In Vitro Transcription of Adenovirus
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A series of recombinants of adenovirus DNA fragments and pBR322 was used to test the transcriptional activity of the nine known adenovirus promoters in a cell-free extract. Specific initiation was seen at all five early promoters as well as at the major late promoter and at the intermediate promoter for polypeptide IX. The system failed to recognize the two other adenovirus promoters, which were prominent in vivo only at intermediate and late stages in infection. Microheterogeneity of 5′ termini at several adenovirus promoters, previously shown in vivo, was reproduced in the in vitro reaction and indeed appeared to result from heterogeneous initiation rather than 5′ processing. To test for the presence of soluble factors involved in regulation of mRNA synthesis, the activity of extracts prepared from early and late stages of infection was compared on an assortment of viral promoter sites. Although mock and early extracts showed identical transcription patterns, extracts prepared from late stages gave 5- to 10-fold relative enhancement of the late and polypeptide IX promoters as compared with early promoters.

Initiation of transcription by RNA polymerase II is the first step in synthesis of mRNA in eucaryotic cells. Weil et al. (40) have demonstrated that this process can be duplicated in a soluble in vitro reaction by mixing purified RNA polymerase II and an S100 cytoplasmic extract. Manley et al. (24) found that an extract of whole HeLa cells will also specifically initiate transcription by endogenous RNA polymerase II at promoter sites on both viral and cellular DNAs. In fact, these in vitro systems produce RNA with 5′ terminal identical to those of mature mRNA's both in nucleotide sequence and 5′ modification by capping and methylation. The exogenously added template DNA can then be manipulated by deletion and substitution methods to define sequences directing the in vitro reaction. In general, sequences in the vicinity of the TATA consensus sequence or Goldberg-Hogness box seem essential for in vitro initiation (9, 20, 26, 36, 38, 39). In addition, alterations in sequences as far as 30 nucleotides on either side of the TATA sequence can affect the level of in vitro initiation at a promoter site.

The availability of cell-free transcription systems recognizing eucaryotic promoters allows direct access to questions of transcriptional regulation. It has already been shown, for instance, that the binding of a purified analog of simian virus 40 T antigen is capable of specifically repressing in vitro transcription from the simian virus 40 early region (29; U. Hansen and P. A. Sharp, personal communication). In most eucaryotic systems one is not so fortunate as to have purified regulatory factors whose activities have been well characterized in vivo. In vitro systems should provide a tool for identifying such factors as well as in characterizing their modes of action.

One situation where such factors must exist is during productive infection of human cells by adenovirus. The course of synthesis of different adenovirus genes during the lytic cycle follows a pattern: "early" functions begin expression at 1 to 4 h postinfection, and their expression is seen to decrease during the latter part of the early phase (4 to 7 h postinfection) (33). At 6 to 12 h postinfection DNA replication begins, thus increasing template copy number and potentially shifting the configuration of the template. The intermediate mRNA's for polypeptides IX and IVa2 and the late form of 72K DNA-binding protein mRNA, all of which are undetectable at early times, are made in copious amounts during the first part of the late stage (see references 35 and 42 for review). The initiation of transcription occurs at the late promoter during the early and intermediate stages, but these transcripts are processed to yield only two mRNA's (8, 21, 28, 33). During the late stage of infection at least 13 different RNAs are processed from the late transcription unit and account for 50% of total mRNA synthesis (35, 42). This high level of
transcription from the late promoter may be due to a shift in the specific activity (initiations per template per minute) during the course of infection. However, positive regulation of the late promoter is hard to assess given the tremendous expansion in the pool of functional DNA template at late times.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and virus.** HeLa S-3 cells were grown in suspension in RPMI or minimal essential medium with 5% horse serum. For virus infection, cells were concentrated 10-fold in the absence of serum, and 50 PFU of an adenovirus type 2 (Ad2) lysate stock per cell was added. Adsorption was carried out for 60 min, after which medium containing 2% horse serum was added to original volume. This was taken as the zero point of infection. To insure that infection had indeed occurred, a sample of each infection was carried to 32 h postinfection, fixed in phosphate-buffered saline with 10% formaldehyde, and then stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate antibodies to adenovirus late protein; 96 to 98% of the cells were infected according to this assay.

**Preparation of extract.** Extracts were prepared as described by Manley et al. (24). Briefly, cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline and then swelled and homogenized in a Dounce homogenizer in 4 volumes of hypotonic buffer. After addition of sucrose and glycero1 the nuclei were lysed with the addition of ammonium sulfate to 10% saturation. The chromatin and other debris were removed by ultracentrifugation at 175,000 × g for 3 h, and the supernatant was concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation. This precipitate was resuspended and dialyzed in 100 mM KCl-12.5 mM MgCl2-2 mM dithiothreitol-17% glycero1-0.5 mM EDTA, and samples were stored at -70°C after freezing in liquid N2. Protein concentrations varied from 10 to 20 mg/ml, and extracts contained 0.1 to 0.5 mg of RNA per ml. Repeated freezing in dry ice and thawing for 1 min at 30°C produced no change either in levels or specificity of transcription. All transcriptions described in this paper were performed with extract frozen and thawed only once.

**Transcription conditions.** Except where noted, conditions were as described by Handa et al. (18). Preparative reactions were done at somewhat lower salt concentrations (40 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.8 mM dithiothreitol, 7% glycero1), which enhanced transcription severalfold without changing specificity.

