Dendritic Cell–T-Cell Interactions Support Coreceptor-Independent Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Transmission in the Human Genital Tract
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Worldwide, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is transmitted predominantly by heterosexual contact. Here, we investigate for the first time, by examining mononuclear cells obtained from cervicovaginal tissue, the mechanisms whereby HIV type 1 (HIV-1) directly targets cells from the human genital tract. In contrast to earlier findings in mucosal models such as human skin, we demonstrate that the majority of T cells and macrophages but none or few dendritic cells (DC) express the HIV-1 coreceptor CCR5 in normal human cervicovaginal mucosa, whereas all three cell types express the coreceptor CXCR4. To understand the role of coreceptor expression on infectivity, mucosal mononuclear cells were infected with various HIV-1 isolates, using either CCR5 or CXCR4. Unstimulated T cells become rapidly, albeit nonproductively, infected with R5- and X4-tropic variants. However, DC and T cells form stable conjugates which permit productive infection by viruses of both coreceptor specificities. These results indicate that HIV-1 can exploit T-cell–DC synergism in the human genital tract to overcome potential coreceptor restrictions on DC and postentry blocks of viral replication in unactivated T cells. Thus, mononuclear cells infiltrating the genital mucosa are permissive for transmission of both R5- and X4-tropic HIV-1 variants, and selection of virus variants does not occur by differential expression of HIV-1 coreceptors on genital mononuclear cells.

As human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection continues to spread globally and predominantly by heterosexual contact, strategies to control the epidemic rely upon understanding the cellular and molecular events that favor infection after sexual exposure. Thus far, the inability to examine the relevant mucosal tissue in sufficient quantity and viability has impeded progress. Two key elements yet to be elucidated are the mechanisms whereby some HIV-1 strains are selected in preference to others and the identification of the cell types in the human genital tract that are the earliest targets for infection.

Virus variants isolated shortly after sexual transmission of HIV-1 are preferentially macrophage-tropic (M-tropic) rather than T-cell line-tropic (T-tropic) and exhibit the non-syncytium-inducing rather than the syncytium-inducing phenotype (27, 33, 42). The mechanism for this selection is unknown, but many believe it can be explained by the selective expression of CCR5, the coreceptor used by M-tropic strains (1, 6, 10–12, 15), rather than other coreceptors on target cells in the genital mucosa (19, 38, 41). The cells first attacked by HIV-1 after exposure to mucous membranes are not known, although once infection is established both macrophages and lymphocytes appear susceptible (21, 22). Moreover, several models implicate conjugates of dendritic cells and T cells as efficient prop-
donors were isolated from Ficoll-Hypaque density centrifugation and washed and counted as above. Peripheral blood DC were obtained from unrelated donors by isolation procedure whereby human vaginal or cervical mononuclear cells selectively emigrate from mucosal explants over a 36-h period. Three subpopulations were distinguished by light microscopy: lymphocytes, larger cells often with dendritic morphology, and conjugates of usually one to four lymphocytes surrounding one larger cell. Five major cell populations were identified by flow cytometry using phenotypic markers (Fig. 1): small cells with low granularity (Fig. 1A) that were >90% CD3+ T lymphocytes (not shown), large cells with higher granularity (Fig. 1A) containing CD3+ CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1B), clusters of CD8+ DC with CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1B), CD14+ macrophages (Fig. 1C), and clusters of CD14+ macrophages with CD3+ T cells (Fig. 1C). Populations expressing CD14+ and CD83+ cells were largely mutually exclusive (Fig. 1D). Moreover, macrophages expressed intermediate levels of HLA-DQ with CD14 (Fig. 1E), whereas DC, and virtually all CD83+ cells, demonstrated high levels of HLA-DQ in the absence of CD14 (Fig. 1E and data not shown). The CD83+ DC contained a subpopulation coexpressing CD1a+ cells, a characteristic typical of Langerhans cells (Fig. 1F). The majority of CD3+ T cells were T-cell receptor αβ+ and CD45RO+ or CD45RA+ and CD103+ (7 integrin characteristic of mucosal intraepithelial lymphocytes (not shown). In 10 samples the mean CD4/CD8+ ratio was 0.76 (range, 0.2 to 2.1). Based upon phenotypic markers, MMC could be sorted with >98% purity into the following distinct single cell populations: HLA-DQhigh CD14+ CD3+ DC (Fig. 1G and H), CD3+ HLA-DQ+ CD4+ T cells (Fig. 1G and I), and CD14+ CD3+ macrophages (not shown).

