The Epstein-Barr Virus Protein BRLF1 Activates S Phase Entry through E2F1 Induction
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The Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) immediate-early protein BRLF1 is one of two transactivators which mediate the switch from latent to lytic replication in EBV-infected cells. DNA viruses often modulate the function of critical cell cycle proteins to maximize the efficiency of virus replication. Here we have examined the effect of BRLF1 on cell cycle progression. A replication-deficient adenovirus expressing BRLF1 (AdBRLF1) was used to infect normal human fibroblasts and various epithelial cell lines. BRLF1 expression induced S phase entry in contact-inhibited fibroblasts and in the human osteosarcoma cell line U-2 OS. AdBRLF1 infection produced a dramatic increase in the level of E2F1 but not E2F4. In contrast, the levels of Rb, p107, and p130 were decreased in AdBRLF1-infected cells. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays confirmed an increased level of free E2F1 in the AdBRLF1-infected human fibroblasts. Consistent with the previously described effect of E2F1, AdBRLF1-infected fibroblasts had increased levels of p53 and p21 and died by apoptosis. BRLF1-induced activation of E2F1 may be required for efficient EBV lytic replication, since at least one critical viral replication gene (the viral DNA polymerase) is activated by E2F (C. Liu, N. D. Sista, and J. S. Pagano, J. Virol. 70:2545–2555, 1996).
supplemented with 10% FBS. B95-8, an EBV-infected marmoset B-cell line, was maintained in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS. All media contained penicillin (100 U/mI) and streptomycin (100 μg/mL). The cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. To induce lytic EBV infection, TPA (12-\text{tetradecanoylphorbol}-13-acetate; 30 ng/mL) and 30 μM \text{BRLF1} and \text{AdLacZ} adenovirus stocks were generated in 293 cells. The control adenovirus, \text{AdLacZ}, is identical to \text{AdBRLF1.} The recombinant adenovirus was produced by cre-lox-mediated recombination of the 2,188-bp DraI-XbaI fragment from pEBV-RIE (31), containing the BRLF1 gene, into the adenosine shuttle vector pDNAx (24). The \text{AdBRLF1} recombinant adenovirus was produced by cre-lox-mediated recombination of the pAdLex-BRLF1 vector with a replication-deficient, E1- and E3-deleted type 5 adenovirus. \text{BRLF1} gene expression is driven by the CMV immediate-early promoter in \text{AdBRLF1.} The control adenovirus, \text{AdLacZ}, is identical to \text{AdBRLF1}, except that it contains the bacterial β-galactosidase gene rather than BRLF1. \text{AdBRLF1} and \text{AdLacZ} adenovirus stocks were generated in 293 cells and prepared by the Gene Therapy Core Facility, University of North Carolina.

\textbf{Adenovirus infections.} Normal human fibroblasts (NHF) (10^7) were plated on 60-mm plates and U-2 OS, Saos-2, HeLa, and 293 cells (10^6) were plated on 60-mm plates and 293 cells in 10% FBS prior to electroporation. Protein extracts were harvested and prepared by the method of Hirt (29). Extracts were treated with DNase-free proteinase K prior to extraction, ethanol precipitation, and suspension (0, 24, and 48 h) by the method of Hirt (29). Extracts were treated with DNase-free proteinase K prior to extraction, ethanol precipitation, and suspension (0, 24, and 48 h).

\textbf{Transfections.} Plasmid DNA was purified with the Qiagen Maxi kit as specified by the manufacturer. DNA was transfected by electroporation with 5 μg of DNA and 10^7 cells per condition. The cells were shocked at 1,500 V with a Zapper electroporation unit (Medical Electronics Shop, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.). Epithelial cells were harvested and suspended in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS prior to electroporation. Protein extracts were harvested 48 h posttransfection.

\textbf{Cell cycle analysis.} Cells were harvested 24 h postinfection with \text{BRLF1}, centrifuged to remove cell debris, and DNA was precipitated with 2 volumes of cold 95% ethanol overnight at −20°C. The DNA was pelleted, washed with 70% ethanol, suspended in 1× TE, and analyzed on a 1.25% agarose gel. Lastly, apoptosis was monitored by immunostaining for poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) cleavage (41) as described below.

