Phylogenetic Analyses Indicate an Atypical Nurse-to-Patient Transmission of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1
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A human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative patient with no risk factor experienced HIV type 1 (HIV-1) primary infection 4 weeks after being hospitalized for surgery. Among the medical staff, only two night shift nurses were infected as HIV-1 seropositive. No exposure to blood was evidenced. To test the hypothesis of a possible nurse-to-patient transmission, phylogenetic analyses were conducted using two HIV-1 genomic regions (pol reverse transcriptase [RT] and env C2C4), each compared with reference strains and large local control sets (57 RT and 41 C2C4 local controls). Extensive analyses using multiple methodologies allowed us to test the robustness of phylogeny inference and to assess transmission hypotheses. Results allow us to unambiguously exclude one HIV-positive nurse and strongly suggest the other HIV-positive nurse as the source of infection of the patient.

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection risk factors are usually identified directly from a patient’s medical history. However, additional evidence is essential when viral transmission occurs during clinical care (8, 16, 25, 37) or is the object of a criminal trial (1, 48). Because nucleotide sequences vary greatly among HIV-1 isolates (28, 41, 49), transmission histories among infected individuals can be investigated through estimated phylogenetic relationships among viral sequences.

Previous studies have shown that phylogenetic methods can lead to accurate reconstruction of known transmission histories (5, 11, 33, 52). Phylogeny inferences have also been used to reconstruct unknown transmission histories (1, 7, 8, 37), although the methodology itself has sometimes been a point of contention. For example, in the Florida dentist case (37), while the methodology itself has sometimes been a point of contention.

Case report. At the end of May 1996, a 61-year-old HIV-negative female was admitted in a health care institution in the suburbs of Paris, France, for surgery which did not require blood transfusion. In July 1996, she suffered a severe HIV primary infection associated with hepatitis and massive weight loss. HIV-1 seroconversion occurred at that time, as evidenced by successive Western blot profiles, and was associated with high viral loads (Fig. 1). An epidemiological investigation did not identify any risk factor for HIV infection. No HIV-infected individual was found in the surgical staff, but two night shift nurses (nurse 1 and nurse 2) who had been in contact with the patient during her stay in the clinic (Fig. 1) were found to be HIV-1 seropositive. A virological investigation was requested to determine whether a nurse-to-patient contamination had occurred.

Nurse 1 was a 30-year-old male, born in former Zaire (now Democratic Republic of Congo). He had been aware of his seropositivity for 5 years. In February 1997, nurse 1 had never received antiretroviral treatment, had a viral load of 104 copies per ml, and had a CD4+ cell count over 500 per mm3.

Nurse 2 was a 51-year-old female unaware of her seropositivity for 5 years. In February 1997, nurse 1 had never received antiretroviral treatment, had a viral load of 104 copies per ml, and had a CD4+ cell count over 500 per mm3. Nurse 2 had been in contact with the patient during her stay in the clinic (Fig. 1) and was seropositive. A virological investigation was requested to determine whether a nurse-to-patient contamination had occurred.

Investigating a possible health care worker-to-patient transmission of HIV-1 without evidence of blood exposure, we performed extensive phylogenetic analyses. The results presented here unambiguously exclude one HIV-seropositive nurse but strongly suggest another HIV-seropositive nurse as the source of transmission of HIV-1 to the patient.

Data collection. Free virus was separated from plasma samples by ultracentrifugation; RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. DNA was extracted
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collection. Free virus was separated from plasma samples by ultracentrifugation; RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed. DNA was extracted
Figure 1. Chronology of PBMC and plasma samplings for the two nurses and the patient. Rectangles represent periods of hospitalization; vertical plain and dashed arrows indicate sampling of lymphocytes and plasma, respectively. Nurse 1 and nurse 2 had been in contact, between May 28 and June 8, 1996, each during two nights (indicated by asterisks), with the patient, who had a negative HIV-1 serology and no detectable viral RNA at that time of her hospitalization. Nurse 1 had a history of his HIV-1 seropositivity for 5 years, and HIV-1 sequences were characterized from lymphocytes (N1) obtained on February 19, 1997, i.e., after the virological investigation was requested. Nurse 2’s HIV serological status was unknown until she was diagnosed with HIV-1 and HCV infection during a hospitalization that started on June 20, 1996. The first nurse 2 HIV sequence (N2) used for molecular epidemiology analyses was from lymphocytes obtained on May 7, 1997, 1 month after introduction of antiretroviral treatment. Retrospectively, we also characterized viral sequences from cryopreserved plasma samples (JV44 and JV27) obtained during nurse 2’s hospitalization. The second hospitalization (July to August 1996) of the patient was for HIV-1 primary infection. Plasma (JV32 and JV48) and lymphocyte (P) samples were obtained from the patient for viral sequence amplification. HIV-1 viral load, when available, is indicated (logarithm of the number of copies per milliliter) above corresponding sampling points.