**HIV-1 coreceptor expression.** To determine whether MMC that are potentially susceptible to HIV-1 infection express the predominant HIV-1 coreceptors, we analyzed by flow cytometry the surface expression of CCR5 (Fig. 2A) and CXCR4 (Fig. 2B) among individual MMC subpopulations. CCR5 was demonstrated in more than 80% of CD3+ T cells in 10 of 11 donors, and in the majority (median, 55%) of the CD14+ macrophages in all 10 donors examined (Fig. 2A). However, CCR5 expression was either absent or expressed in only a minority (<25%) of DC (defined as either CD1a+ or CD83+ cells) in seven of eight donors examined (Fig. 2A). Although we cannot exclude the possibility of in vitro downregulation during the 36-h emigration period, we believe this is unlikely because (i) expression was not downregulated in differentiated DC from peripheral blood in three donors (Fig. 2A), (ii) comparable studies in other mucosal mononuclear cells yielded high CCR5 levels (Fig. 2A), (iii) no significant changes in the percentage of skin Langerhans cells expressing CCR5 were observed after in vitro culture in a recent report (41), and (iv) cervicovaginal DC in situ did not express CCR5 as determined by immunohistologic evaluation.

**RESULTS**

**Phenotype of cervicovaginal mononuclear cells.** Adapting a method used in skin organ cultures (23), we established an isolation procedure whereby human vaginal or cervical mononuclear cells selectively emigrate from mucosal explants over a 36-h period. Three subpopulations were distinguished by light microscopy: lymphocytes, larger cells often with dendritic morphology, and conjugates of usually one to four lymphocytes surrounding one larger cell. Five major cell populations were identified by flow cytometry using phenotypic markers (Fig. 1): small cells with low granularity (Fig. 1A) that were >90% CD3+ T lymphocytes (not shown), large cells with higher granularity (Fig. 1A) containing CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1B), clusters of CD8+ DC with CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1B), CD14+ macrophages (Fig. 1C), and clusters of CD14+ macrophages with CD3+ T cells (Fig. 1C). Populations expressing CD14+ and CD83+ cells were largely mutually exclusive (Fig. 1D). Moreover, macrophages expressed intermediate levels of HLA-DQ with CD14 (Fig. 1E), whereas DC, and virtually all CD83+ cells, demonstrated high levels of HLA-DQ in the absence of CD14 (Fig. 1E and data not shown). The CD83+ DC contained a subpopulation coexpressing CD1a+ cells, a characteristic typical of Langerhans cells (Fig. 1F). The majority of CD3+ T cells were T-cell receptor αβ+ and CD45RO+, and more than 50% also expressed CD103, the αεβ2 integrin characteristic of mucosal intraepithelial lymphocytes (not shown). In 10 samples the mean CD4/CD8+ ratio was 0.76 (range, 0.2 to 2.1). Based upon phenotypic markers, MMC could be sorted with >98% purity into the following distinct single cell populations: HLA-DQhigh CD14+ CD3+ DC (Fig. 1G and H), CD3+ HLA-DQ+ CD4+ T cells (Fig. 1G and I), and CD14+ CD3+ macrophages (not shown).

**HIV-1 coreceptor expression.** To determine whether MMC that are potentially susceptible to HIV-1 infection express the predominant HIV-1 coreceptors, we analyzed by flow cytometry the surface expression of CCR5 (Fig. 2A) and CXCR4 (Fig. 2B) among individual MMC subpopulations. CCR5 was demonstrated in more than 80% of CD3+ T cells in 10 of 11 donors, and in the majority (median, 55%) of the CD14+ macrophages in all 10 donors examined (Fig. 2A). However, CCR5 expression was either absent or expressed in only a minority (<25%) of DC (defined as either CD1a+ or CD83+ cells) in seven of eight donors examined (Fig. 2A). Although we cannot exclude the possibility of in vitro downregulation during the 36-h emigration period, we believe this is unlikely because (i) expression was not downregulated in differentiated DC from peripheral blood in three donors (Fig. 2A), (ii) comparable studies in other mucosal mononuclear cells yielded high CCR5 levels (Fig. 2A), (iii) no significant changes in the percentage of skin Langerhans cells expressing CCR5 were observed after in vitro culture in a recent report (41), and (iv) cervicovaginal DC in situ did not express CCR5 as determined by immunohistologic evaluation.
With the same purified mucosal cell populations, more than 85% of the mucosal CD3⁺ T cells exhibited strong CXCR4 staining (Fig. 2B). These findings were evident in both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in the five donors examined. The majority (median, 61%) of mucosal CD14⁺ macrophages expressed CXCR4 (Fig. 2B), although in two donors the percentage of CXCR4⁺ cells was lower (16 and 34%). In contrast to the low level of CCR5 expression, CXCR4 was demonstrated in most of the mucosal DC (median, 65%; range, 51 to 80%) in the seven donors examined (Fig. 2B).