**DNA and extract concentrations.** Titrations of both DNA and extract yield nonlinear responses. Fixing extract and measuring runoff transcripts as a function of DNA concentration yields (i) a threshold DNA concentration below which no transcription occurs, and (ii) an inhibitory effect of high DNA concentration (24, 40). The requirement for a minimal DNA concentration is nonspecific—i.e., by using a concentration of a promoter-specific DNA which is below the threshold, “carrier” DNA, such as pBR322 or E. coli DNA, can be added to stimulate specific transcription. U. Hansen (personal communication) has shown that the duplex alternating copolymers polyeoxyinosinuc-deoxyctydyllic acid [poly(dIC:dIC)] and polyeoxyadenylid-eoxythymidylic acid [poly(dAT:dAT)] will act as carrier DNA, thereby demonstrating a total lack of sequence specificity in the bulk DNA requirement. A further advantage of these copolymers as carrier DNA is that the transcribed RNA products of the carrier poly(dIC:dIC) and poly(dAT:dAT) contain only two nucleotides. Thus, poly(dIC:dIC) carrier in a reaction containing [α-32P]UTP yields no radioactive background. The key aspect of bulk DNA dependence is that at a fixed total DNA concentration, the molar yield of transcripts per promoter site is constant and independent of the source of carrier DNA. An exception to this generality is that at high carrier DNA concentrations, synthetic copolymers tend to more severely inhibit transcription than natural DNA.

A critical dependence of transcription upon extract concentration has been previously reported (24). In fact, DNA concentration dependence and extract protein concentration dependence are related. Specific transcription can be obtained in a range of 4 to 18 mg of extract protein per ml. At low extract concentration the DNA optima tend to be lower (in the range of 10 μg/ml). There is still a bulk DNA dependence, but it is less steep and the threshold concentrations are lower. At a high protein concentration transcription becomes much sharper, and the threshold becomes much higher. At higher protein concentrations, often it is necessary to use 60 μg of DNA per ml for any transcription. Thus, for each new extract it is necessary to do careful DNA and extract titrations to determine optimal conditions.

For a given promoter, very short runoff transcripts (<300 nucleotides [n]) have a higher DNA optimum than longer runoff transcripts. This effect has been taken into account in the regulation and DNA titration experiments described here by measuring the synthesis of different-length runoff products from a promoter. No length dependence for transcription was observed with runoff products between 400 and 4,000 n.

**Analysis of RNA products.** Analytical reactions were done in 20 μl. After 90 min at 30°C, 150 μl of stop buffer (7 M urea, 100 mM LiCl, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 10 mM EDTA, 350 μg of tRNA per ml, 10 mM Tris [pH 7.9]) and 300 μl of phenol-chloroform-isooamyl alcohol buffered by 20 mM Tris (pH 7.5) were added, and the tubes were blended in a Vortex mixer and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min. The aqueous phase (without interface) was extracted once more with phenol-chloroform-isooamyl alcohol and once with chloroform and then pooled with 200 μl of 1.0 M NH4 acetate and 900 μl of ethanol. The RNA precipitate was collected after 60 min at -70°C and washed with 1 ml of ethanol. The pellet was air dried in an inverted tube for 5 min and resuspended in 20 ml of 10 mM Na2H2PO4 (pH 6.8)-1 mM EDTA; to this was added 50 μl of 1.4 M deonized glyoxal-70% dimethyl sulfoxide-10 mM Na2H2PO4 (pH 6.8)-1 mM EDTA-0.04% bromophenol blue. After 1 h at 50°C, 25 μl of the sample was loaded on 1.4% agarose gels in 10 mM Na2H2PO4-1 mM EDTA (27). DNA restriction fragments were denatured with glyoxal and run as markers; 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate was added to the running buffer, and the gel was prerun for 10 min at 100 V to prevent the appearance of a sodium dodecyl sulfate front.

**Preparative reactions for RNA fingerprinting.** Large-scale reactions were as above, except that a second precipitation with 0.5 M NH4 acetate and 3
In vitro transcription of Ad5: runoff mapping. Sizing of transcription runoff RNAs provides a sensitive means of identifying promoter sites. For this purpose, cloned restriction endonuclease fragments encompassing each of the nine known promoter regions of adenovirus have been constructed (K. Berkner et al., manuscript in preparation; see Table 2 for list of promoter sites). These recombinant pBR322-viral DNAs are cleaved with a variety of restriction enzymes and then used as template in a whole cell extract (WCE) reaction mix. The RNA products were specifically labeled by incorporation of [α-32P]UTP and detected by autoradiography after electrophoresis in denaturing glyoxal gels (27). Detection of a particular length of α-amanitin-sensitive RNA product positions the promoter site relative to the terminus of the viral DNA fragment. Transcription of fragments generated by cleavage with other endonucleases confirms uniquely the position of the promoter site(s).

Some of the bands resolved after electrophoresis of [α-32P]UTP-labeled RNA are not due to the initiation of transcription at promoter sites on viral DNA. First, some faint products are insensitive to α-amanitin (1 μg/ml) and are not RNA polymerase II products. These products are primarily due to various amounts of end labeling of ribosomal RNA present in the extracts (24). A second source of labeled bands is the inefficient but specific RNA polymerase II transcription of the pBR322 DNA present in the template (R. Kaufman and F. Laski, personal communication). High-molecular-weight RNA products are also generated by ligation of DNA segments containing active promoters to other fragments (unpublished results). Finally, it is possible that some of the faint bands generated during transcription are the consequence of RNA processing. In several cases, detailed analysis has failed to confirm this, and, where tested, transcripts were found to be stable on 2-h chases in both uninfected and infected extracts. For the above reasons, it is essential that a variety of templates be used to map a particular transcriptional region.

