Immunization of Pigs with a Particle-Mediated DNA Vaccine to Influenza A Virus Protects against Challenge with Homologous Virus
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Particle-mediated delivery of a DNA expression vector encoding the hemagglutinin (HA) of an H1N1 influenza virus (A/Swine/Indiana/1726/88) to porcine epidermis elicits a humoral immune response and accelerates the clearance of virus in pigs following a homotypic challenge. Mucosal administration of the HA expression plasmid elicits an immune response that is qualitatively different than that elicited by the epidermal vaccination in terms of inhibition of the initial virus infection. In contrast, delivery of a plasmid encoding an influenza virus nucleoprotein from A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) to the epidermis elicits a strong humoral response but no detectable protection in terms of nasal virus shed. The efficacy of the HA DNA vaccine was compared with that of a commercially available inactivated whole-virus vaccine as well as with the level of immunity afforded by previous infection. The HA DNA and inactivated viral vaccines elicited similar protection in that initial infection was not prevented, but subsequent amplification of the infection is limited, resulting in early clearance of the virus. Convalescent animals which recovered from exposure to virulent swine influenza virus were completely resistant to infection when challenged. The porcine influenza A virus system is a relevant preclinical model for humans in terms of both disease and gene transfer to the epidermis and thus provides a basis for advancing the development of DNA-based vaccines.

Influenza A virus is a highly infectious respiratory pathogen of mammals, including humans, and birds (25). Influenza virus causes significant morbidity and mortality in humans and domestic animals, resulting in a substantial global economic burden. The current method for immunization against influenza A virus is a parenterally administered inactivated influenza virus vaccine. Although this mode of immunization is 70 to 90% effective in preventing disease in healthy young adults, it is much less effective in immunocompromised individuals as well as in the elderly. In addition, it may be associated with adverse reactions such as pain, tenderness, myalgia, and rarely, anaphylactic reactions to chicken egg proteins associated with the vaccine as a result of its production in embryonated eggs. Furthermore, antigenic variation in the hemagglutinin (HA) protein of influenza viruses passed in eggs can reduce the efficacy of this vaccine in eliciting the desired protective immune responses (16, 18, 32).

Subunit vaccines could ameliorate the side effects associated with the inactivated whole influenza virus vaccine (17, 37). Recombinant DNA technology has made it possible to prepare viral proteins from either prokaryotic or eukaryotic cells. Subunit vaccines typically produce fewer undesirable side effects but exhibit less protection against influenza A virus infection than the conventional flu vaccine (30). The decreased efficacy of the exogenously produced viral proteins may be due to the route of administration, changes in protein conformation that could result in the loss of protective epitopes, or presentation of only one viral protein when several are needed for complete protection.

DNA-based vaccines, or the intracellular delivery of DNA vectors that induce antigen expression in vivo, may prove to be more efficacious than the recombinant proteins because the expression of an immunizing protein in the host’s cells mimics aspects of natural infection (22). Presentation of the viral antigen in its native form should function as a better immunogen and enhance the immune response. Nucleic acid immunization induces antigen production that is presented to the immune system associated with major histocompatibility complex class I and class II molecules (29). Antigens presented with major histocompatibility complex class I molecules are recognized by CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, which destroy virus-infected cells. CD8⁺ T cells are an integral part of acquired immunity and important in viral clearance (44). DNA vaccines have been successfully used to confer protection against influenza virus in mice, chickens, and ferrets (7, 10, 23, 40); lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, Plasmodium yoelli, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis in mice (2, 13, 20, 35, 39, 43); and bovine herpesvirus 1 in cattle (6).

Particle-mediated gene delivery is a technology whereby DNA-coated gold microparticles are used to transfected various tissues in vivo (33). Accell gene gun technology utilizes a helium jet to accelerate the DNA-coated gold particles into target tissues. The gene gun DNA vaccine strategy targets gene transfer to the epidermis, which is under constant immune surveillance and is the body’s first defense against pathogens. Swine epidermis is morphologically similar to human epidermis and is widely used as a model for human skin (1, 26). Swine are also similar in scale to humans and are therefore relevant for evaluating gene gun technology for human vaccination.

In the present study, we report the effectiveness of a particle-mediated DNA vaccine, which induces the expression of an influenza A virus HA protein in the epidermis, or the mucosal epithelium of the inferior surface of the tongue, of pigs. This
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal source and maintenance. Seven to eight-week-old pigs (10 to 15 kg) seronegative for swine influenza virus by hemagglutination inhibition (HI) (28) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (36) were obtained from a commercial source. The pigs were housed at the University of Wisconsin—Madison biosafety level 2-3 N rooms for vaccinations and then moved to biosafety level 3-N rooms for virus challenge. The animals were maintained in accordance with the guidelines prescribed by the University of Wisconsin Research Animal Resource Center.