\textbf{Immunoblotting.} Cells were harvested 24 to 48 h postinfection and washed with PBS, and nuclear extracts were obtained as previously described (10). Proteins were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membranes were washed with blotting buffer (1× PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 1% milk) and incubated at RT for 60 min with primary antibody in blotting buffer. Primary antibodies included anti-BMRF1 (1:1,000; New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), anti-E2F4 (1:1,000; C-10, sc-512X [Santa Cruz]), anti-Rb (1:250; 14001A G3-245 [Promingen]), anti-p107 (1:1,000; C-18, sc-318X [Santa Cruz]), anti-p53 (1:200; DO-1 sc-126 [Santa Cruz]), anti-p21 (1:500; C-19 sc-397 [Santa Cruz]), and anti-PARP (1:100; A-20 sc-1652 [Santa Cruz]). The membranes were washed in blotting buffer and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody at RT for 60 min. The membranes were washed in blotting buffer, and proteins were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Amer sham). Viral DNA replication assay. Viral DNA was isolated at various times postinfection (0, 24, and 48 h) by the method of Hirt (29). Extracts were treated with DNase-free proteinase K prior to extraction, ethanol precipitation, and suspens ion in 1× TE. Viral DNA was digested with CfoI and electrophoresed on a 0.8% agarose-Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) gel. The DNA was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by the method of Southern (57). The immobilized DNA was detected with a 32P-labeled pAdIX DNA probe and visualized by autoradiography.

\textbf{ Electrophoretic mobility shift assay.} Nuclear extracts (12 μg) were incubated at RT for 30 min in a 20-μl reaction volume in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9)-20% glycerol-5 μM dithiothreitol–0.5 mM dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor, and 10 μM of BSA and 2 μg of denatured salmon sperm DNA. When applicable, competing antibodies (1 μl of E2F2 [Santa Cruz] or DNA (2 pmol of cyclic AMP-responsive element binding protein [CREB]; Promega) or E2F [a 24-bp oligonucleotide containing the E2F binding site from the dihydrofolate reductase promoter; 5’ CCACAATTTCGGCGCAATTCTGAC 3’] was added to the nuclear extracts prior to the 20-min incubation. A radiolabeled E2 oligonucleotide probe (2 × 10^6 cpm) spanning nucleotides 31 to 74 of the adenovirus E2 promoter region was added to each sample, and the mixtures were incubated at RT for 30 min. A 2-μl volume of 1.5× loading dye (glycerol; 1× TE [1:1]) was added to each sample, and the mixtures were electrophoresed on a 4.5% polyacrylamide-0.11% bisacrylamide gel in 0.5× TBE at 4°C. The gel was dried, and complexes were visualized by autoradiography.

\textbf{RESULTS}
FIG. 1. The AdBRLF1 recombinant adenovirus efficiently infects cells. (A) Schematic representation of the recombinant adenovirus expressing BRLF1 (AdBRLF1). AdBRLF1 was produced by cre-loxP-mediated recombination of the pAdLox-BRLF1 shuttle vector with a replication-deficient, E1- and E3-deleted type 5 adenovirus (24). ITR, inverted terminal repeat; ψ, packaging signal. (B) NPC-KT cells (with a latent EBV infection) were mock, AdLacZ, or AdBRLF1 infected at an MOI of 50 and immunoblotted 24 h after infection by using a monoclonal antibody directed against the early lytic EBV protein BMRF1. (C) U-2 OS cells were infected with AdLacZ (left) or AdBRLF1 (middle) (MOI, 50) and analyzed for BRLF1 expression by immunofluorescence staining and FACS analysis 24 h after infection. The right panel represents an overlay of the AdLacZ and AdBRLF1 histograms; cells within the R1 bracket were considered positive for BRLF1 expression. (D) NHF, either AdLacZ or AdBRLF1 infected (MOI, 500), were analyzed for BRLF1 expression 24 h postinfection. In a parallel experiment, B95-8 cells, in the presence (+) or absence (−) of the inducing agent, TPA, were also examined for BRLF1 expression. The R1 bracket indicates cells which were considered positive for BRLF1 expression.
BRLF1 induces an increase in E2F1. Entry into S phase is controlled, in part, by the level of transcriptionally active E2F (34, 39, 65). Therefore, the effect of BRLF1 expression on two different E2F family members was examined (Fig. 3A). Infection with the AdBRLF1 vector dramatically increased the level of E2F1 in NHF, HeLa and U-2 OS cells. However, consistent with the cell cycle results, BRLF1 expression did not increase E2F2 level in Saos-2 cells. In contrast to its effect on E2F1, BRLF1 did not increase the level of E2F4 in any cell type (Fig. 3A).