High levels of CCR5 expression in the fresh mucosal T cells were unexpected, particularly since lower levels were previously reported in unstimulated peripheral blood T cells. To address this further, we compared receptor expression on peripheral blood T cells immediately after isolation and after 36 h of culture (comparable to the time period necessary for the MMC to emigrate from the organ cultures) in five of the mucosal donors. CCR5 was expressed on 30% (median; range, 21 to 55%) of peripheral blood CD3⁺ T cells and decreased to 15% (median; range, 4 to 21%) of cells after 36 h of culture (Fig. 2A). By contrast, CCR5 expression on mucosal T cells derived from the same individuals was >81% (Fig. 2A). Expression of CXCR4 on peripheral blood CD3⁺ T cells was 58% (median; range 41 to 94%) in four individuals and was upregulated to >99% after 36 h in vitro culture (Fig. 2B). CXCR4 expression on mucosal T cells of the same individuals was >94% (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that the proportion of T cells derived from the female genital mucosa expressing CCR5 is markedly greater than those from peripheral blood and that the observed differences are probably not a consequence of in vitro events.

To determine whether the levels of CCR5 mRNA expression were similar to the surface expression in the MMC, cellular RNA isolated from purified MMC populations was reverse transcribed to cDNA and amplified by PCR with CCR5-specific primers, and the intensities of the ethidium bromide-
stained gel bands were compared with similarly amplified CCR5-transduced Jurkat cells (Fig. 2C and D). As shown in one donor in Fig. 2C, CCR5 mRNA was easily recognized in purified mucosal CD3+ T cells (16,000 copies/10^6 cells) and CD14+ macrophages (~80,000 copies/10^6 cells). However, low levels of CCR5 mRNA (~3,000 copies/10^6 cells) were found in HLA-DQ^high^ CD14+ mucosal DC (Fig. 2C). Similarly, CCR5 mRNA could not be detected in HLA-DQ^high^ CD14+ mucosal DC from a second donor, whereas an equal number of mucosal CD3+ T cells again clearly demonstrated the presence of CCR5 mRNA (not shown). Thus, the patterns of CCR5 mRNA expression confirmed our studies of CCR5 surface expression. Based on these observations, the high expression of CCR5 detected on mucosal T cells and macrophages in comparison to the low or absent expression on DC suggests that T cells and macrophages may be primary targets for uptake of M-tropic HIV-1 strains in the human female genital tract. Furthermore, expression of CXCR4 on all subpopulations studied suggests that selective exclusion of T-tropic HIV-1 strains during sexual HIV-1 transmission is unlikely to occur at the level of virus-receptor binding to mononuclear cells in the mucosal compartment.

HIV-1 infection of mucosal cells. To test whether CCR5 expression of MMC correlates with infectivity by R5-tropic HIV-1 strains, we pulsed sorted unstimulated vaginal T cells and DC from two donors for 48 h with R5-tropic HIV-1 Ba-L.
and then examined cellular DNA for HIV-1 gag transcripts by quantitative PCR (Fig. 3A). To further investigate whether mucosal T cells become infected with both R5- and X4-tropic strains, T cells from a third donor were infected in duplicates with a panel of five different virus strains, HIV-1Ba-L, two primary R5-tropic mucosal isolates derived from the cervix of two HIV-1 infected women (HIV-1M1 and HIV-1M2), and two X4-tropic strains (HIV-1SF-2 and HIV-1LAI). Whereas vaginal T cells always contained gag transcripts for HIV-1Ba-L, no transcripts were detected in vaginal DC from one donor, and copy numbers were lower than with T cells from another donor. In addition, both the two R5-tropic mucosal and the two X4-tropic HIV-1 isolates infected mucosal T cells in the third donor. These findings suggest that genital DC, having low or absent CCR5 expression, may be less susceptible to R5-tropic HIV-1 strains than mucosal T cells, which display markedly higher frequencies of CCR5 expression. We cannot exclude other cellular factors that DC lack which may also contribute to their nonreplicative nature. However, genital T cells, which express both CCR5 and CXCR4, are susceptible to infection with both R5- and X4-tropic HIV-1.

