Characterization of Human Herpesvirus 8 ORF59 Protein (PF-8) and Mapping of the Processivity and Viral DNA Polymerase-Interacting Domains

SZEMAN RUBY CHAN AND BALA CHANDRAN*

Department of Microbiology, Molecular Genetics, and Immunology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas 66160-7700

Received 5 June 2000/Accepted 5 September 2000

Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8) or Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) ORF59 protein (PF-8) is a processivity factor for HHV-8 DNA polymerase (Pol-8) and is homologous to processivity factors expressed by other herpesviruses, such as herpes simplex virus type 1 UL42 and Epstein-Barr virus BMRF1. The interaction of UL42 and BMRF1 with their corresponding DNA polymerases is essential for viral DNA replication and the subsequent production of infectious virus. Using HHV-8-specific monoclonal antibody 11D1, we have previously identified the cDNA encoding PF-8 and showed that it is an early-late gene product localized to HHV-8-infected cell nuclei (S. R. Chan, C. Bloomer, and B. Chandran, Virology 240:118–126, 1998). Here, we have further characterized PF-8. This viral protein was phosphorylated both in vitro and in vivo. PF-8 bound double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and single-stranded DNA independent of DNA sequence; however, the affinity for dsDNA was approximately fivefold higher. In coimmunoprecipitation reactions, PF-8 also interacted with Pol-8. In in vitro processivity assays with excess poly(dA):oligo(dT) as a template, PF-8 stimulated the production of elongated DNA products by Pol-8 in a dose-dependent manner. Functional domains of PF-8 were determined using PF-8 truncation mutants. The carboxyl-terminal 95 amino acids (aa) of PF-8 were dispensable for all three functions of PF-8: enhancing processivity of Pol-8, binding dsDNA, and binding Pol-8. Residues 10 to 27 and 279 to 301 were identified as regions critical for the processivity function of PF-8. Interestingly, aa 10 to 27 were also essential for binding Pol-8, whereas aa 1 to 62 and aa 279 to 301 were involved in binding dsDNA, suggesting that the processivity function of PF-8 is correlated with both the Pol-8-binding and the dsDNA-binding activities of PF-8.

Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) is a vascular tumor frequently seen in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected people, especially homosexual AIDS patients (reviewed in reference 4). The tumor contains both inflammatory and angiogenic components that lead to formation of the signature of KS lesions: slit-like spaces surrounded with spindle cells that are thought to have originated from endothelial cells and monocytes (reviewed in reference 16). Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), also known as KS-associated herpesvirus, is implicated in the pathogenesis of KS (reviewed in references 17 and 50). HHV-8 DNA has been detected in all epidemiological forms of KS (1, 8, 9, 15, 23, 36, 51) and in peripheral blood from patients prior to the onset of KS (1, 27, 37, 57). The lytic cycle of HHV-8 also seems to be important for KS development. Ganciclovir, which inhibits HHV-8 lytic replication in vitro (25, 33), reduces the risk of KS development in AIDS patients (19, 32, 34). Furthermore, high titers of antibodies against HHV-8 lytic antigens in AIDS patients are associated with increased risk for KS (46). Hence, it might be possible to delay the onset of KS with antiviral agents that specifically target the viral lytic cycle. In order to design antiviral drugs that are more specific for the HHV-8 lytic cycle and less toxic, it is essential to elucidate the molecular biology of HHV-8 DNA replication.

To date, little is known about the mechanism of, or the proteins involved in, HHV-8 DNA replication. The most extensively studied herpesvirus in this area is herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1). HSV-1 encodes seven proteins that are required for viral DNA replication and for replication of origin-containing plasmid DNA (30, 49, 56, 58). These proteins include a DNA polymerase (Pol or UL30) (44), a processivity factor (UL42) (31, 41), an origin-binding protein (UL9) (39), a helicase-primase complex (composed of UL5, UL5, and UL52) (13), and a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA)-binding protein (ICP8) (42). UL42 is a processivity factor that enhances the affinity of the HSV-1 Pol for primer-template junctions (20, 21, 55). Hence, it increases the period of time Pol stays on the DNA template, resulting in long-chain DNA synthesis. UL42 is essential for HSV-1 DNA replication since a UL42 temperature-sensitive mutant or a UL42 null mutant is unable to support viral DNA synthesis and the subsequent production of infectious virions (24, 30).