from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained by density gradient centrifugation. Two reverse transcriptase (RT) gene fragments (RT1 [codons 6 to 152] and RT2 [codons 157 to 252]) were amplified from proviral DNA (PBMCs) by nested PCR (32) with external primers A and NE-135 as described elsewhere (31). Forward internal primers were A and B (31); reverse internal primers were 5’ GGTGATCTTCCTCCATCC 3’ and 5’ TCATTGACA GTCCAGCT 3’ for RT1 and RT2, respectively. One fragment spanning the C2C4 region of the env gene encoding gp120 was amplified by nested PCR as described elsewhere (15) from both proviral DNA and viral RNA (serum). All PCR products were directly sequenced on both strands on a ABI Prism 377 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.). Patient and nurse PBMC samples were collected and purified in three different hospitals (one for each individual). All plasma samples were extracted and reverse transcribed in a laboratory where HIV had never been cultured or PCR amplified. Both for the patient and nurse 2, the different cell samples (designated P and N2, respectively) were handled, DNA extracted, and PCR amplified separately. Contamination prevention procedures were strictly observed (29). Ambiguities and discrepancies in DNA-sequencing electropherograms were resolved by two independent readers. To exclude possible contamination with a known HIV strain, each sequence obtained was searched via BLAST (4) against GenBank. We also excluded the possibility of internal contamination by aligning all sequences against routine sequences obtained in the laboratory during the same period.

A chronology of PBMC and plasma samplings for the nurses and the patient is depicted in Fig. 1. Samples from the patient (P, JV32, and JV48) were all obtained during primary infection (Fig. 1). PBMCs from nurse 1 and nurse 2 (N1 and N2) were first obtained, and plasma aliquots from nurse 2 (JV44 and JV27, sampled at dates closer to the patient’s primary infection) were retrospectively collected. Local control plasma samples were obtained in 1997 from HIV-infected individuals living in the Paris area. Fifty-nine RT sequences were obtained from 57 patients consulting at the Hôpital Rothschild (RO samples); C2C4 sequences were obtained from 41 patients from Hôpital Jean Verdier (JV samples). Control cohorts included individuals of both sexes (80% males), infected for 1 to 12 years, whose risk factor was homosexual (53%) or heterosexual (20%) activity, intravenous drug use (14%), blood transfusion (3%), mother-to-child transmission (2%), or unknown (8%). None of the sequences resulting from the BLAST searches were more similar to the patient or nurse sequences than were at least one of the local controls. Plasma samples for C2C4 analysis (including patient and nurse 2 samples) were randomly numbered and processed blind for both sequencing and phylogenetic analyses. Reference sequences were chosen from the recommended strains of the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) HIV database (28).

Sequence alignments. RT sequences were obtained by concatenation of RT1 and RT2 (618 nucleotides). Alignment was trivial (no insertion or deletion detected). Because C2C4 sequences are quite variable, we first aligned the amino acid translated sequences with Chastal W (47) using four different sets of parameters (matrix = Blossum or PAM; gap/extension penalties = 100.05 or 200.1). Positions at which the four alignments differed were excluded in the subsequent analyses (21). All alignments are available on request from M. C. Milinkovitch.