To determine whether the BRLF1-induced increase in E2F1 occurs only within the context of the adenovirus system, HeLa cells were transfected with a BRLF1 expression plasmid, pRTS 15, or a control vector and assayed for E2F1 expression (Fig. 3B). A small increase in the E2F1 level was evident in the BRLF1-transfected cells compared to the control vector (Fig. 3B, lanes 1 and 2). Although the increase in the E2F1 level was much less dramatic in the BRLF1-transfected cells (lanes 1 and 2) than that seen in AdBRLF1-infected cells (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 4), the level of BRLF1 expression by the transfected plasmid was much less than that by the AdBRLF1 vector (Fig. 3B, lanes 4 and 5). These results indicate that BRLF1 is capable of inducing E2F1 in both transfection and recombinant adenovirus environments.

BRLF1 reduces the level of Rb, p107, and p130. Since BRLF1 has previously been shown to interact directly with Rb (68), we also examined the effect of BRLF1 expression on the level of Rb, p107, and p130. Interaction between a number of viral proteins (including BK virus and SV40 T antigens and papillomavirus E7) and Rb family members has been shown to diminish the overall protein levels of Rb family members (25, 35, 58, 59). As shown in Fig. 4, AdBRLF1-infected NHF and U-2 OS cells had a decreased level of Rb, p107, and p130 in comparison to AdLacZ-infected cells. Thus, the ability of BRLF1 to induce S phase progression may reflect not only increased levels of E2F1 but also decreased levels of Rb family members.

AdBRLF1 induces increased levels of free E2F. S phase progression is mediated by free E2F (not bound to Rb family members) (34, 39, 65). An electrophoretic mobility shift assay was performed to compare the E2F DNA binding activity of NHF which were either mock infected, AdLacZ infected, or AdBRLF1 infected (Fig. 5, lanes 1 to 3). Addition of an E2F DNA competitor demonstrated that the majority of the DNA complexes contain E2F (lanes 5 and 8). Preliminary experiments involving deoxycholate treatment and a variety of antibodies directed against Rb, p107, p130, E2F1, and E2F4 were performed to identify the positions of free E2F and various

**Table 1. BRLF1 activates S phase progression**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>NHF</th>
<th>U-2 OS</th>
<th>Saos-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expt 1</td>
<td>Expt 2</td>
<td>Expt 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdLacZ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdBRLF1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Percentage of S phase cells as determined by BrdU incorporation. Results are shown for two independent experiments.

expressed BRLF1 in this experiment upon TPA induction, while 78% of the fibroblasts expressed BRLF1, the level of BRLF1 expression per cell in B95-8 cells was similar to or slightly higher than the level observed in AdBRLF1-infected fibroblasts. The mean fluorescence units of the BRLF1-expressing population in induced B95-8 cells and AdBRLF1-infected fibroblasts were 587 and 143, respectively (Fig. 1D). These experiments indicate that the level of BRLF1 expression induced by AdBRLF1 infection is biologically relevant and that the efficiency of AdBRLF1 infection in the above cell lines is sufficient to examine the effect of BRLF1 on cell cycle progression.

AdBRLF1 induces cells to enter S phase. To determine the effect of BRLF1 on cell cycle progression, cells were either mock infected or infected with the control AdLacZ virus or the AdBRLF1 virus and were labeled with BrdU for 60 min or 1 day after infection to determine the percentage of cells in S phase. BrdU incorporation was quantitated by using a BrdU-specific antibody in FACS analysis. As shown in two independent experiments (Table 1), AdBRLF1-infected confluent U-2 OS and NHF cultures had many more cells in S phase than did the mock-infected or AdLacZ-infected cultures. In confluent U-2 OS cultures, 70 to 75% of AdBRLF1-infected cells were in S phase, versus only 32 to 45% of cells infected with the control adenovirus vector. More strikingly, AdBRLF1 was able to overcome the G2 checkpoint imposed by contact inhibition in the NHF, with the percentage of cells in S phase increasing from 7 to 32% following BRLF1 expression; similar results were obtained in a second independent experiment (Table 1). In contrast to the results found with U-2 OS osteosarcoma cells (which have wild-type p53 and Rb), no increase in the percentage of cells in S phase was evident after AdBRLF1 expression in the Saos-2 osteosarcoma cell line (which has no Rb or p53). These results indicate that BRLF1 is able to induce S phase entry, possibly in a p53- and/or Rb-dependent manner.