HHV-8 encodes homologs of seven proteins required for DNA replication in other herpesviruses (38, 48). HHV-8 PF-8 (encoded by open reading frame 59 [ORF59]) is homologous to HSV-1 UL42, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) BMRF1, herpesvirus saimiri ORF59 protein, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) ICP36, HHV-6 p41, varicella-zoster virus gene 16 protein, and HHV-7 U27 (28). The cDNA encoding HHV-8 ORF59 protein was first identified by monoclonal antibody (MAb) 11D1 generated against body cavity-based B-cell lymphoma cell line BCBL-1 (5). BCBL-1 cells are latently infected with HHV-8, and the viral lytic cycle can be induced by TPA (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate) (45). We reported that HHV-8 ORF59 encodes an early-late protein which localizes to HHV-8-infected cell nuclei and whose expression is induced by TP A treatment (5). Lin et al. (28) later renamed the ORF59 protein as PF-8 and showed that it interacted with HHV-8
DNA polymerase (Pol-8; encoded by ORF9) in vitro and stimu-
lated DNA synthesis activity of Pol-8 on singly primed M13 template in DNA polymerase assays. They observed that only 
in the presence of PF-8 was Pol-8 able to synthesize full-length products and concluded that PF-8 is a processivity factor for HHV-8 Pol-8.

In this report, we extend the previous observations (5, 28) and present a further characterization of HHV-8 PF-8. Our studies demonstrate that PF-8 is phosphorylated, possesses double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)-binding activity, and associ-
ates with Pol-8 in vitro. These properties, together with the ability of PF-8 to enhance processivity of Pol-8, imply that by binding to dsDNA and Pol-8 at the same time, PF-8 might hold Pol-8 on the DNA template for an extended amount of time, so that Pol-8 can synthesize long stretches of DNA. This hy-
pothesis was examined by elucidating the functional domains of PF-8. PF-8 truncation mutants were generated and assayed for stimulation of processive DNA synthesis by Pol-8, dsDNA-
binding, and interaction with Pol-8. Our results show that amino acids (aa) 10 to 27 and 279 to 301 are critical for the processivity function of PF-8. In addition, while residues 10 to 27 of PF-8 are important for interacting with Pol-8, both the N terminus and residues 279 to 301 are required for binding dsDNA. These results demonstrate that the processivity func-
tion of PF-8 correlates with the physical interaction between PF-8 and Pol-8 and with that between PF-8 and dsDNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. HHV-8-negative, EBV-negative BJAB cells and HHV-8-positive, EBV-negative BCBL-1 cells were maintained as previously described (5).

Antibodies. The development and characterization of MAb 11D1, specific for HHV-8 PF-8, has been previously reported (5).

Plasmid constructs. ORF59 was amplified from the pCD50 cDNA clone (5) by PCR, using primers 5′-TGAT CGT CTG AGA CTT TAA CTA TGC CTA TG-3′ (containing an EcoRI site), and 5′-CTC CAA TTG CGG CCG CCTC-3′ (a NorI site). ORF9 was amplified from BCBL-1 DNA by PCR, using primers 5′-GAG ACC ATG AAC TCA TCA TCG ATT TT TAT ATC-3′ (containing an EcoRI site), and 5′-TGG-3′; primer was the same as that used for amplifying full-length ORF59 (59A; see above). The 5′ primers were as follows: ΔC539-396, 5′-CCT TAG TTG CGG CCG CTG GGG GTC AGC TGG TGA C-3′; ΔC323-396, 5′-CTC ACT GTC GCG GCC-3′; ΔC302–396, 5′-CTC GCT GCC GGC CGC CAC AGA TCA TCA TGA ATT TTT TCA ATC-3′; and ΔC234–396, 5′-CTC GCT GCC GGC CGC CAC AGA TCA TCA TGA ATT TTT TCA ATC-3′ (containing an NorI site). Amplified fragments were purified and ligated into the EcoRI/NorI sites of pCI-neo (Promega, Madison, Wis.). All inserts were verified by sequencing at the Biotechnology Support Facility, University of Kansas Medical Center.

Construction of PF-8 truncation mutants. All PF-8 truncation mutants were amplified from the pCD50 cDNA clone (5) by PCR, using the primers indicated below. For the C-terminal truncation mutants, the 5′ primer was the same as the primer used for amplifying full-length ORF59 (59A; see above). The 5′ primers were as follows: ΔC539-396, 5′-CCT TAG TTG CGG CCG CTG GGG GTC AGC TGG TGA C-3′; ΔC323-396, 5′-CTC ACT GTC GCG GCC-3′; ΔC302–396, 5′-CTC GCT GCC GGC CGC CAC AGA TCA TCA TGA ATT TTAT ATC-3′; ΔC239-396, 5′-CAG CCA GGC GCC GCA CCT TCC ACT TAT ATT TCCG-3′; ΔC234–396, 5′-GTA TCC ACC GCG GCC GCA TCC ACC TAC TTC TTC C-3′; and ΔC191–396, 5′-GTC GCT ACC GCC CGC AGT CAT CTA TTG GTC C-3′. The 3′ primers contain NorI sites for the N-terminal truncation mutants, the 3′ primer was the same as that used for amplifying full-length ORF59 (59B; see above). The 5′ primers were as follows: ΔN1–9, 5′-TAT AGA ATT CAC GAT GAG GGT GGA CGT CAC-3′; ΔN1–27, 5′-GCG TGA ATG AAT CTA TTA TGA GTG CCA C-3′; ΔN1–62, 5′-GCG TGC CTA CGT GAA ATC TGA AAT GAG AAC GTC C-3′; and ΔN1–127, 5′-CAA CCG GAA TTC GTC ATG ACC ATT TTC C-3′. The 3′ primers contain methionine start sites and EcoRI sites. All amplified fragments were ligated into the EcoRI/NorI sites of pCI neo.