Phylogenetic analyses. (i) RT. Using different outgroup and ingroup samplings, all maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were performed with PAUP* (45) with exact branch-and-bound searches when computationally practical (i.e., in all analyses except permutation tail probability [PTP] searches and reanalyses with extended data sets). All characters were first weighted equally and treated as unordered. However, it is now well known that different types of changes can occur at different evolutionary rates, which may, in specific cases, justify differential weighting or encoding (36, 46). We therefore checked for possible saturation of nucleotide substitution types by plotting Tv versus Ti as well as the number of transitions (Ti) and transversions (Tv) versus the uncorrected pairwise distances. We also assessed the outcome of our unweighted analyses by excluding Ti or by using the Golo$\ddot{a}$ffit criterion (22) (6 = 0, 2, 4, and 8). We estimated the reliability of the various inferred clades by bootstrapping (10$^3$ to 10$^4$ replicates, exact branch-and-bound searches, heuristics for extended data set), although bootstrap values (BV) may be misleading estimates of accuracy under specific conditions (36). For selected branches and using the “constraints” command in PAUP*, we computed Bremer branch support—the number of uninformative characters in the trees (36). For specific branches and using the “constraints” command in PAUP*, we computed Bremer branch support—the number of uninformative characters in the trees (36). We therefore checked for possible saturation of nucleotide substitution types by plotting Tv versus Ti as well as the number of transitions (Ti) and transversions (Tv) versus the uncorrected pairwise distances, although bootstrap values (BV) may be misleading estimates of accuracy under specific conditions (36). For selected branches and using the “constraints” command in PAUP*, we computed Bremer branch support—the number of uninformative characters in the trees (36).
the HKY ML model (23), base frequencies and Ti/Tv ratio estimated from the data, and sequence input jumbling enabled. (b) C2C4 NJ analysis of P, N1, N2, and 29 reference sequences was performed with PHYLUPE as described above. Parsimony analysis and T-PTP monophyly tests were performed with PAUP* using heuristic searches. We performed heuristic MP analyses of the 41 local control C2C4 sequences with starting tree(s) obtained via stepwise addition. We checked whether “simple”, “closest”, and “random” addition sequences yielded identical trees. Other settings were TBR branch swapping, MULPARS, and zero-length branches collapsed. To avoid local optima, we performed replicates both with random starting trees and with starting trees obtained via stepwise addition with random addition sequence. We estimated the reliability of the various MP-inferred clades by bootstrapping (101 replicates), and we compared alternative hypotheses by calculating Bremer branch support and by performing T-PTP tests (see above).

Ti/Tv ratio was the only parameter which could be estimated within practical central processing unit time for ML analyses including local controls (48 sequences). Alternative phylogenetic hypotheses were compared statistically by means of Kishino-Hasegawa ML ratio tests (27). Using a subsample of the ingroup sequences, we estimated bootstrap supports for the various nodes on the ML trees. NJ analyses were performed as described above.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** All new sequences are deposited at EMBL under accession no. AF125664 to AF125665 for C2C4 and AF125663 to AF125806 for RT. Accession numbers of sequences used in Fig. 2 are M26727 (BY1), K03455 (HXB2), K02013 (LAI), L63632 (JRFL), M93258 (yu2), L23487 (manc), U21135 (weau), M22639 (ZZ66), K03454 (eli), M27323 (ndk), M63230 (u455), and L93916 (ibng). Reference sequences used in Fig. 3a are the same as in Fig. 2, with the addition of AF04885, U5198, U51189, and U54771 (subtype A); AF050494 (subtype F); U88825 and U88826 (subtype G); and AF050496 (subtype H). Reference sequences used in Fig. 3b are U08794, U09127, L22939, L34667, and L22957 (subtype A); U08445, U23112, M93258, U88449, U087594, U27434, and K02013 (subtype B); U08453, U52953, and X65639 (subtype C); M22639 and K03454 (subtype D); U08810, U08456, and L03700 (subtype U); U08703, U08974, and U27413 (subtype F); U09664, U72476, and U26301 (subtype G); and U09666 and AF005496 (subtype H).

**RESULTS**

We compared HIV-1 strains infecting the individuals described in the case report over two regions of the viral genome: RT, and env gp120 (C2C4), representing a total of 10.7% of the whole genome. Proviral DNA sequences from lymphocytes are referred to as P, N1, and N2. Phylogenies were estimated using both reference sequences and local controls (RO and JV). The stability of our results was assessed using bootstrapping, Bremer support (10), Goloboff weighting (22), Kishino-Hasegawa ML ratio tests (27), and T-PTP analyses (17, 18).