These data raise the possibility that mucosal DC are more apt to enhance CCR5-dependent virus replication through interactions with T cells than serve as initial targets of infection. To explore this issue, we sorted vaginal MMC into CD3+ T cells and CD3+ HLA-DQ<sup>high</sup> T-cell–DC clusters and inoculated the populations with various HIV-1 isolates. Productive infection, as measured by reverse transcriptase activity and p24 production, was undetectable or at a very low level when T cells alone were infected with either HIV-1Ba-L, or the two R5-tropic primary isolates HIV-1M1 and HIV-1M2 (Fig. 3B and C). In comparison, coculture of mucosal T cells with DC led to substantially higher levels of replication with all three viral strains (Fig. 3B and C). Similar findings were observed in five donors and for the X4-tropic strains HIV-1SF-2 (three donors) and HIV-1LAI (one donor) and are summarized in Fig. 3D.

To identify which cell type in the conjugates produces HIV-1, we challenged vaginal MMC with R5-tropic HIV-1Ba-L for 5 days and then examined the cells by electron microscopy. T-cell–DC conjugates were common among the MMC. The cell membranes of both cell types fused to various extents, and
in some instances complete syncyta of two or three cells were observed (Fig. 4A). However, syncytium formation seemed to occur independently from HIV-1 infection because membrane fusion was also observed in HIV-negative control samples, and classical HIV-induced syncytia larger than three cells were extremely rare (not shown). HIV-1 appeared in vaginal DC in two forms: within intracytoplasmic vesicles in single DC (Fig. 4B) and budding from membranes of mostly conjugated DC (Fig. 4C). Lymphocytes demonstrated virus budding only when morphologically activated (Fig. 4D). These results indi-
DENDRITIC CELL–T-CELL INTERACTIONS IN HIV INFECTION

The efficient and reproducible recovery of mononuclear cells from the human genital mucosa, as reported here for the first time, has enabled us to explore the cellular targets and interactions associated with initial HIV-1 infection and its propagation after heterosexual exposure. Our findings indicate that HIV-1 coreceptor expression on target cells is not sufficient to determine which viral strains are preferentially transmitted. Clearly, the use of mucosal models such as skin has led to selective observations concerning the amplification of HIV-1 infection through DC–T-cell conjugates (3, 16, 23, 24, 30), but these models appear to be misleading in defining HIV-1 coreceptors in the local genital tract. Thus, we argue that advances toward understanding mechanisms to interrupt HIV-1 transmission will emerge foremost from the relevant site of HIV-1 exposure, either the human genitourinary or gastrointestinal tract.

Based on our findings, we propose revision of the widely accepted view from skin and peripheral blood models that coreceptor expression on genital DC is responsible for the preferential transmission of M-tropic HIV-1 strains (2, 14, 19, 38, 41). We demonstrate that the HIV-1 coreceptor expression on cervical or vaginal DC is the opposite of that observed on skin Langerhans cells (41) and that coreceptor expression of PBMC (4, 38) does not predict the expression of the corresponding cells in the genital tract. Thus, the majority of genital DC express CXCR4 but not CCR5; by contrast, the majority of T cells and macrophages express both CXCR4 and CCR5.

Our data suggest that mucosal macrophages and T cells, rather than DC, may be the early targets for R5-tropic HIV-1 entry following sexual exposure. This is underscored by the finding that purified genital DC are less susceptible to infection with R5-tropic HIV-1 strains than are mucosal T cells (Fig. 3A). Since X4-tropic strains are also capable of infecting mucosal T cells and CXCR4 is abundantly expressed on genital T cells, macrophages, and DC, why R5-tropic rather than X4-tropic viruses are preferentially transmitted remains unclear. Thus, while CCR5 and CXCR4 permit cell entry of HIV-1 and can influence later stages of viral replication (5), additional events must be important in the selective amplification of R5-tropic strains. Outgrowth of R5-tropic NSI strains may occur during or after the rapid expansion of HIV-1 as it spreads systemically, a possibility which is supported by the fact that selection of this viral phenotype is not limited to transmission by sexual routes (8, 28, 33). The mechanisms contributing to this may include the induction of a specific immune response (8), the availability of target cells expressing specific HIV-1 coreceptors, and postentry differences in nuclear importation of the viral preintegrated complexes (29). Potentially, both R5 and X4 viruses are equally transmitted but only one dominates as a result of the immune responses of the host. Alternatively, expression of HIV-1 coreceptors on genital epithelial cells as well as release of chemokines locally (9, 39), particularly SDF-1, may influence selection of virus variants prior to and upon contact with mucosal leukocytes.