The HindIII G (0.0 to 7.9-map-unit [m.u.]) fragment of Ad5 DNA contains sites for initiation of Elα and Elβ early mRNA's. This fragment was cloned into pBR322 through EcoRI linkers attached at 0.0 m.u. and through the HindIII site at 7.9 m.u. and was a kind gift of Kathleen Berkner. When cleaved with KpnI, this plasmid generated 1,550- and 345-n RNAs. These sizes agree with the predicted size runoff RNAs for initiation at the Elα (1,550 n) and Elβ (350 n) promoter sites (Fig. 1A). Similarly, cleavage of the plasmid with XbaI or HpaI also yielded the correct size runoff RNAs (Fig. 1A). Additionally, RNA polymerase II and factors in the WCE recognize a site for initiation some 300 n upstream from the Elα promoter (PEIα; denoted with a dashed arrow in Fig. 1A). Initiation at this site generated α-amanitin-sensitive runoff transcripts of 1,850, 1,400, and 1,165 n after cleavage with KpnI, HpaI, and XbaI, respectively. mRNAs with 5' termini mapping upstream from the Elα promoter have been reported (5, 12, 30, 41); thus, this may be an active promoter in vivo.

A comparison of in vitro transcription from the Elβ and polypeptide IX promoter sites can be obtained by use of the Ad5 SmaI F fragment (2.8 to 11.1 m.u.). This fragment was cloned into pBR322 by attachment of EcoRI linkers (Fig. 1B). In vitro transcription of this plasmid after cleavage with EcoRI generated products of 2,250 and 380 n from the Elβ and polypeptide IX promoter regions, respectively (Fig. 1B). The products predicted from DNA sequence are 2,240 and 360 n. Similarly, transcription of the KpnI- or HindIII-cleaved SmaI F-pBR322 plasmid also yielded the expected runoff products (Fig. 1B). Thus, both the Elβ and polypeptide IX promoter sites are recognized and are about equally active. No other major in vitro RNA polymerase II promoter site was observed in the SmaI F fragment.

The HindIII B fragment (72.8 to 89.1 m.u.) of Ad5 encompasses initiation sites for both early regions II (EII) and III (EIII). This fragment was cloned into pBR322 by ligation to the HindIII cleavage sites. Somewhat surprisingly, in vitro transcription of this plasmid after cleavage with a variety of different restriction endonucleases yielded strong runoff transcripts only from the EIII promoter. For example, transcription of plasmid DNA after cleavage with either EcoRI, Xhol, KpnI, or XbaI generated RNAs of lengths 2,380, 2,200, 1,175, and 980 n, respectively, from the EIII promoter site (Fig. 2A). However, transcription of the same plasmid after cleavage with SalI or BamI produced only very faint bands of lengths 1,320 or 1,080 n, consistent with initiation at the EII promoter site. Thus the EII promoter is relatively inactive in the WCE system; however, other experiments show that the levels of EII transcription seen in vitro are indeed specific (see below).
Runoff transcription of early region IV of Ad5 was tested by using the EcoRI B fragment (84 to 100 m.u.). This fragment was cloned into pBR322 by attachment of EcoRI linkers to the right terminus of the genome and then insertion through the EcoRI site at 84 m.u. The addition of this plasmid after cleavage with either SmaI, HindIII, or KpnI to an in vitro reaction mix generated RNAs of 235, 660, and 2,020 n, respectively—the sizes expected for products initiated at the EIV promoter site. There was another specific initiation site for RNA polymerase II weakly detected in the EcoRI B fragment. This site maps at 96.3 m.u., and its transcripts are in the same direction as the EIV promoter. Initiation at this site generated the 980- and 1,485-n products seen from templates cleaved with KpnI and SmaI, respectively (Fig. 2B). There is no known in vivo Ad5 mRNA with 5’ termini mapping at 96.3 m.u. It is difficult to decide whether
this is artifactual recognition by the in vitro system or an undetected in vivo promoter.

Two intermediate stage promoters, those for IVa2 and the late form of EII mRNA (EIIi) are not utilized in the in vitro system. Transcription of a fragment containing the latter promoter will be described below. Transcription of the IVa2 promoter was assessed by using the Bal I E fragment of Ad2 (14.7 to 21.5 m.u.) cloned with BamI linkers into the BamI site of pBR322. The fragment was cut either with BamI or with BamI and HindIII. In both cases, a 460-n runoff would be expected from the IVa2 promoter (Baker and Ziff, in press), in addition to late promoter transcripts of 1,750 and 196 n from the two templates, respectively. Though the late promoter bands were very strong, the expected comigrating IVa2 runoffs were not seen (0.5% of the late promoter level would have been detected; data not shown).

Fidelity of in vitro initiation at the EIV promoter. Baker and Ziff (3, 3a) and Hashimoto and Green (19) have shown that the EIV promoter site generates microheterogeneous 5' termini. As indicated in Table 1, the capped nucleotide forming the 5' end of mRNA's from

![Image of figure 2](http://jvi.asm.org/)

**Fig. 2.** Transcription of EII and EIII region. Conditions of transcription were as in Fig. 1. A, Digests of Ad5 HindIII B recombinant show EII and EIII transcription. Bands of 2,380, 2,200, 1,175, and 980 n in the lanes marked EcoRI, XhoI, KpnI, and XbaI, respectively, correspond to EIII. Faint bands of 1,320 n and 1,080 n in lanes marked SalI and BamHI correspond to EII. B, Digests of Ad5 EcoRI B fragment show transcription of EIV and a minor initiation site (dotted lines). Expected runoff products from EIV are given in the diagram (34, 35). The lower molar yield of the 235-n runoff transcript in the first lane is due to a higher DNA optimum for very short transcripts (see text). Initiation at site near 96.3 m.u. generates bands of 1,485, 1,780, and 980 n on Smal-, HindIII-, and KpnI-cleaved templates, respectively. Bands of 1,760 n in the HindIII lane and those migrating between 18S and 28S in all other lanes are pBR322 transcripts.
this region can be either an adenosine or any one of the six adjacent uridines (3a). To determine whether the heterogeneity was reproduced in vitro, RNA transcribed from this region was analyzed in detail. Short 250-n runoff RNAs from the E1V promoter site (PEIV) were prepared by transcription of the Smal-cleaved EcoRI B fragment of Ad5 (Fig. 2B). These RNAs were labeled in vitro by incorporation of either α-32P-labeled UTP, ATP, or GTP, and the products were resolved by electrophoresis in urea-acrylamide gels. The runoff bands were identified by autoradiography and electroeluted. After digestion with RNase T1, the oligonucleotides were analyzed by two-dimensional fingerprinting (3a).