Viruses. A Swine/Indiana/1726/88 (H1N1) (Sw/IN) was obtained from the influenza virus repository at the University of Wisconsin School of Veterinary Medicine. The virus was cultured in 10-day-old embryonated hens' eggs and stored at −70°C as previously described (28). Purified Sw/IN was prepared as described elsewhere (36), except that the allantoic fluid was concentrated by the addition of PEG 8000 to 8%; precipitated virus was centrifuged at 8,000 × g prior to purification on 30 to 60% sucrose gradients at 24,000 rpm in an SW28 rotor (Beckman). All manipulations with live virus were conducted under biosafety level 2 or level 3 containment.

Plasmids and DNA preparation. The HA expression plasmid pWRG1638 (Fig. 1) was constructed by ligating the cloned cDNA encoding the HA of Sw/IN into the mammalian expression cassette pWRG7054 (kindly provided by James Fuller, PowderJect Vaccines, Inc.). The cDNA synthesis of the HA gene was done by a one-step PCR method (41). pWRG1638 is a pUC19-based vector and includes the human cytomegalovirus immediate-early enhancer/promoter (CMVie) to drive transcription of the HA coding region. The plasmid also contains the polyanhydrid region from the bovine growth hormone gene (4). An influenza nucleoprotein (NP) expression plasmid, plFU-NP, that encodes the NP of influenza A virus strain PR/8/34 was kindly provided by K. Irvine (National Cancer Institute). All plasmids were propagated in Escherichia coli XLI-Blue MR. Supercoiled plasmid DNA was prepared on Qiagen columns according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Accell cartridge preparation. Plasmid DNA was coated onto 1- to 3-μm gold particles (DeGussa Corp., South Plainfield, N.J.) as described elsewhere (8). The DNA-coated gold particles were loaded into Tefzel tubing as described elsewhere (29), and the tubing was then cut into 1.27-cm lengths to serve as cartridges for the Accell gene transfer device. The helium pulse Accell device has been described in detail (21). In typical vaccination experiments, each cartridge contained 0.5 mg of gold particles coated with 1.25 μg of plasmid DNA.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were transfected with pWRG1638 or a control plasmid by using the electric Accell gene transfer device (5). The CHO cells were grown as monolayers on 22- by 22-mm glass coverslips. For transfection, the medium was aspirated and the cells were treated. After treatment, fresh medium was added to the cells, and the mixtures were incubated at 37°C overnight. The cells were fixed with methanol-acetone (50:50) at −20°C and air dried. The fixed cells were incubated with a panel of monoclonal antibodies specific for the HA protein of Sw/IN—3F2c, 1-b62, 2-15f1, and 7B1b (36)—at room temperature for 60 min, washed, and then incubated with biotinylated goat anti-mouse immunglobulin (Oncogene Sciences Inc.), washed, and incubated with fluorescein-conjugated streptavidin (Oncogene Sciences Inc.). Fluorescently labeled cells were visualized on a Zeiss Photomicroscope III equipped for fluorescence microscopy.

In vivo gene transfer to skin. Pigs were immunized by Accell transfer of pWRG1638 into the epidermis in different anatomical regions including the dorsal surface of the ear, the inguinal region, and the lateral thoracic region. Treatment typically included six target sites. Hair was removed with clippers prior to treatment of the lateral thoracic region, but other regions were treated without prior preparation. In addition to epidermal treatments, four pigs were each immunized six times on the inferior surface of the tongue. Accell treatments were conducted at 500 or 600 lb/in². The gene gun vaccination regimen included a primary immunization followed by booster immunization 4 weeks later.

Parenteral vaccination. Pigs were vaccinated by intramuscular administration (2 ml) of a commercial swine influenza A vaccine (MaxiVac-FLU; Syno Vet, Lenexa, Kans.) as directed by the manufacturer. The MaxiVac-FLU vaccine is an oil-in-water vaccine containing influenza A virus (H1N1). Vaccination consisted of a priming administration followed by a booster injection 4 weeks after priming.

Blood collection. Blood samples from the pigs were collected from the superior vena cava.

ELISAs. ELISA serology was done with 200 hemaggulitation units/well of Sarkosyl-disrupted purified Sw/IN virus diluted in phosphate-buffered saline as described elsewhere (36), with the swine antibodies being measured directly by using a goat anti-swine immunoglobulin G alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Kirkegaard and Perry).

