotropic MuLVs may have been the result of degradation during arrest in an endosomal pathway. Alternatively, it was conceivable that prevention of lysosomal acidification may inhibit fusion of the viruses with the plasma membrane, although it has been reported that several lysosomotropic agents do not significantly inhibit virus binding or internalization (2, 17). If fusion with the plasma membrane were inhibited, loss of viral infectivity would be the result of degradation of viruses bound to the cell surface. To distinguish between these alternatives, we examined the internalization of ecotropic and amphotropic MuLV infectivity in presence or absence of NH4Cl.

Assays to examine virus entry in the presence of the lysosomotropic agents required the specific inactivation of cell surface virions. Treatment of cells for a very short time with citrate-buffered saline at pH 3.0 has been reported to inactivate herpesviruses (48) as well as MuLV-E (21). Prior to performing the entry experiments, we tested the ability of citrate buffer to inactivate ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs that had been previously bound to the cell surface at 4°C for 2 h (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, when the vectors were added after treatment of the cells with citrate,
transduction efficiency was not significantly different from that for cells treated with PBS (Fig. 6B). Thus, citrate treatment did not have a deleterious effect on the cultures that would diminish their ability to be infected.

To investigate the effect of NH₄Cl on virus entry, cells were infected for 2 h at 37°C in medium containing NH₄Cl and immediately treated with cold citrate-buffered saline at pH 3.0 to inactivate virus on the cell surface. Viruses that were internalized during the 2-h period would be resistant to citrate treatment. From experiments described above (Fig. 3B), we expected a 30 to 40% decline in activity during infection of cells for 2 h in the presence of NH₄Cl as a result of degradation during the arrest of the infectious process. However, if the infectious process were halted at the cell surface, treatment with citrate should have abolished nearly all activity. Compared to untreated cultures, we observed only about a 40% decrease in activity of both MuLV-E and MuLV-A during the 2 h infection (Fig. 7), a decrease attributable to the virus degradation expected during the infection period. Thus, the arrest of infectivity by NH₄Cl was not due to inhibition of virus entry but rather occurred in an intracellular compartment. Importantly, we observed that infectivity of both MuLV-E and MuLV-A was internalized in the presence of NH₄Cl, again indicating that infectious entry of both virus types was by a similar mechanism.

FIG. 6. Inactivation of cell surface-bound MuLV-E and MuLV-A by treatment with citrate buffer (pH 3.0). (A) Cells were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with a mixture of LAPSN(MuLV-E) and G1nGgSvNa(MuLV-A); the cells washed and then mock treated or treated with citrate buffer (pH 3) as described in Materials and Methods. Each value represents the mean and SEM of 12 determinations in two separate experiments. (B) Cells were mock treated or treated with citrate buffer (pH 3) as described in the text. Immediately after treatment the cells were infected for 2 h at 37°C with a mixture of LAPSN(MuLV-E) and G1nGgSvNa(MuLV-A). Each value represents the mean and SEM of eight determinations in two separate experiments.

FIG. 7. Effect of NH₄Cl on entry of MuLV-E and MuLV-A. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C in medium or medium containing NH₄Cl and then infected with a mixture of LAPSN(MuLV-E) and G1nGgSvNa(MuLV-A) for 2 h in the presence or absence of the base. The cells were then treated with citrate buffer (pH 3) as described in the text. Each value represents the mean and SEM of 10 determinations in three separate experiments.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have reported a quantitative difference between the effects of lysosomotropic agents on MuLV-E and MuLV-A infectivity. Based on these differences, it was concluded that MuLV-E is pH dependent and enters the cell through endocytosis, while MuLV-A is pH independent and enters the cell by direct fusion with the plasma membrane (2, 28, 35). In contrast to previous reports, we did not observe a significant difference between the effects of the lysosomotropic agents on the infectivity of MuLV-A and MuLV-E in these studies. The agents equally inhibited both viruses. This is attributed, we believe, to the more stringent control of variables in our assays, in which both viruses were assayed simultaneously from a mixed virus stock in the same infection. In this regard, we found a greater variability between different experiments with the same virus than between ecotropic and amphotropic MuLVs within each experiment.

In agreement with previous reports, we observed only a partial inhibition of the MuLVs compared to inhibition of the prototypic pH-dependent VSV. Although this might reflect alternative routes of entry for MuLVs other than endocytosis, it seemed plausible that partial inhibition might be the result of an arrest in the progression of an endocytic pathway of infection. Since the cells are typically treated only transiently with
the lysosomotropic agents, the inhibition might simply reflect a loss in infectivity of the viruses while halted in the infectious process. Upon removal of the inhibitor, the infection would proceed for any remaining viable viruses. Two lines of published investigations are consistent with this interpretation. First, the half-life of murine retroviruses has been reported to be in the range of the duration of exposure to the drugs in most experiments involving lysosomotropic agents (3, 4, 39). Second, in addition to blocking acidification of late endosomes and lysosomes, it is well documented that lysosomotropic drugs arrest the transport of fluid-phase markers, ligands, receptors, and viruses through the endocytic pathway (9, 19, 49, 50).