Figure 3A is an autoradiograph of a T1 fingerprint of 32P-labeled in vivo Ad2 nuclear RNA selected by hybridization to the Ad2 Smal fragment (98.3 to 100 m.u.) (3a). Most of the T1 oligonucleotides predicted from the EIV sequence were identifiable in the two-dimensional pattern (Fig. 3). Panels B, C, and D of Fig. 3 show the equivalent T1 fingerprints of 250-n runoff transcripts labeled in vitro by [α-32P]UTP, [γ-32P]ATP, and [γ-32P]GTP, respectively. Secondary analyses of these T1 oligonucleotides with RNases T2 and A are presented in Table 2. Spots a, b, c and 8 gave T2-resistant radioactivity and thus contained cap structures (32). In addition, spot 8 gave products on secondary analysis expected from the major A cap oligonucleotide. Also of interest is the fact that no T1 oligonucleotides were detected from sequences upstream of or spanning the in vivo initiation site. At this limit of analysis the in vitro and in vivo 5′ termini are identical.

A more precise means of examining the 5′ termini of in vitro-transcribed RNA is to uniquely label the 5′-terminal phosphate. This can be accomplished by removal of the 7-methyl guanosine by periodate oxidation and β elimination. The 5′ end is exposed by treatment with alkaline phosphatase, allowing end labeling with [γ-32P]ATP and polynucleotide kinase (3a). Since the in vitro RNA products were diluted into a mixture of endogenous RNA, the 5′-labeled RNA was selected on filters containing the Smal K fragment. Figure 4 shows such a comparison of the T1 fingerprints of in vivo and in vitro Ad5 EIV RNA labeled at their 5′ termini. The series of labeled T1 oligonucleotides is identical in the two cases. Some 60% of the initiations are at the adenine position, whereas the remaining 40% are distributed between the six adjacent uridine positions. The identification of the la-

### Table 1. DNA sequences preceding mRNA cap sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&quot;TATA&quot; BOX</th>
<th>CAPS</th>
<th>Promoter (Coordinate)</th>
<th>Relative Efficiency</th>
<th>DNA Titrant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTGTATTTATACGTCGTTCTCAAGAGCCACCTTTGAGTG</td>
<td>Ad5 Ela (14)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGGTATATTAGCCTGGTGGCTATTGTTTAAGCTCGCCT</td>
<td>Ad5 E1b (47)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGGTATATAGCTTGGGCTATTTATGATTTCTCTCTTTCGC</td>
<td>Ad5 Protein IX (98)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGCATATAAAGGSGGTCGSGCTTCTGCCCTCCTCCTCG</td>
<td>Ad2 Major Late (16.4)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCCTGTGCTGCTGGCTGGACGGAGCTCCTCTGATCCAGG</td>
<td>Ad2 Ψa (15.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGTCTTTAAGAGTCAGCGACATTTTCTGTAAGAGGCTCC</td>
<td>Ad2 E1a Late (75)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGGTACAATTTGCCAGGTAAAGCGCAGTGACCTCACG</td>
<td>Ad2 E1a Late (72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGATACCTACCTGAAAATCTAGAGGCGAGTGTAC</td>
<td>Ad5 E II (75)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGGTACAATTTGCCAGGTAAAGCGCAGTGACCTCACG</td>
<td>Ad2 E1a Late (72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGGTATATAGCTTGGGCTATTTATGATTTCTCTCTTTCGC</td>
<td>Ad5 E III (76.6)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGGTATATAGCTTGGGCTATTTATGATTTCTCTCTTTCGC</td>
<td>Ad5 E III (76.6)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCCTATATATACTCCTGCACTTGGGCGCTTTCGTGA</td>
<td>Ad5 E IV (99.1)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sites for initiation of in vivo transcription on adenovirus DNA and their relative activities in vitro. At left are the sequences surrounding initiation sites (1-3a, 22, 23, 37). The Goldberg-Hogness or TATA consensus sequence is boxed. Cap sites in vivo for each region are underlined. The G-string homology between the PL and PIX promoters is indicated. At right are the activities of the different promoters normalized in vitro to an activity of 1.0 for the late promoter. The far-right column shows the behavior of each promoter in a DNA titration curve. E indicates behavior similar to that of PEIa in Fig. 7, and L indicates behavior similar to that of PL in Fig. 7.
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beled 5' termini as cap sites was further confirmed by the presence of labeled dinucleotides protected from T2 digestion by 2'-O-methylation. A small fraction of in vitro-capped termini was unmodified by 2'-O-methylation as is shown by the presence of type O caps in the T2 digest of the major A cap oligonucleotide in Fig. 3 (spot 8, Table 2). About 20% of the in vitro-synthesized RNA from PEIV did not have any cap structure at all, since about this fraction of the in vitro 5' termini (and none of the in vivo termini) can be labeled with [gamma-32P]ATP by simple treatment with phosphatase and polynucleotide kinase. This subset of in vitro RNA chains also lack 2'-O-methylation on their terminal nucleotides. Whether this suggests that only a fraction of the newly initiated chains is modified by capping, and thus that cap formation is not a necessary component of transcription, or that only a fraction of the newly in vitro-synthesized caps is methylated and thus stable, cannot be determined with this methodology. The addition of (2 mM) S-adenosylmethionine to the WCE did not affect methylation levels or the fraction of capped 5' termini (data not shown).