To further examine the effect of BRLF1 expression on the cell cycle, AdBRLF1-infected cells were stained with propidium iodide after BrdU labeling and analyzed by FACS. Cell cycle profiles of mock-infected, AdLacZ-infected, and AdBRLF1-infected cells 24 h postinfection are shown in Fig. 2A and B. The DNA content is represented on the x axis, and BrdU fluorescence is represented on the y axis. Cells which are entering or in S phase incorporate BrdU and are represented in the box labeled S. As suggested by the previous experiments, BRLF1 expression in NHF (Fig. 2A) and U-2 OS cells (Fig. 2B) increased the number of cells in S phase. Interestingly, contact-inhibited BRLF1-expressing NHF which had become detached from the plate (Fig. 2C, AdBRLF1 nonadherent) exhibited an even greater percentage of cells in S phase. In contrast, BRLF1 did not increase S phase progression in Saos-2 cells (data not shown).

Although BRLF1 increased the total number of diploid fibroblasts in early S phase, the number of diploid fibroblasts in mid-S phase did not increase, even after 48 h, suggesting that BRLF1 may induce a block in S phase progression (Fig. 2A and B). To confirm that the increase in the number of S phase cells evident in BRLF1-expressing cells was due to cellular DNA replication and not adenovirus DNA replication, we performed a viral DNA replication assay with NHF and 293 cells (Fig. 2D). As expected, in the presence of adenovirus E1A protein, adenovirus DNA replication occurred for both AdLacZ and AdBRLF1 in 293 cells (Fig. 2D, lanes 8 to 12). However, essentially no viral DNA replication was evident in AdLacZ- or AdBRLF1-infected NHF (lanes 1 to 7). Furthermore, BRLF1-infected NHF treated with hydroxyurea, an inhibitor of semiconservative DNA replication but not of DNA repair synthesis (5), exhibited diminished BrdU incorporation per cell (data not shown). These results support the conclusion that the increase in the number of S phase cells evident for BRLF1-infected NHF is due to cellular DNA replication.
FIG. 2. Cell cycle analysis of AdBRLF1-infected cells. (A) NHF were mock, AdLacZ, or AdBRLF1 infected. Prior to harvest, the cells were labeled for 1 h with BrdU, stained with propidium iodide and an anti-BrdU antibody, and then subjected to FACS analysis. The DNA content (propidium iodide staining) is represented on the x axis, and BrdU fluorescence is represented on the y axis. An AdLacZ-infected control, not labeled with BrdU, was included to determine the background.
E2F complexes (data not shown). Mock-infected or AdLacZ-infected NHF had predominantly E2F4-composed complexes (data not shown). In AdBRLF1-infected cells, there was an increase in the level of free E2F, and this increase was due to E2F1 (Fig. 5, lanes 3 and 9). Although it was not always possible to differentiate between Rb-E2F and p107-E2F complexes, in most experiments there was a decrease in the level of the mixed Rb-E2F and p107-E2F complexes. These results indicate that BRLF1 increases the level of free E2F1 in cells, consistent with its ability to increase the total level of E2F1 while decreasing the level of Rb.