In vitro transcription-translation. The TNT-coupled reticulocyte lysate sys-
tem with T7 RNA polymerase (Promega) was used to transcribe and translate the ORF9, full-length ORF59, and ORF59 truncation mutants in the presence of [35S]Met according to the manufacturer's instructions.

APase treatment. [35S]Met-labeled, in vitro translated (IVT) PF-8 polypep-
tide (20 μl) was incubated with 2,000 U of λ protein phosphatase (APase) at 30°C for 3 h according to the manufacturer's recommendation (New England Biolol, Beverly, Mass.). The mock-treated and APase-treated IVT PF-8 protein products were boiled with 2× sample buffer and resolved by sodium dodecyl sul-
fate–9% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–9% PAGE). The gel was analyzed using Entifys (NEN, Boston, Mass.), dried, and exposed to Kodak XAR-5 film.

Radioimmunoprecipitation. BJAB, BCBL-1, and TPA-induced BCBL-1 (20 ng of TPA [Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.]) per ml in 10 ml of RPMI medium for 4 days cells (10 Six cells) were labeled with 1.5 μCi of [32P]orthophosphate (Ameshan Pharmacia, Piscataway, N.J.) for 20 h. Identical samples were labeled with [35S]Met/Cys as per procedures described elsewhere (5). Lysis of [35S] or [32P]-labeled cells and immunoprecipitation with MAb 11D1 was carried out as previ-
ously reported (5). The immunoprecipitates were dissolved in 2× sample buffer and resolved by SDS–9% PAGE.

DNA-cellulose chromatography. DNA-cellulose cell-fusion was performed as described by Loh et al. (29). Briefly, 20 μl of [35S]Met-labeled IVT PF-8 polypeptide was treated with 2 μl of RNase-It Cocktail (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.) for 20 min at room temperature. The treated sample was diluted in 100 μl of binding buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.4], 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 50 μg of bovine serum albumin) and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then poured over 100 μl of equilibrated unmodified, ssDNA-, or dsDNA-cellulose (Sigma) in Poly-Prep columns (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.). The columns were washed twice with two-bed volumes of binding buffer with 50 mM NaCl. Bound protein was eluted stepwise with 3× 2-bed volumes of binding buffer with increasing concentrations of NaCl (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.4, 1.1, and 2 M). Three hundred microliters from the last wash fraction (50 mM NaCl) and from the first fraction of each high salt concentration was incubated with 2× volumes of acetone and 20 μg of bovine serum albumin (BSA) overnight at −20°C. The precipitated pro-
teins were sedimented at 12,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, solubilized with 35 μl of 2× sample buffer, resolved by SDS–15% PAGE, and visualized by fluorography.

RESULTS

HHV-8 PF-8 is a phosphoprotein. It has been reported that HSV-1 UL42, EBV BMRF1, HCMV ICP36, and HHV-6 p41 are phosphoproteins (7, 10, 18, 31, 47). To determine whether PF-8 (encoded by HHV-8 ORF59) is a phosphoprotein, [32P]ortho-
tio-labeled, IVT PF-8 polypeptide was mock treated, or treated with APase, which removes phosphates from serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues. The mock-treated and APase-treated samples were resolved by SDS–PAGE (Fig. 1A, lanes 1 and 2). The apparent molecular mass of the mock-
treated PF-8 was 50 kDa (Fig. 1A, lane 1). The mobility of the APase-treated IVT PF-8 (Fig. 1A, lane 2) was faster than that of the mock-treated sample (Fig. 1A, lane 1), indicating that PF-8 was phosphorylated in vitro. The difference in the sizes of
the phosphorylated and dephosphorylated forms of PF-8 was approximately 1 kDa. Since PF-8 was expressed from the PF-8-coding region carried by the pCI-neo expression vector, proteins synthesized due to the presence of the pCI-neo vector itself were considered nonspecific (Fig. 1A, lane 3). The mobilities of these nonspecific proteins did not change upon λPPase treatment (Fig. 1A, lanes 1 and 2), indicating that the shift in migration of PF-8 is due to the removal of phosphates.