Heterogeneously capped 5' termini could be generated either by endonuclease cleavage or by initiation of transcription at multiple sites. The frequency of initiation with a particular nucleotide would be expected to be dependent on the concentration of its triphosphate precursor. Conversely, the frequency of endonuclease cleavage should be independent of specific nucleotide concentrations. Panels C, D, and E of Fig. 4 show a comparison of 5' termini synthesized in the presence of normal UTP and ATP concentrations, limiting UTP concentrations, and limiting ATP concentrations, respectively. The visible demonstration that changes in the ratio of ATP to UTP concentrations are specifically reflected in the ratio of adenine to uridine caps indicates that the microheterogeneous EIV termini are derived from initiation at each cap site.

In vitro transcription of early region II. Early region II is unique among early regions of adenovirus for several reasons: (i) it is transcribed at the early and late stages of infection by initiation at different promoter sites (7, 8); (ii) the early promoter site PEII (75 m.u.) does not have a TATAAA consensus sequence positioned 25 to 31 n upstream from the cap site (Table 1); (iii) the late promoter site for early region II (PEIII) at 72 m.u. has a TACAA sequence at the expected consensus sequence positions (9a); and (iv) both EII and EIII promoter sites are efficient in vivo. It is therefore somewhat surprising that neither of these promoters is efficiently recognized in the WCE. The Ad2 EcoRI F (70.7 to 75.9 m.u.) fragment encompasses both EII and EIII promoter sites (Fig. 5). In vitro transcription of this fragment after cleavage with EcoRI, KpnI, and SstI generated low levels of runoff transcripts of 1,460, 1,240, and 880 n, respectively, those expected from initiation at PEII. The PEII activity detected is about 0.04 of that observed from the late promoter of Ad2 (Fig. 5; a myriad of other minor bands appear on this overexposure, some of which correspond to the initiation sites within pBR322). Even this low level of runoff transcription was not observed for initiation at PEIII (72 m.u.). An RNA of 320 n would be predicted for an EcoRI-cleaved template (see Fig. 5), and an RNA of 1,200 n would be predicted from BglI cleavage (data not shown).

To show that the in vitro system actually initiates correctly at PEII, RNA transcribed in the WCE was subjected to 5' terminus analysis as described previously for PEIV. In this case, RNA was extracted from a very large reaction mix (2 ml) and 5' labeled as described above. The 5'-labeled RNA was selected by hybridization to a HindIII-EcoRI fragment spanning from 72.8 to 75.9 m.u., digested with RNase A, and fingerprinted. The two large oligonucleotides (spots 1 and 2 of Fig. 6) were shown to carry the 2'-O-methylation and behaved identically to spots derived from the in vivo termini on secondary analysis shown in Fig. 5B and C of reference 2. Thus, the faint bands from PEII are initiated at the same sites as in vivo mRNA's. Interestingly, many of the smaller oligonucleotides in Fig. 6 also have 2'-O-methylated bases. Since the in vitro reaction mix was scaled up 10-fold over that used in Fig. 4, these capped oligonucleotides probably represent other in vitro initiation sites within the 1,100-n DNA fragment used to select hybrids for fingerprinting. This suggests that RNAs initiated at nonpromoter sites are also modified by cap synthesis.

A similar labeled 5' terminus analysis with a hybridization probe spanning the EIII promoter site selected a number of 5'-terminal 2'-O-methylated oligonucleotides, none of which corresponds to the in vivo PEIII initiation sequence. This demonstrates that PEIII is very infrequently utilized as a promoter site in vitro.

Relative transcriptional activities of the different promoters. To determine the relative rates of transcription of different viral promoters under a variety of conditions, an equimolar mixture of template fragments (see Fig. 8A) was constructed. Each fragment generated runoff transcripts of unique length. Since the in vitro transcripts are labeled with [alpha-32P]UTP,
FIG. 3. Fingerprints of 250-n runoff transcripts from PEIV. A, Ad2 early nuclear RNA was selected on filters by hybridization to Ad2 Smal K fragment (98.3 to 100 m.u.). RNA was labeled with $^{32}$PO$_4$ in vivo as described previously (2). Selected RNA was treated with RNase T$_1$, and the RNA was eluted, and an RNase T$_1$ digest was resolved by the two-dimensional fingerprint analysis of Brownlee et al. (6, 31). B, Ad5 EcoRI B recombinant was cut with Smal and transcribed in a reaction mix as noted in the text. Nucleotide concentrations were 50 $\mu$M each ATP, CTP, and GTP and 100 $\mu$M [a-$^{32}$P]UTP at 450 Ci/mmol. The 250-n runoff band was electroeluted from urea acrylamide gel and fingerprinted with RNase T$_1$ as above. Spot 8 is the major A cap, and spots a, b, and c are three of the minor U caps. C, The same template as in B was transcribed with 75 $\mu$M [a-$^{32}$P]ATP (specific activity, 304 Ci/mmol), 50 $\mu$M CTP and GTP, and 5 $\mu$M UTP. The series of spots along the right side appears to be oligoadenylic acid. D, The same template as in B was transcribed with [a-$^{32}$P]GTP (specific activity, 600 Ci/mmol); 50 $\mu$M ATP, CTP, and UTP; and 29 $\mu$M GTP. Diagram, Sequence flanking EIV promoter site of Ad5 (34) shows alignments for T$_1$ spots of panels A through D on the basis of secondary analyses presented in Table 2. Oligonucleotides upstream or traversing the cap and not found in in vitro runoff products are marked NP. Spot 13, found in nuclear RNA, is absent from the in vitro runoff products since the template is truncated in this oligonucleotide. Note the conformation of the major A cap (spot 8) by identification of RNase A products. Similar analysis of the in vivo spots has been shown (3a).
Fingerprint analysis indicates that the secondary digests, shown in Fig. 3A, contained guanosine.