AdBRLF1 induces apoptosis. In spite of the ability of BRLF1 to induce S phase progression, AdBRLF1-infected cells died within 3 days after infection (data not shown). Propidium iodide staining of AdBRLF1-infected cells showed a large number of cells containing less than 1.3 DNA, suggesting that BRLF1-induced cell death is due to apoptosis (data not shown; such cells are excluded from the cell cycle analysis in Fig. 2). Hoechst staining of BRLF1-infected NHF, HeLa and U-2 OS cells revealed more apoptotic bodies (Fig. 6A) than in AdLacZ-infected cells (data not shown). To further study BRLF1-induced apoptosis, we analyzed DNA fragmentation in NHF released into the supernatant at various times post-infection. This method was previously used to demonstrate that E2F-1 expression induces apoptosis in rat fibroblasts (39). As
shown in Fig. 6B, AdBRLF1-infected NHF had fragmented DNA similar to that produced by the apoptotic drug staurosporine in these cells (lanes 9 to 11). AdBRLF1 expression also induced apoptosis in HeLa cells as evidenced by DNA fragmentation and laddering (Fig. 6B) and in U-2 OS cells as evidenced by PARP cleavage (Fig. 6C). These results indicate that BRLF1 induces apoptosis in at least some cell types.

E2F1 induces apoptosis by activating p53 (39). Similarly, BRLF1 (by inducing E2F1) might be expected to increase the level of p53. As shown in Fig. 6D, this is indeed the case. The p53 induced by BRLF1 appears to be functional, since BRLF1 expression is also associated with increased p21 (which is transcriptionally activated by p53) (12). The findings that BRLF1 induces p53 and that apoptosis is reduced in p53-deficient Saos-2 cells, suggests that BRLF1-induced apoptosis is at least partially mediated through p53.

**DISCUSSION**

BRLF1 plays a critical role in EBV regulation, since its expression is sufficient to induce the switch from latent to lytic...
infection (51, 69). As is the case for other critical viral regulatory proteins, such as SV40 T antigen and adenovirus E1A, BRLF1 may also regulate the cell through multiple different mechanisms, thereby creating the most hospitable environment for lytic viral replication. Here we demonstrate that BRLF1 dramatically increases the level of cellular E2F1 while simultaneously decreasing the expression of Rb family members. The induction of E2F1 is accompanied by S phase entry and subsequent apoptosis. Thus, in addition to its ability to activate early EBV genes through a direct DNA binding mechanism, BRLF1 profoundly affects the host cell environment.

Although BRLF1 has been recently shown to interact directly with Rb (68), the functional consequences of this interaction have not been well studied. Our results show an overall reduction in the level of Rb family members in BRLF1-expressing cells. Although it has been suggested that the Rb-BRLF1 interaction may act to disrupt the Rb-E2F1 complex (68), a major consequence of the BRLF1-Rb interaction may instead be to reduce the stability of Rb family members. Similar results have recently been reported with other viral proteins (including SV40 T antigen, BK virus T antigen, and papillomavirus E7) which interact directly with Rb (25, 35, 58, 59).

Somewhat surprisingly, the most dramatic effect of BRLF1 expression is the induction of E2F1. In fact, the BRLF1-induced activation of S phase entry may be due primarily to E2F1 induction rather than to inactivation of Rb. As previously observed with E2F1 expression (39), BRLF1 is likewise striking in its ability to override signals of quiescence in NHF. Like E2F1, BRLF1 also induces massive apoptosis (39). Consistent with the ability of BRLF1 to increase the level of total cellular E2F1, we also observed an increase in the level of free E2F1 binding activity. Thus, activation of E2F1 (perhaps in conjunction with the loss of Rb activity) is probably responsible for much of the phenotype observed in AdBRLF1-infected cells. Interestingly, the CMV IE protein IE-72 was also recently shown to activate E2F1 function, in this case through direct phosphorylation (46, 48), and herpes simplex virus infection results in increased levels of free E2F as well as of E2F-p107 complexes (28). Thus, modulation of E2F function by IE proteins may be a requirement for efficient lytic replication of herpesviruses.