To determine whether PF-8 was also phosphorylated in vivo, lysates from BJAB, uninduced, and TPA-induced BCBL-1 cells labeled with [32P]orthophosphate were immunoprecipitated with MAb 11D1, which is specific for HHV-8 PF-8 (5). An isotype control MAb (immunoglobulin G2b) against an HHV-6 glycoprotein did not react with PF-8 (data not shown), further demonstrating the specificity of MAb 11D1. There was no specific reaction between MAb 11D1 and the 32P-labeled HHV-8-negative BJAB cells (Fig. 1B, lane 1). However, MAb 11D1 recognized a phosphoprotein with an apparent molecular mass of 50 kDa from TPA-induced BCBL-1 cells, which is the expected size of PF-8 (Fig. 1B, lane 3). This phosphoprotein was also seen in uninduced BCBL-1 cells, albeit at a much lower level (Fig. 1B, lane 2). These results demonstrate that PF-8 expressed in BCBL-1 cells is phosphorylated.

To prove that the absence of the phosphorylated form of PF-8 in HHV-8-negative BJAB cells was due to the absence of expression of this protein, lysates from [35S]Met/Cys-labeled BJAB, uninduced, and TPA-induced BCBL-1 cells were immunoprecipitated with MAb 11D1. MAb 11D1 precipitated the 50-kDa PF-8 from TPA-induced BCBL-1 cells, but not from BJAB cells (Fig. 1C). The expression of PF-8 was induced by TPA treatment (Fig. 1C, lanes 2 and 3) as previously reported (5). The results of these experiments demonstrate that PF-8 is phosphorylated both in vitro and in vivo.

**PF-8 has dsDNA-binding activity.** It has been established that HSV-1 UL42 and EBV BMRF1 have intrinsic dsDNA-binding activity (31, 41, 43, 53). To determine whether PF-8, a structural homolog of these viral processivity factors, was also capable of binding dsDNA, DNA-cellulose chromatography was performed. [35S]Met-labeled IVT PF-8 polypeptide was applied to an unmodified, ssDNA-, or dsDNA-cellulose column. The columns were extensively washed with buffer containing 50 mM NaCl. Increasing concentrations of NaCl were used to elute bound protein. Approximately 30% of the first fraction from each NaCl concentration was resolved by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2). The last wash fraction was also analyzed by SDS-PAGE to ensure that the polypeptides eluted with high NaCl concentrations were not the result of insufficient washings (Fig. 2, lanes 2). As shown in Fig. 2A, PF-8 was not eluted from the unmodified cellulose even at a high salt concentration (2 M NaCl), indicating that there was no background binding between IVT PF-8 and the unmodified cellulose. In contrast, PF-8 was retained by both the dsDNA-cellulose column (Fig. 2B) and the ssDNA-cellulose column (Fig. 2C) at 50 mM NaCl and eluted in the presence of 0.3 M NaCl. The amount of PF-8 eluted from dsDNA was approximately fivefold more than that from ssDNA at 0.3 M NaCl as measured by densitometry (Fig. 2B and C, lane 5), suggesting that PF-8 has a higher affinity for dsDNA than for ssDNA.

**Coimmunoprecipitation of HHV-8 DNA polymerase and PF-8 in vitro.** To investigate whether HHV-8 DNA polymerase (Pol-8) (encoded by ORF9) (Fig. 3, lane 3) interacted with PF-8 (Fig. 3, lane 2), we performed coimmunoprecipitation of [35S]Met-labeled IVT Pol-8 and PF-8 using MAb 11D1. Neither IVT protein bound protein A-Sepharose (Fig. 3, lanes 4, 6, and 8). MAb 11D1 precipitated the [35S]Met-labeled IVT PF-8 (Fig. 3, lane 5) but not Pol-8 (Fig. 3, lane 7), indicating...
that MAb 11D1 did not react with Pol-8 nonspecifically. Pol-8 was precipitated only in the presence of PF-8 (Fig. 3, lane 9), suggesting an association between Pol-8 and PF-8. Since an endonuclease was included in the binding buffer, this interaction between Pol-8 and PF-8 was not mediated by DNA. This result demonstrates that the HHV-8 DNA polymerase associates with PF-8 in vitro, independent of other viral proteins.