**Table 2. Analysis of RNase T1 oligonucleotides from Ad5 EIV RNA transcribed in vitro**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot no.</th>
<th>RNase A products&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[α-32P]UTP label&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AUp, Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ACp, Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ACp, Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AUp, Up, (ACp, Cp)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAUp, Up, (ACp, Cp)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAUp, AUp, C, Up, (Gp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AUp, Up, (Up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AUp, Up, Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AUp, Gp, Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>AAUp, Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>[Cp, Up, cap I core]&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>[Cp, Up, cap I core]&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>[Cp, Up, cap I core]&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Numbers correspond to the spot numbers of Fig. 3.

<sup>b</sup> For secondary analysis, RNase T1 oligonucleotides were redigested with RNase A. Products from the secondary digests were fractionated by electrophoresis on DEAE paper at pH 3.5.

<sup>c</sup> These are the products resulting from RNase A redigestion of the RNase T1 oligonucleotides from the fingerprints, shown in Fig. 3B, C, and D, of RNA labeled in vitro with [α-32P]UTP, [α-32P]ATP, or [α-32P]GTP. NA indicates that the corresponding spot was not analyzed; NP indicates that the spot was not present in the fingerprint. Products in parentheses were barely detectable. Abbreviations: A, adenosine; C, cytidine; U, uridine; G, guanosine; P, phosphate.

<sup>d</sup> This product(s) was not predicted by the Ad5 sequence in Fig. 3.

<sup>e</sup> These products are the result of redigestion with RNase T2.

<sup>f</sup> Spot 10 was contaminated with spot 9.

The amount of radioactivity incorporated per mole of product is proportional to the length of the late promoter site (PL) was the most active template in the mix, but only generated a faint band of 225 n under these conditions. In addition to the adenovirus promoters, transcripts from the various vector sequences were observed, one migrating slightly below 18S and others migrating well above the EII band at 2,575 n. The relative strengths of various promoter sites can be approximated by densitometry of gels similar to that in Fig. 8A or of gels containing a single runoff transcript such as those in Fig. 1 and 2 (Table 1). The two methods yield comparable results under similar conditions.

It is well known that the in vitro transcription activity of different promoters can vary markedly with changes in total DNA concentration. It has been reported (29; U. Hansen and P. A. Sharp, personal communication) that relative transcriptional activities of different simian virus 40 promoters vary with DNA concentration. A similar observation with adenovirus promoters is shown in Fig. 7. An equimolar mixture of template DNAs for PEIa (1,220-n runoff) and PL (924-n runoff) was prepared, and a DNA-titration curve was performed. As shown in Fig. 7A, both early and late promoters gave qualitatively similar titration curves, with a threshold value below which no transcription was seen, and inhibition by high DNA concentration (see above). A graph of the molar ratio of early and late transcripts is shown in Fig. 7B. There was a 20-fold decrease in the ratio over a DNA range of 7 to 56 μg/ml. That this is a function of bulk DNA concentration is shown by a similar curve when total promoter DNA was held constant and DNA concentration was titrated up with increasing concentrations of duplex copolymer poly(dIC:dIC). Adenovirus promoters fall into two general classes in the DNA titration curve: all early promoters respond like PEIa, whereas the polypeptide IX promoter behaves like the late promoter (data not shown).

A comparison of the relative efficiencies of the different promoters each at their optimal DNA
Fig. 4. Localization of 5' termini from the EIV region. RNAs were 5' labeled by decapping and kinasing using previously described procedures (3a). The RNA was selected and fingerprinted as in Fig. 3A. In both A and B, spot 1 and spots 2 through 7 derive from the major A terminus and minor U termini, respectively. In each case, identity of the spots was confirmed by redigestion with nuclease P1, RNases T1 and A, and chromatography on 540 or DEAE paper (3a). A, Analysis of cytoplasmic RNA prepared from cycloheximide-treated, Ad5-infected HeLa cells at 5 h postinfection. B, Analysis of in vitro-transcribed RNA; 6.4 μg of BglII-cleaved EcoRI B recombinant was transcribed in a 180-μl reaction mix under preparative conditions (see text). The prominent spots above the A terminus are not 2'-O-methylated and thus probably do not correspond to capped 5' termini. A number of the very minor spots were shown to have 2'-O-methylation and probably represent minor initiation events. The sequence of EIV showing seven capped termini and the TATA box is shown in the diagram. C, D, and E, Variability of relative levels of A and U caps with nucleotide concentration. Transcriptions in 0.2 ml with 4 μg of HindIII-cleaved EcoRI B were analyzed in A. Spots derived from A caps and U caps are indicated. C, Nucleotide concentrations were 50 μM ATP, GTP, CTP, and UTP. D, Nucleotide concentrations were 50 μM GTP and CTP, 500 μM ATP, and 1 μM UTP (endogenous pool). E, Nucleotide concentrations were 50 μM GTP and CTP, 500 μM UTP, and 10 μM ATP. Incorporation was much lower in this sample, due to limiting ATP.

