Epstein-Barr Virus Nuclear Antigen 3C Activates the Latent Membrane Protein 1 Promoter in the Presence of Epstein-Barr Virus Nuclear Antigen 2 through Sequences Encompassing an Spi-1/Spi-B Binding Site
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The Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) nuclear antigen 3C (EBNA-3C) protein is a transcriptional regulator of viral and cellular genes that is essential for EBV-mediated immortalization of B lymphocytes in vitro. EBNA-3C can inhibit transcription through an association with the cellular DNA-binding protein Jk, a function shared by EBNA-3A and EBNA-3B. Here, we report a mechanism by which EBNA-3C can activate transcription from the EBV latent membrane protein 1 (LMP-1) promoter in conjunction with EBNA-2. Jk DNA-binding sites were not required for this activation, and a mutant EBNA-3C protein unable to bind Jk activated transcription as efficiently as wild-type EBNA-3C, indicating that EBNA-3C can regulate transcription through a mechanism that is independent of Jk. Furthermore, activation of the LMP-1 promoter is a unique function of EBNA-3C, not shared by EBNA-3A and EBNA-3B. The DNA element through which EBNA-3C activates the LMP-1 promoter includes a Spi-1/Spi-B binding site, previously characterized as an important EBNA-2 response element. Although this element has considerable homology to mouse immunoglobulin light chain promoter sequences to which the mouse homologue of Spi-1 binds with its dimerization partner IRF4, we demonstrate that the IRF4-like binding sites in the LMP-1 promoter do not play a role in EBNA-3C-mediated activation. Both EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C were required for transcription mediated through a 41-bp region of the LMP-1 promoter encompassing the Spi binding site. However, EBNA-3C had no effect on transcription mediated in conjunction with the EBNA-2 activation domain fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain, suggesting that it does not function as an adapter between EBNA-2 and the cellular transcriptional machinery. Like EBNA-2, EBNA-3C bound directly to both Spi-1 and Spi-B in vitro. This interaction was mediated by a region of EBNA-3C encompassing a likely basic leucine zipper (bZIP) domain and the ets domain of Spi-1 or Spi-B, reminiscent of interactions between bZIP and ets domains of other transcription factors that result in their targeting to DNA. There are many examples of regulation of the hematopoietic-specific Spi transcription factors through protein-protein interactions, and a similar regulation by EBNA-3C, in conjunction with EBNA-2, is likely to be an important and unique contribution of EBNA-3C to EBV-mediated immortalization.

The human herpesvirus Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) establishes a latent infection within B lymphocytes that is maintained for the lifetime of the host. Since most EBV-related diseases occur years to decades after primary infection, the establishment of a latent infection is an essential step in the development of EBV-associated malignancies. Following EBV infection in vitro, primary B lymphocytes are immortalized and able to proliferate indefinitely in culture. Of the 12 viral genes expressed during latency in these cells, 6 encode proteins considered essential for efficient EBV-mediated immortalization in vitro: EBV nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA-1), EBNA-2, EBNA-3A, EBNA-3C, EBNA-LP, and latent membrane protein 1 (LMP-1) (10, 18, 23, 28, 29, 50, 52).