**PF-8 increases processivity of Pol-8.** We next examined the effect of PF-8 on the processivity of HHV-8 Pol-8 on poly(dA): oligo(dT)\textsubscript{16} a homopolymeric template. This template was used since, unlike the primed M13 template, it does not form any secondary structure or contain any sequence-specific high energy barrier (3, 54), so that the rate of production of elongated DNA is not affected by these pause sites. Oligo(dT)\textsubscript{16} primer end labeled with \([\gamma-\text{P}]\text{ATP}\) was hybridized with poly(dA) template with an average length of 246 bases. Excess poly(dA):oligo(dT)\textsubscript{16} was used to ensure that any Pol-8–PF-8 complex dissociated from a primer-template would not interact with the same primer-template again (3, 54). This is a rigorous assay for processivity since any DNA products synthesized result from a single processive cycle, but not from distributive elongation (3, 54). The DNA products were resolved by 15% denaturing PAGE (Fig. 4). An excess amount of nonelongated primers (16 bases in length) from the primed templates were seen near the bottom of the gel (Fig. 4), verifying that the extended primers were limited to one round of processive synthesis. Since the primer was end labeled, the intensity of each band is proportional to the number of elongated primer molecules with that particular DNA size. The observed bands correspond to the length of DNA that was synthesized prior to the dissociation of Pol-8 from the template.

Pol-8 or PF-8 alone did not elongate any primer (Fig. 4, lanes 4 and 11, respectively). However, in the presence of Pol-8, increasing amounts of PF-8 enhanced the processivity of Pol-8 in a dose-dependent manner, indicated by the increasing quantities of labeled elongated DNA products and the appearance of DNA products greater than 200 bases long (Fig. 4, lanes 5 to 7). With constant amounts of Pol-8 and PF-8, the stimulation in processivity by PF-8 was also time dependent (Fig. 4, lanes 8 to 10). HHV-8 envelope glycoprotein K8.1A (6), used as a negative control, had no effect on the processivity of Pol-8 (Fig. 4, lane 12), demonstrating the specificity of the functional interaction between Pol-8 and PF-8. These results confirm that PF-8 is a processivity factor for Pol-8 in a more rigorous processivity assay than the DNA synthesis protocol utilized by Lin et al. (28).

**Mapping the processivity domain of PF-8.** To examine the region(s) of PF-8 that is important for processivity function, PF-8 truncation mutants were generated. A schematic diagram of each mutant is shown in Fig. 5. PF-8 mutants were expressed by the in vitro transcription-translation system and fractionated by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 6). All mutants were resolved at their expected sizes and expressed at similar levels. PF-8 mutants were then tested for their abilities to stimulate processive DNA synthesis by Pol-8 on poly(dA):oligo(dT)\textsubscript{16} template (Fig. 7A). C-terminal PF-8 mutants ΔC302–396, ΔC323–396, and ΔC359–396 were still functional in the processivity assay (Fig. 7A, lanes 8 to 10), indicating that aa 302 to 396 are dispensable for this function. In contrast, deletion of aa 279 to 396 totally

FIG. 2. dsDNA-binding activity of PF-8. IVT radiolabeled PF-8 was applied to 500 μl of equilibrated unmodified cellulose (A), dsDNA-cellulose (B), or ssDNA-cellulose (C) in columns. The columns were extensively washed (lane 2) and eluted stepwise with increasing concentrations of NaCl (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1, and 2 M in binding buffer). Thirty percent of the first fraction from each NaCl concentration was precipitated and resolved by SDS–9% PAGE (lanes 3 to 9). Five percent of column input is shown in lane 1. The sizes of protein markers are shown at left.

FIG. 3. Coimmunoprecipitation of IVT Pol-8 and IVT PF-8 by MAb 11D1. Fifty percent PF-8 and 10% of Pol-8 used in the coimmunoprecipitation reactions are shown in lanes 2 and 3, respectively. PF-8 alone (lanes 4 and 5), Pol-8 alone (lanes 6 and 7), or PF-8 plus Pol-8 (lanes 8 and 9) was incubated with protein A-Sepharose alone (A) (lanes 4, 6, and 8) or with protein A-Sepharose and MAb 11D1 (lanes 5, 7, and 9). The immune complexes were extensively washed and resolved by SDS–10% PAGE. The positions of Pol-8 and PF-8 are indicated at right. V, TNT lysate programmed with pCI-neo vector.
abolished the processivity activity (Fig. 7A, lane 7), demonstrating the importance of aa 279 to 301 of PF-8 for processivity function. N-terminal mutant $\Delta N1–9$ was not as efficient as the full-length PF-8 in enhancing the processivity of Pol-8 (Fig. 7A, lane 11), whereas mutants $\Delta N1–27$, $\Delta N1–62$, and $\Delta N1–127$ were nonfunctional (Fig. 7A, lanes 12 to 14), suggesting that the N-terminal portion of PF-8 (aa 10 to 27) is essential. To ensure that the inability of some of the PF-8 mutants to function in the processivity assays was not due to degradation of the mutants, the integrity of the PF-8 mutants was monitored by SDS-PAGE over the course of the processivity assays. The result shows that all PF-8 truncation mutants were stable throughout the assay period (Fig. 7B and 7C).