HI assays. HI assays were performed as described elsewhere (28).

Virus Challenge. All pigs were challenged by intranasal instillation of 2 × 10
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or 2 × 10
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egg infective doses (EID
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) of Sw/IN virus. Challenged swine were monitored daily for clinical signs. Nasal swabs were collected from each pig on days 1, 3, 5, and 7, and, virus titers were determined by limiting-dilution assays in embryonated hen's eggs (41). Three days after completion of the challenge, convalescent-phase sera were taken and the animals were euthanized in accordance with guidelines set by the American Veterinary Medical Association (38).

Statistical analysis. One-way analyses of variance were performed on the data for virus shedding at each sampling point. Least significant difference (LSD) values were calculated for pairwise comparison of treatment groups using α = 0.05. LSD values for comparison of treatment groups where n = 4 are indicated in Fig. 3. These LSD values are conservative for comparisons between the treated groups and the negative-control group (n = 12) because the LSD values for the latter comparisons are smaller than the indicated values. Logarithmic transformations of the antibody titers for different treatment groups were compared by Student's t test.

RESULTS

Expression of the chimeric HA gene in CHO cells. Preliminary experiments had shown that particle-mediated transfection of swine epidermis with an influenza virus NP expression plasmid induced the production of NP-specific serum antibodies (38a). These results suggested that a particle-mediated DNA vaccine was feasible with swine. The influenza virus HA protein appeared to be a preferable candidate for a vaccine because HI antibody titers correlate with protection against flu (24).

The HA expression plasmid, pWRG1638, used in this study was constructed to express Sw/IN HA in eukaryotic cells (Fig. 1). pWRG1638 contains the CMVie promoter, enhancer, and intron A for transcription initiation, the full-length HA CDNA, and a segment of the 3' untranslated sequence and polyadenylation signal from the bovine growth hormone gene.

CHO cells were transfected with pWRG1638 to test if the construct would efficiently cause the expression of HA. It was predicted that the expressed HA would be a membrane protein. Therefore, the transfected CHO cells were stained by a panel of monoclonal antibodies to the HA followed by a fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibody. Positive cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. The intense staining of the CHO cells (Fig. 2) indicates that the transfected cells are expressing influenza virus HA. CHO cells transfected with pWRG1630, a control plasmid coding for the mature form of HA, were not immunoreactive (data not shown).

Immune responses in vaccinated pigs. Based on the results from the transfection of CHO cells, a vaccination trial using particle-mediated gene transfer was initiated. The DNA-vaccinated pigs included a group of three pigs vaccinated with the NP expression vector, four pigs vaccinated in the epidermis.
with the HA expression vector pWRG1638, four pigs vaccinated on the inferior surface of the tongue with pWRG1638, and four pigs vaccinated with a control plasmid, pWRG3510, a plant expression vector (encoding β-glucuronidase from E. coli) which is inactive in mammalian cells. In subsequent experiments, four pigs were vaccinated with a commercial swine influenza A vaccine and four pigs were infected with swine influenza virus to determine protection by conventional vaccines and natural infection, respectively. Serum samples were collected prior to vaccination, prior to booster administration, and 1 week after the booster administration. Two weeks after the booster immunization the animals were challenged with virus, the course of infection was monitored for 7 days, and sera were collected 2 weeks after completion of the challenge.

Table 1 illustrates the ELISA antibody and HI titer changes in six cohorts of pigs during vaccination and after viral challenge. Antibody or HI titers could not be detected in any of the DNA-vaccinated cohorts 4 weeks postpriming. ELISA antibody titers, ranging from 1:200 to 1:1,600, were seen in pigs vaccinated in the epidermis with the NP and HA expression vectors 2 weeks after the boost, and HI antibody titers ranging from 1:80 to 1:160 were seen in the groups vaccinated with pWRG1638. The NP-vaccinated animals did not have HI antibody titers, despite high ELISA antibody titers, because the HI assay detects HA-specific antibodies. The group of pigs vaccinated on the inferior surface of the tongue with pWRG1638 showed significantly higher ELISA antibody titers (P = 0.031), ranging from 1:1,600 to 1:12,800, than the pigs vaccinated in the epidermis and lower HI antibody titers, ranging from 1:20 to 1:80. The cohort of pigs vaccinated with inactivated whole virus showed the highest ELISA and HI antibody titers compared to the other groups, while the antibody titers in the natural-infection group were similar to those in the two HA DNA vaccine groups. The control pigs vaccinated with the plant expression vector, pWRG3510, showed no evidence of an influenza virus immune response.