The molecular basis for the role of the EBV oncoprotein LMP-1 in transformation is its ability to constitutively activate the tumor necrosis factor receptor signal transduction pathway (36). While LMP-1 is capable of transforming immortal rodent cell lines (11), overexpression of LMP-1 in B cells results in cytotoxicity or cytostasis (15, 31). The expression of LMP-1 in EBV-transformed B lymphocytes is regulated by the concerted actions of viral and cellular proteins through promoter elements targeted by ubiquitous as well as B-cell-specific proteins. One key regulator of LMP-1 expression, EBNA-2, activates transcription through interactions with cellular proteins, including Jk (for which there are two binding sites in the LMP-1 promoter, located in the regions from bp –298 to –290 and from bp –223 to –213) and Spi-1/Spi-B, related proteins of the ets family of transcription factors that bind to a single site in the LMP-1 promoter (bp –169 to –158) (17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 48). Not only is Jk the downstream signaling protein of the Notch pathway, but it directly interacts with the intracellular domain of the Notch protein. Following activation of Notch, the intracellular domain is released by proteolysis and migrates to the nucleus to bind to DNA through its interaction with Jk (16). The presence of Notch provides a signal that activates transcription (21). In this respect, EBNA-2 is functionally analogous to activated Notch: EBNA-2 binds to the promoter through Jk (17, 20, 27) and provides a strong activation domain
that contacts various proteins of the basal transcription machinery (54, 55). Since activation of Notch is associated with several types of cancer (13, 59), the interaction of EBNA-2 with Jk is likely to play an important role in EBV-mediated immortalization. The mechanism by which EBNA-2 activates transcription through Spi proteins is less clearly defined. Since the Spi binding site is critical for EBNA-2-mediated activation of the LMP-1 promoter and EBNA-2 binds to Spi-1 in vitro (22, 24), it is assumed that EBNA-2 binds to the promoter in a complex with Spi proteins; indeed, Spi-1 is regulated by interactions with a variety of transcription factors (12, 37). The facts that Spi-1 was originally identified as an oncoprotein (35) and that Spi proteins play important roles in the differentiation and proliferation of B lymphocytes (33, 49) suggest that the interaction of EBNA-2 with Spi proteins is important in the immortalization of B lymphocytes by EBV. In addition to interaction with Jk and Spi proteins, EBNA-2-mediated activation of the LMP-1 promoter can be potentiated by EBNA-LP; this activity appears to involve the transactivation domain of EBNA-2, suggesting a possible role in facilitating contact with the cellular transcription machinery (19, 38), although a direct interaction between EBNA-2 and EBNA-LP has not been demonstrated. EBNA-3A, -3B, and -3C are also important regulators of LMP-1 expression. The EBNA-3 proteins are encoded by three contiguous genes in the genome of EBV and play a role in the immortalization of B lymphocytes (33, 49). The EBNA-3 proteins appear to bind to specific DNA sequences and can activate gene expression through a direct association with DNA. Indeed, we have reported that EBNA-3C binds to nonspecific DNA in the presence of EBNA-2-mediated activation of transcription (26, 42, 43, 57, 60).

To define sequences of the LMP-1 promoter mediating activation by EBNA-3C, the following reporter constructs were generated. The mutations in the Jk binding sites have been previously reported in the context of a promoter extending to bp −512 (30); a fragment extending from bp −512 to −54 was removed from this construct and used to replace the wild-type sequences in a fragment of the LMP-1 promoter extending to bp −2350. The BamHI-HindIII fragment (−215 to −54) [the numbers given represent the first and last nucleotides of a fragment, relative to the transcription start site (51)] LMP-1 promoter fragment was subcloned into pHBlCAT2, which contains a herpes simplex virus (HSV) thymidine kinase (TK) TATA box, to generate LMP−215/−54BLCAT2. LMP−215/−144BLCAT2 was generated by deletion of the NlaIII-HindIII (−144/−54) fragment. The BglII-BamHI fragment was subcloned into the LMP-1 promoter to generate −2350LMPCATd−548/−210. −2350LMPCATd−548/−54 was generated by deletion of BglII-HindIII (−548/−54) fragment. All plasmids used for transfections were purified using anion-exchange resin (Qiagen), followed by cesium chloride density gradient purification.

In vitro transcription and translation. pSG-derived expression vectors were linearized at the end of the coding region by restriction endonuclease digestion for use as DNA templates. Spi-1, Spi-2, and IRF4 were generated by using the complementary nuclear factor (NF-1) to generate pSG-Spi-B and pSG-IRF-4.