Lytic EBV infection occurs in postmitotic, differentiated epithelial cells (52), which presumably have little if any free E2F. However, it has already been shown that an E2F site is essential for BRLF1 activation of the EBV DNA polymerase (44), suggesting that free cellular E2F is required for replication of viral DNA. Consistent with this model, the level of E2F1 is increased during induction of lytic EBV infection in Akata cells (68). In addition to activating the EBV polymerase, E2F1 induction would be expected to activate the transcription of many proteins involved in cellular DNA synthesis and cell cycle progression. E2F-induced cellular proteins (which would normally be expressed at the late G1/S interphase) may be re-

FIG. 5. BRLF1 increases free E2F1 levels. NHF were mock, AdLacZ, or AdBRLF1 infected. Nuclear extracts were harvested at 24 h postinfection and analyzed for DNA binding to a labeled probe containing an E2F binding site by the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (lanes 1 to 3). DNA competitors (CREB [lanes 4 and 7] and E2F [lanes 5 and 8]) and competing E2F1 antibody (lanes 6 and 9) were included in the binding-reaction mixture to determine the composition of various DNA binding complexes. The positions of free E2F, E2F complexes, and supershifted E2F1 are indicated. The decrease in the level of the uppermost E2F complex evident in the AdLacZ sample was unusual; these complexes were typically visible for this sample.
FIG. 6. BRLF1 induces apoptosis. (A) NHF, HeLa, and U-2 OS cells were infected with AdBRLF1. The cells were fixed in methanol-acetic acid (3:1) for 2 h, stained with Hoechst stain for 10 min, and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Apoptotic cells are indicated by the arrows. (B) NHF and HeLa cells were mock, AdLacZ, and AdBRLF1 infected. Apoptosis in HeLa cells was measured 2 days after infection, while apoptosis in NHF was examined on days 1, 2, and 3 postinfection by using a DNA ladder assay (39, 54). Staurosporine-treated cells served as the positive control in both assays. DNA size markers (in kilobases) are indicated on the right of the NHF lanes. (C) U-2 OS cells were mock, AdLacZ, or AdBRLF1 infected and analyzed for PARP cleavage by immunoblotting. (D) NHF were mock, AdLacZ, or AdBRLF1 infected, and the levels of p53 and p21 were determined by immunoblotting 24 h postinfection.
quired for efficient lytic EBV replication in differentiated epithelial cells.

Currently, we are not certain how BRLF1 induces E2F1 levels. Although BRLF1 is a transcriptional activator, we have not found that AdBRLF1 infection in NHF increases the level of E2F1 mRNA (60). Therefore, BRLF1 more probably increases the stability of E2F1 protein. Ubiquitination of E2F1 targets it for degradation by the proteosome pathway; since the interaction between Rb and E2F1 protects E2F1 from degradation (1, 30), the decreased level of Rb in AdBRLF1-infected cells might have been expected to lower E2F1 levels. It will be important to determine if BRLF1 can interact directly with E2F1 in support of the latter hypothesis, we did not observe an BRLF1-induced increase in E2F1 level in Saos-2 cells, the only cell line studied which is lacking Rb. We cannot absolutely exclude the possibility that an adenovirus-encoded protein collaborates with BRLF1 to increase E2F1 levels, since the increase was much more dramatic in cells infected with the AdBRLF1 vector than in BRLF1-transfected cells.

Our data suggest that BRLF1 induces apoptosis, most probably through induction of E2F1. The observed increase in the level of p53 (and of the p53-responsive protein, p21) no doubt reflects the cellular response to unscheduled S phase entry. In the context of the intact virus, either BZLF1 (which we have shown interacts directly with and inhibits the function of p53) (70) or BHRF1 (a bcl2 homolog) (26) may act to limit BRLF1-induced apoptosis.

Our results are somewhat paradoxical, since we show that BRLF1 activates S phase entry and yet lytic EBV infection occurs in the presence of agents (such as sodium butyrate) known to inhibit S phase progression (56) and may in fact be less efficient during S phase (62). We can reconcile this paradox by assuming that BRLF1 induction is the primary role of BRLF1 in this process whereas induction of S phase reflects the presence of an additional E2F1 protein(s) which normally counteracts the E2F1-induced S phase entry in EBV-infected cells. Expression of the EBV IE protein BZLF1 (which is expressed simultaneously with BRLF1 during disruption of viral latency) arrests cells in G1/G0 (2). Thus, in the context of the entire virus, BZLF1 may arrest cells in G1/G0 to prevent cellular DNA replication, while BRLF1 may aid viral replication by E2F1 induction. Consistent with this hypothesis, our preliminary results indicate that BZLF1 does not prevent BRLF1-induced E2F1 accumulation (data not shown). It will be important to determine how BZLF1 and BRLF1 interact in cells to create and maintain a cellular environment favorable for lytic EBV replication.
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