**RT: patient, nurse, and reference strains.** Uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence ranges from 0.16% (for comparison of HXB2 with LAI in subtype B) to 11.33% within group M and to 26.37% when group O is included. The unweighted parsimony analysis excluding group O yielded 14 MP trees (tree length = 238) whose strict consensus is shown in Fig. 2a. BV for 101 branch-and-bound replicates are indicated above the branches. P is separated from N1 by three very well supported nodes (BV > 95%). All resolved nodes are stable to Goloboff weighting (22). Constraining not to keep a monophyletic [P + N2] requires a minimum of seven additional substitutions, whereas constraining a monophyletic [P + N1] requires 35 additional evolutionary events. [P + N1] was never observed in 101 bootstrap replicates. A T-PTP test for [P + N2] monophyly yielded a P value of < 10^-8, indicating that this clade is very unlikely the product of random data. Using the same theoretical framework, the comparison of the best trees containing a [P + N1] clade to the best trees containing a [P + N2] clade yielded very significantly better (P < 10^-10) support for the latter. The unweighted MP analysis including group O yielded trees fully compatible with the consensus shown in Fig. 2a and corresponding BV are indicated below the branches. All results under this taxon sampling were very similar to those excluding group O.

Saturation plots (data not shown) indicated some transition (Ti) saturation for pairwise comparisons involving group O. Nevertheless, MP analyses with Ti excluded still yield a mono-phyletic [P + N2] (BV = 97%) separated by several nodes from N1. A [P + N1] clade did not occur among 101 bootstrap replicates and was very significantly less likely than [P + N2] (P < 10^-10). Results remain very similar when both Ti substitutions and group O sequences are excluded.

The ML analysis with most parameters estimated from the data (see Materials and Methods) yield three trees (Fig. 3b) is fully compatible to that obtained by MP (Fig. 2a), aside from one poorly supported branch within subtype A. Constraining not to keep a monophyletic [P + N2] requires a significant decrease in lognormal likelihood by the Kishino-Hasegawa test (27), both under exclusion (Δln L = 28.84419, P = 0.0132) and exclusion (Δln L = 27.58001, P = 0.0140) of group O sequences. This means that any tree not containing a [P + N2] clade is significantly less likely that the ML tree shown in Fig. 2a. Constraining the grouping of N1 with P very significantly decreased the lognormal likelihood (Δln L = 62.03562 and 54.71307 without O; P < 10^-10). Because of the high computational intensity of ML estimations, it was practical to perform a bootstrap analysis (1,000 replicates) only on a reduced data set (HXB2, groups O and D excluded), constraining ML parameters values to those obtained in a single ML search with the same taxon sampling. In the bootstrap consensus tree (data not shown), P is separated from N1 by three nodes, two of which are supported by 100 and 99% BV. Violation of the assumptions of stationarity and time reversibility of the substitution probability matrix, which can yield systematic errors in tree estimation (e.g., references in reference 46), is unlikely because none of the sequences included in our analyses differed in nucleotide composition from the frequency distribution of the ML model (PUZZLE 3.1 [44]; PAUP* [45]).
Because the exact placement of N1 in relation to subtype A sequences was unstable (Fig. 2), we reanalyzed, under NJ and MP, the RT data set after inclusion of extra subtype A sequences as well as subtype F, G, and H sequences. Furthermore, ibng was excluded from this analysis, as it may be a recombinant between subtype A and G viruses (reference 28 and the LANL website [http://hiv-web.lanl.gov/ALIGN-98/ALIGN-INDEX-98.html]). The NJ tree is shown in Fig. 3a, with NJ and MP BV for 10^3 replicates. N1 strongly clustered with subtype G strains. T-PTP test for monophyly of [N1 + subtype G] indicated significance (P = 6 × 10^{-3}).

Envelope gp120 (C2C4): patient, nurse, and reference strains. It is recommended that strains in at least two distinct genomic regions be analyzed to determine HIV-1 subtypes (28). Analyses of C2C4 (Fig. 3b) yield results in total agreement with those described above for the RT region. NJ bootstrap, MP bootstrap, and T-PTP analyses strongly support (i) the grouping of P and N2 in a clade (BV = 99.7%, 99.7%, \( P_{\text{T-PTP}} = 5 \times 10^{-3} \)) and (ii) the grouping of N1 with subtype G (BV = 94.1%, 71.4%, \( P_{\text{T-PTP}} = 9 \times 10^{-3} \)).