The results of these experiments are summarized in Fig. 5; aa 10 to 27 and 279 to 301 of PF-8 are critical for its processivity function, but the C-terminal 95 aa (from residues 302 to 396) are dispensable.

**Mapping the dsDNA-binding site on PF-8.** To map the region of PF-8 necessary for binding to dsDNA, the ability of the PF-8 truncation mutants to bind dsDNA-cellulose columns was examined. As shown in Fig. 8A to C, three C-terminal truncation mutants ($\Delta C359–396$, $\Delta C323–396$, and $\Delta C302–396$) behaved like the full-length PF-8 (Fig. 2B), in that the $^{35}$S-labeled polypeptides were retained in the columns at 50 mM NaCl and eluted upon the addition of 0.3 M NaCl. Further deletion of the C terminus dramatically affected the elution profile of the polypeptide, such that most of the mutant $\Delta C279–396$ polypeptide was eluted with 0.1 and 0.2 M NaCl (Fig. 8D). This result indicated that C-terminal truncation mutant $\Delta C279–396$ had less affinity for dsDNA than the full-length PF-8, suggesting that residues 279 to 301 contribute to the dsDNA-binding activity of PF-8. Larger C-terminal deletions (mutants $\Delta C234–396$ and $\Delta C191–396$) also displayed weak binding to dsDNA, similar to the behavior of mutant $\Delta C279–396$ (summarized in Fig. 5).

Deletion of the first 27 residues at the N terminus of PF-8 led to an alteration of elution profile. Mutants $\Delta N1–9$ and $\Delta N1–27$ were retained by the columns at 50 mM NaCl (Fig. 8E and F), but the behavior of the proteins was eluted from 0.1 to 0.3 M NaCl and one was eluted at 1 M NaCl. This biphasic property of fractionation suggested that even a small deletion...

**FIG. 5.** Schematic representation and summary of properties of full-length PF-8 and PF-8 truncation mutants. Ability of full-length (FL) PF-8 and PF-8 mutants to enhance Pol-8 processivity and to interact with Pol-8 and dsDNA (as assessed in Fig. 7A, Fig. 8, and Fig. 9) are scored as follows: positive (+), negative (−), or weak (+/−). For dsDNA-binding activity, + + indicates an altered elution profile of the polypeptide from the dsDNA-cellulose column. Abbreviations: M, Met; ND, not determined.
of the N terminus of PF-8 was deleterious to the dsDNA-binding capacity of PF-8. Further truncation of the N terminus (∆N1–62 and ∆N1–127) rendered PF-8 incapable of binding dsDNA with as high affinity as the full-length PF-8, since a majority of the proteins eluted at 0.2 M NaCl (Fig. 8G and Fig. 5).

The results of these tests are summarized in Fig. 5. The C-terminal 95 aa of PF-8 are dispensable for dsDNA-binding. However, residues 279 to 301 and the first 62 aa of the N terminus are critical for PF-8 binding to dsDNA.

Mapping the Pol-8-binding domain of PF-8. Since the physical interaction with Pol-8 might also contribute to the processivity function of PF-8, we next examined whether the PF-8 truncation mutants associated with Pol-8 in vitro. We first determined the region of PF-8 recognized by MAb 11D1 by immunoprecipitating the 35S-labeled IVT PF-8 truncation mutants. All N-terminal truncation mutants could be recognized by MAB 11D1 (Fig. 9, lanes 7 to 10). In contrast, mutant ∆C302–396 was immunoprecipitated by MAB 11D1, but mutant ∆C279–396 was not (Fig. 9, lanes 3 and 4), demonstrating that deletion of aa 279 through 301 completely abolished the ability of MAB 11D1 to recognize PF-8. This suggests that either the epitope recognized by MAB 11D1 lies between aa 279 and aa 301 or the deletion of these 23 aa disrupts the conformation of PF-8 such that the epitope is no longer available.