Preparation of nuclear extracts. Nuclear extracts were prepared by a modification of the method of Dignam as previously described. Cells were harvested by centrifugation in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in PBS, washed with ice-cold PBS, and resuspended in buffer C (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMFS]) and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min. The nuclei were resuspended in buffer C (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). Nuclei were lysed by 10 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer. The nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in buffer C (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The nuclei were lysed by 10 strokes of a Dounce homogenizer and incubated at 4°C for 30 min on a rotorator. The debris was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The supernatant fraction was dialyzed against the nuclear extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 0.4 M NaCl, 25% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 M PMFS, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]).
Localization of EBNA-3C-responsive DNA element in the LMP-1 promoter. We previously demonstrated that EBNA-3C can increase expression of reporter genes controlled by the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of EBNA-2 (30). Since both EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C can regulate the LMP-1 promoter through interactions with Jk, we first addressed whether the Jk binding sites in the LMP-1 promoter contribute to EBNA-3C-mediated activation by examining the ability of EBNA-3C to activate transcription from a fragment of the LMP-1 promoter extending from bp −2350 to +40 in which both Jk sites were mutated. As shown in Fig. 1, EBNA-3C increased expression from this LMP-1 promoter fragment 4.5-fold relative to that obtained with EBNA-2 alone. Mutation of both Jk sites (located at bp −298 to −290 and bp −223 to −213) lowered expression due to the loss of these important EBNA-2-responsive elements. However, mutation of these sites did not affect the ability of EBNA-3C to activate expression in the presence of EBNA-2, suggesting that binding of Jk to the LMP-1 promoter is not required for EBNA-3C-mediated activation.

Consistent with our mutational analysis described above, deletion of nucleotides between bp −548 and −215 (containing both Jk sites) from the promoter fragment extending to bp −2350 did not preclude EBNA-3C-mediated activation. However, extension of this deletion from bp −548 to −54 abolished the ability of EBNA-3C to activate this promoter, suggesting that the EBNA-3C-responsive DNA element might lie between bp −215 and −54. Indeed, the region from bp −215 to +40 alone was responsive to EBNA-3C (Fig. 1), although overall promoter activity was reduced, perhaps due to the loss of binding sites for transcription factors that cooperate with EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C to increase promoter activity. However, no effect of EBNA-2 or EBNA-3C was seen when these upstream elements alone were linked to a heterologous promoter and used in reporter gene assays (data not shown). Thus, while other transcription factors probably contribute to total promoter activity, they are not capable of activating transcription themselves, perhaps due to a lack of proximity to the TATA box. By contrast, DNA elements between bp −215 and +40 were sufficient to mediate EBNA-3C responsiveness.

Although the levels of EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C expressed following transfections appeared similar to those present within latently infected cell lines, as monitored by immunofluorescence, it was possible that EBNA-3C-mediated activation was due to overexpression of fragment EBNA-3C. To address this con-
cern, we repeated the transfections using increasing amounts of the EBNA-3C expression vector ranging from 1 to 10 μg. As little as 1 μg of pSG5-EBNA-3C was sufficient to activate expression from the LMP-1 promoter (data not shown). Additionally, immunoblot analysis of transfected cells revealed no change in EBNA-2 protein levels in the presence of EBNA-3C under conditions where a twofold change in EBNA-2 could readily be detected (data not shown), demonstrating that EBNA-3C does not function simply by increasing EBNA-2 levels. To determine whether EBNA-3C could also augment transcription in the presence of levels of EBNA-2 that occur within a latently infected cell line where EBNA-2 is expressed from the EBV genome, we transfected EBNA-3C into the latently infected EBV-positive BL cell line Raji that expresses EBNA-2 but not EBNA-3C and that has been used previously to demonstrate that EBNA-3C activates expression of LMP-1 protein (1, 2). As shown in Fig. 2, EBNA-3C did activate transcription in the presence of physiological levels of EBNA-2.

Activation of the LMP-1 promoter is a unique property of EBNA-3C unrelated to interaction with Jκ. Although the results shown in Fig. 1 demonstrated that activation did not require the Jκ-responsive DNA elements, it was possible that EBNA-3C could bring Jκ to the promoter through an interaction with other DNA elements. As a final test of whether the EBNA-3C-Jκ interaction plays any role in EBNA-3C-mediated activation of the LMP-1 promoter, we used a mutant EBNA-3C protein that, as we have previously demonstrated, does not interact with Jκ and is incapable of regulating transcription through Jκ response elements (60). As shown in Fig. 3, this mutation had no effect on the ability of EBNA-3C to activate expression in the presence of EBNA-2; similar levels of wild-type and mutant EBNA-3C protein were detected by immunoblotting (data not shown).