Regulation of transcription is the major level...
of gene control during the adenovirus lytic cycle. Factors responsible for this regulation must appear or disappear (or both) during various phases of the lytic cycle. Extracts were prepared from cells at different stages of infection in an attempt to detect such factors. HeLa cells were infected with Ad2 at 50 PFU/cell and were harvested 6 h postinfection for early extracts or from cells at different stages of infection in an attempt to detect such factors. HeLa cells were infected with Ad2 at 50 PFU/cell and were harvested 6 h postinfection for early extracts or

Fig. 5. Runoff mapping of EII. Conditions were as in Fig. 1, except that autoradiography was for 150 h. Bands of 880, 1,240, and 1,460 n in the SstI, KpnI, and EcoRI lanes, respectively, correspond to EII transcription (2, 13). Bands of 2,300, 1,960, and 1,740 n correspond to transcripts from pBR322. The other minor bands have not been characterized. The lack of a band migrating at 320 n in the EcoRI-digested lane indicates that transcription from the EII promoter at 72 m.u. does not occur under these conditions. In addition, transcription of a BglII-cleaved template which would generate EIIIL runoff of 1,200 n failed to yield such a band (data not shown). A major late promoter incorporation done under the same conditions is shown.

Fig. 6. Early region II fingerprint. The EcoRI F recombinant shown in Fig. 3A was cut with EcoRI and HindIII; 50 µg of this template was transcribed in a 2-ml reaction mix under the conditions described in the legend to Fig. 5. The subsequent manipulations were as in Fig. 5, except that the HindIII-EcoRI fragment spanning 72.8 to 75.9 m.u. was immobilized on filters to select RNA. The selected RNA was digested with ribonuclease A (which cuts on the 3' side of pyrimidines). The lower doublets of spots 1 and 2 were identified as GAGAGC and AGAGAGC, respectively, which correspond to the in vivo termini for EII as reported in Baker et al. (2). This was confirmed by secondary analysis with RNases T2 and T1 and nuclease P1. These spots in addition carry the 2'-O-methylation indicative of capped termini. Because the reaction is scaled up 10-fold from that in Fig. 5, 5'-labeled termini from background initiation have been proportionally enhanced. The CU spot indicated by an arrow and a number and a number of the other oligonucleotide spots carry 2'-O-methylation, indicating that they were derived from capped 5' termini encoded within the fragment. These other termini were not seen in vivo (Fig. 3). The diagram below shows the sequence around the promoter site of EII (75.0 m.u.) with A and G 5' termini at positions +1 and +2, respectively.
21 h postinfection for late extracts. In parallel, a mock-infected extract was prepared from the same batch of HeLa cells. These extracts had roughly similar total transcriptional activities.

In light of variation in relative activity of different promoters with changes in DNA concentration it is important to ensure that any effects seen in infected extracts are not simply the result of endogenous viral DNA contaminating those extracts. Extracts were assayed for viral DNA by two methods. (i) Total nucleic acid corresponding to several reaction mixes was electrophoresed on neutral agarose gels with and without HindIII digestion and stained with acridine orange (27). No viral DNA was observed. (ii) The level of endogenous VA RNA synthesis in infected cell extracts was measured and compared with a standard of added viral DNA. Less than 0.02 μg of template viral DNA was observed per 20 μl of reaction mix. The addition of three times this concentration of viral RNA sequences to the transcription shown in Fig. 8A did not change the ratio of the runoff transcripts (data not shown). All of the extracts also gave similar bell-shaped DNA titration curves for the various promoters (Fig. 7A), indicating that a certain bulk of exogenous DNA was still needed for transcription to occur.

Since the strong in vivo promoter for EII was only marginally active in extracts from uninfected cells, it was interesting to test its activity in extracts from early and late-infected cells. The Ad5 HindIII B fragment cloned into pBR322 was cleaved with XhoI and SalI, which would generate runoffs of 2,200 and 1,375 n from the EIII and EII promoter sites, respectively. Only low levels of the 1,375-n EII runoff were generated with mock and early extracts; this runoff was undetectable in a late extract (data not shown). The EIII promoter site was fully active in both mock and early extracts, but had less activity in late extracts. Similar experiments have been done with DNA fragments spanning the EIIIL or the IVa2 promoter sites. In neither case was transcription detected from early or late extracts. Thus, infected extracts seem to lack components which allow transcription from these promoters.

When transcription from the equimolar mixture of efficient promoters was compared in extracts from mock, early, and late stages in infection, a shift was observed (Fig. 8B). Although
nock and early extracts produced essentially identical responses, the late extract showed preferential transcription of the intermediate PIX and the late (PL) promoters relative to the early promoter sites. In Fig. 8B, it is particularly striking to compare PIX with the flanking PEIa and PEIV runoffs. This shift in transcription specificitiy has been observed repeatedly with different mock and late extracts. The observation of relative enhancement of transcription of the PIX and PL promoter sites in late extracts did not change with variations in time of incubation, ionic strength, or nucleotide concentration, suggesting that simple differences in preparation do not account for the shift. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the DNA titration curves for the two extracts. Note that the DNA concentrations for optimal transcription of the early and late promoters in the two extracts are similar, but that the PEIa/PL ratio of the late extract remains 10-fold lower than that in an uninfected extract throughout the course of the DNA titration curve. A more complete description of the comparison of the transcription capacity in mock and late extracts is presented by Fire et al. (11).

**DISCUSSION**

Adenovirus mRNA synthesis results from initiation of transcription at nine sites on viral DNA (35, 42). Baker and Ziff (3a) have defined the set of capped nucleotides at each of these positions (Table 1). Six of these nine sequences are efficiently recognized by RNA polymerase II and factors for initiation of transcription in the WCE system. Each of these six sites has an obvious TATA or Goldberg-Hogness consensus sequences between -25 to -31 n. Two previous studies have shown that the Ela, Elb, and IX promoter sites are active in vitro systems (24, 39). Three sites which encode RNA 5' ends, the promoter sites for IV8 (15.9 m.u.) and the early and late promoter sites for region II (PEII [75 m.u.] and PEII [72.0 m.u.]), do not have consensus TATA sequences and are poorly recog-
nized in the WCE reaction mix. Very sensitive analysis of capped termini shows that transcription is specifically initiated at the PEII position, but at 1/25 the frequency observed from the Ad2 late promoter. With the same assay, transcription from the late promoter site for region II (PEIIL) is not detected (less than 0.5% of PL [16.4 m.u.]). This site has TACAAA at the position of the consensus sequence. The promoter site for the rabbit a-uteroglobin has an identical consensus sequence and has been reported to be efficiently transcribed in this system (S. Woo, personal communication). Thus, factors in the WCE must be discriminating between these promoters on the basis of sequences other than the TACAAA.