To map the region of PF-8 that interacts with Pol-8, coinmunoprecipitation of [35S]Met-labeled IVT Pol-8 and IVT PF-8 mutants with MAB 11D1 was carried out. Since mutants ∆C191–396, ∆C234–396, and ∆C279–396 could not be recognized by MAB 11D1 (Fig. 9, lanes 1 to 3), we are currently developing other methods to examine their abilities to physically interact with Pol-8. While ∆C302–396, ∆C323–396, ∆C359–396, and ∆N1–9 coprecipitated with Pol-8 (Fig. 9, lanes 4 to 7), ∆N1–27, ∆N1–62, and ∆N1–127 did not (Fig. 9, lanes 8 to 10), indicating that aa 10 to 27 of PF-8 are required for Pol-8-binding. In addition, these results confirm that MAB 11D1 does not bind Pol-8 nonspecifically, since MAB 11D1 did not precipitate Pol-8 in the presence of several of the PF-8 mutants (Fig. 9, lanes 1 to 3 and lanes 8 to 10). The results of the coinmunoprecipitation reactions are summarized in Fig. 5.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we have characterized HHV-8 PF-8 as a functional processivity factor and mapped regions of PF-8 important for activity. We observed that the 50-kDa PF-8 (encoded by ORF59) is phosphorylated in vitro and in vivo and that it binds strongly to dsDNA. PF-8 also coprecipitated with HHV-8 Pol-8 in vitro, confirming the work of Lin et al. (28). Using a stringent assay for processivity function, we showed that PF-8 enhances the processivity of Pol-8. These results not only demonstrate that PF-8 is a processivity factor, but also suggest a potential mechanism for its activity, enhancement of Pol-8 binding to primer-template junctions (see below). Additionally, we mapped the functional domains of PF-8 that are critical for enhancing the processivity of Pol-8, binding to dsDNA, or binding to Pol-8. Our results demonstrate that two regions of PF-8 are essential for processivity function, aa 10 to 27 and aa 279 to 301, and that these same regions are also important for PF-8 interaction with dsDNA and Pol-8.

PF-8 was phosphorylated both in an in vitro transcription-translation system and in BCBL-1 cells. The difference in the sizes of the phosphorylated and dephosphorylated forms of the IVT PF-8 was approximately 1 kDa, which is approximately equivalent to 13 phosphates (2). A search for common phosphorylation motifs using Motif Finder revealed that there are one potential cyclic AMP and cyclic GMP-dependent kinase phosphorylation site, six potential casein kinase II phosphorylation sites, and six potential protein kinase C phosphorylation sites in PF-8. This observation corresponds well with data demonstrating serine or threonine phosphorylation on other herpesviral polymerase accessory proteins, such as UL42 (HSV-1), BMRF1 (EBV), p41 (HHV-6), and ICP36 (HCMV) (7, 10, 18, 31, 47).

A recent report shows that EBV BGLF4, a herpesvirus kinase, phosphorylates BMRF1 in vitro (12). Since HHV-8 ORF36 is homologous to EBV BGLF4 and the protein encoded by ORF36 has serine kinase activity (40), it will be interesting to examine whether the HHV-8 ORF36 protein can phosphorylate PF-8. However, in the in vitro transcription-translation system we used to express PF-8, PF-8 was phosphorylated not by viral protein, but by host protein kinase(s). The functional significance and in vivo regulation of the phos-
phorylation of these viral DNA polymerase accessory proteins are not known at present.

The dsDNA-binding activity of PF-8 was demonstrated using dsDNA-cellulose chromatography. Radiolabeled PF-8 was retained on a dsDNA column at low ionic strength and was displaced by increased salt concentration. The affinity of PF-8 for ssDNA was approximately fivefold less than that for dsDNA, consistent with the behavior of HSV-1 UL42 (55) and EBV BMRF1 (53). It has been proposed (20, 55) that this property of viral processivity factors may contribute to the enhanced specific binding of the viral polymerases toward primer-template DNA at the site of the growing 3'-OH end of newly synthesized DNA.

Our coimmunoprecipitation results demonstrated that PF-8 interacted with Pol-8 in the absence of other HHV-8 proteins and that this interaction was not mediated by DNA. The results also suggested that the PF-8 epitope recognized by MAb 11D1 is not on the Pol-8-binding interface, since MAb 11D1 did not interfere with the ability of the two proteins to interact. However, deletion of residues 279 to 301 of PF-8 abolished both the reactivity to MAb 11D1 and the processivity function. Further analysis is necessary to determine why MAb 11D1 does not inhibit the physical interaction between Pol-8 and PF-8 but requires a region within the processivity domain of PF-8 for reactivity.

In a more rigorous assay for processivity, PF-8 stimulated long-chain DNA synthesis by Pol-8 using poly(dA):oligo(dT)_{16} as a template. The use of excess poly(dA):oligo(dT)_{16} template ensured that the Pol-8–PF-8 complex did not associate with the same primer-template more than once so that single processive reactions were monitored (3, 54). This system is more rigorous because it minimizes production of elongated DNA products by distributive elongation—a process in which the polymerase dissociates and associates with the same primer-template re-

![FIG. 7. Effects of PF-8 truncation mutants on the processivity of Pol-8. (A) TNT lysate programmed with pCI-neo vector (V) (lane 3), Pol-8 (lane 4), Pol-8 plus PF-8 mutants (lanes 5 to 14), or Pol-8 plus full-length PF-8 (lane 15) was incubated with components of the processivity assay for 1 h and DNA products were resolved by 7 M urea–15% PAGE. Poly(dA):oligo(dT)_{16} template alone is in lane 2. The sizes of DNA markers (lane 1) are shown at left. Nonspecific DNA products are indicated by an asterisk. (B) C-terminal truncation mutants. (C) N-terminal truncation mutants. The sizes of protein markers are shown at left.
peatedly and hence does not depend on processivity of the polymerase.