The EBNA-3A and EBNA-3B proteins are distantly related to EBNA-3C, and though they have little actual sequence homology, they share some common properties. These include the ability to bind to Jκ as well as the presence of C-terminal activation domains (D. R. Marshall and C. E. Sample, unpublished data), suggesting that this is a family of transcriptional regulators. Because of these similarities, we investigated whether the ability to activate the LMP-1 promoter was also a conserved property of the EBNA-3 proteins. However, unlike EBNA-3C, neither EBNA-3A nor EBNA-3B was able to activate the LMP-1 promoter (Fig. 4). Together, these data indicate that the ability of EBNA-3C to activate expression from the LMP-1 promoter is clearly distinct from its interaction with Jκ and is a function that is unique to EBNA-3C.

Sequences between bp −215 and −144 are sufficient for EBNA-3C-mediated activation. The 255-bp fragment of the LMP-1 promoter (bp −215 to +40) that we determined contains the EBNA-3C response element (Fig. 1) encompasses a TATA box that has the atypical sequence TACATAA, compared with the conventional TATAA. To determine whether the atypical TATA box played a role in EBNA-3C-mediated activation, a fragment of the LMP-1 promoter extending from bp −215 to −54 was cloned into pBLCAT2, which contains a

FIG. 2. EBNA-3C activated the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of endogenous levels of EBNA-2 in an EBV-positive BL cell line. The ability of EBNA-3C to activate the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of levels of EBNA-2 that occur within EBV latently infected cells was examined by transfection of EBV-positive Raji cells, which express EBNA-2 but not EBNA-3C, with a reporter gene controlled by the LMP-1 promoter and an EBNA-3C expression vector or empty vector (control). Error bars represent standard deviations.

FIG. 3. An EBNA-3C mutant that does not interact with Jκ activates the LMP-1 promoter as efficiently as wild-type EBNA-3C. The involvement of the Jκ binding domain in EBNA-3C-mediated activation was investigated by transfection of BL2 cells with a reporter gene controlled by the LMP-1 promoter together with empty expression vector (control) or expressing EBNA-2 alone or in the presence of either wild-type EBNA-3C or a mutant EBNA-3C protein specifically mutated within the Jκ binding domain (EBNA-3Cmut). Error bars represent standard deviations.

FIG. 4. Activation of the LMP 1 promoter is a unique property of EBNA-3C not shared by other EBNA-3 proteins. Expression vectors for either EBNA-3A, EBNA-3B, or EBNA-3C were transfected into BL2 cells together with an EBNA-2 expression vector and a reporter plasmid controlled by the LMP-1 promoter. Error bars represent standard deviations.
EBNA-3C-mediated activation. Reporter plasmids (5 μg) into BL2 cells, together with expression vectors for EBNA-2, EBNA-3C, or both. The LMP-1 promoter contains the Spi site (bp -169 to -158) previously demonstrated to be a binding site for Spi-1/Spi-B binding. An oligonucleotide containing an inter-IRE-like elements described above on protein binding, we used oligonucleotides containing these mutations as the competitors in EMSAs (Fig. 6D). Only an oligonucleotide containing the largest mutation of the proximal IRE failed to compete for binding of the cellular proteins, likely due to disruption of the IRE-like sequences do not play a role in EBNA-3C-mediated activation.