Several other results also suggest that RNA polymerase II and factors in the extract interact with sequences in addition to the TATA. Many perfect consensus sequences in viral or vector DNAs are not recognized for transcription initiation. In fact, in all of the adenovirus DNA sequences surveyed in this study, only two sites were detectably utilized for initiation that were not previously known to be in vivo initiation sites; these sites mapped on the r strand at approximately 0.7 m.u. and on the l strand at 96.3 m.u. The former may account for minor mRNA's detected from Ad2-, Ad5-, Ad7-, and Ad12-infected and -transformed cells (5, 12, 30, 41). No Ad2 mRNA has been mapped with a 5' terminus at 96.3 m.u. (5).

A direct test of the importance of the TATA sequences for in vitro transcriptional activity emerges from studies in which these sequences have been modified by deletion or mutation. Hu and Manley (20) concluded that the TATATAA sequence in the late Ad2 promoter was essential for in vitro transcriptional activity. Studies of similar deletions in the conalbumin (9), ovalbumin (36), early simian virus 40 (26), and late Ad2 (9) promoters have given similar results. In fact, Wasylyk et al. (38) showed that conversion of the third base, T, to a G in the conalbumin promoter sequence of TATAAA almost abolishes the in vitro reaction. Additionally, Hu and Manley's results indicated that deletion of sequences from either -51 n upstream or +5 n downstream of the late cap site also affected the efficiency of transcription, suggesting the in vitro reaction senses 60 n of sequence (20). Thus, the picture emerges that the TATA consensus sequence is of central importance for in vitro recognition, and that sequences lying 25 n to either side of this site can affect the efficiency of initiation.

There are reasons to suspect that the TATA consensus sequence is of secondary importance for in vivo transcription initiation. Where it has been studied, deletion of the TATA sequence does not significantly reduce in vivo initiation of transcription from a region, but does generally render an RNA product with widely dispersed 5' termini (4, 10, 14, 15). Hence, the TATA consensus sequence seems to have a positioning role for RNA polymerase II. In addition, there seems to be a marked in vivo dependence on sequences beyond -60 n (4, 10, 15-17), whereas deletion of these sequences has no effect in vitro. The in vitro reaction as it is now constituted is only a shadow of the in vivo process; it probably is only responsive to the higher affinity of RNA polymerase II and factors for sequences involved in positioning the complex for initiation.

One of the more remarkable features of the in vitro system is the fidelity of its reproduction of the nucleotide specificity of the in vivo initiation. This was shown previously for the late promoter of Ad2 (24, 40) and is vividly seen here in the microheterogeneity of in vitro initiation at the EIV promoter site. Both in vivo (3a) and in vitro RNA initiation at the Ad5 PEIV occur at any one of seven adjacent nucleotides, a string of six thymidine bases, or the adjacent adenosine (Table 1). This suggests that the biochemical complex specifying the in vitro initiation is identical to that specifying the actual initiation event in vivo. Although the relative ratios of PEIV caps under standard conditions in vitro are the same as those in vivo, the distribution of sites of in vitro initiation is sensitive to the concentration of free nucleotide triphosphates. Reduction of relative UDP or ATP concentrations can drastically reduce the fraction of chains initiated with uridine or adenosine, respectively. Thus, initiation at multiple sites rather than RNA processing by 5' cleavage must account for the heterogeneous termini.

The lytic cycle of adenovirus evolves through a series of temporal stages where subsets of the nine promoters listed in Table 1 are optimally active. Either factors, RNA polymerase II or the viral template, must be modified during the course of the cycle to enhance transcription of various sites. The finding that WCEs from uninfected cells initiate at either early, intermediate, or late promoter sites with roughly comparable efficiency suggests that the in vivo transcription regulation is not fully reproduced in the in vitro system. This is further suggested by the lack of observed differences between extracts from mock- and early-infected cells as well as the lack of stimulation of the early PEII or intermediate PEII and PIv2 promoters in any of the infected extracts. Thus, some of the factors that play a role in adenovirus transcription in vivo are either missing or nonfunctional in WCEs.
One striking feature of the lytic cycle of adenovirus is the shift in rates of transcription between early and late promoters at the time of viral DNA replication. A shift in the ratio of transcription of late versus early promoters was also observed in vitro. An increase in the total DNA concentration enhanced transcription of both PL and PIX promoters relative to any early promoter in extracts prepared from either uninfected or late-infected cells. PL and PIX were also distinguished from the early promoters in their relatively enhanced activity in extracts prepared from late-infected cells. The ratio of transcription from either PL or PIX relative to an early promoter was 10-fold higher in late extracts than in mock extracts (Table 1). Thus, soluble factors in uninfected extracts distinguish late promoters from early promoters. Shifts in the level of these factors in late extracts could account for the enhanced transcription of late promoters. It should be possible in the future to identify these factors. Similarly, it is likely that some common sequence feature of PL and PIX promoters mediates their common enhanced recognition. Perhaps this feature is the string of guanine bases immediately 3′ to their TATA consensus sequences. In any case, manipulation of DNA sequences around early and late promoter sites should permit identification of important features.
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