**Conclusion: patient, nurse, and reference strains analyses.** All RT and C2C4 analyses of patient, nurse, and reference sequences strongly support the grouping of N2 and P in a clade (i.e., a monophyletic group), to the exclusion of N1 (Fig. 2 and 3). Given that N1 is separated from P by at least three strongly supported nodes for either region, and clusters with subtype G sequences, these analyses strongly reject N1 as the source of transmission of HIV-1 to the patient. The grouping of N2 and P in a clade is consistent with the hypothesis of HIV-1 transmission from N2 to P. Alternatively, these results are also compatible with the hypothesis that P and N2 independently acquired similar geographic local variants. Hence, both for the RT and the more rapidly evolving C2C4 region, we tested the stability of the [P + N2] clade to the inclusion of local controls. Furthermore, in addition to the proviral DNA sequences obtained from N1, N2, and P lymphocyte samples used in all of the above analyses, we blindly introduced, for C2C4 analyses, viral RNA sequences obtained from the patient (JV32 and JV48) and nurse 2 (JV27 and JV44) plasma samples collected earlier (Fig. 1; Materials and Methods).

**RT: patient, nurses, and 57 local controls.** Fifty-five out of the 57 local control (RO) individuals were infected by HIV-1 subtype B. Sequences from the remaining two individuals were subtype A and formed, under NJ and MP analyses, a separate cluster with N1 (Fig. 4a). Local controls did not strictly cluster according to known risk factors. NJ bootstrap and MP T-PTP analyses yielded strong support for a [P + N2] clade excluding all local controls (BV = 87.1%, \( P_{\text{T-PTP}} = 6 \times 10^{-3} \)).

Given the high computational intensity of ML estimation, it was impractical to perform bootstrapping under ML with such a high number of sequences. We therefore produced two reduced data sets, (i) one including the 15 local controls with sequences most similar to P and N2 and (ii) the other including the 15 sequences defining the closest nodes to the [P + N2] clade in the NJ tree (Fig. 4a). Both ML analyses (most parameters estimated from the data; see Materials and Methods) yielded a [P + N2] clade. The tree obtained with the reduced data set (ii) is shown in Fig. 4b. The ML parameters estimated during that search were constrained in bootstrap analyses (10^3 replicates) which yielded (i) 87.7% and (ii) 89.5% BV support for the [P + N2] clade.

**Envelope gp120 (C2C4): patient, nurses, and 41 local controls.** The high variability of the C2C4 region makes sequence alignment potentially problematic. Amino acid positions sensitive to alignment parameters (6 aligned positions in the C3 segment; 25 in the V4 loop) were excluded from phylogeny inference analyses (see Materials and Methods).

Saturation plots indicate no sign of Ti or Tv saturation within the whole range of sequence divergence. The unweighted parsimony analysis yields 382 MP trees (tree length = 1,191) whose strict consensus is shown in Fig. 5a, with BV (10^3 replicates) indicated above the branches. The six sequences belonging to the patient and to nurse 2 still form a monophyletic group [patient + nurse 2], despite the fact that numerous local controls were included. This result was obtained whatever methods were used to generate starting trees in heuristic
searches. A T-PTP test for [patient + nurse 2] monophyly (10^3 replicates of 10 random additions each) yielded a \( P \) value of \( 10^{-2.3} \).

ML analysis yields 3 ML trees which are compatible with the MP consensus tree of Fig. 5a except for the branches supported by BV lower than 50%. To perform ML bootstrap analyses, we reduced the data set by excluding redundant local controls using the following algorithm (36): after excluding patient and nurse 2 sequences and the local control sequence JV39 (i.e., the sequence most closely related to [patient + nurse 2]), we computed the pairwise uncorrected distances between all remaining taxa. We then randomly excluded one of the two sequences defining the lowest distance value. The remaining taxa defined a smaller distance matrix on which a new lowest distance value was identified. The process was repeated iteratively until 12 local controls remained. The ML tree obtained under this taxon sampling (19 sequences, i.e., the 12 remaining controls plus JV39 plus the six sequences from the patient and nurse 2) is shown in Fig. 5b together with associate BV (200 replicates; ML parameters constrained from the initial ML search). The bootstrap consensus tree under unweighted parsimony yielded the same branching pattern within the [patient + nurse 2] clade as that shown in Fig. 5b. The ML branching order and relative branch lengths within the [patient + nurse 2] clade are redrawn in Fig. 6, with both ML and MP BV indicated. Although the branch supporting the paraphyly of nurse 2 sequences is short and supported by a very low bootstrap value (40% [Fig. 6]), this result is consistent with virus transmission from nurse 2 to the patient rather than from the patient to nurse 2. All samples from the patient were obtained during primary infection (Fig. 1), but viral plasma sequences (JV32 and JV48) differed from the lymphocyte proviral DNA (P) by the duplication, in the V4 loop, of a sequence coding for an FNSTW motif (Fig. 6). Among all reference and local control sequences, only the reference sequence LAI presented a similar duplication.