Although we found no differences in protein-DNA complexes formed on the LMP-1 promoter in EBV-positive and EBV-negative cell extracts, it is possible that the amount of EBNA-3C present in the cell is insufficient to form a stable protein-DNA complex detectable by EMSA analysis. Indeed, large amounts of exogenous EBNA-2 are required to detect EBNA-2/IκB complexes (17, 27, 46, 56). To test this possibility, we added exogenous EBNA-3C, generated by either in vitro translation or baculovirus expression (44), to the binding reactions. Despite a variety of experimental conditions tested, no changes were detected in the binding of any of the complexes in the presence of EBNA-3C. However, we were also unable to detect any changes in complexes in the presence of EBNA-2 included as a control. Although EBNA-2 has been demonstrated to bind Spi-1 (22) and the Spi-1 binding site is critical for EBNA-2 responsiveness of the LMP-1 promoter (22, 25, 48), a protein complex containing both EBNA-2 and Spi-1 has not been demonstrated by EMSA analysis. Furthermore, it has been difficult to demonstrate EBNA-2 targeting through the association with Jκ. Thus, even though no complex formation was detected in the presence of EBNA-3C by EMSA, it remains possible that the effects of EBNA-3C are mediated through direct or indirect interactions with elements coincident with or immediately adjacent to the Spi site.
EBNA-3C activates a heterologous promoter controlled by multiple copies of the Spi-1/Spi-B binding site. Since the protein-DNA complexes generated with the LMP-1 promoter required the Spi site and EBNA-3C-mediated activation of the LMP-1 promoter occurs only in the presence of EBNA-2 and an intact Spi-1/Spi-B binding site, we questioned whether the Spi binding site and the immediately adjacent sequences were sufficient for transactivation by EBNA-3C and EBNA-2. To answer this question, we generated a reporter gene plasmid controlled solely by multiple copies of an oligonucleotide corresponding to bp $-181$ to $-141$ that contain the Spi-1/Spi-B binding site located between bp $-169$ and $-158$. Interestingly, although EBNA-2 requires the Spi-1/Spi-B binding site for transactivation of the LMP-1 promoter and binds to Spi-1 in
vitro, EBNA-2 alone did not activate transcription through the Spi-1 site in this context (Fig. 7), even in the presence of 10 μg of the EBNA-2 expression vector, reinforcing our conclusion that EBNA-3C does not function simply by increasing the levels of EBNA-2. Similarly, EBNA-3C alone did not activate this promoter. However, coexpression of both EBNA-3C and EBNA-2 resulted in activation of reporter gene expression (Fig. 7). The observation that EBNA-2 did not activate transcription of this construct in the absence of EBNA-3C suggests the possibility that EBNA-3C may specifically affect transcription mediated through Spi family members and/or their associated proteins.

Transcriptionally active EBNA-2 is required for EBNA-3C-mediated activation. One possible mechanism suggested by our findings is that EBNA-3C participates in a protein-DNA complex that is stabilized in the presence of EBNA-2. We reasoned that if this were true an EBNA-2 protein deleted for the activation domain might be able to participate in the formation of the complex and that the glutamine-proline-rich transactivation domain of EBNA-3C might be sufficient to activate transcription. To test this, we used a mutant EBNA-2 protein from which the transactivation domain had been deleted (9). Although EBNA-3C strongly activated the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of wild-type EBNA-2, no activation was detected in the presence of this mutant EBNA-2 protein (Fig. 8). Similarly, EBNA-3C did not activate the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of the EBNA-2 activation domain alone, furnished as a fusion protein of the GAL4 DNA-binding domain and the EBNA-2 transactivation domain. Thus, neither the EBNA-2 domain that interacts with Spi-1 nor the transactivation domain alone is sufficient to participate in EBNA-3C-mediated activation.

A second possible mechanism that would require the activation domain of EBNA-2 is that EBNA-3C functions as an adapter between EBNA-2 and the transcription machinery. To test this hypothesis, we examined the ability of a protein containing the EBNA-2 transactivation domain and the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (8) to activate a GAL4-responsive reporter in the presence and absence of EBNA-3C. As illustrated in Fig. 9, EBNA-3C had no effect on the ability of the GAL4 EBNA-2 fusion protein to activate expression from a GAL4-responsive promoter. This data suggests that EBNA-3C does not simply function as an adapter between the EBNA-2 activation domain and the transcription machinery.

EBNA-3C interacts with Spi-1 and Spi-B in vitro. Since a 40-bp oligonucleotide encompassing the Spi binding site functions as an EBNA-3C response element (Fig. 7) and the basic regions of several bZIP family transcription factors interact...
with ets family members, including Spi-1 (4, 47), it is possible that a similar direct physical interaction might occur between EBNA-3C and the Spi transcription factors. To examine this possibility, Spi-1 generated by in vitro translation was incubated with a series of GST–EBNA-3C fusion proteins. Spi-1 did not bind to GST or GST fused to the C terminus of EBNA-3C (amino acids 751 to 952). However, Spi-1 did associate with a domain of EBNA-3C (amino acids 181 to 365) encompassing the potential bZIP motif (amino acids 255 to 290) fused to GST (Fig. 10A). Identical results were obtained with Spi-B (Fig. 10B). Furthermore, the association between Spi-B and the bZIP motif of EBNA-3C appeared equivalent to that obtained with GST–EBNA-2.