Moreover, the drugs are reported not to significantly affect the initial internalization from the plasma membrane. Our experiments demonstrated that the inhibitory effects of the lysosomotropic agents on MuLV-E and MuLV-A were inversely proportional to the duration of infection and paralleled the spontaneous inactivation of the viruses. These experiments provide compelling evidence that the inhibitory effect of the agents is static, arresting rather than aborting the infectious process. Furthermore, in agreement with earlier studies on endocytic internalization cited above, we demonstrated that the disappearance of the viruses from the cell surface was not inhibited during treatment with the NH4Cl. These results indicate that the infectious process was arrested in an intracellular compartment and that infection by both MuLV-A and MuLV-E very likely proceeds through endocytosis.

Our results suggest that virus internalized in the presence of NH4Cl or BFLA1 is arrested in an endocytic compartment. Recently, Mothes et al. (33) reported that MuLV-E infection of the avian DF-1 cell line expressing the ecotropic MCAT-1 receptor was not inhibited by BFLA1. This result was based on assays detecting viral DNA synthesis at various times shortly after infection. In contrast, infection by avian leukemia virus and pseudotypes of MuLV-E encapsulated in the avian leukosis virus envelope were blocked by BFLA1. This result is somewhat surprising considering studies indicating that other agents that block endosomal acidification inhibit MuLV-E infectivity in murine cells (2, 28, 35). It is possible that this system is not entirely analogous to the natural host systems studied by others and in the present work. For example, interactions of the murine ecotropic receptor with components of the avian cell that influence virion entry could differ from interactions with components of murine cells. In this regard, it has been reported that MuLV-E enters several murine cell lines by endocytosis but enters the highly transformed XC rat cell line by fusion with the plasma membrane (28). Infection of both 3T3 and XC cells was inhibited by disruption of actin filaments; however, disruption of microtubules inhibited MuLV-E infection of 3T3 cells but not of the XC cells (21). These results suggest that interactions of the virus-receptor complex with cytoskeletal components play a crucial role in virus entry and may influence the route of infection. Alternatively, MuLV-E may enter both murine and avian cells by the same mechanism. In that were the case, the results of Mothes et al. (33) suggest that a halt in the infectious process observed with BFLA1 would occur after reverse transcription. Synthesis of complete transcripts of MuLV-E appears to be limited to reverse transcription complexes in the cytoplasm that emerge subsequent to fusion of the viral and cellular membranes (13). However, coupling of the fusion process with the synthesis of complete DNA transcripts is not well understood. Thus, it is possible that DNA synthesis proceeded in MuLV-E envelope-containing virions arrested within an endosomal compartment. Last, inhibition by BFLA1 may differ mechanistically from inhibition by NH4Cl. Several effects of BFLA1 on cells and cellular processes that have not been found in cells treated with NH4Cl have been described and may be independent of endosomal acidification (10, 20, 38, 43, 46). It cannot be excluded that BFLA1 inhibits infectivity at a stage subsequent to fusion and DNA synthesis.

It is notable that the rate of infectivity loss that we observed after virus entry was similar to the loss exhibited by virus held at 37°C. This result may reflect common mechanisms of virus inactivation in both environments. Several different processes may contribute to the loss of infectivity of virions. Viral envelope functions required for infection include receptor binding and fusion with cellular membranes. Disruption of these functions must occur prior to fusion to effect infectivity. In this regard, at short incubations times, the loss of HIV-1 infectivity has been correlated with spontaneous shedding of the SU envelope proteins from virions (23, 29). Inactivation of virus stocks due to shedding of the envelope protein would result in the failure of the virions to sufficiently bind receptors and enter the cell. Inactivation of internalized virions by this process would likely be reflected in the dissociation of virions from the endosomal membrane, precluding subsequent fusion and entry into the cytoplasm. Our results with the VSV-G vector are likely the result of envelope protein shedding or inactivation. The VSV-G vector differs from the MuLV SU vectors only in the identity of the envelope protein, yet the infectivity was much more labile at 37°C. This might reflect a stronger association of the native MuLV SU proteins with the MuLV core compared to the heterologous VSV-G. The diminished effect of NH4Cl at shorter times of infection with the VSV-G vector suggests that the rapid loss of infectivity also occurred while arrested in an endosomal pathway, perhaps by dissociation of the virion from the endosomal membrane. It is less clear if the loss of infectivity of the MuLV SU vectors reflected disruption of viral envelope-associated functions or disruption of viral core functions. In contrast to disruption of envelope functions, deterioration of viral core functions, such as a loss of polymerase or integrase activity, could occur at any time during the infectious process.

An important aspect of this study pertains to what is actually being measured in infectivity experiments using lysosomotropic agents. These agents have been routinely used to determine whether viruses enter target cells through an endocytic pathway or directly through the plasma membrane (2, 24, 27, 28, 35). An inhibitory effect of the agents on viral titer has been inferred to indicate that such viruses enter by endocytosis and require an acidic compartment during viral entry. Our results indicate that inhibition of the MuLVs by lysosomotropic agents reflects the stability of viral particles during the course of the experiments and does not address the necessity for an acidic environment. In this regard, it has recently been suggested that inhibition of human rhinovirus serotype 2 by BFLA1 may also be partially the result of trapping in early endosomes rather than a direct result of a block in endosomal acidification (5). This may also be the case for other enveloped viruses that are