Conclusions: local control analyses. All of our results strongly support the grouping of viral sequences from the patient and nurse 2 in a clade to the exclusion of nurse 1 and of all local controls (Fig. 4 and 5). Analyses of both RT and C2C4 corroborate the following conclusions: (i) nurse 1 is not the source of transmission of HIV-1 to the patient; (ii) it is very likely that HIV-1 was transmitted from nurse 2 to the patient or from the patient to nurse 2; (iii) the hypothesis that the transmission was from nurse 2 to the patient is strongly suggested by clinical data and consistent with the branching pattern within the [patient + nurse 2] clade.

DISCUSSION

A virological investigation was requested by the Seine Saint-Denis sanitary authorities to evaluate the possibility of a nurse-to-patient transmission of HIV-1 in the absence of documented exposure to blood. We tested the nurse-to-patient transmission hypothesis using extensive phylogenetic analyses of viral sequences.

We could unequivocally exclude nurse 1 as the source of infection because his strain, identified as an African G subtype, was separated from the patient by several strongly supported phylogenetic nodes (Fig. 2 and 3). Our analyses of two distinct genomic regions from free viruses and integrated proviruses combined a large number of geographical and temporal local controls and consistently indicated that HIV-1 sequences from the patient and from nurse 2 share a common ancestor not shared by any other sequence analyzed (Fig. 2 to 6). This result, strongly supported by a wide range of phylogenetic approaches, indicates that HIV-1 was transmitted from nurse 2 to the patient or vice versa. However, given that nurse 2 had a full Western blot profile and a low CD4^+ cell count (indicative of advanced infection) at the time of the patient’s seroconversion, it is very likely that transmission occurred from nurse 2 to the patient.
Nurse 2 was coinfected with HCV genotype 3a (data not shown). However, no evidence of HCV infection was found for the patient either by RT-PCR or by third-generation serological tests performed 12 months later. Transmission of HIV-1, but not HCV, from coinfected individuals has already been described (9).

HIV-1 variants with an insertion of five amino acids in the V4 loop quickly emerged in the patient plasma, possibly indi-
cating emergence of mutants escaping immunological neutralization. Insertions in the V4 loop were previously described for a case of mother-to-child transmission (11). Selection of variants during primary HIV-1 infection is common (34, 38), and our observation indicates that early samples, preferably from lymphocytes (which usually harbor more ancestral sequences [20]), should be studied when addressing transmission hypotheses.

In the near Paris Seine Saint-Denis district (1.4 million inhabitants), 9,856 AIDS cases had been reported at the end of June 1996. Among those, only 323 (3%) had unrecognized risk factors (30). In two other studies (35, 53), risk factor was not identified in 11.9 to 14.8% of patients over 50 year old. However, Stevenson et al. (43) demonstrated elsewhere that careful reappraisal of clinical data may resolve more than half of these cases. Some of the remaining cases might be associated to yet unidentified transmission routes. Although transmission of blood-borne pathogens is a major concern during health care practice (50, 51), it was suggested (26) that HIV-infected nurses would not be associated with transmission of HIV to a patient because they usually do not perform particularly invasive medical procedures. The case discussed here, indicative of atypical nurse-to-patient HIV-1 transmission, raises the issue of the mandatory screening of nurses. Because such screening would be overwhelmingly cost-ineffective to reduce these rare transmissions, nurses and patients should be carefully followed, from both an ethical and a financial point of view, should be proposed. For example, HIV-infected health care workers, when aware of their seropositivity, could place themselves under the guidance of an expert panel which would determine, on a case-by-case basis, whether practice restrictions are appropriate (3).

Finally, utmost care is obviously advised in the divulgence of atypical HIV transmissions in a clinical context because it could lead to unnecessary alarm regarding infected medical staff (42). The case described here may be exceptional, but it indicates nonetheless that safety guidelines established for reducing risks of blood-borne transmission of pathogens between health care workers and patients should be carefully followed.
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