Other leucine zipper proteins that have been reported to bind to ets proteins interact specifically with the ets domain. To identify the domain of Spi-1 that is involved in interaction with EBNA-3C, various GST–Spi fusion proteins were generated. Full-length Spi-1 (as well as the ets domain of both Spi-1 and Spi-B) bound to EBNA-3C, whereas no binding by the amino-terminal activation domain of Spi-1 was observed (Fig. 11). These data suggest a possible model whereby EBNA-3C is targeted to DNA through an interaction between its leucine zipper domain and the ets domain of the Spi proteins.

FIG. 10. EBNA-3C interacts with Spi-1 and Spi-B in vitro. A fragment of the EBNA-3C cDNA encoding the Jk binding domain and the bZIP motif (GST-3CbZIP, containing amino acids 181 to 365 of EBNA-3C), a C-terminal domain of EBNA-3C (GST-3C715-992), or the C-terminal two-thirds of EBNA-2, between amino acids 117 and 484 (GST-EBNA2), were used to generate GST fusion proteins. These fusion proteins bound to glutathione beads were incubated with 35S-labeled Spi-1 (A) or Spi-B (B) generated by in vitro translation. Proteins bound to the fusion proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by autoradiography.

FIG. 11. EBNA-3C binds to the ets domain of Spi-1 and Spi-B. GST fusion proteins that contained full-length Spi-1 (GST-Spi-1), the amino-terminal activation domain [GST-Spi-1 N (1-90)], or the C-terminal ets domain of either Spi-1 [GST-Spi-1 ets (90-264)] or Spi-B [GST-Spi-B ets (121-262)] were generated. These proteins were incubated with 35S-labeled EBNA-3C generated by in vitro translation.
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DISCUSSION

Genetic experiments have demonstrated that both EBNA-3A and EBNA-3C are essential for EBV-mediated immortalization of B lymphocytes (52), suggesting that each protein plays a unique role in this process. Here, we have shown that a unique function of EBNA-3C is its ability to activate expression from the LMP-1 promoter in the presence of EBNA-2. Since all three EBNA-3 proteins bind Jk, one would predict that the ability of EBNA-3C to activate the LMP-1 promoter is distinct from its interaction with Jk. Indeed, we have demonstrated that a mutant EBNA-3C protein incapable of binding to Jk is able to activate expression from the LMP-1 promoter and that a 41-bp LMP-1 promoter fragment, containing a Spi-1/Spi-B but not a Jk site, was sufficient for EBNA-3C-mediated activation.

The Spi binding site in the LMP-1 promoter is also essential for EBNA-2-mediated activation of this promoter (22, 24, 48), and EBNA-2, like EBNA-3C, binds to Spi-1 in vitro (22). Since EBNA-2 has no demonstrable DNA-binding capability itself, it has been postulated that EBNA-2 is targeted to DNA through its interaction with Spi-1 (22), though a protein-DNA complex containing EBNA-2 and Spi-1 has yet to be demonstrated. Since ets proteins typically bind to DNA as heterodimers (3, 4, 6, 14, 47, 51), it is possible that other cellular proteins participate in this complex. For example, the murine homologue of Spi-1, PU.1, recruits IRF4 (also known as Pip or Lsirf) to the Ig κ and λ 3′ enhancers to facilitate the formation of a transcriptionally active complex that also includes Ap-1 (12, 40). However, despite its striking sequence homology to the Spi/
IRF4 binding sites in the Ig κ enhancer, the Spi site in the LMP-1 promoter did not support the assembly of a similar complex between Spi proteins and IRF4. Instead, our data demonstrate that the ets domains of both Spi-1 and Spi-B proteins interact with a region of EBNA-3C containing a likely bZIP domain. Although we have thus far been unable to detect a protein-DNA complex containing EBNA-3C and Spi proteins by EMSA, there is ample precedent for interactions between a bZIP domain and an ets domain mediating the formation of a protein-DNA complex (4, 47). Thus, although EBNA-3C has no known DNA-binding capability, by providing a strong activation domain, it may provide a function analogous to that of IRF4 in its interaction with Spi-1. The simplest model that incorporates both the previous findings with EBNA-2 and the data presented here, therefore, is that EBNA-2, EBNA-3C, and Spi proteins are each needed to form a transcriptionally active complex on DNA.