As predicted from skin and adenoid tissues, the interaction of T cells with DC in the genital tract must be key to the propagation of HIV-1 from the mucosa to the lymph nodes and circulation. Formation of DC–T-cell conjugates overcomes potential HIV-1 coreceptor restriction on DC and postentry block of viral replication, resulting in efficient propagation of both R5- and X4-tropic HIV-1 strains. As such, DC are unlikely to be involved in virus variant selection during sexual transmission. Unexpectedly, we also noted clusters of T cells with resident CD14+ macrophages. Conceivably, macro-
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Block in virus replication. To examine whether the postentry block of virus replication in vaginal T cells occurs during or after reverse transcription (RT), mucosal T cells were infected with HIV-1 and after 24 h late HIV-1 transcripts generated during second-strand synthesis were amplified by nested PCR within the LTR-gag region. The presence of late RT products in mucosal T cells was clearly demonstrated after exposure to the R5-tropic strains HIV-1_M1 and HIV-1_M2 and the X4-tropic strain HIV-1_M2 (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, late RT products in T cells were comparable to products in T-cell–DC conjugates (Fig. 4E). These results confirm the above findings that mucosal T cells, largely expressing early (CD69) but not late (CD38, CD25, and HLA-DR) activation markers (19a) are susceptible to infection with HIV-1 of both coreceptor specificities. Moreover, RT of viral RNA by R5- and X4-tropic strains occurs equally well in unstimulated mucosal T cells and T-cell–DC conjugates, but completion of the virus life cycle requires interaction of mucosal T cells with DC, a finding which is consistent with those of others examining resting T cells from peripheral blood (31, 32, 40). Our experiments do not distinguish whether the DC–T-cell interaction facilitates viral integration or later steps in virus expression and/or maturation.
FIG. 4. HIV-1 infection of MMC. (A to D) Electron photomicrographs of MMC infected with HIV-1. (A) Fused conjugate of two lymphocytes and one DC (magnification, ×3,200). Two nuclei exhibit the darker, more condensed chromatin of lymphocytes (black arrowheads), and one nucleus has the typical veiled shape and less-condensed chromatin of a DC (white arrowhead). The cytoplasm contains many large mitochondria and a well-developed Golgi apparatus, which is typical for DC. Many cytoplasmic processes are also seen. (B) Single DC demonstrating a veiled nucleus with a small nucleolus, large mitochondria, electron-dense lysosome-like granules, and pinocytic vesicles (magnification, ×4,000). One vesicle contains multiple complete HIV-1 virions (inset, bottom right; magnification, ×28,000); however, no budding was seen. (C) Conjugate of one DC with two lymphocytes demonstrating productive HIV-1 infection of the DC (magnification, ×3,200). The DC contains the typical veiled nucleus as well as multiple large mitochondria, and one large cytoplasmic process is formed at the top right (black arrow). Virions and virus budding can be seen along the surface of the DC. The two contact zones with the lymphocytes are further magnified (×32,000), displaying virus budding (small arrows) from the DC but not from the lymphocytes. No virus production was visualized from the morphologically unactivated lymphocytes. (D) HIV-1 infection of an activated lymphocyte (magnification, ×5,600). The cell contains an eccentric round nucleus and some mitochondria and rough endoplasmic reticulum, and virus budding (small arrows) is apparent in the enlarged area (×28,000). (E) Detection of HIV-1 late RT products by nested PCR for the LTR-gag region (23) in sorted CD3$^{+}$ T cells (T) and CD3$^{+}$ HLA-DQ$^{+}$ T-cell-DC clusters (T-DC). At 24 h after incubation with DNase-treated HIV-1M1, HIV-1M2, or HIV-1LM, DNA was isolated from equal numbers (5 × 10$^4$) of cells, and an 896-bp LTR-gag fragment was amplified. Jurkat cells mixed with the DNase-treated HIV-1 were included to test for residual HIV-1 DNA after DNase treatment (J), and serial dilutions of latently infected ACH-2 cells served as positive control. A 242-bp HLA-DQ fragment was also amplified to demonstrate the presence of DNA in all mucosal samples.
phages serve as long-term reservoirs for local HIV-1 production, and this process may be enhanced through interactions with local CD4+ T cells. The efficiency of HIV-1 propagation is additionally influenced by the maturation state of cells within the monocyte-DC lineage (18, 25, 36, 37). Further studies are under way to understand the impact of cellular differentiation within the macrophage-DC lineage on the efficiency of HIV-1 targeting in the genital mucosa, as well as to define the role of DC in helping T cells complete the reverse transcriptase process leading to integration.

Finally, we now have an approach to examine the dynamics of HIV-1 replication in the female genital tract that will provide insight into antigen processing and presentation of HIV-1 epitopes to mucosal T cells, which is likely to shape the repertoire of the immune response after infection. These studies will have obvious implications in the design of future vaccines and may be easily extended to other pathogens causing sexually transmitted diseases of public health importance.
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