Also of note in Table 1 is the immunological response of the HA-vaccinated animals to viral challenge. The NP-vaccinated cohort and the control cohort show similar postchallenge HI antibody titers, ranging from 1:80 to 1:160. In contrast, the HA DNA-vaccinated cohorts showed HI antibody titers up to 1:5,120 after virus challenge. Even the epidermally vaccinated animal which responded poorly to the prechallenge vaccination in terms of HI antibody titer showed evidence of a hyper-immune response following challenge.

Protection against influenza in pigs immunized with DNA or parenteral vaccine or by natural infection. A strength of the swine influenza system as a vaccine model is that protective immunity can be measured by challenge with live virus. Each animal was inoculated intranasally with 2 × 10^6 EID_{50} of virus. Clinical signs of disease such as lethargy, corzya, and elevated body temperature were monitored and observed during infection but did not provide a reliable measure of disease progression. Nasal virus titers, on the other hand, provided a quantitative indicator of the progress of infection.

Pigs vaccinated with the NP expression vector developed high antibody titers to NP but showed no evidence of protection from viral infection in terms of nasal virus titer (Fig. 3). The pigs vaccinated in the epidermis with the HA expression plasmid became infected but shed lower levels of virus and resolved the infection approximately 2 days earlier than the
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**FIG. 2.** Expression of influenza A virus HA (H1N1) in transiently transfected CHO cells. CHO cells were transfected with pWRG1638, and immunofluorescence microscopy analysis with monoclonal antibodies specific for swine influenza virus HA was performed. Positive cells were visualized on a Zeiss Photomicroscope III equipped for fluorescence microscopy.
commercially available vaccine, according to the manufacturer’s recommended procedures (3). The vaccination schedule involved a priming immunization and one booster immunization comparable to that used with the DNA immunizations.

Table 1 shows that the commercial vaccine gives rise to high serum antibody titers, detectable by ELISA and HI, in all animals after the priming immunization. Following the second immunization, these animals developed end point ELISA titers ranging from 1:4,000 to 1:32,000 and HI antibody titers between 1:80 and 1:5,120. The Maxi-Vac-FLU-vaccinated animals show roughly one- to twofold-higher HI antibody titers following the full prime-and-boost regimen compared to the gene gun-vaccinated animals, but higher HI antibody titer does not translate into a higher level of protection upon challenge in the case of the conventional vaccine (Fig. 3). In fact, the animal from the conventional-vaccine group with the highest HI antibody titer showed the least protection when challenged with virus.

We were not able to detect virus in the nasal swabs from the pigs that had been previously infected with Sw/IN at any time following a second challenge. This is true even when the animals did not show high HI antibody titers; for example, vaccinated animals showing HI antibody titers in the 1:20- to 1:40 range following vaccination show intermediate protection, whereas the convalescent animals with HI antibody titers in this range were completely protected upon rechallenge.

**DISCUSSION**

We report the first study of a particle-mediated HA DNA vaccine administered by two routes, parenteral vaccination with inactivated whole virus and natural infection to elicit protective immune responses in pigs. The results show that these vaccination methods induce the production of high levels of influenza virus-specific antibodies and confer various degrees of protection against challenge by homologous virus. In the pig cohort vaccinated in the epidermis with the HA expression plasmid, protection was evidenced by a reduction in the extent and duration of viral shedding. Pigs vaccinated on the inferior surface of the tongue showed more dramatic reduction of virus shed early in infection. Pigs vaccinated with inactivated influenza virus showed a general reduction in viral shedding, and the naturally infected pigs were completely protected against a second challenge.

Ideally, an influenza A vaccine should completely prevent infection. The pigs vaccinated with pWRG1638 by either route or with the conventional vaccine all became infected upon challenge but showed a greater than 1-log-unit reduction in the peak level of shedding and accelerated clearance of the virus relative to the controls. Similar results have been reported by Donnelly et al. (7) for ferrets and nonhuman primates. The exact mechanisms involved in this type of immunity have not been determined, but several important aspects have been described. First, virus-neutralizing anti-HA antibodies can protect against infection with influenza virus if they are present in sufficient quantities at the site of infection (34). Secondly, influenza virus-specific antibody-forming cells (AFCs) are found in the spleen and bone marrow after immunization of mice with DNA encoding influenza virus HA; however, the AFCs are localized at the site of infection only after challenge with influenza virus (15). During the early infection of the epidermally vaccinated pigs, there may be inadequate influenza virus-specific AFCs or antibodies at the site of challenge to neutralize the initial infection. After initiation of the infection, however, the influenza virus-specific AFCs preexisting as a result of vaccination migrate to the upper respiratory tract,
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