The targeting of a Spi protein by EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C is reminiscent of the interaction of both EBV proteins with Jk. Clearly then, one function of the EBNA-3 proteins is to regulate the activity of EBNA-2. EBNA-3C is thus far unique among the EBNA-3 proteins in that it both positively and negatively regulates EBNA-2 activity on the same promoter, albeit through distinct DNA elements. Although the significance of this is not yet clear, certainly levels of LMP-1 must be tightly regulated because, while essential for immortalization, high levels of LMP-1 lead to cytostasis (15, 31). Thus, one possibility is that EBNA-3C may mediate each of these effects at a distinct point in the cell cycle in order to fine-tune levels of LMP-1. In support of such a hypothesis, interaction of Spi-1 with at least one cellular protein is regulated by phosphorylation (39); we have previously demonstrated that EBNA-3C itself is a phosphoprotein, though it is not known whether this contributes to any regulatory role it might play (44). What advantage might be provided by the interaction of both EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C with Spi proteins? One possibility is that, individually, EBNA-3C and EBNA-2 are only weakly tethered to the LMP-1 promoter in conjunction with Spi-1 or Spi-B but that a more stable complex is formed in the presence of both viral proteins. Indeed, neither EBNA-2 nor EBNA-3C alone is able to activate transcription through the 41-bp promoter fragment containing the Spi binding site. Transcription initiation likely requires the acidic domain of EBNA-2 that binds to TFIIB, TAF40, and TFIIF (53, 55). Since the EBNA-2 acidic domain does not bind to TBP, whereas glutamine-proline-rich transcription factors in other transcription factors have been shown to bind to TBP, the glutamine-proline-rich activation domain of EBNA-3C may therefore be required to facilitate the formation of an active transcriptional complex. Previous results have indicated that EBNA-2 is unable to activate expression of the LMP-1 promoter through Spi-1 alone, and the data suggested that an unidentified factor, termed LMP-1 binding factor 7 (LBF7), that binds to bp −215 to −205 of the LMP-1 promoter may also be required to mediate EBNA-2 responsiveness (22). Although we also found that EBNA-2 cannot activate expression through Spi binding sites alone, the addition of EBNA-3C is sufficient to restore EBNA-2 responsiveness, demonstrating that a factor binding to bp −215 to −205 is not absolutely required, though it may contribute to maximal promoter activity. Interestingly, the mutation generated in the upstream IRE-like sequence overlaps the binding site for a second factor, LBF4 (22). Mutation of the LBF4 binding site has no effect on the activation of LMP-1 by EBNA-2 (22). Our finding that a similar mutation has no effect on the ability of EBNA-3C to activate the LMP-1 promoter suggests that LBF4 does not play a role in EBNA-3C-mediated activation.

Although the detailed mechanism(s) through which activation of LMP-1 expression occurs is not yet known, EBNA-3C can clearly activate gene expression, at least of LMP-1, via a cooperative mechanism involving both viral (EBNA-2) and cellular (Spi-1/Spi-B) transcription factors. Spi-1 and Spi-B, which have more divergent ets domains than other ets family members (34, 41), play important roles in the development and differentiation of B lymphocytes (33, 49), and regulate the expression of a variety of genes critical for the functions of hematopoietic-lineage cells (5). Clearly, EBNA-3C is likely to affect cellular genes that contribute to the immortalization of B lymphocytes through its interaction with Spi proteins. Future experiments, therefore, will explore the mechanism(s) through which EBNA-3C regulates transcription and attempt to identify those cellular genes regulated by EBNA-3C to clarify its role(s) in EBV-mediated immortalization and viral latency.
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