Lin et al. (28) demonstrated physical and functional interactions between Pol-8 and PF-8 and enhancement of Pol-8 replicative activity by PF-8. Our results from the DNA chromatography assays, coimmunoprecipitation reactions, and processivity assays clearly demonstrate that PF-8 possesses properties common to other herpesviral processivity factors. In addition, our work suggests that the physical interaction between PF-8 and dsDNA could contribute to the stimulation of processivity of Pol-8 by increasing the affinity of Pol-8 for the primer terminus on the viral DNA template. Therefore, like HSV-1 UL42 (21), PF-8 might tether its polymerase (Pol-8) to the DNA primer terminus so that the polymerase can incorporate more nucleotides onto the growing chain of DNA without dissociating from the template.

To further examine this hypothesis, we generated PF-8 truncation mutants and tested their abilities to confer processivity. Our results demonstrated that aa 10 to 27 and aa 279 to 301 were critical for the processivity function of PF-8. This observation corresponds well with the predicted structure of the processivity domain of PF-8 (59). Zuccola et al. (59) compared the processivity domain of HSV-1 UL42 with other herpesvirus DNA polymerase accessory proteins using fold recognition methods and found that aa 10 to 300 of PF-8 could form a structure resembling the processivity domain of HSV-1 UL42. Our biochemical studies, which demonstrated the importance of aa 10 to 27 and aa 279 to 301 for PF-8 processivity function, support the algorithmic prediction of structural similarity by Zuccola et al. (59).

To correlate the processivity function of PF-8 with dsDNA-binding activities, we also assayed PF-8 truncation mutants in DNA-cellulose chromatography assays and coimmunoprecipitation reactions with Pol-8. The C-terminal 95 aa were dispensable in all three functional assays (summarized in Fig. 5). Deletion of residues 279 to 301 dramatically rendered the polypeptide incapable of binding dsDNA. Since it was not possible to examine the physical interaction of mutants ΔC191-396, ΔC234-396, or ΔC279-396 with Pol-8 in the present system due to the absence of a MAb 11D1 epitope, the effect of deleting residues 279 to 301 on Pol-8-binding is not known at present. Nonetheless, the inability of mutant ΔC279-396 to bind dsDNA might contribute at least partially to the lack of processivity function of this mutant.

Although aa 1 to 9 of PF-8 are not included in the predicted processivity fold (59), we observed that deletion of these 9 aa dramatically reduced PF-8 processivity function, implying that this region might help to stabilize the processivity domain. Since mutant ΔN1–9 could precipitate Pol-8, and thus had an intact Pol-8-binding site, it is unlikely that this mutant polypeptide was globally misfolded. Interestingly, mutant ΔN1–9 had a biphasic dsDNA-binding property in that one population of the polypeptides had a higher affinity for dsDNA and the other had a lower affinity compared to the full-length PF-8. It is possible that this altered affinity for dsDNA affects the mobility of ΔN1–9 on DNA and thus reduces its processivity function. Deletion of aa 1 to 27 of PF-8 completely disrupted the physical association with Pol-8 and its processivity function. Its DNA-binding activity was also affected, indicated by the biphasic fractionation profile from the dsDNA-cellulose column. Since both mutant ΔN1–9 and ΔN1–27 had this altered elution profile, but only ΔN1–27 was nonfunctional in the processivity assay and incapable of binding Pol-8, it appears that the interaction between Pol-8 and PF-8 is critical for the processivity function of PF-8.

In summary, HHV-8 PF-8 is a phosphoprotein that binds dsDNA and Pol-8 in vitro. It enhances the processivity of
Pol-8, and this function is closely associated with the ability to physically interact with Pol-8 and with dsDNA. This is consistent with the idea that both Pol-8-binding and dsDNA-binding is necessary for PF-8 to function as a processivity factor. The C-terminal region of PF-8 is dispensable for dsDNA binding. Pol-8 binding, and processivity of PF-8. These characteristics of PF-8 resemble those of HSV-1 UL42 (14, 22, 35, 52) and EBV BMRF1 (11, 26) despite only a 21.8 and a 28.5% aa identity to UL42 and BMRF1, respectively (28). Genetic and biochemical evidence collected to date suggests that there is a structural determinant common among the herpesviral processivity factors without a high degree of amino acid sequence identity. Further understanding of the interaction between Pol-8 and PF-8 and the biology of HHV-8 DNA replication might contribute to the design of antiviral agents that could delay KS development.
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